#### R. H. CHARLES

## **ESCHATOLOGY**

THE DOCTRINE OF A FUTURE LIFE IN ISRAEL, JUDAISM AND CHRISTIANITY

A Critical History

Introduction by
George Wesley Buchanan

Schocken Books • New York



First Schocken Paperback edition, 1963

#### Copyright @ 1963 by Schocken Books, Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form without permission from the publisher, except by a reviewer who wishes to quote brief passages in connection with a review written for inclusion in magazine or newspaper.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 63-10991

# Eschatology

The Book of Enoch (Oxford, 1893)—intro., Eng. trans. and Greek and Latin texts.

Ethiopic Version of the Hebrew Book of Jubilees (Oxford, 1895)—intro. and critical apparatus.

The Book of the Secrets of Enoch (Oxford, 1896)—intro., Eng. trans. and commentary.

The Assumption of Moses (London, 1897)—intro., Latin text, Eng. trans., and commentary.

The Book of Jubilees: or the Little Genesis (London, 1902)
—intro. and Eng. trans.

The Ethiopic Version of the Book of Enoch (Oxford, 1906)—intro., Ethiopic, Greek, and Latin texts and apparati.

The Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs (London, 1908)
—intro., Eng. trans., and notes.

Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament in English (Oxford, 1913), 2 vols.

Apocalypse of Baruch (London; New York, 1918).

Ascension of Isaiah (London; New York, 1919).

Studies in the Apocalypse (Edinburgh, 1913).

Religious Development Between the Old and the New Testaments (New York, 1914).

Sermons Preached in Westminster Abbey (London, 1917).

An Attempt to Recover the Original of the Text of Revelation 20:4-22 (London, 1919).

A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Revelation of St. John (Edinburgh, 1920), 2 vols.

The Teaching of the New Testament on Divorce (London, 1921).

Lectures on the Apocalypses (Oxford, 1922).

The Decalogue (Edinburgh, 1923.)

The Adventure into the Unknown and other Sermons Preached in Westminster Abbey (Edinburgh, 1923).

Divorce and the Roman Dogma of Nullity (Edinburgh, 1927).

A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Daniel (Oxford, 1929).

The Resurrection of Man and Other Sermons Preached in Westminster Abbey (New York, 1929).

Courage, Truth, Purity ... (Oxford, 1931).

### CONTENTS

CHAPTER I	
ESCHATOLOGY OF THE INDIVIDUAL IN THE OLD TESTAMENT	PAGES
PRIOR TO THE ORIGIN OF THE BELIEF IN IMMORTALITY	1-50
CHAPTER II	
Escharology of the Individual-Rise of the Doctrine	
OF AN INDIVIDUAL IMMORTALITY	51-81
CHAPTER III	
THE ESCHATOLOGY OF THE NATION AND THE SYNTHESIS OF	
THE TWO ESCHATOLOGIES IN THE DOCTRINE OF THE RESURRECTION. DOCTRINE OF THE SOUL AND OF THE	
FUTURE LIFE AMONG THE GREEKS	82-156
	02 130
CHAPTER IV	
CHAPTER IV	
SUMMARY OF OLD TESTAMENT TEACHING ON INDIVIDUAL	
Conceptions — Appendix to Preceding Chapters .	157-166
CHAPTER V	
THE ESCHATOLOGY OF APOCRYPHAL AND APOCALYPTIC	
LITERATURE DURING THE SECOND CENTURY B.C.	167-246
CHAPTER VI	
ESCHATOLOGY OF APOCRYPHAL AND APOCALYPTIC LITERATURE	
DURING THE FIRST CENTURY B.C	247-297

CHAPTER VII	
ESCHATOLOGY OF APOCRYPHAL AND APOCALYPTIC LITERATURE	PAGES
DURING THE FIRST CENTURY A.D	298-322
CHAPTER VIII	
ESCHATOLOGY OF APOCRYPHAL AND APOCALYPTIC LITERATURE DURING THE FIRST CENTURY A.D. (continued)	323-361
CHAPTER IX	
ESCHATOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT—GENERAL INTRO- DUCTION: THE SYNOPTIC GOSPELS	362-400
CHAPTER X	
ESCHATOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT—REVELATION, JUDE, 2 PETER, JAMES, HEBREWS, JOHANNINE GOSPEL AND EPISTLES, I PETER	401-436
CHAPTER XI	
THE PAULINE ESCHATOLOGY IN 1TS FOUR STAGES	437-473
INDEX OF SUBJECTS	474-482

#### INTRODUCTION

I. CHARLES' WORK, AND LATER INTERPRETATIONS OF ESCHATOLOGY

R.H. CHARLES.—Archdeacon Robert Henry Charles (1855-1931) was unequalled in his understanding of the entire body of Jewish apocalyptic literature available during his lifetime.¹ His intense interest in Jewish literature written—roughly speaking—between the Old and the New Testaments began in Germany with the examination of the so-called Book of Enoch.⁴ Charles studied one book after the other until he became acquainted with German editions of the apocryphal books. In the process he realized that the disagreement among editors concerning the origins of these compositions resulted in part from the fact that the German scholars had limited their studies to single texts and no scholar had grasped the literature as a whole. So he set out to master the whole literature; this kept him busy for the next forty years.

Charles returned to England in 1891 and published an English translation of *The Book of Enoch* in 1893. Thereafter he published English translations with commentaries of many important apocalypses. His commentaries on *Revelation* and *Daniel* are still basic for the study of those books. More important than his commentaries and translations, however, were his publications of texts in the original languages: Hebrew, Aramaic, Greek, Latin, Syriac, Armenian, and Ethiopic.

Charles was unusually apt at recognizing the kind of data needed to arrive at a solution to a difficult problem. He was also willing to undertake the tedious task of accumulating these data. Often he seemed better able to collect information than to analyze its meaning. But even scholars who have taken issue with his theories have usually done so on the basis of material which he provided.

The present work, The Doctrine of a Future Life in Israel, in Judaism, and in Christianity—A Critical History was delivered as the first Jowett Lectures in 1898-1899 and published in 1899. The second edition was published in 1913. It

is now being reprinted because of the interest in later Jewish apocalyptic literature occasioned by the discovery of the Dead Sea Scrolls. Attempts to explain the Scrolls have shown the need for a careful study of the religious, social, and economic history of Palestine from the Maccabees to Bar Cochba and a reevaluation of the Judeo-Christian belief in eschatology. Charles' book provides an excellent introduction for a study of eschatology and gives many quotations from important eschatological works. These are helpful for students who do not have access to the entire documents. Further, the selections Charles quotes may stimulate some to read the entire body of extracanonical literature.

With typical thoroughness, Charles has included under eschatology all "the teaching of the Old Testament, of Judaism, and of the New Testament on the final condition of man and the world" (p. 1). Eschatology thus includes individual life after death, future national blessedness, the messianic Kingdom, resurrection of the righteous dead, the role of Jesus, and the parousia, or "second coming." These expectations fall into two categories: the future of the individual after death and the future of the nation or world, and these two categories are so interrelated that a study of either one almost necessarily involves a consideration of the other. This introduction will deal mostly with the national hope because that is more closely related to the aspirations of the Dead Sea Scrolls."

Charles has recorded eschatological views more or less in chronological order, and his classification of different views as distinctive of specific periods in history provides the reader with mnemonic aid for surveying an extensive body of literature written over a long period of time. Charles himself indicates, however, that works of quite different views may sometimes appear in one age; for instance, the Book of Jubilees and the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs (pp. 231-232; see also 365-366). For this reason students seeking the provenance of apocalyptic literature should not be over-confident in dating material early or late according to the "primitive" or "sophisticated" nature of its theological views.

The Doctrine of a Future Life in Israel, in Judaism, and in Christianity—A Critical History is an excellent place to begin a study of eschatology, but it is not the place to stop. Some

important changes in our understanding of the subject have occurred since this book was written and more will undoubtedly take place as scholars continue to compare this apocalyptic literature with Josephus. Rabbinic literature, later Jewish apocalyptic literature, and the Dead Sea Scrolls. Perhaps the last chapter, "Eschatology of the New Testament," most calls the reader's attention to the change in scholarly thought that has taken place since this book was written. But even this chapter contains New Testament materials useful for a modern study of eschatology in the New Testament.

ESCHATOLOGY AT THE TURN OF THE CEN-TURY.-Charles' "vacation" in Germany occurred at the very time when eschatology was becoming a primary theme in New Testament discussions. In 1892 Weiss wrote in opposition to the nineteenth century New Testament scholars who had composed the "liberal lives of Jesus" and also in opposition to Reimarus. Reimarus had held that the Kingdom Jesus proclaimed was to be located in the land of Palestine. liberated from the Romans. Holtzmann, Ritschl, and other nineteenth century authors denied that the Kingdom of God would be a political kingdom. They thought Jesus spoke of an inner, ethical Kingdom, and they explained the eschatological passages in the New Testament as views of the early church which were written back into the life of Jesus. Weiss maintained that these sayings were genuine and that Iesus was anticipating a wholly future, otherworldly Kingdom, completely dissociated from a political messiahship. Weiss' theory was further supported by Albert Schweitzer. After depicting the futile attempts of the nineteenth century scholars to provide answers to the historical and sceptical questions raised by Reimarus and Strauss, 10 Schweitzer concluded that New Testament scholarship had two alternatives: either a thoroughgoing scepticism or a thoroughgoing eschatology." By thoroughgoing eschatology, Schweitzer meant that the entire ministry of Jesus was based on his conviction that he himself was destined to bring history to a close in the immediate future with a final cosmic catastrophe when he, the Son of Man, would appear on the clouds of heaven. Charles was right in feeling that Schweitzer made "no fresh contribution to the subject" of eschatology, but Schweitzer's eschatological explanation of the career of Jesus has deeply influenced New Testament scholarship.

While Charles was engaged in research for the Jowett lectures, important studies on eschatology were being published by Gunkel,12 Bousset,18 and Volz.14 Gunkel compared Gen. 1, Rev. 12, and other Old Testament and apocalyptic passages with Babylonian etiological myths which reported the creation of the world and of man by the god Marduk. Gunkel concluded that these passages reflected a Babylonian origin. Israel had replaced Marduk with Jehovah<sup>15</sup> and had supposed that the end of time would be like the beginning.16 At the end, the present world would be destroyed and there would be a new heaven and a new earth, a new paradise, and a new redeemer like Moses. 17 Bousset examined the apocalyptic literature of New Testament times and saw eschatological opinion within Israel changing from expectation of a this-worldly, political Kingdom<sup>18</sup> to expectation of a completely new aeon<sup>19</sup> which was to come after this world should be destroyed.20 Volz recognized a similar development, but said it could not be clearly traced in its temporal progress by one view completely replacing another. Instead, various views were held simultaneously and intermingled in many ways.21 The Kingdom of God was identified with the Kingdom of Israel in nationalistic Jewish thought, but in broader Jewish eschatology it was the acceptance of God's rule.22 Eschatology of the individual, Volz maintained, was a contradiction of terms. The destiny of the individual after death had nothing to do with eschatology unless the person who had died was a member of a community which was to be involved in some eschatological act such as a general resurrection.23

ESCHATOLOGY OF THE OLD TESTAMENT.—Interest in eschatology was not confined to those who studied intertestamental literature or the New Testament. Hugo Gressmann<sup>24</sup> maintained that the pre-exilic prophets anticipated the same kind of cosmic end as was expected in the New Testament times.<sup>25</sup> Taking the expression, "end of days," as a technical term for thoroughgoing esclutology, <sup>26</sup> he then described passages, like Ps. 93:6 and Gen. 49:8-12,<sup>27</sup> (in which the expression

does not occur!) as looking forward to the "end of days." "The day of the Lord," "that day," and the command to return, all belonged to eschatological rather than historical thought.29 He agreed with Gunkel that the eschatological time would be a return to original chaos.30

Walther Eichrodt agreed with Gressmann that Old Testament prophecy must be seen as "bound up with the certainty that history will be finally broken off and abolished in a new age." The imagery in later Jewish apocalyptic literature described the annihilation of the cosmos with floods of fire. Even the heavenly bodies would be affected by this retribution. This was only an extension of the prophetic picture of judgment which has the same meaning. 22 There were, he admitted, also opposite trends in the Old Testament. For instance, the priestly writer of the Pentateuch subordinated eschatology to history.33 But whenever prophetic ideas were accepted, the present world order was thought only preparatory.34

Sigmund Mowinckel, contradicting Gressmann, 35 maintained that there was no thoroughgoing eschatology in Babylonia, Assyria, and Egypt-nor in the prophets. 36 Even the prophets of doom expected the restoration of Israel after the disaster, and this future hope left no place for eschatology which anticipated the end of the world. As a result of Persian influences while in the diaspora, later Judaism developed a new eschatology: "dualistic, cosmic, universalistic, transcendental, and individualistic.38 The new eschatology was mingled unsystemmatically with the earlier political hopes for the future. Sometimes attempts were made to reconcile the two views by means of a millenium. During this time the Jewish messianic Kingdom would be established, after which there would be a general judgment and the end of the world.39 The beginning of the new eschatology, Mowinckel thought, could be seen in Daniel and Deutero-Zechariah.40

That belief which Mowinckel called "future hope" Kaufmann<sup>41</sup> called "proto-eschatology," if national, or "eschatology," if universal in its dimension. Both were based on the covenant,43 and the belief that God would give Israel the Land of Palestine for an inheritance." Universalistic eschatology thought that Israel's God would become God of all the nations and that all nations would live in peace with Israel, whose

holy city, Jerusalem, would become the religious center of the world. These hopes could not be realized until opposing nations and unrepentant sinners within the Land of Palestine were suppressed and idolatry ceased. The Messiah to come was to be a political ruler. The wars of Israel were fought against men and not gods. There was no expectation of a redeemer other than the God who would win Israel's battles for her. The God who ruled the Kingdom of Heaven also ruled the earth. He sustained the idolatrous kings without their knowledge. In time he would be revealed so that other nations would worship him. Old Testament eschatology, according to Kaufmann, does not anticipate the end of time, history, or the cosmos, and in other ways differs from the mythological eschatology of other cultures.

Martin Buber distinguishes between the concrete, historical messianic faith, and the expectation of the "end," i.e., eschatology in the strict sense of the word.<sup>52</sup> Israel's expectations were closely tied to an ever-changing history. The first literary prophets hoped "for the fulfillment of God's will regarding the right ordering of the people—and radiating from it to the right ordering of the world." This hope was closely linked to someone from the house of David.<sup>53</sup> The "end" expected was peace among the nations and the political and cultural centrality of Zion in world affairs.<sup>54</sup> The Messiah would be God's vicegerent, anointed to establish by human forces "the divine order of the human community."<sup>56</sup> He was to be a human being no "nearer to God than what is appointed to man as man."<sup>56</sup>

A different emphasis was given by von Rad, who maintained that the coming event expected by the prophets provided a new basis for faith which formerly had been based on past acts of God in history.<sup>57</sup> This new event would replace the old event in history: the new Jerusalem, new David, new exodus, and new covenant were so important that the old were no longer to be considered.<sup>58</sup> This conviction of the prophets was, according to von Rad, eschatological.<sup>59</sup>

ESCHATOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT.—The "thoroughgoing" eschatology of Schweitzer and Weiss was reinterpreted by C.H. Dodd, who had been influenced by Otto, Reich Gottes und Menschensohn (1934).<sup>60</sup> Dodd accepted the

significance of eschatology in the New Testament but held that with the coming of Jesus, the prophecies were fulfilled, the Kingdom of God, the Messiah, and the Spirit promised "in the last days" had already come. In the Fourth Gospel and Paul, eschatology was sublimated into mysticism. ea At the same time, with Jesus there was an end to history but the beginning of the age to come, "which is not history but the pure realization of those values which our empirical life in part affirms and partly seems to deny." Similar views were later expressed by von Rad, Robinson, 4 Frost, 65 Ladd, 66 and others who thought of eschatology primarily as the manifestation of theological values in time. According to Dodd, the prophets and apocalyptists saw the mighty hand of the Lord in the remote past and again in the future, but not in the present." For the early church, by contrast, the end had come. Futuristic elements within the New Testament, like II Thes. 2:7-20, Mark 13, and the Book of Revelation were reversions to pre-Christian, Jewish eschatology, and did not reflect normative apostolic eschatology.

Dodd's interpretation was promptly challenged by Craig<sup>60</sup> and later by Fuller, both of whom admitted that the end began with the acts of Jesus in history, but neither of whom would consent to the declaration that the eschaton (i.e., the final stage of the apocalyptic process) had completely arrived. Both insisted that the future aspect of eschatology must be taken seriously. Dodd himself, in later writings, accepted a modified position. He conceded that there were two kinds of eschatology in the New Testament, realized and unrealized, and that these two beliefs lead to some contradictions in most New Testament writings.71 Kümmel,72 after examining crucial passages and evaluating the variant views on the subject, concluded that Jesus did say, "The Kingdom of God has come upon you" (Mt. 12:28  $\equiv$  Lk. 11:20). But he also taught that the Kingdom was still coming. Kümmel resolved this seeming conflict by saying that God, who will bring about his Kingdom in the future, has already allowed his redemptive purpose to be achieved in Jesus. The intrinsic meaning of the eschatological event, then, is the realization of the Kingdom of that God whom Jesus made known. Therefore Jesus was not primarily concerned with apocalyptic instructions about the date, premonitory signs, or the end of the world. Kümmel also rejected any possibility that Jesus expected a national catastrophe which he deduced from the political situation. 55

Under the influence of Karl Barth, Bultmann gave a new turn to discussions of eschatology. The end with which theology is concerned, according to Bultmann, is not the end of time, history, or the cosmos. Neither is the goal of prophecy fulfilled in Jesus. In the eyes of the church, the eschatological event began with the appearance of Jesus the Messiah, but the end continues to be realized in the life of the believer. In the preaching of the Christian church, the eschatological event becomes present in faith. Conversion, then, is the time at which the old world reaches its end for the believer and he becomes a new creature in Christ. When a man makes a decision for Christ he is taken out of the world, yet he remains in the world—within its history. He is above history, yet he does not lose his historicity. This is the paradox of the Christian faith.

Bultmann, Dodd, von Rad, and others who emphasized an end in terms of meaning rather than time have moved away from the temporal, cosmic eschatology of Weiss and Schweitzer. An evaluation of this movement was made by Cullmann. Cullmann said the New Testament must be understood against a Jewish rectilinear, rather than a Greek cyclical, concept of time. Eternity is, therefore, endless time seen in a straight line rather than a "platonic and modern philosophical sense" of timelessness.78 The mid-point of history toward which Judaism aspired was the future coming of the Messiah. This, for the believing Christian, no longer lies in the future. The Messiah has come. This is a point in past history that occurred between the creation and the parousia. The primary event for the Christian faith, then, is not the end which is still to come, but the resurrection which has already occurred and has determined the outcome of the future events.50 Judaism was eschatologically oriented, but in primitive Christianity eschatology was dethroned and the resurrection was given central place. Nevertheless, primitive Christianity still expected an eschatological drama to take place on this earth within time.81 Christians now live in the Kingdom of Christ, but the Kingdom of Christ has not yet become the Kingdom of God. This will come only at the end.82

#### II. LATER STUDIES OF THE INTER-TESTAMENTAL WORKS DISCUSSED BY CHARLES

Since biblical scholars thus differ as to the significance and meaning of eschatology, students who wish to pursue this subject further will do well to study the apocalyptic literature in which the eschatological expectations of the centuries before and after Jesus' lifetime are most fully expressed. For this study, the present book provides an admirable introduction. In the sixty years since the Jowett lectures were delivered, however, considerable work has been done on the various apocalyptic texts from which Charles drew his evidence. Many new interpretations have been advanced and some new facts have come to light. Therefore it seems worth while to review here this new work on the texts discussed in the following book, and then in the concluding section of this introduction, to say something of the Jewish eschatological material which this book does not discuss.

Of the texts discussed by Charles, the most important is certainly the Book of Enoch, an account of the way Enoch, the great-grandfather of Noah, was carried off into the heavens, saw their inhabitants, the structure of the world and the destined future, and returned to inform his descendants of the things he had seen. Scholars have generally agreed with Charles that the extant book is composite,88 but there has been considerable difference of opinion as to the exact limits of the original units.84 These questions of source analysis, however, have not much affected the interpretations of the eschatological matter which the book contains, except for those who thought the book was a unit and therefore saw a distinctive theological theme throughout.<sup>85</sup> Almost all scholars would agree with Charles that chapters 37-71, the so-called "Parables of Enoch," comprise a body of peculiar material and therefore are presumably from a peculiar source. This view seems to be confirmed by the fact that this section has not been found in the Dead Sea manuscripts of Enoch.86 As to the date and nature of the source, however, there has been great disagreement.87 Sjöberg, especially, has maintained that the Son of Man figure which appears in the parables is a heavenly being which has nothing to do with earthly powers, such as the Messiah or

personifications such as *Urmensch*, the "first man," who plays a large role in Near Eastern legend.\*\*

Among other interpretations of particular passages may be mentioned Torrey's. Even before the discovery of the Dead Sea Scrolls with their passages on the two Messiahs, Torrey said that from Enoch 90:37-38 "it seems evident that the two messiahs, well known to the Jewish eschatology of the last centuries B.C., are pictured." \*\*

Charles' interpretation of II Enoch (pp. 315-320) is still widely accepted, but Valliant \* has prepared a better text and translation.

Closely related to Enoch are the Book of Jubilees and the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs. Enoch reflects the same calendar and views of geography as Jubilees, and the same messianic hope as the Testaments.

The Book of Jubilees is a midrashic commentary on Gen. 1-Ex. 12 which gives precise attention to the dates and details of feasts and also includes important traditional legends. The book is not primarily eschatological in nature and some scholars say it contains no messianic idea. Those who think there are messianic hints in Jubilees do not agree with each other.

The Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs ostensibly contain the death-bed confessions, counsel, and prophecies of each of the sons of Jacob, addressed to his children. They include some of the most significant evidence on pre-Christian messianism found in the Old Testament Pseudepigrapha, Prior to the discovery of the Dead Sea Scrolls, there were numerous proposals for explaining the two messianic figures in the Testaments, but Beasley Murray was the first to point out that "Messiah" simply means "Anointed," and to maintain that Judah represented an anointed (i.e., messianic) king and Levi an anointed (i.e., messianic) priest-two personalities, two Messiahs.<sup>80</sup> Upon seeing the "two-column" scroll, Kuhn <sup>84</sup> was certain that the sect at Qumran expected two Messiahs and on this basis reviewed the Testaments, Zechariah, and other related passages. This opinion has been widely accepted. Although there are interesting expansions 95 and exceptions,90 most scholars agree that the messianism of the Testaments refers to the rulership of the twelve tribes by two human beings. The Davidic Messiah is expected to fill the same role

in the Testaments as in the Psalms of Solomon 17-18.<sup>96</sup> He is the warrior king ruling in the Land of Palestine.

The expectation of two Messiahs found in the Testaments and in the Dead Sea Scrolls is also evident in the Zadokite Document. The Zadokite Document is a very composite writing containing admonitions, laws, and apparently some historical record of some Jewish sect. Part of the book is in poetry interpreted by a later glossator. Since the discovery of the scrolls, scholars have increased their efforts to discern the relationship between history and eschatology in the Zadokite Document. It has been suggested that Damascus is a symbolic name for the Babylonian exile, to and that Zerubbabel and Joshua are the two Messiahs. Teicher thought Jesus was the Teacher of Righteousness as well as the Messiah both of Aaron and Israel. He also thought the Zadokite Document described the flight of Jewish Christians to Damascus after the fall of Jerusalem.

In spite of much disagreement concerning the Zadokite Document, most scholars agree that two Messiahs were expected and the expression "the end of days" does not refer to the end of the world.<sup>100</sup>

Similar to the *Book of Enoch* is the *Assumption of Moses*. The book supposedly contains the revelation of the future which Moses gave to Joshua after the exodus. It describes the history from the entrance into Palestine until after the death of Herod (4 B.C.). Volz <sup>104</sup> thought the author expected the end of the world, but Székely <sup>106</sup> believed the cosmic destruction described in chapter 10 should be understood metaphorically and that the Kingdom of God in this book was more political than ethical.

Another pseudonymous book is *I Baruch*, which claims to have been written by Jeremiah's friend, Baruch, from Babylonia during the captivity and sent to Jerusalem with a gift of money. It contains praise, confession, comfort, and admonition. The strong hope expressed is for the restoration of Jerusalem and the land of Palestine. Some scholars find also or especially a concern for life after death, the whereas others the say there are no apocalyptic, messianic, or eschatological ideas in *I Baruch*. The definition of eschatology accepted influences a person's judgment concerning this book.

The patriotic sentiment expressed in these intertestamental books appears also in the Book of Judith, I, II, and IV Maccabees. The Book of Judith is the story of a beautiful Jewish woman who beguiled the general of the Assyrian army, beheaded him, and saved the inhabitants of her city. Many studies have been written on this book during the twentieth century, but most of them make no mention of eschatology. Grintz, 108 however, said Judith 16:17 refers to the last judgment. It is the prophetic "day of the Lord" when nations are punished and Israel is delivered. Steinmann 100 went further and said the hymn in chapter 16 clearly leaves the historical realm and becomes eschatology. The last judgment is supra-historical. I Maccabees is an account of the Maccabean revolt against Antiochus Epiphanes and his successors from 175-132 B.C. The understood but unexpressed hope of the author was for the eternal duration of the Davidic Kingdom.

II Maccabees claims to be a history of the events of 175-160 B.C. in Palestine. IV Maccabees is a lecture or sermon on reason, whose primary illustration describes the persecution during the same period. Neither book has as great historical value as I Maccabees, but both express a belief in life after death not found in I Maccabees. Most scholars agree with Charles' (pp. 273-278) view of the Kingdom and resurrection in II Maccabees and also his interpretation of the doctrine of immortality in IV Maccabees (pp. 320-322). Weber, however, said the Greek words used in IV Maccabees for immortality do not seem to mean immortality in the Greek sense of the term.

Another book which deals with life after death is the *Book of Wisdom*, a document containing many exhortations to strengthen the courage and faith of Jews in time of persecution. Weber <sup>112</sup> thought its author probably believed in the resurrection of the dead even though this is not explicitly stated. Different still was the view of Gregg <sup>113</sup> that the immortality taught is purely ethical and not related to life or death physically. Charles' interpretation (pp. 306-312) is still most representative.

Some of the most extensive expressions of belief in life after death and the political future of Israel are contained in the writings of Philo. It is clear that Philo believed in immortality, but the details of this conviction are not certain. Did he believe in personal immortality or did he think the individual spirit became an anonymous part of the universal spirit? Philo's writings are not clear. Therefore scholars do not agree. Yolz says Philo's thought is wholly alien to eschatology. The distinction is between the upper and lower world—not in the present world and the world to come.

Agreement is general that Philo anticipated a messianic age which would endure forever, when the faithful would return to the Holy Land and Judaism would become the universal religion. The repentant would be victorious in war, have peace, prosperity, honor, and high offices in this world. It is not certain, however, whether or not Philo expected a personal Messiah. Philo's messianic views, at any rate, seem to be in conflict with his philosophy in general. He held them dogmatically, although he sometimes tried to justify them rationally. Wolfson said Philo's messianic beliefs were his solution for the problem of Jews living with Gentiles. In the messianic age all Gentiles would become Jews and the tension that existed in Philo's time would cease.

The Sibylline Oracles are quite different from any of the Hebrew documents belonging to this literature. They were originally written in Greek according to a pattern well known to contemporary pagans. But the message was Jewish and nationalistic in character. Applebaum 119 thought 5:200-205 probably described accurately a movement on the part of Romans to put down a Jewish rebellion motivated by apocalypticists who interpreted an earthquake to be a sign from God for Jews to claim their natural heritage.

Apocalyptic literature composed after the fall of Jerusalem in 70 A.D. is contained in *IV Ezra* and *II Baruch*. The pseudepigraphal books which were ostensibly written by Ezra and Baruch are in some way interdependent. Both are composite <sup>120</sup> and preserve pre-70 A.D. materials. Both books have lamentations, but whereas *IV Ezra* has also many unanswered questions, *II Baruch* tends to justify the events that have taken place. Very few insights have been added to the understanding of eschatology in either book since the time of Charles. Gressmann <sup>121</sup> said the savior in *IV Ezra* is pictured as a sun god rising from earth to heaven. He is an otherworldly figure unrelated

to old Israente views of the Messiah. He is the Iranian *Urmensch*. The major expectation of *II Baruch* is thought<sup>122</sup> to be the destruction of Rome and the appearance of the Messiah followed by an age of peace, gladness, goodwill, and fruitful labor. The doctrine of resurrection in *II Baruch* has also been compared to that of Paul.<sup>123</sup>

## III. NEW FINDS AND JEWISH APOCALYPTIC LITERATURE NOT DISCUSSED BY CHARLES

For the study of apocalyptic literature, the most important discoveries since Charles' time have been those of the so-called "Dead Sea Scrolls." These documents were written in the same land and at the same period of history as the major apocalyptic works, and preserve many of the same theological ideas expressed in similar style. Above all, they have been found in their original language and form, untouched by later editors. The documents found to date contain Old Testament scripture, commentaries, rules of order, hymns, drama, and secular items such as personal letters and bills of sale, in Hebrew, Aramaic, or Greek. Many details in these finds improve our understanding of the apocalyptic literature surveyed above. The close relationship of Jubilees, I Enoch, and the Testaments is made still closer by comparison with the Genesis Apocryphon. Newly found fragments from I Enoch, "The Testament of Levi," "The Testament of Naphthali," and the Zadokite Document help us to understand the origin and development of those texts. The expectation of two Messiahs in the Dead Sea texts clarifies the messianic expectations of I Enoch, the Testaments, and the Zadokite Document. The dualism and angelology illuminate the same subjects in the Pseudepigrapha, and the eschatological expectations provide an important basis for a reevaluation of the eschatology of the apocalyptic literature.

With the possible exception of one poem, the literature preserved near the Dead Sea nowhere expects the world to come to an end. This one passage in the Hymns, 3:19-36, has received a great deal of attention because it describes the rivers of Belial consuming even the bases of the mountains. Everything upon earth is to melt in the great disaster. Then

God is to oppose the hosts of Belial, and complete the destruction. The singular character of this psalm raises a question concerning its real meaning. The poem is complete, and it is unlike anything else in the scrolls. The vivid imagery, like that in the Assumption of Moses 10:3-10 may not be intended to be taken literally, because there is no following promise of restoration, resurrection, or renewal. At the same time there is a relationship between the conflagration, the destruction of worthless men, and the poet's hope of salvation. This relationship is not explicit, but it would seem that the psalmist was describing metaphorically the destruction he expected for his enemies, not the destruction of the earth. In the rest of the published scrolls, the expectation is for the punishment of enemies, the end of the current political era, and the future reward of the righteous on earth. 125

Charles did not discuss the eschatological hopes that persisted in Judaism after the Bar Cochba revolt. Any further study of eschatology, however, must take this literature into account. The first authoritative codification of Jewish Law, the Mishnah, deliberately excluded most apocalyptic material, 126 and therefore many scholars of Charles' generation 127 thought that apocalyptic literature was outside the mainstream of Judaism. Some extremists have even maintained that apocalypses should not be used as primary sources for the study of Jewish eschatology. 128 To be sure, apocalyptic zeal was weakened by two disastrous defeats in battle. Rome's victory proved to some rabbis that God supported Roman rule. Some, too, reminded their students that errors in calculations had been made in the past, and others cursed those who calculated the end. Nevertheless, there were those who continued to speculate on the time and conditions necessary for the revelation of the Messiah, and Jewish liturgical poetry preserved the influence of apocalyptic literature. I Enoch and II Baruch lie behind a number of passages in the Talmud, and Tannaitic descriptions of the time prior to the advent of the messianic age resemble those in the apocalypses. 120 Moreover, apocalypses continued to be written for hundreds of years and messianic movements continue to the present day.180

Medieval apocalypses are couched in some of the same terminology as earlier apocalyptic literature. Expressions like the "end," <sup>181</sup> "in the end of days," <sup>182</sup> and the "day of judgment" <sup>183</sup> frequently occur. Furthermore, this literature is closely related to political events: the end of the Byzantine rule in the land of Israel (7th century), the end of the Omayyad dynasty of Arabia in the land of Israel (8th century), the end of the Abbasid dynasty that ruled from Baghdad (10th century), the time when the Khazars were meeting with Jews of the Byzantine Empire (10th century), and the time of the third crusade in the land of Israel (12th century). These were all events which motivated pious Jews to believe that God would soon restore the land of Israel to the sons of Abraham.<sup>184</sup>

Medieval apocalypses expected two Messiahs: one, the son of Joseph or Ephraim from Galilee (Messiah of Israel) who was expected to lead the Israelites in battle against Armilos and be killed in behalf of his people. The son of Joseph was to be the forerunner for the son of David (Messiah of Judah) who would succeed in destroying Armilos, claiming his throne at Jerusalem, punishing all surrounding nations, and ruling the people of Israel in peace.<sup>135</sup>

The arch enemy, Armilos, was probably a deliberate variation of Romulus. At times he was identified with Edom, over which he was king and Messiah (284, 316, 320),136 and "Edom" in Rabbinic literature was regularly used as a substitute for "Rome." During the crusades, at least, he was also identified with the Christian church. Armilos was a gigantic monster with two heads (131, 284, 316, 320).137 He had a strange origin. There was in Rome a white marble stone created by the Lord in the image of a beautiful woman. The wicked ones of the nations of the world, sons of Belial, were excited to passion and lay with her, and their sperm was kept in the stone. A creature was formed and the stone burst open and something like a man came forth. His name was Armilos, the tempter (131, 284, 313, 320). He called himself the Messiah and God (284, 313, 320-321), but he was really Satan (313, 316). A variant account (p. 369) said the stone was an image of a virgin, the wife of Belial. Armilos was the one whom the nations (i.e., Christians) call Antichrist (p. 320). He represented to apocalyptic Jews the force that prevented Israel from obtaining national independence. In general this force was the Roman Empire. When Roman Christianity became closely allied with the empire, the church also came to be considered the antichrist, and Armilos evidently personified both the church and the government. Possibly some of the slightly disguised elements of this account may be: Jesus, the Virgin Mary, Gentiles, and the Christian Church. In any event this imagery described known historical conditions from

a patriotic lewish point of view. There are visionary elements in medieval apocalypses, but they are not in conflict with Israel's future hope of an earthly rule. In a chapter from the Hekhaloth Rabbati, 138 Rabbi Yishmael was shown the future tortures and rewards stored up for Israel because of her sins and virtues. The climax of the vision was the appearance of King David and all his successors wearing crowns. David's splendor was properly exaggerated, and the scene apparently was to take place in heaven, but the real hope was for restoration of a Davidic ruler in Jerusalem. Another brief eschatological piece 189 anticipated a Jerusalem of the future so large that it would cover three mountains-Sinai, Tabor, and Carmel (p. 340)-all thisworldly geographical locations. A messianic chapter in Bereshith Rabbati 140 pictured the Lord building a temple of great splendor in heaven, but the real longing in the apocalypse was shown when David the Messiah asked the Lord when he would lower the temple to earth (p. 21). The Messiahs of Joseph and David are shown in earthly combat with military forces associated with political situations that existed around the Mediterranean Sea. The Messiah, son of David, was to reign victoriously. The original hope of the prophets had not died

#### IV. DEFINITIONS

The variety of meanings given to eschatology during the last sixty years suggests that a more explicit terminology is needed to describe the hopes involved. The following are suggested:

1. LIFE AFTER DEATH.—Volz said that personal immortality and resurrection should not be termed eschatology. Instead it might be called "life after death."

2. AXIOLOGY.-Althaus said expectations of ends that were nonteleological so far as time and history are concerned but emphasized ends in terms of meaning should be called "axiology." 141

g. SYNTELEALOGY.—The expectation of the end of an undesirable political era to be followed by the Kingdom of God on this earth, centered around Jerusalem. Since this type of end described in Daniel has been translated in the Septuagint by syntelēa rather than eschatos, it might accurately be called "syntelealogy." 142

4. ESCHATOLOGY.-Since the expectation of the end of time, history, and the cosmos was popularized by Weiss and Schweitzer as "eschatology," the term "eschatology" might be reserved for this expectation alone, to be distinguished from the other expectations that are currently described by the same name.

> George Wesley Buchanan Wesley Theological Seminary Washington 16, D.C.

1 His major literary achievements are listed in an appendix at the end of this book.

<sup>2</sup> Charles and his wife were in Germany for a year so that Charles might recover his health which had suffered from overwork as a parish minister. Charles continued to preach to the end of his life. For a detailed account of his life and ministry, see C. F. D'Arcy, "A Brief Memoir," in R. H. Charles, Courage Truth, Purity (Oxford, 1931), xiii-xxxv, and T. W. Manson, "Charles, Robert Henry (1855-1931)," The Dictionary of National Biography, 1931-1940, ed. L. G. Wickham Legg (Oxford, 1949), 160-170.

3 It is not certain that any of the new material published in the Dead

Sea documents records a belief in life after death.

<sup>4</sup> J. Weiss, Die Predigt Jesu vom Reich Gottes (Göttingen, 1802-1000<sup>2</sup>). <sup>5</sup> [H. S. Reimarus], Von dem Zwecke Jesu und seiner Jünger noch ein Fragment des Wolfenbuettelschen Ungenannten, herausgegeben von Gotthold Ephraim Lessing (Berlin, 1784), 10-11.

<sup>6</sup> H. J. Holtzmann, Die synoptischen Evangelien, Ihr Ursprung und

geschichtlicher Charakter (Leipzig, 1863).

A. Ritschl, The Christian Doctrine of Justification and Reconciliation, English translation edited by H. R. Mackintosh and A. B. Macaulay (Edinburgh, 19022).

8 Weiss, 58-59.

<sup>9</sup> A. Schweitzer, The Mystery of the Kingdom of God, tr. Walter Lowrie (New York, 1901, 1950<sup>2</sup>), 66-67, 86, 114-115.

<sup>10</sup> D. F. Strauss, A New Life of Jesus, 2 vols. (London, 1865).

<sup>11</sup> A. Schweitzer, The Quest of the Historical Jesus: A Critical Study of Its Progress from Reimarus to Wrede, tr. W. Montgomery (London, 1906, 1910<sup>2</sup>), 328-395.

12 Hermann Gunkel, Schöpfung und Chaos in Urzeit und Endzeit

(Göttingen, 1894, 19212).

18 D. W. Bousset, Die jüdische Apokalyptik (Berlin, 1903).

<sup>14</sup> P. Volz, Jüdische Eschatologie von Daniel bis Akiba (Tübingen und Leipzig, 1903).

15 Gunkel, 114.

- 16 Gunkel, 87.
- 17 Gunkel, 367-369.
- 18 Bousset, 12.
- 19 Bousset, 16-17.
- 20 Bousset, 31, 64-65.
- 21 Volz, 1-3, 60-61.
- 22 Volz, 298-300.
- <sup>23</sup> Volz, 1. In the section dealing with eschatology at the turn of the century, Volz's first edition is used. Throughout the remainder of the introduction, his second edition, Die Eschatologie der jüdischen Gemeinde in neutestamentlichen Zeitalter (Tübingen, 1934), will be employed.

<sup>24</sup> H. Gressmann, Der Ursprung der israelitisch-jüdischen Eschatologie (Göttingen, 1905), revised and republished posthumously as Der Messias

(Göttingen, 1929).

- 25 Gressmann, 74-75.
- <sup>26</sup> Gressmann, 75-84.
- 27 Gressmann, 217-222.
- <sup>28</sup> B. D. Eerdmans, *The Religion of Israel* (Leiden, 1947), 322-323, understood eschatology to mean the end of this world and therefore insisted that the references to "the end of days" did not hold this meaning in the Old Testament. Th. C. Vriezen, on the other hand, thought "the end of days" was a technical term for eschatology, but on the basis of context gave eschatology a broader meaning which included a nationalistic hope. For a fuller treatment of this discussion see "Eschatology and the 'End of Days,'" *Journal of Near Eastern Studies*, XX (1961), 188-193.

29 Gressmann, 75, 83-84, 147.

- 30 Gressmann, 100, 110, 118.
- <sup>81</sup> W. Eichrodt, Theology of the Old Testament, I. tr. J. A. Baker (Philadelphia, c1961), 385.

32 Eichrodt, 470-471.

3ª Eichrodt, 424-429.

34 Eichrodt, 268.

35 S. Mowinckel, He That Cometh, tr. G. H. Anderson (New York,

c1954), 127-133.

Theologische Literaturzeitung, 85 Jahrgang (1960), 402, 419, saw both national and cosmic ends anticipated in the Old Testament, although he would agree with Mowinckel that the national hope appears earlier chronologically. Fohrer, however, calls both expectations "eschatology."

37 Mowinckel, 131.

- 38 Mowinckel, 271.
- 39 Mowinckel, 277.
- 40 Mowinckel, 266, 271ff.
- <sup>41</sup> Y. Kaufmann, *Toldot Ha-'Emunah Ha-isra'elit* (Jerusalem, 1954), 4 volumes. Originally in cight volumes. Volumes 1-7 have been abridged into one volume and translated by Moshe Greenberg into English, *The Religion of Israel* (Chicago, 1960). The work is well done, but the major section on eschatology was omitted in the abridged edition.

42 Kaufmann, III, 651-653.

43 Kaufmann, II 288-293, 512-522; III, 641, 650, 655.

44 Kaufmann, II, 155-158, 512-522; III, 643, 648, 652-653, 655.

45 Kaufmann, III, 10, 39, 240-241, 249, 252-254, 262.

46 Kaufmann, III, 241, 249, 255, 468, 473; IV, 431, 436-438.

47 Kaufmann. III, 252, 640-641, 655.

48 Kaufmann, III, 641

49 Kaufmann, III, 240-241, 262, 473; IV, 431, 434, 436, 438.

<sup>50</sup> Kaufmann, III, 650, 652. Kaufmann makes a distinction between cosmological and historical apocalypticism. Ezekiel, he holds, does not explain cosmology and is not correctly called the father of apocalypses (III, 542, 626). Daniel is a historical apocalypse dealing with times (III, 16).

51 Kaufmann, III, 642-645.

<sup>52</sup> M. Buber, The Prophetic Faith, tr. Carlyle Witton-Davies (New York, 1949), 142, 150. In this connection the views of the philosopher, Hermann Cohen, Religion der Vernunft aus den Quellen des Judentums (Frankfort, 1929<sup>2</sup>) should be mentioned. Cohen held that the messianic idea of the future is the same as the concept of the suffering servant which is a corporate personality, composed of the remnant of Judaism and those pious Gentiles who share in bearing the sufferings of mankind (304-305, 311-313). The end anticipated is the messianic era in which humanitarian ethics are practiced (313). Because there is one God, the messianic age must be for all nations (284). Because the messianic era is bound to history it is thus distinguished from eschatology (340).

53 Buber, 142.

- 54 Buber, 150.
- 55 Buber, 153.

<sup>56</sup> Buber, 153.

<sup>67</sup> G. von Rad, Theologie des Alten Testaments, II (München, 1960). For similar views sce Paul S. Minear, Eyes of Faith (Philadelphia, 1946), 251-276; and Rudolf Bultmann, The Presence of Eternity (New York, c1957), 36-37.

56 Von Rad, 131-132.

<sup>50</sup> Von Rad, 126-127. Philosophers and theologians of the twentieth century have given serious attention to eschatology. Men like R. Otto, The Kingdom of God and the Son of Man, tr. F. V. Filson and B. L. Woolf (London, 1938), K. Barth, The Epistle to the Romans, tr. E. C. Hoskyns (London, 1933), H. E. Brunner, The Philosophy of Religion from the Standpoint of Protestant Theology, tr. A. J. D. Farrer and B. L. Woolf (New York, 1937), P. Althaus, Die letzten Dinge. Lehrbuch der Eschatologie (Gütersloh, 1957), and G. Gloege, Reiche Gottes und Kirche im Neuen Testament (Gütersloh, 1929), interpreted the meaning of eschatology in relationship to time and eternity (Barth), immanence and transcendence (Otto), axiology and teleology (Althaus), statics and dy-

namics (Gloege); but since Charles was primarily a textual scholar, the influence of philosophers and theologians on religious thought will be minimized in this introduction.

- gested that with the ministry of Jesus the beginning of the future had already started. The present and future do not stand in antithesis to each other, but are organically woven in and through each other. Gloege employed the term, engihen, to show that the present time already belongs to the "end time" (Reich Gottes . . . , 108-111). The similarity of Dodd's later views to this is striking.
- <sup>61</sup> C. H. Dodd, The Apostolic Preaching and its Developments (New York, 1936, 1960<sup>2</sup>), 32.
  - 62 Ibid., 66.
  - 13 Ibid., 84.
  - <sup>64</sup> J. A. T. Robinson, Jesus and His Coming (New York, c1957), 136.
- 65 S. B. Frost, "Eschatology and Myth," Vetus Testamentum, II (1952), 70-78.
- <sup>66</sup> G. E. Ladd, "Why not Prophetic-Apocalyptic," Journal of Biblical Literature, 76 (1957), 192-200.
  - m Dodd, The Apostolic Preaching, 80-81.
  - 68 Ibid., 37-40. See also Robinson, 98-99.
- 69 C. T. Craig, "Realized Eschatology," Journal of Biblical Literature, 56 (1937), 17-26.
  - 70 R. H. Fuller, The Mission and Achievement of Jesus (Chicago, c1954),
- 20-49).
- <sup>71</sup> C. H. Dodd, The Interpretation of the Fourth Gospel (Cambridge, 1954), 7.
- <sup>72</sup> W. G. Kümmel, *Promise and Fulfillment*, tr. D. M. Barton (London, c1957); also "Futurische und Präsentische Eschatologie in Ältesten Urchristentum," *New Testament Studies*, 5 (1958), 113-126.
  - 78 Kümmel, Promise and Fulfillment, 107.
  - 74 Ibid., 149-153.
- To Ibid., 48. See also 100-102. Robinson's view is quite similar to Kümmel's. Robinson called the event that occurred when Jesus was raised and exalted to the right hand of God, "inaugurated eschatology" (pp. 81, 136, 185, etc.). This is the point at which the *eschaton* began; it has not yet been concluded.
- <sup>76</sup> One of the clearest and most succinct expressions of Bultmann's interpretation of eschatology is "History and Eschatology in the New Testament," New Testament Studies, I (1954-1955), 5-16. His best known treatment is The Presence of Eternity, (New York, c1957).
  - <sup>77</sup> Bultmann, The Presence of Eternity, 151-155.
- <sup>78</sup> O. Cullmann, Christ and Time, tr. F. V. Filson (Philadelphia, c1950), 45-46, 51, 69.
  - 79 Cullmann, 82-83.
  - 80 Cullmann, 84-85.
  - 81 Cullmann, 139-141.
  - 82 Cullmann, 208.
- <sup>83</sup> Exceptions are H. L. Jansen, *Die Henochgestalt* (Oslo, 1939) and Kahana, "Sefer Hanokh, I," *Ha-Sefarim Ha-Hitsonim*, I (Tel Aviv, 1936-1937).

<sup>84</sup> See O. Eissfeldt, Einleitung in das Alte Testament (Tübingen, 1934), 674-675; E. Schürer, A History of the Jewish People in the Time of Jesus Christ, Authorized English Translation (New York), II.3, 61-70; C. Steuernagel, Lehrbuch der Einleitung in das Alte Testament (Tübingen, 1912), 830-832.

<sup>85</sup> Jansen saw the Son of Man figure as the Jewish counterpart of the Chaldean Ea-Oannes and also Enoch himself. Chaldean theology, then, was seen throughout the book. Kahana found the theme of judgment of

the wicked in the latter days woven through the whole book,

66 "Le Travail d'Edition des Manuscrits de Qumran (Communication

de J. T. Milik)," Revue Biblique, 63 (1956), 60.

<sup>87</sup> See W. J. Ferrar, From Daniel to St. John the Divine (London, c1930), 55; T. W. Manson, "The Son of Man in Daniel, Enoch and the Gospels," The John Rylands Library, 32 (1949-1950), 171-193; R. H. Pfeiffer, A History of New Testament Times (New York, c1949), 78; C. C. Torrey, The Apocryphal Literature (New Haven, 1945), 111-112. Schürer, II.3, 60-61, gives early views on the subject.

88 E. Sjöberg, Der Menschensohn im Athiopischen Henochbuch (Lund,

1946), 58-59; 141-143; 193-194.

89 Torrey, 111-112.

M. Valliant, Le Livre des Secrets d' Hénoch, (Paris, 1952).

<sup>61</sup> G. H. Box (intro.) R. H. Charles (tr.), The Book of Jubilees (London, 1917), xxiii-xxviii, doubts that the author expected a Davidic Messiah. S. Zeitlin, The Book of Jubilees: Its Character and its Significance (Philadelphia, 1939), 21-25, says the book contains no messianic idea at all.

92 Ferrar, 53, thinks a Messiah of Levi is implied, whereas D. S. Russell, Between the Testaments (Philadelphia, c1960), 125, says the greatness

ascribed to Levi is similar to that of the Testaments.

<sup>93</sup> G. R. Beasley Murray, "The Two Messiahs in the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs," *Journal of Theological Studies*, 48 (1947), 1-12.

4 K. G. Kuhn, "Die beiden Messias Aarons und Israels," New Testa-

ment Studies, I (1954/55), 168-169.

R. D. Barnett (New York, 1955), 52-57, said after the Teacher of Righteousness became the Messiah, he was given both offices. M. Philonenko, Les Interpolations chrètiennes des Testaments des Douze Patriarches et les Manuscrits de Qumrân (Paris, 1960), 15, 21, 43, went still further and saw within the Testaments themselves the Teacher of Righteousness who was crucified and will come again a second time.

<sup>66</sup> R. Eppel, Le Pietisme Juif dans les Testaments des Douze Patriarches (Paris, 1930), 90-110, thinks the Messiah is sort of a demi-god who will,

nonetheless, function in history.

or See also M. DeJonge, The Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs (Te Assen Bej., 1953); Milik, "Une Lettre de Simeon Bar Kocheba," Revue Biblique, 60 (1953), 276-294. For theories on textual development see E. J. Bickerman, "The Date of the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs," Journal of Biblical Literature, 60 (1950), 245-260; DeJonge; and Milik, "Le Testament de Levi en Araméen," Revue Biblique 62 (1955), 398-406.

98 Volz, 178, however, thought the messianic figure in Psalms of Solomon

17 was more of an ideal man than a king.

<sup>99</sup> This fact became apparent in one of Prof. Morton Smith's graduate seminars. Initial discoveries were published by R. A. Soloff, "Toward Un-

covering Original Texts in the Zadokite Documents," New Testament Studies, V (1958), 62-67. A further attempt to separate prose from poetry is found in The Eschatological Expectations of the Qumran Community (Madison: Drew Ph.D. thesis, 1959, Mic 59-5030), 279-302. Others who have noticed the poetry of CDC are: I. Rabinowitz, "A Reconsideration of 'Damascus' and '390 Years' in the 'Damascus' ('Zadokite') Fragments," Journal of Biblical Literature, 73 (1954), 11-35, and H. J. Schonfield, Secrets of the Dead Sea Scrolls (New York, c1957).

100 Rabinowitz, ibid., 11-35.

101 N. Walker, "Concerning the 390 Years and the 20 Years of the Damascus Document," Journal of Biblical Literature, 76 (1957), 57-58.

102 J. L. Teicher, "The Damascus Fragments and the Origins of the Jewish Christian Sect," Journal of Jewish Studies, II (1950/51), 115-143.

103 M. Baillet, "Fragments du Document de Damas Qumran, Grotte 6," Revue Biblique, 63 (1956), 513-523, has published transliterations of the small fragments found in cave 6 that supposedly belong to the Zadokite Document. They are too small to be convincing, but Baillet says the seven fragments found in cave 4 which have not yet been published are more impressive evidence that the Zadokite Document was known to the sect at Oumran.

104 Volz, 33-34.

108 S. Székely, Bibliotheca Apocrypha (Friburgi Brisgoviae, 1913), I,

108 W. O. E. Oesterley, An Introduction to the Books of the Apocrypha

(London, 1913), 263-265, and Volz, 48.

O. C. Whitehouse, "The Book of Baruch," R. H. Charles (ed.), The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha, I, 581.

108 Y. M. Grintz, Sefer Yehudit (Jerusalem, 1957), 179. 109 J. Steinmann, Lecture de Judith (Paris, 1953), 118-119.

110 A. Dupont-Sommer, Le Quatrième Livre des Machabées (Paris, 1939), 44-48, was still more emphatic. He said the author of IV Maccabees, while using II Maccabees as a source, deliberately repressed the doctrine of immortality.

111 W. Weber, "Die Unsterblichkeit der Weisheit Solomos," Zeitschrift

für wissenschaftliche Theologie, 48 (1904/05), 414-418.

Weber, 409-444; Rodolphe Schütz, Les Idées Eschatologiques du Livre de la Sagesse (Strasbourg, 1935), 187-195, 199, concurs.

118 J. A. F. Gregg, The Wisdom of Solomon (Cambridge, 1909), xlvixlvii.

114 E. R. Goodenough, "Philo on Immortality," Harvard Theological Review, 39 (1946), 85-108, said it is impossible to know. Philo seemed indifferent to personal destiny. H. A. Wolfson, Philo (Cambridge, 1947), I, 395-396, said Philo denies the universal soul, so for him immortality means the eternal persistence of the individual soul as a distinct entity. See also I, 404; 408-413; II, 454-455 for the destiny of the righteous and the wicked according to Philo.

115 Volz, 59.

116 So Wolfson, II, 413-415. Volz, 182, and Schürer, II. 2, 146-149, thought Philo expected a personal Messiah.

117 F. Geiger, Philon von Alexandreia als sozialer Denker (Stuttgart,

1932), 106-109.

118 Wolfson, II, 407-408.

S. Applebaum, "Notes on the Jewish Revolt under Trajan," Journal

of Jewish Studies, III (1950), 26-30.

120 F. C. Burkitt, Jewish and Christian Apocalypses (London, 1914), 41-43, thought both books should be treated as units. Volz, 35-40, and L. Gry, Les Dires Prophétiques D'Esdras (IV Esdras) (Paris, 1938), I, c-ciii, agree that IV Ezra is composite but they do not agree on the original units.

121 Gressmann, 372, 379-389.

122 Torrey, 123-126; Eissfeldt, 683-686.

123 W. O. E. Oesterley, The Apocalypse of Baruch (London, 1918), xxx-xxxii.

124 So Székely, 254-255.

125 So also A. S. Van der Woude, Die messianischen Vorstellungen der Gemeinde von Qumran (Assen, 1957), 5, and M. Black, The Scrolls and Christian Origins (New York, c1961), 136.

<sup>120</sup> So J. Bloch, On the Apocalyptic in Judaism, JQR Monograph, II (Philadelphia, 1952), 80-81 and G. F. Moore, Judaism (Cambridge, I and

II, 1927: III, 1930), II, 281. One exception is Sotah 9:15.

Moore, I, 127-130; II, 281; L. Ginzberg, The Legends of the Jews, tr. H. Szold (Philadelphia, c1909), I, xiii.

128 Moore, I, 127.

129 See Bloch, 65, 79, 83, 95, 100.

180 See A. H. Silver, A History of Messianic Speculation in Israel (Boston, C1927; Introduction C1959). A very useful collection of medieval apocalypses in Hebrew, together with textual variants, a helpful commentary, a vivid general introduction to the literature, and a separate introduction to each major document is found in Y. Ibn-Shmuel, Midreshe Ge'ulah (Jerusalem-Tel Aviv, 1953/54).

131 Ibn-Shmuel, 41, 51, 72, 73, 75, 76, 79, 90, 97, 104, 121, 124, 127, 135, 148, 149, 151, 152, 193, 197, 214, 217, 230, 270, 271, 273, 279, 284, 285, 305,

307, 308, 315, 322, 326, 355, 350, 360.

182 Ibn-Shmuel, 41, 42, 51, 75, 103, 131, 217, 249, 251, 278, 281.

183 Ibn-Shmuel, 226, 227, 322.

134 So Ibn-Shmuel, "General Introduction," 61.

185 Ibn-Shmuel, 226, 227, 322.

126; 131-132; 135; 158-160; 195-197; 225-227; 285-286; 302; 303; 304; 307-308; 312-314; 316-317; 320-323; 328; 332; 334; 336; 338; 339; 342-344; 347; 350; 357; 358; 359; 360-361; 362-363; 364-365; 366-367; 368-370.

Pages marked in the text are from Ibn-Shmuel, Midreshe Ge'ulah.

According to Ibn-Shmuel (268-286), "The Prayer of R. Shim'on ben
Yohai" was written after the schism of 1054 A.D. After that time the
Eastern and Western divisions of the church operated under two "heads."

<sup>138</sup> "David, Melekh Yisra'el," Ibn-Shmuel, 8-10. <sup>139</sup> "Perek Ha-Mashiah," Ibn-Shmuel, 332-344.

"Yerushalayim shel Ma'alah," Ibn-Shmuel, 20-22.

Althans, 18-19, however, in his later editions revised his use of this terminology to exclude biblical and Christian eschatology. In his latest writings, then, Althans confined axiology and teleology to his discussion of philosophical eschatology.

142 A fuller explanation of the meaning of syntelealogy is given in The Eschatological Expectations of the Qumran Community (Madison:

Drew Ph.D. thesis, 1959, Mic 59-5030), 155-173, 205-244.

#### CHAPTER I

ESCHATOLOGY THE INDIVIDUAL IN THE TESTAMENT PRIOR TO ORIGIN OF THE BELIEF IN IMMORTALITY.

THE purpose of this work is to deal with Hebrew, scope of this Jewish, and Christian eschatology, or the teaching of the Old Testament, of Judaism, and of the New Testament on the final condition of man and of the world. It is but too generally assumed that we are already fully acquainted with this subject; but such an assumption is by no means justified. We have yet much to learn, and the work of patient research is still far from fruitless in this field.

So far as this work bears on Old Testament eschatology, the writer is under infinite obligations to Old Testament scholars,1 but notwithstanding the fulness of their labours, he has not unfrequently been compelled in the special subject of these lectures to take new departures and pursue paths

<sup>1</sup> Particularly to Cheyne, Stade, Robertson Smith, Schwally, Smend, Nowack, and a host of others.

of his own. The necessity for such new departures, alike in the Old Testament and the New Testament, arose in connection with his attempt to grasp the whole course of eschatological development from the time of Moses to the close of the New Testament. The nature of such new departures will appear in the sequel.

Doctrine of the conception of God must to some extent be studied concurrently with Hebrew eschatology.

From the period of Moses, the religious and political founder of Israel, to the time of Christ, we can with some degree of certainty determine the religious views of that nation on the after-world. But the facts are often so isolated, the sources so often defective and reset in later environments, that, if we confine our attention to ideas of the after-life alone, it is possible to give only a disjointed statement of beliefs and expectations with large lacunæ and unintelligible changes, and lacking that coherence and orderly development without which the mind cannot be satisfied.

Now we find that we can impart some degree of coherence and intelligibleness to the subject by considering the development of the conception of God in Israel. On this conception hinges ultimately every other religious conception of the nation. Obviously, however, only the salient points in this development can be dealt with; but these will be sufficient for our present purpose.

Illustration.

Let me give an illustration of the necessity of treating the conception of God in connection with that of the after-world. How comes it that in the second century B.C. the conception of the after-

3

world is mainly moral and retributive, whereas from the fourth century back to Moses' time it is nonmoral, being in fact a piece of pure Semitic heathenism. This change of conception is mainly due to monotheism, which, partially apprehended by the great prophets of the eighth century, and more fully by those of the sixth, was at last carried to its logical results. No part of the Universe created by God, religious men felt and religious men reasoned, could be withdrawn from His influence. Hence in due course the rejection of the heathen Semitic view of Sheol for one that was moral and retributive. Till, however, monotheism was the accepted belief of the nation, this transformation of Sheol was impossible.

Before I enter on the subject I may premise Old Testament that, though I have to deal so to speak with the explained as anatomy of Old Testament religious thought, I do a natural development. not pretend or hold it possible to explain it as a merely natural development. All true growth in religion, whether in the past or the present, springs from the communion of man with the immediate living God, wherein man learns the will of God. and becomes thereby an organ of God, a personalised conscience, a revealer of divine truth for men less inspired than himself. The truth thus revealed through a man possesses a divine authority for men. In the Old Testament we have a catena of such revelations. At the Exodus God took Israel, Semitic heathens as they were for the most part, and taught them in the measure of their

capacity: revealed Himself at the outset to them as their God, the God of their nation, and claimed Israel as His people. He did not then make Himself known as the Creator and Moral Ruler of the world, for in the childhood of Israel's religious history these ideas would have been impossible of comprehension. Yahwè was Israel's God, and Israel was the people of Yahwè. Yahwè was a righteous God, and required righteousness in His people. From this stage the divine education of Israel is carried forward, till in Jeremiah and the Second Isaiah God becomes known to Israel as the Sole Supreme all-loving Creator and God of all mankind.

In the following chapters our investigations will be guided by the results of Old Testament criticism. Since, however, some of these results are still provisional, the same provisional character will attach to some of our conclusions.

We shall throughout these studies revert to the original pronunciation of the divine name Yahwè. Owing to their dread of misusing this name (Exod. xx. 7; Lev. xxiv. 11) the Jews avoided pronouncing it with its legitimate vowels, and supplied its vocalisation from Adonai; or where this word had appeared immediately before, with the vocalisation of Elohim.

From an ignorance of these facts the false pronunciation Jehovah was introduced through a sixteenth-century scholar, Petrus Galatinus, in his work, *De Arcanis Catholicæ Veritatis*, 1518 (see

The divine name to be pronounced Yahwè, and not Jehovah. Marti, Gesch. d. Isr. Rel. p. 60). The true pronunciation is attested by Clement of Alexandria ('Iaové) and Theodoret ('Iaβé), not to speak of authorities of an earlier date. 1

CHAP. I.

I. Preprophetic Yahwism.—We shall make no I. Preprophetic attempt to trace the various stages through which Yahwism passed before it became monotheistic, but consider it broadly as divided into two periods. namely, (i.) Preprophetic Yahwism, from Moses to the eighth century; and (ii.) Prophetic Yahwism. Our attention will be mainly confined to the former, for the possibility of understanding Early Hebrew eschatology is conditioned by our prior comprehension of the limited scope of preprophetic Yahwism. From whatever source the worship of Yahwè<sup>2</sup> was ultimately derived, it was probably through Moses that Yahwe became the God of Israel, that is, the national God. Now a nation originates not merely through the increase and extension of the tribe, but through the federation of tribes descended from the same or from different ancestors, and worshipping independent tribal gods. Such a federation may arise from a common danger or from common interests. Should the community of interest and action thus established be of lasting duration, a

<sup>1</sup> See Ency. Bib. iii. 3320 sqq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Full information on the development of Yahwism from different standpoints will be found in Marti, Geschichte der israelitischen Religion, 1897; Kuenen, The Religion of Israel (translated from the Dutch); Montefiore, Lectures on the Origin and Growth of Religion, 1892; König, Die Hauptprobleme d. altisrael. Religionsgeschichte, 1884; Smend, Alttestamentliche Religionsgeschichte, 1893; Valeton in Chantepie de la Saussaye's Religionsgeschichte,2 i. 242-325, 1897. Davidson, Art. "God" in Hastings' Bible Dictionary, ii. 199-205.

nation is the result. The incorporation of different tribes into a nation is a sign that the tribal consciousness has vailed to the consciousness of the larger community, and that in this process the tribal gods have been forced into a subordinate relation to the new god of the nation.

This god had often no doubt been the god of the chief tribe in the confederacy. Wellhausen thinks that Yahwè was originally the God of the tribe of Joseph, on the ground that the ark was placed in the territory of Joseph; and that Joshua—the oldest historical name compounded with Yahwè—belonged to the tribe of Joseph. But there are overwhelming difficulties in the way of this theory. Into these, however, it does not concern us to enter here. The origin of Yahwism is still buried in mystery.

Was this divine name known before the time of Moses?

It is a moot question whether God was known under the name of Yahwè before the time of Moses. On the one side it has been argued by Smend (Alttestamentliche Religionsgesch. pp. 17, 18) and others that Moses would have appealed in vain to the tribes in Egypt if he had come to them in the name of a hitherto unknown god. This, moreover, was the view of the Yahwist (Gen. iv. 26, "Then began men to call on the name of Yahwè"). On the other hand, in the Elohist in Exod. iii. 11-14, and the Priests' Code in Exod. vi. 2, 3, it is stated that the name Yahwè was first revealed to Moses. The latter passage runs as follows: "And God spake unto Moses, and said unto him: I am Yahwè, and I appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto

Jacob as El Shaddai, but by my name Yahwè was I not known unto them." The latter view is strongly supported by the evidence of proper names. Thus the first proper name undoubtedly compounded with Yahwè is that of Joshua.1 But as this question does not closely affect our subject we may pass on to more important issues, only adding that the higher teaching of Moses must have had points of affinity with pre-existing beliefs within his people or tribe.

Whatever Yahwè may have been conceived Whatever the in His essential nature, whether as God of derivation of the like, this question Yahwism may have been, its the thunderstorm or fell early into the background, and all stress character in Israel was was laid upon the nature of His activities unique. within the nation. Hence the character of His religion is therefore not metaphysical and dogmatic, but ethical and experiential. The very name, moreover, being so indefinite in content and free from associations which could limit its development, presented a framework within which the unhampered growth of piety was possible. This fact is of especial importance for the development of monotheism. The ultimate derivation of Yahwism could thus affect only the external form: its true content and character within Israel were unique.

Moses, as we have above remarked, was the Faith in

I On the possible occurrence of the related forms Yahwe and Ya in Assyrio- motive force Babylonian or Canaanitish proper names, see Ency. Bib. vol. iii. 3332 n. in the found-Possibly it appears in Jochebed, Exod. vi. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Marti, Geschichte der israelitischen Religion, pp. 61, 62; Driver, nation. "Recent theories on the origin and nature of the Tetragrammaton," Stud. Bibl. 1.; Ency. Bib. vol. iii. 3323.

true founder of the nation and of Yahwism. Through his personal communion with God he reanimated an enslaved race that was perishing under Egyptian oppression. His certainty that the living God was his inspirer and stay was the impelling force in his action, and in this certainty he carried with him the bulk of the people. 'There was, however, no absolute break with the past, The traditions and spiritual limitations that Israel had in the past in common with their Semitic kindred reappear in the early forms of Yahwism. name Yahwè formed the point of departure, and the mainstay of the religious movement thus initiated. in which Israel became a nation. It was not Israel that had chosen Yahwè to be their God, but Yahwè that had chosen Israel to be His people, and revealed Himself to Moses as the living God. This faith was the motive force in the formation of the nation.

Religion and history of Israel interwoven from the beginning. As the national God, Yahwè was the invisible Head of the nation. As such, He inspired and controlled its action and shaped its destinies. Thus the religion and history of Israel were interwoven from the beginning, and the unfailing inspirations of the former so influenced the march of the latter that Israel's spiritual development is absolutely unique in the world: for despite frequent halts and retrogressions, its advance was steadily from strength to strength and truth unto truth, till at last it was consummated in the final revelation of the personality of the Christ.

That the first revelation of Yahwe's intervention on behalf of Israel is connected with the deliverance Yahwism a from Egypt is full of significance. His religion is religion of redemption. thus characterised from the outset as a religion of redemption: "I am Yahwè thy God, which brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage" (Exod. xx. 2). Herein Israel found the stay of its faith, the ground of its trust, and pledge of salvation in the dawn of evil days.

As the Head of the nation, Yahwè was pre-Yahwè as the eminently its Leader in battle and War-god. It is in Israel. War-god of this character that He mainly appears in the earlier days. His presence was nowhere felt so strongly and really as in the battlefield. He is, as the Hebrew poet declares, "A man of war" (Exod. xv. 3), and His people are called Israel, that is, "soldiers of God." 1 The first altar erected in His name by Moses is named "Yahwè nissi," that is, "Yahwè is my banner" (Exod. xvii. 15). Religious and national enthusiasm were in preprophetic times almost synonymous. Israel's enemies were Yahwè's enemies (1 Sam. xxx. 26); Israel's wars were the wars of Yahwe (Num. xxi. 14; 1 Sam. xviii. 17). He is the God of the armies of Israel (1 Sam. kvii. 26, 36, 45).

These considerations supply us with the original sense of the divine name "Yahwè of Hosts." That Yahwè of his meant at the outset the Lord of the hosts of Hosts. Israel is clear from 1 Sam. xvii. 45, where David

<sup>1</sup> So Gesenius, Ewald, Kautzsch. Dillmann and E. Meyer take it to nean "God contends," Buchanan Gray (op. cit. 218) "let God contend."

declares that he goes forth to meet Goliath "in the name of Yahwè of Hosts, the God of the armies of Israel." With the later significations of this phrase we have at present no concern.

Ark originally sanctuary of Yahwe the War-god.

The ark was originally regarded in Israel as the actual sanctuary of Yahwe the War-god.2 As such it was borne into the field and represented Yahwè's presence (1 Sam. iv. 3-11, v. 6; 2 Sam. vi. 1-12). In this connection we can apprehend the significance of the ancient prayers when the ark set forward and when it rested: "Rise up, Yahwè, and let thine enemies be scattered, and let them that hate thee flee before thee": and, "Return, O Yahwè, unto the thousands of the thousands of Israel" (Num. x. 35, 36). But it was not merely that the interests of Israel were Yahwe's interests: the interests of Yahwè were likewise those of Israel. Thus the tribes of Israel mustered to the help of Yahwè (Judg. v. 23): in His honour was the war cry raised, "A sword for Yahwe and for Gideon" (Judg. vii. 18, 20).

Yahwè the God of justice and purity. But Yahwè was not only the God of war: He was also the God of justice and right. On Israel's

1 In later times He was conceived not as the God of the hosts of Israel but of all powers, whether human, stellar, or angelic. This later development is due probably to Amos. See p. 88; Marti, Gesch. 139-141; Kautzsch, Ency. Bib. iii. 3328 sqq. Cheyne opposes this view in Ency. Bib. i. 300 sq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A later view of the ark as the receptacle for the two tables of stone is found in Deut. x. 1-3; Exod. xxv. 10-22. That the ark originally contained a stone, i.e. a Bethel or "house of God" (Gen. xxviii. 18, xxxv. 14), is generally accepted. This fact would point to the ark as a constituent of Semitic heathenism before its adoption into the service of Yahwism. In any case the ark lost its significance on the advent of monotheism. See Benzinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 367-370; Marti, Gesch. 67-69; Nowack, Hebräische Archäologie, ii. 3-7.

deliverance from Egypt naturally followed their internal organisation. This was carried out under the name of Yahwè, who was recognised as the central authority of justice. His sanctuary was the depository of law, and the priests were the interpreters of His will. The teaching or torah of the priests had at once a legal and a moral character. In the course of many centuries this teaching came to assume a stereotyped form in the written Law or Pentateuch. But besides being the God of justice, Yahwè was essentially the God of purity. Whilst the worship of other Semitic deities was characterised by various forms of icentiousness, none such was ever connected with the uncorrupted worship of Yahwè. Though conceived as a person, He had no other deity, and particularly no goddess beside or beneath Him. These important ethical elements in Yahwe's character, which required justice and purity in His people, lie at the base of primitive Yahwism, and contain the promise and potency of the later monoheism.

Having now recognised two of the chief char-Sovereignty of Yahwè originacteristics of Yahwè, namely His warlike and His ally conceived ethical character, in accordance with which He as conterminnoulded the outer and inner histories of Israel, we own land and people. nave next to touch on the views held by Israel egarding the gods of the neighbouring nations, which were in some degree applicable also to Yahwè. In these preprophetic times the actual existence of such independent deities outside Israel

was fully acknowledged. Each nation had its own god. Milcom was the god of Ammon, Ashtoreth of the Zidonians, and Chemosh of Moab (Num. xxi. 29; I Kings xi. 33; Jer. xlviii. 46). According to the beliefs of the time, it was these gods that had given their respective peoples their territories, just as Yahwè had given Canaan to Israel. Thus in Judg. xi. 24 Jephthah sends the following message to the Ammonites1: "Wilt not thou possess that which Chemosh thy god giveth thee to possess? So whomsoever Yahwè our God hath dispossessed before us, them will we possess." Not only was the power of the national deity conceived to be paramount within his own land, but all who were resident in his country were regarded as in duty bound to worship him. Thus David complains to Saul that he had been driven forth from his own land and forced to forsake the worship of Yahwè for the service of other gods (1 Sam. xxvi. 19): "If it be Yahwe that hath stirred thee up against me, let him accept an offering; but if it be the children of men, cursed be they before Yahwe; for they have driven me out this day, that I should have no share in the inheritance of Yahwè, saying, Go serve other gods." Thus the sovereignty and interest of the national deities were popularly held to be conterminous with the bounds of their own lands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is clearly a mistake in the text here; for Milcom and not Chemosh was the god of the Ammonites: see I Kings xi. 7, 33; 2 Kings xxiii. 13; Jer. xlviii. 7, 13, 46; Num. xxi. 29, and the Mesha Inscription, where Chemosh is always spoken of as the god of Moab.

Again, just as Israel explained its national reverses through the anger of Yahwè with His people, so likewise did Moab, as we learn from the Moabite Stone; for there the subjection of Moab to Israel is represented as due to the wrath of Chemosh with his people. When, however, this wrath was appeased, Chemosh restored to Moab its lost provinces through the agency of Mesha. Moabites no doubt regarded the might of their god as superior to that of Yahwè.1

We shall point out two further analogies between Certain un-Yahwè and the neighbouring Semitic deities. The ethical traits in the early conceptions of these is that certain unethical and unin-conceptions of Yahwè. telligible moods appear in Yahwè just as we might expect in a national god; for the national god is a personification of the genius of a people, the embodiment of its virtues and its vices on an heroic scale. Thus the anger of Yahwè is at times unintelligible. It was, for example, kindled against Uzzah to his destruction when he stepped forward to prevent the ark from falling at the threshingfloor of Nacon (2 Sam. vi. 6, 7), and likewise against the men of Beth Shemesh for gazing too curiously upon it (1 Sam. vi. 19). David can imagine that Saul's undeserved enmity may be due to the motiveless incitement of Yahwè (1 Sam. xxvi. 19), and the early historian in 2 Sam. xxiv. I finds no difficulty in attributing to Him an apparently unreasonable wrath; for he represents Yahwè as causing David

See the description of the Moabite Stone in Driver's Notes on the Hebrew Text of the Books of Samuel, pp. lxxxv.-xciv.

to number the people, and then as punishing the people for the sin which He had prompted. It is noteworthy that when the chronicler some centuries later was recounting the same event he assigns this action not to Yahwè but to Satan (1 Chron. xxi. 1): "And Satan stood up against Israel, and moved David to number Israel." Thus the anger of Yahwè in preprophetic times was not necessarily conceived as due to sin in Israel: it might spring from other causes. But this imperfect conception of the divine wrath is not recognised by the prophets. To them Yahwè's wrath is never unaccountable; for it is always ethically conditioned and kindled by the sin of the nation.

Interests of Yahwe and of Israel originally identified. The next analogy between the conception of Yahwè and that of the gods of the heathen nations is that as a national god His interests were absolutely identified with those of His nation. Though He might become temporally estranged, He could never forsake His people. To imagine such a possibility would have been the act not merely of a blasphemer but of a madman. This was the *popular* view in Israel in the eighth century, and even later.

Accordingly, the reverses that Israel sustained at the hands of the neighbouring nations were to the unthinking masses so many proofs that Yahwè had temporarily forsaken His land, but to the prophetic vision they were the discipline wherewith Yahwè was educating His people. In the case of a purely heathen religion outward disasters involved

the people and their god in the same humiliation, and ultimately in the same destruction, but in the very catastrophes that proved fatal to the gods of the heathen Yahwè vindicated His true sovereignty over the earth.

CHAP. I.

We have now considered the chief defects that These limitaclung to the conception of Yahwe in preprophetic tions and defects are times. These shortcomings mark nearly all the heathen survivals in period when Israel was passing from a monolatrous the domain of Yahwism, and to a true monotheistic belief. They are clearly to as such were be regarded as heathen survivals in the domain of destroyed by Yahwism, that is, in the people's conception of the prophets. Yahwè. They form the ground of Yahwè's great controversy with Israel. In this controversy Yahwè manifests in ever clearer form His will and purpose, which are directed to the spiritual enfranchisement of His people. While the heathen gods always remained on the same moral level as their worshippers, and so were powerless to deepen and develop character, it was otherwise in Israel. To serve Yahwè aright involved spiritual effort and personal sacrifice, and consequently led to growth in righteousness.

The people had hardly attained a certain religious Essential level when the messengers of Yahwè urged them superiority of Yahwism conon to loftier heights in life and thought than their righteous present achievement. Thus one by one the false character of Yahwe. views attaching to Yahwè in Israel were in the course of its divine education expelled. Hence we conclude that the essential superiority of Yahwism to the neighbouring Semitic religions lay not in its moral code, in which indeed it was unquestionably

superior, but in the righteous character of Yahwè which was progressively revealed to His servants.

We have now touched on some of the leading characteristics of Yahwism in its first stage, when it was monolatrous, that is, when it claimed to be the true religion of Israel: "Thou shalt have none other gods but Me." We have now to touch briefly on the next stage, when it is monotheistic, and its teaching then is: "There are no other Gods but Me."

11. Monotheistic Yahwism, from 800 B.C. onwards.

II. Monotheistic Period of Yahwism. - This development appears as already achieved in the eighth- and seventh - century prophets. prophets were not founders of religion, but reformers in a true sense. For true reform, whilst returning to earlier beliefs, is yet also progressive. Thus the prophets went back to the old essentially Mosaic thought, that the bond existing between Yahwè and Israel had been the result of a free act of the former, attested by their deliverance from Egypt. Through Canaanitish influence, however, this bond had come to be regarded popularly by Israel as a natural one in accordance with which the god and his people mutually possessed each other, and could not exist in isolation. But the prophets teach that Israel's relation to Yahwè is ethically conditioned. Israel had been chosen in order to carry out the moral purposes of Yahwe. If Israel is faithless therein, its nearness to Yahwè must entail a proportionately severer punishment. Should their disobedience prove irremediable, then Yahwe must destroy the nation, for righteousness is the measure of all

Israel's relation to Yahwè ethically conditioned.

things, and even the world-empires subserve its decrees. As Yahwè's agent, Assyria will destroy Israel.

CHAP, I.

Although the preachers of the destruction of the nation of Israel, the prophets became the saviours of its religion. Through their living communion with God, they made it known, in terms that could never be forgotten, that Yahwè pursued His own righteous purposes independently of Israel. Thus it was that Yahwism did not perish with the nation. and that true religion survived the destruction of Individualisathe state. In the religion thus enfranchised from by the national limitations, the individual becomes the prophets. religious unit, and is brought into immediate communion with God. Thus the way is prepared for the coming of Christianity.

From the period of the Exile onwards there are two parallel developments of monotheism. In the Parallel but truer and nobler development, as it appears in developments Jeremiah and his spiritual successors, monotheism of monotheism from the Exile is a living doctrine which shapes the teachings of its onwards. adherents on the religious duties and destinies not only of Israel but also of the nations. In the parallel development initiated by Ezekiel, monotheism is a living and fruitful doctrine for Israel, but not for the nations. From the legitimate scope of its blessings they are absolutely excluded. So far as they are concerned, it has become a lifeless dogma. Such a false conception of Yahwe's relation to the nations in due time reacted on Judaistic monotheism, and explains in large measure its subsequent barrenness.

In studying a great religion the inquirer seeks

CHAP. I.

In universal religions an organic connection should exist between their theology and their eschatology.

This connection holds with regard to the eschatology of the nation, but not of the individual in Israel.

Yahwism had no eschatology till after the Exile.

The explanation of this defect. naturally to trace an organic connection between its central conceptions and the most remote portions of its system. He expects to find a certain degree of logical coherence existing between all its parts. And in his expectations he is not disappointed when dealing with such religions as Christianity, Mohammedanism, or Buddhism, for in these the eschatology, or the teaching on the final condition of man and of the world, follows in the main from the fundamental doctrines of these religions. But the student must not approach the early religion of Israel with such an expectation; for though an organic connection exists between its theology and the eschatology of the nation as a whole, this connection does not extend to the eschatology of the individual Israelite. The eschatology of the individual in early Israel is not only wholly independent of Yahwism, but it actually stands in implicit antagonism to it, an antagonism which becomes explicit and irreconcilable in the subsequent developments of Yahwism, and which results in the final triumph of the latter. At the close of this conflict Yahwism will be found to have developed an eschatology of the individual more or less consistent with its own essential conceptions. it is only in respect of the nation that Yahwism can be said to have possessed a definite eschatology till long after the return from Exile.

The explanation of this defect in the early religion of Israel is not far to seek. The sphere of that religion was, like the sway of Yahwè, confined, as we have found above, to this world, and indeed to a small portion of it. The dominion of Yahwè being so circumscribed had no concern whatever with any future existence of man, and hence it possessed no eschatology of the individual. Accordingly we must look elsewhere for that eschatology.

eschatology of

CHAP. I.

We shall deal with Old Testament eschatology Old Testament under three heads — (i.) The eschatology of the the individual individual; (ii.) The eschatology of the nation, i.e. and of the nation, and Israel; (iii.) The synthesis of these two eschato-their ultimate synthesis. logies in the fourth century B.C.

dividual in derived from heathen from Ancestor

ESCHATOLOGY OF THE INDIVIDUAL.—The ideas Primitive that prevailed in pre-Mosaic times regarding the of the infuture life, and that were indeed current in some Israel is degree down to the second century B.C., were in many respects common to Israel and other Semitic nations. sources, i.e. These were naturally not the outcome of revelation, Worship. but were mere survivals of Semitic heathenism. According to Robertson Smith, Stade, Schwally, Duhm, Budde, Marti, Lods, and other scholars, they belong to what is known as Ancestor Worship. Prior to the legislation of Moses this phase of religion dominated to a great degree the life of the Israelite. But Yahwism from the first was implicitly engaged with it in irreconcilable strife. For several centuries, however, many of the tenets and usages of this worship were left unaffected by Yahwism: for, as we have already seen, early Yahwism had no eschatology of the individual, and concerned

<sup>1</sup> As Israel in the course of history necessarily enters into relations with Sentile powers, the final destinies of the latter are naturally dealt with by the prophetic writers.

itself only with the existence of the nation. Thus the individual was left to his hereditary heathen beliefs, and these can be best interpreted as part and parcel of Ancestor Worship.<sup>1</sup>

Ancestor Worship.

According to this belief the dead were not regarded as dead, but as in a certain sense living and sharing in all the vicissitudes of their posterity, and as possessing superhuman powers to benefit or injure. With a view to propitiate these powers the living offered sacrifices. By these sacrifices the vitality of the dead was preserved, and their honour in the next world upheld. A man made sacrifices naturally to his own ancestors:2 the departed ancestors and their living descendants formed one family. We shall now give some of the evidence for the existence of such beliefs in Israel, under the three following heads-I. The ancestors or their images, the household gods, namely the teraphim, were honoured with sacrifices, and the right of offering these sacrifices was restricted to a son of the departed. II. The primitive mourning usages in Israel are part and parcel of Ancestor Worship.

Evidence for existence of Ancestor Worship in Israel.

I Cf. Schwally, Das Leben nach dem Tode, chap. i. "Der alte Glaube," pp. 5-74, and Stade, Gesch. i. 387-427, to whom the present writer is immeasurably indebted on this subject; Robertson Smith, Rel. Sem. 2, 1894; Lods, La Croyance à la vie future et le culte des morts dans l'antiq. Isr. (1906), 2 vols., a very full statement of the evidence. Hastings' Ency. of Religion, i. 444-50 (Art. by G. Margoliouth). Marti, Gesch. der israel. Religion, 22-26, 40-43, 47-49, 193; Budde on Judg. xi. 37, xvii. 5; Holzinger on Gen. xxxi., xxxv. 8-14, xxxvii. 29-34, xxxviii. 30, and appendix on p. 269; Duhm on Jer. xxxi. 15; Wildeboer on Eccl. xii. 7; Nowack on Hos. iii. 4. This view has been recently attacked by Frey, Tod, Seelenglaube und Seelenkult im alten Israel, 1898, but on the whole unsuccessfully. His contention is that, whereas a Seelenglaube existed in Israel, it is not true that this Seelenglaube was ever developed into a Seelenkult. A similar line is taken by Grüneisen in his Der Ahnenkultus, 1900; and by Kautzsch (Hastings' D.B., v. 614-615).

III. The beliefs regarding the departed are essential constituents of the same cult.

CHAP. I.

- I. Our first thesis, that the ancestors or their images, the teraphim, were honoured with sacrifices performed by a son of the departed, can best be treated under the following heads—(i.) The teraphim or images of the ancestors were the object of family worship; (ii.) To these certain sacrifices were offered; (iii.) The right of offering such sacrifices was limited to a son of the departed, that is, a son of his own body begotten or adopted; (iv.) But since a man might die without male offspring of his own or adopted, the necessities of Ancestor Worship gave birth to the levirate law, in accordance with which it became the duty of a surviving brother to marry the childless widow of the deceased in order to raise up a male offspring to his brother for the performance of the sacrificial usages due to his deceased brother; (v.) The family formed a sacramentally united corporation.
- (i.) First, then, as to the teraphim. The tera-Teraphim, or phim mentioned in Gen. xxxv. 4 were clearly gods. household gods, possibly Their sacred character is recognised by their burial images of the ancestors, under a sacred tree, the terebinth. They could be buried but not profaned, else such profanation might provoke the powers they represented. In the above passage they are called "strange gods," and their

<sup>1</sup> Stade (Gesch. i. 467) and Schwally's (Leben nach dem Tode, 35 sqq.) contention that these teraphim are images of departed ancestors is practically accepted by Budde on Judg. xvii. 5; Holzinger on Gen. xxxi. 17; Nowack on Hos. iii. 4, and in his Hebräische Archäologie, ii. 23; but disputed by Frey, 102-112; Gruneisen, op. cit. 191 sqq. But see the question fully discussed in Lods, op. cit. i, 231-236.

worship is regarded as incompatible with that of Yahwè. An earlier mention of these is found in Gen. xxxi. 19, 30-35, where Rachel steals her father's teraphim. Further, they were household Thus from 1 Sam. xix. 13, 16 it follows that they had a human form, and also that they formed part of the usual equipment of a well-to-do family-observe "the teraphim." In the next place they are most probably, with Stade and Schwally, to be identified with images of ancestors; for they were consulted as oracles: thus they are enumerated with the 'oboth and yidde'onim in 2 Kings xxiii. 24. In Exod. xxi. 2-6 we have a passage attesting the worship of these gods. According to this section there was a god close to the door in private houses to which the slave who desired enrolment in his master's family had to be brought: "Then his master shall bring him unto the god, and shall bring him to the door and to the doorpost, and his master shall bore his ear through with an awl; and he shall serve him for ever." This was originally admission to the family cult, with all its obligations and privileges. It is quite wrong to take this door to be that of the temple with the older interpreters; for this sacrificial action which made the slave a member of his master's family would have been meaningless unless the door, on which his ear was pierced by the awl, was that of his master's house.1 As regards the use of the word

<sup>1</sup> So Schwally (37 sqq.), who rightly rejects the older view which taken האלהים to mean the judges (so Revised Version in margin). Frey, 104-110 disagrees with both these interpretations, but his own, that הגיש אל אלהים means no more than to take an oath, seems clearly to be impossible. His words are

"elohim," or god, here, we should remember that the dead when invoked were termed elohim (see 1 Sam. xxviii. 13). In Deut. xv. 12-18 this heathen ceremony is robbed of all its primitive religious significance by the omission of the term "god," and given a wholly secular character. Later these but later teraphim were regarded as images of Yahwè (cf. Judg. xvii. 5 and xviii. 17 sqq.; see also 1 Sam. xix. 13-16); for we can hardly regard it as possible that David, the champion of the religion of Yahwè. would have worshipped the teraphim in their original character as household gods. In Hos. iii. 4 and Zech. x. 2 they may retain their original character as images of ancestors, or, as images of Yahwè, they may have been used like the Ephod in consulting the Deity. They are represented in Ezek. xxi. 26 (ver. 21 in E.V.) as being consulted by Nebuchadnezzar. Thus this cult of household gods (Dillmann, Alttest. Theologie, 90, 98) was firmly established in the family of Jacob before it went

CHAP. I.

(ii.) Sacrifices were offered to the dead .- The Sacrifices object of these sacrifices is clear from Deut. xxvi. dead.

of the Monarchy.

down into Egypt, and must have been observed by Israel during its entire stay in Egypt, seeing that it flourished among the people after their settlement in Canaan, and prevailed down to the latest period

(p. 109): "Liegt nichts im Wege, die Bedeutung der Handlung nur in dem Heften des Ohres an den Thürpfosten zu sehen, während das Bringen אל-חאלהים, wodurch der Handlung nur ein eidlicher Character ausgeprägt wird, bei Wiederholung dieser Verordnung, weil nicht konstitutives Merkmal, unbe-schadet wegfallen konnte." The omission referred to in the concluding words is found in Deut. xv. 12-18.

14: "I have not eaten thereof in my mourning, neither have I put away thereof, being unclean, nor given thereof for the dead"; Jer. xvi. 7 (?). They are probably implied in Is. viii. 19, xix. 3; for when a man wished to consult the dead, he would naturally present an offering. They are referred to in Ezek. xxiv. 17: "Make no mourning for the dead, bind thy headtire upon thee, and put thy shoes upon thy feet, and cover not thy lips, and eat not the bread of mourning." See also xxiv. 22; 2 Chron. xvi. 14 (see Schwally, 16, 24, 48), xxi. 19. The object of these sacrifices was to give sustenance to the dead and to win their favour. In later times this object was lost sight of, and these sacrifices came to be regarded as mere funeral feasts. But this does not seem to

1 I have here followed Bertholet and Toy in emending אמנים into אונים. Thus, instead of the senseless "bread of men" we obtain "the bread of mourning" (cf. Hos. ix. 4). This verse refers to four of the mourning usages: uncovering the head in order to strew it with ashes, putting off the shoes, covering the beard, and eating the bread of the offering to the dead (see pp. 28-30).

<sup>2</sup> See Schwally, 21-25; Stade, Gesch. i. 389, 390; Nowack, Arch. i. 192-198; Wellhausen, Isr. v. jüd. Gesch.<sup>3</sup> 100, 101, 1899; Benzinger, Hebräische Archäologie, 164-167; Lods, op. cit. i. 160-174; ii. 83-85; Hastings' Ency. i. 446. Just as in the old Semitic, so in the Greek religion, libations were made to gain the favour of the departed (cf. Euripides, Or. 119, 789; El. 676-683; Herc. Fur. 491-494; Sophocles, El. 454. See Rohde, Psyche,<sup>2</sup> i. 242, 243; ii. 250). But the value of these is questioned in Eur. Troad. 1248-1250, where Hector declares that rich offerings on the grave are of no service to the dead, but only minister to the vanity of the living.

δοκῶ δὲ τοῖς θανοῦσι διαφέρειν βραχύ, εἰ πλουσίων τις τεύξεται κτερισμάτων· κενὸν δὲ γαύρωμ' ἐστὶ τῶν ζώντων τόδε.

These lines represent the real view of Euripides. But still more important than these Greek analogies are the similar usages which prevailed in Babylonia. The close affinities existing between the early Hebrew and the Babylonian views of the departed are beyond the reach of questioning (see pp. 23, 24, 34, 39-41). The burial couch was filled with various kinds of spices, which were of the nature of offerings (cf. 2 Chron. xvi. 14). Offerings of food

have come about even in the second century B.C. Sacrifices to the dead appear to be commended in Sir. vii. 33, "From a dead man keep not back grace";1 Tob. iv. 17, "Pour out thy bread on the tomb of the just"; but derided in Sir. xxx. 18, 19; Ep. Jer. 31, 32; Wisdom xiv. 15, xix. 3; Or. Sibyl. viii. 382-384. They are referred to in Jubilees xxii. 17 as prevailing among the Gentiles.

(iii.) The right of offering such sacrifices was Right of limited to a son of the departed.—Ancestor Worship offering such sacrifices enables us to explain the importance of male offspring.2 The honour and wellbeing of the dead depended on the worship and sacrifices offered by their male descendants. According to this belief, even in the after-life men could be punished by Yahwè through the destruction of their posterity

and water were presented to the departed, not only at the time of burial, but afterwards at certain seasons by their surviving relatives. The comfort of the departed depended on their reception of the proper burial rites and offerings. If they were deprived of the rites of burial, their shades were forced to wander restlessly. Any mutilation of the dead body affected the departed shade. Furthermore, if after burial the body were disinterred, no food could be offered or sacrifice tendered to the shade. In such a case not only the disentombed shade suffered, but also the survivors; for the shade assumed the form of a demon and afflicted the living. The shades, moreover, possessed great power. They could direct the affairs of the living. To gain their favour offerings and prayers were made to them. They were consulted regarding the future. Hence their abode is at times called Shuâlu, or "the place of oracles" (so Jastrow, p. 561, who denies Jeremias' explanation as "the place of decision," p. 559). At times also they are said to dwell in Ekur, where likewise the gods were supposed to dwell. Thus the departed were brought into close association with the gods. Indeed, certain of the dead received the honour of deification. In Israel, it is true, the departed had no association with any gods. They were, however, themselves addressed as gods by those who consulted them (see pp. 23, 40). See Jeremias, Bab-Assyr. Vorstellungen vom Leben nach dem Tode, pp. 53-58; Jastrow, Religion of Babylonia and Assyria, 511, 512, 568, 582, 598, 599.

1 έπι νεκρφ μη αποκωλύσης χάριν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Besides Schwally and Stade, see Benzinger, Arch. 354 sqq.; Nowack, Arch. i. 348 sqq.

(Exod. xx. 5; xxxiv. 7; Num. xiv. 18; Deut. v. 9), for with the destruction of the latter, sacrifices ceased to be made to the former. On the same principle a man destroyed his enemy and all his sons originally with the object of depriving him of respect and worship in the lower world. We have already remarked that sacrifices could be offered only by the son. But as not unfrequently a man might fail to have male offspring, the difficulty was surmounted by adoption. By such adoption a man passed from his own family or clan to that of the father who adopted him, and thereby took upon himself all the obligations attaching to the latter. Even a slave could be so adopted. Thus in Gen. xv. 2, 3 Eliezer is regarded as Abraham's heir in default of male issue. It is to be presumed, with Stade (Gesch. Isr. i. 391) and Holzinger (on Gen. xv. 2), that he had already been adopted into the family cult. Thus the right of inheritance is derived in principle from Ancestor Worship.1 Only the son

<sup>1</sup> The duty of the avenging of hlood may he traced originally to the worship of ancestors. This ohligation was in Greek religion limited to a body of relations of three generations (an  $4\gamma\chi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon(a)$ ), who in the male line had the same man for father, grandfather, and great-grandfather. Now this was the very body to whom the right of succession belonged. With succession to an inheritance the obligation of the avenging of hlood was at the same time undertaken. In the earliest times the soul of the departed could be appeased only by the hlood of the murderer; hut suhsequently, even hefore the Homeric era, when the worship of ancestors had retired largely into the background, the custom arose of taking compensation or hlood-money. In this case the matter is a transaction wholly between the living, and no account is taken of the dead. In the centuries immediately subsequent to Hesiod, when there was a great revival of the worship of the dead, the nearest relative was obliged to avenge the dead. Being a member of an organised community, he was not allowed to do so with his own hand, but could proceed against the slayer hefore a court of justice, as the State refused to allow a money ransom. If the relative in question failed in this duty through

CHAP. L

or heir could fulfil its rites. Illegitimate sons could not inherit (Stade, Gesch. Isr. i. 391), because their mother had not been admitted by marriage into the cult (cf. Judg. xi. 2). In Num. xxxvi. we see that the law regulating inheritance has already undergone a change. Thus a daughter is there allowed to inherit on condition she married a man belonging to the same family or tribe as her father. In Athens, on the other hand, the property descended to the next heir male, but he was obliged to marry the daughter of the deceased. Thus from the above facts it appears that the living and the dead formed one family, and the departed participated in the vicissitudes of their living descendants. Rachel in her grave shared in the troubles of her children in northern Israel (Jer. xxxi. 15, "A voice is heard in Ramah, lamentation and bitter weeping, Rachel weeping for her children: she refuseth to be comforted for her children; because they are not").

(iv.) But the necessity of a son for the perform- Hence is to be ance of Ancestor Worship gave birth, where explained the levirate law. there was no such offspring, to the levirate law.1

negligence, the soul of the slain visited with its wrath its faithless avenger: for such a soul had no rest until its wrongs were avenged. This was the general belief at Athens down to the tenth century (see Rohde, Psyche, 260 sqq.) This stage of ransom was already reached by Israel long before the Monarchy. The legislation of the Priests' Code is directed against this custom of compensation (see Num. xxxv. 31, 32). In Israel the homicide was clearly distinguished from the murderer; but no such distinction existed in Greece in Homeric times; but at a later period, when the community took into its own hands the right of the avenger, this distinction was carefully

1 This custom (according to Stade, Gesch. Isr. i. 394) still prevails among the Indians, Persians, Afghans, Circassians, and Gallas, amongst whom Ancestor Worship exists. See also Schwally, 28 sqq.; Marti, 3 48, 49; Nowack, Arch. i. 343 sqq.; Benzinger, Arch. 134, 136, 345, 346; Lods,

op. cit. ii. 71-81.

According to this law, it was the duty of surviving brothers to marry the childless widow of their deceased brother, or where there was no brother, the duty fell on the nearest male relation of the deceased. The firstborn son of such a marriage was registered as the son of the deceased. Thus the deceased was secured the respect and sacrifices due to him. These could be rendered only by a son legitimately begotten or adopted. This law appears to have been in force in Gen. xxxviii. 26, but its significance is forgotten in Deut. xxv. 5-10. Tamar fulfilled according to old Israelitish views a duty of piety towards her dead husband (Stade, i. 394) and similarly Ruth. The daughters of Lot may have had the same end in view.

Family was a sacramentally united corporation. (v.) The family formed a sacramentally united corporation, within which the above rites were celebrated. The father of the family was its priest. This title was afterwards actually transferred to the priest (Judg. xvii. 10, xviii. 19). Even in historical times the family preserved its special festivals (i Sam. xx. 29). These undoubtedly point back to the family cult.

II. Mourning usages originally derived from Ancestor Worship.

II. THE PRIMITIVE MOURNING USAGES IN ISRAEL WERE PART AND PARCEL OF ANCESTOR WORSHIP.<sup>1</sup> Such usages had originally a religious significance, and not merely a psychological, as they came subsequently to possess. They indicate reverence for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schwally, Das Leben nach dem Tode, pp. 9-16; Stade, Gesch. d. Volks Israel, i. 387 sqq.; Benzinger, Arch. 102, 165-167; Nowack, Arch. i. 192-198; Lods, op. cit. i. 88-160.

dead, and the confession of dependence upon them. Thus (1) the mourner girt himself with sackcloth (2 Sam. iii. 31; 1 Kings xx. 31; Is. iii. 24, xv. 3, xxii. 12; Jer. vi. 26), or laid it on his loins (Gen. xxxvii. 34; Jer. xlviii. 37). This practice expresses submission to a superior. Thus the servants of Benhadad go forth in sackcloth from Aphek to Ahab (1 Kings xx. 31, 32). (2) The mourner put off his shoes (2 Sam. xv. 30; Ezek. xxiv. 17). The removal of the shoes was required in approaching holy places (Exod. iii. 5, 6; Jos. v. 15). This explains its connection with the dead. (3) Mourners cut off their hair (Is. xxii. 12; Jer. vii. 29; Am. viii. 10; Mic. i. 16; Ezek. vii. 18, xxvii. 31) and beards (Jer. xli. 5), or both (Is. xv. 2; Jer. xlviii. 37); and made baldnesses between the eyes (Deut. xiv. 1, 2). The hair so cut off was designed as an offering for the dead (Robertson Smith, Rel. Sem. 2323-336). These rites are condemned as idolatrous in the latter half of the seventh century in Deut. xiv. 1, 2; for they are forbidden on the ground "for thou art a holy people to the Lord thy God" (cf. Deut. xviii. 11, 12; Lev. xix. 27, 28). Yet these rites are mentioned by the prophets of the eighth century without any consciousness of their impropriety (cf. Am. viii. 10, "I will bring up sackcloth upon all loins, and baldness upon every head"; Mic. i. 16, "Make thee bald, and poll thee for the children of thy delight"; see also Is. xv. 2, xxii. 12). They appear still to have been the universal custom (Jer. xli. 5). At this period their original significance may have largely

been forgotten. (4) Mourners made cuttings in their flesh for the dead. Such incisions were regarded as "making an enduring covenant with the dead" (Rob. Smith, Rel. Sem. 322, 323). Like incisions were made by the priests of Baal (1 Kings xviii, 28). These rites were forbidden by the Hebrew law in Deut. xiv. 1; Lev. xix. 28, on the same grounds as (3). (5) The covering of the head by the mourner (2 Sam. xv. 30; Esth. vi. 12; Jer. xiv. 3) is probably, with Schwally, to be regarded as a substitute for cutting off the hair, and similarly the covering of the beard for its removal (Ezek. xxiv. 17). This practice on the part of the mourner expresses his reverence for the dead. The same custom was observed by the worshipper in approaching God. Thus Elijah covered his head in the presence of God on Horeb, and such is the universal usage in the synagogue and mosque at the present day.

We have now considered the household gods of Ancient Israel, the sacrifices that were offered to them, that is, to the departed whom they represented, and the restriction of the right of offering such sacrifices to the eldest son, or to the son through a levirate marriage, or the nearest male heir. We have also touched on the mourning usages. All these are essential parts of Ancestor Worship, and for the most part regulate the conduct of the living in their approach to the dead. We have now to consider the beliefs that prevailed regarding the dead themselves, i.e. their place of abode, and the nature

of their existence there. These beliefs regarding the dead are essential constituents of Ancestor Heathen be-Worship no less surely than those we have already liefs regarding the dead surconsidered. They had, moreover, a much more extended lease of life; for, long after the other constituents of this worship had become unintelligible, or sunk into complete desuetude, these still flourished in the high places of Judaism, and claimed the adherence of no small portion of the priesthood down to the destruction of the temple by Titus.

vive till 70 A.D.

III. BELIEFS REGARDING THE DEAD.—We shall III. Beliefs consider these under the heads of—(i.) Burial; (ii.) regarding the dead. The family grave; (iii.) Sheol; (iv.) The dead, or the inhabitants of Sheol.

(i.) Burial was regarded as indispensable to the (i.) Burial. comfort of the departed, just as in the religions of Greece and Rome. It was hardly ever withheld in Israel. Criminals who were hanged (Deut. xxi.22,23) or stoned (Jos. vii. 24-26), and suicides (Joseph. Bell. *Jud.* III. viii. 5), were accorded burial, and even the most hostile of the national foes of Israel (Ezek. xxxix. 12). One of the most grievous calamities that could befall a man was loss of burial. This is the sentence pronounced on Jezebel (2 Kings ix. 10). It was the fate that awaited the enemies of Yahwè (Jer. xxv. 331). This horror at the thought

<sup>1</sup> Even the materialistic writer of Eccl. vi. 3 regards such a misfortune as outweighing a whole lifetime of material blessings on earth. But the context is against this reference to the loss of burial, and so we must either strike out the entire phrase "and moreover he have no burial," with Hitzig, or else the negative, with Wildeboer.

of being unburied cannot be explained as in the Greek and Roman religions, where it involved the penalty of non-admission to Hades; for according to Hebrew views all without exception descended into Sheol. It may be explained on two grounds: (1) In earlier times no sacrifices could be offered to the dead unless they had received burial. Sacrifices were offered at the grave; for the grave was in some measure the temple in Ancestor Worship. (2) The soul was conceived as connected with the body even after death. Hence every outrage to the dead body was also an outrage to the departed soul. This view appears as late as Job's time (see xiv. 22).

(ii.) The family grave.

(ii.) The family grave.—Not burial only but burial in the family grave was the desire of every Israelite. Hence the frequent mention that a man was gathered to his fathers (Gen. xv. 15; Judg. ii. 10), or his people (Gen. xlix. 29-33; Num. xxvii. 13). The object of burial not merely in a grave but in the family grave was clearly to introduce the departed into the society of his ancestors. In the earliest times this society was conceived to exist either in the family grave or in its immediate neighbourhood. Every one wished to be buried with his father and mother (2 Sam. xvii. 23, xix. 38). The deprivation of such a burial was an act of condemnation (1 Kings xiii. 22), and entailed lasting dishonour (Ezek. xxviii. 10, xxxii. 21). Jacob and Joseph direct that their bodies should be carried back to Canaan to be buried in the family grave (Gen. xlvii.

CHAP. 1

33

30, l. 25; Exod. xiii. 19). The family grave was originally in the house. Thus Samuel was buried in his own house (I Sam. xxv. I), and Joab (I Kings, ii. 34). But as no family stood in isolation, but was closely united with others, and as these together made up the clan or tribe, and the tribes in due time were consolidated into the nation, a new conception arose. According to this all the graves of the tribe or nation were regarded as united in one; and to this new conception the designation Sheol was given. How early this new conception arose we have no means at present of determining.

(iii.) Sheol.—We have just seen that in all probability Sheol was originally conceived as a combination of the graves of the clan or nation, and as thus
its final abode. In due course this conception was
naturally extended till it embraced the departed of
all nations, and thus became the final abode of all
mankind, good and bad alike. It has already
reached this stage in Ezek. xxxii.; Is. xiv.; Job xxx.
23 ("the house appointed for all living"); Eccl.
xii. 5 ("his eternal house"). Strictly regarded,
the conceptions of the abode of the dead in the
grave and in Sheol are mutually exclusive. But
being popular notions they do not admit of
scientific definition, and their characteristics are

<sup>1</sup> The same usage is said to have prevailed in Ancient Greece (see Rohde, Psyche,² i. 228), and in Babylonia (see Jastrow's Rel. of Babylon, 599).

2 Certain kings of Judah (2 Kings xxi. 18, 26) were buried close to the temple. Seeing that graves had originally a sacred character, such sites as human dwellings and the immediate neighbourhood of the temple were natural. But in later times just because of their old association with Ancestor Worship they were declared to be unclean (Ezek. xliii. 7; Num. xix. 16), and were marked with white (cf. Matt. xxiii. 27, τάφοις κεκονιαμένοις) in order to guard wayfarers from impurity through contact with them.

treated at times as interchangeable (cf. Is. xiv. 11, "Thy pomp is brought down to Sheol . . . the worm is spread over thee, and the worms cover thee"). The family grave, with its associations of Ancestor Worship, is of course the older conception. But the conception of Sheol goes back to the period when the Hebrew clans lived in the Valley of the Euphrates, and shared this and other beliefs with the Babylonians of that time. Just as a man required burial in the family grave in order to join the circle of his ancestors, so honourable burial was a precondition to an honourable place in Sheol, i.e. to union with his people there. Otherwise they are

Babylonian religion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The same confusion is to be found frequently in the Greek religion. See Rohde, *Psyche*, i. 257 note; ii. 240 note, 366 note, 381 note, 384 note. Rohde is of opinion that the cult of the dead can have no legitimate relation to the soul that has once entered Hades (i. 257 note), though such a relation is often assumed in Greek religion. The same confusion appears also in the

That the Hebrew and Babylonian conceptions of Sheol are ultimately from the same source is clear if we compare them together. Thus Aralû, the Babylonian Sheol, is a mighty palace situated under the earth, in the depths of the mountain Aralû (cf. Jonah ii. 6). It is approached by the great ocean into which the sun dips at evening. Hence it appears to be in the west, and in this respect differs from the Hebrew Sheol. It is without light, surrounded by seven walls, and provided with gates and bars. It is covered with dust and filth. The food of its inhabitants is dust, unless offerings of food are received from the living. There is no distinction made between good and bad. They are withdrawn from the control of the gods of the upper world just as the inhabitants of Sheol were supposed to be removed from the jurisdiction of Yahwè. But Aralû, unlike Sheol, had its own gods, Nergal and Allatu. The departed cannot enter Aralû unless they have received hurial. In this respect also the Hebrew view differs. It is probable also that in the Babylonian Shuâlu we have the same word as the Hebrew Sheol (so Delitzsch, Jeremias, Jastrow. Jensen, however, doubts the existence of this word in Babylonian; Zimmern regards the question as still open). The inhabitants of Aralû are naked (cf. Job i. 21). But the more usual Hebrew view was that the departed wore in shadowy guise the customary attire of earth (cf. Ezek. xxxii.; Is. xiv.) See especially Jeremias (Bab.-assyr. Vorstellungen vom Leben nach dem Tode, pp. 106-126) on the relations existing between Babylonian and Old Testament views of the after-life.

thrust into the lowest and most outlying parts of the pit (Ezek. xxxii. 23). Sheol is said to have different divisions or chambers, חדרי מוח (Prov. vii. 27). It is provided with gates (Ps. ix. 14, cvii. 18; Job xxxviii. 17: Is. xxxviii. 10). These are secured with bars (Job xvii. 16). It is the land of disorder (Job x. 22), and of dust (Dan. xii. 2; Job vii. 21, xvii. 16). As regards its position, Sheol was supposed to be situated in the lowest parts of the earth (Ps. lxiii. 9, lxxxvi. 13; Ezek. xxvi. 20, xxxi. 14, xxxii. 18, 24), below the sea (Job xxvi. 5), yet above the subterranean waters (Ps. lxxi. 20). It is likewise known as "the pit," בור (Ezek. xxvi. 20, xxxi. 14, 16, xxxii. 18, 24, 25, 29, 30; Lam. iii. 53, 55; Is. xiv. 15, 19; Prov. i. 12, xxviii. 17; Ps. xxviii. 1, xxx. 3, lxxxviii. 4, cxliii. 7), or מָּחָת (Is. xxxviii. 17, li. 14; Ezek. xxviii. 8; Job xvii. 14, xxxiii. 18, 22, 24, 28, 30). So situated, Sheol is naturally without light. It is "the land of darkness," of thick darkness as darkness itself, "where the light is as darkness" (Job x. 21, 22).

In the next section we shall deal at some length Two characwith the condition of the dead in Sheol. It will be sheol. sufficient here to point out two of its main characteristics — (a) Sheol was in early times quite independent of Yahwè, and outside the sphere of His rule. For, as we have seen, Yahwè was originally but the God of the tribe or nation, and His sway for many centuries after Moses was conceived to extend not to the whole upper world, much less to the lower, i.e. Sheol, but only to His

own people and His own land. Sheol preserved its independence undiminished in many respects down to the fourth century. The persistence of this heathen conception of Sheol 1 side by side with the monotheistic conception of Yahwè as Creator and Ruler of the world for several centuries is hard for the Western mind to understand; for the conceptions are mutually exclusive. Thus Israel believed that when a man died he was removed from the moral jurisdiction of Yahwè (Ps. lxxxviii. 5:—

Like the slain that lie in the grave Whom thou rememberest no more And they are cut off from thy hand.

Cf. Ps. xxxi. 22), and his relations with Yahwè ceased (Is. xxxviii. 18):—

For Sheol cannot praise thee; Death cannot celebrate thee.

They that go down into the pit cannot hope for thy truth.

(b) As Sheol is independent of Yahwè, the moral distinctions that prevailed on earth had no currency in Sheol.

(iv.) The inhabitants of Sheol.

(iv.) The Dead, or the Inhabitants of Sheol.—
Death, according to the Old Testament, means an end of the earthly life, but not the cessation of all existence. After death the person still subsists. In

<sup>1</sup> Though God's power is conceived from the eighth century onward (cf. Am. ix. 2; I Sam. ii. 6 (very late); Job xxvi. 6, xxxviii. 17; Prov. xv. II; Ps. cxxxix. 7, 8:—

Whither shall I go from thy spirit? Or whither shall I flee from thy presence? If I ascend into heaven, thou art there, If I make my bed in Sheol, behold thou art there)

to extend to Sheol, yet Sheol maintains its primitive character. In the earlier centuries the powers that bore sway in Sheol were the ancestors of the living.

order to understand so far as possible the nature of existence in Sheol, we must first consider the composite personality of man in the Old Testament. Old Testament Man consists of two elements, spirit or soul and anthropology the earlier body, according to the older view, and of three elements, spirit and soul and body, according to the later view. Now a knowledge of the Old Testament doctrine of the soul is of paramount importance if we wish to understand the eschatological development of the Old Testament. For convenience sake we shall treat it under the following heads:-

CHAP. I.

and the later.

(1) The soul, or nephesh (DD), is identical with the (1) The soul blood.—As the shedding of blood caused death, the the blood.

soul was conceived to be in the blood (Lev. xvii. 114), or was actually identified with it (Deut. xii. 23; Gen. ix. 4, 5). Hence the eating of blood was shunned, and the blood offered to God. Hence likewise blood unjustly spilt on the earth (Gen. iv. 10) cried to heaven for vengeance, i.e. the soul. Though the heart was the central seat of the blood it had no connection with the soul. The heart was regarded as the organ of thought. A "heartless" man was a man without intelligence (Hos. vii. 11); when a man thought, he was said to "speak in his heart." Thought is not ascribed to the soul, though intelligence in a limited degree is.

(2) The soul is the seat of feeling and desire, and, (2) The seat of in a secondary degree, of the intelligence, and is identified personality. fied with the personality. - Not only are purely animal functions attributed to the soul, such as hunger (Prov. x. 3), thirst (Prov. xxv. 25), sexual desire (Jer. ii. 24).

but also psychical affections, such as love (Is. xlii. 1), joy (Ps. lxxxvi. 4), fear (Is. xv. 4), trust (Ps. lvii. 1), hate (Is. i. 14), contempt (Ezek. xxxvi. 5).1 Indeed these are so essentially affections of the soul that they are hardly ever attributed to the spirit; yet see p. 46. To the soul also are ascribed wish and desire (Gen. xxiii. 8; 2 Kings ix. 15; 1 Chron. xxviii. 9), and likewise, but very rarely, memory (Lam. iii. 20; Deut. iv. 9) and knowledge (Ps. cxxxix, 14). As the seat of feeling and desire and intelligence (in a limited degree) it becomes an expression for the individual conscious life. Thus "my soul" (מששׁי) = I, "thy soul" = thou, etc. (Lam. iii. 24; Is. li. 23; Ps. xxv. 13, cxxiv. 7, etc. So many souls = so many persons (Gen. xlvi. 18; Exod. i. 5). This designation of the personality by soul (nephesh) points to the limited conception of the personality that prevailed in Israel. "My spirit" (רוחי) was never so used.

Soul leaves the body in death.

(3) The soul leaves the body in death (Gen. xxxv. 18; I Kings xvii. 21; 2 Sam. i. 9; Jonah iv. 3).—But this did not always necessarily take place immediately, but it did so apparently on the corruption of the body. In certain cases after outward death the soul was regarded as being still in some sense either in or near the body; for a dead person is called a "soul," i.e. nephesh (Lev.

<sup>1</sup> This Semitic view of the soul is quite distinct from that of the Greeks as it appears in Homer. There the soul is not the seat of any of the mental activities; for these belong to the  $\theta\nu\mu\delta s$ , which is merely a function of the body, and disappears on the death of the body. It is only the soul that survives death according to Homer (see Chap. III. pp. 142-144).

CHAP. L

xix. 28, xxi. 1, xxii. 4; Num. ix. 6, 7, 10; Hag. ii. 13), or a "soul of a dead man," i.e. נפש מת (Num. vi. 6; Lev. xxi. 11). This usage, however, can be far more satisfactorily explained from Gen. ii. 7, where the living man is called "a living soul" (see pp. 42, 43).

(4) In death the soul dies, but not in an absolute sense, according to primitive Hebrew anthropology.— We have here to deal with a very important question, and one which brings to light in the Old Testament conflicting, and to a certain extent con- Two conflictcurrent, views on the nature of the after-life in ing, and to some extent Sheol. At this stage we are obliged to part concurrent, views of life company with our predecessors in this field.1 The in Sheol. older view (a) which originated in the period of Semitic heathenism, attributes to the departed a certain degree of knowledge and power in reference to the living and their affairs; the later (b), which is derived logically from the monotheistic doctrine of man's nature taught in Gen. ii., iii., but was unknown in preprophetic times, declares that there is neither knowledge, nor wisdom, nor life in the grave. We shall deal with the latter in due course. To return, according to the older view (a), the departed The older possessed a certain degree of self-consciousness The departed and the power of speech and movement (Is. xiv.); are acquainted with the affairs a large measure of knowledge, hence their name of their descendants, and דעוניכ, "the knowing ones" 2 (Lev. xix. 31, xx. 6; possess the

power of helping them.

1 Only Stade appears to have apprehended this fact, and that but partially, so far as we may judge from his published works. The new departure taken here is accepted and developed in Lods, op. cit. i. 51-72.

<sup>2</sup> The departed spirit when consulted was also termed and. A necromancer was said to possess an אוכ, or familiar spirit (Lev. xx. 27; 1 Sam. xxviii. 7); he was called "a questioner of the אמב (Deut. xviii. 11).

Thus they are in the tast

Is. xix. 3); acquaintance with the affairs of their living descendants, and a keen interest in their fortunes—thus Rachel mourns from her grave for her captive children (Jer. xxxi. 15¹); ability to forecast the future, hence they were consulted by the living regarding it (1 Sam. xxviii. 13-20, where observe that the dead person invoked is called elohim; Is. viii. 19, xxix. 4). Hence the practice of incubation (Is. lxv. 4). We have already shown that the departed were believed to have the power of helping or injuring their descendants (see p. 24). It will be sufficient to observe here that it follows from Is. lxiii. 16 that Abraham and Israel were conceived as protectors of their descendants (see Cheyne and Duhm in loc.)

Customs of this life reproduced in Sheol. The relations and customs of earth were reproduced in Sheol. Thus the prophet was distinguished by his mantle (1 Sam. xxviii. 14), kings by their crowns and thrones (Is. xiv.), the uncircumcised by his foreskin (Ezek. xxxii.) Each nation also preserved its individuality, and no doubt its national garb and customs (Ezek. xxxii.) Those

I According to the Greek religion also, the inhabitants of Hades were acquainted with the affairs of the living. Cf. Pindar, Pyth. v. 98-103; Olymp. viii. 81-84, xiv. 20-24. See Rohde, Psyche, i. 201. This view is expressed doubtfully by Demosthenes, Leptin. 87, but it is presupposed in Aeschylus, Choeph. 324, 325, φρόνημα τοῦ θανόντος οὐ δαμάζει πυρὸς μάλερα γνάθος, and also by the words of invocation addressed to the soul of Agamemnon (139, 147, 148, 156, 157, 477-509); cf. Eum. 598. This knowledge of what occurs on earth is ascribed to the dead also in Sophocles, El. 1066 sqq.; the dead can avenge themselves on the living (Trach. 1201, 1202), or help them (El. 454, 1419, 1420). Similarly in Euripides the soul of the slain father is invoked to help his children (El. 676 sqq.), who are convinced that their father hears their appeal (683). The soul of the dead sweeps round the living, and knows all their concerns (Or. 667 sqq.)

CHAP, I.

slain with the sword bear for ever the tokens of a violent death in Sheol (Ezek. xxxii. 25), and likewise those who died from grief (Gen. xlii. 38). Indeed the departed were regarded as reproducing exactly the same features as marked them at the moment of death. Hence we can appreciate the terrible significance of David's departing counsel to Solomon touching Joab: "Let not his hoar head go down to Sheol in peace" (1 Kings ii. 6).

In many respects the above view is identical with that of Ancestor Worship, and, though this worship had already withdrawn entirely into the background before the prophetic period, yet many of its usages still persisted in the popular belief till long after the Exile. The leading characteristic of these survivals may be said to be the comparatively large measure of life, movement, knowledge, and likewise power attributed to the departed in Sheol. The importance of this characteristic will become obvious when we deal with the later and antagonistic views of the condition of the departed in Sheol.

(b) This later view, which practically denies knowledge and life to the inhabitants of Sheol, follows logically from the account in Gen. ii. 4-iii., Composite according to which the material form when animated according to by the spirit became a living soul. "Yahwè Elohim Gen. ii., iii. formed man of dust from the ground, and blew into his nostrils breath of life (נשמת חיים), and man became a living soul" (Gen. ii. 7, J). The breath of life (נשמת חיים) here mentioned is identical with the spirit of life (רוח חיים) in vi. 17, vii. 15 (P).

Thus the spirit of life is found also in the brute

CHAP. I.

Spirit impersonally conceived.

Man a trichotoniy.

Soul a function of the quickened body.

creation according to both these passages (see also Ps. civ. 29, 30). A conflation of both these phrases is given in vii. 22 (J), "the breath of the spirit of life" (נשמת-רוח חיים), which the lower creation is said to possess. Since, therefore, "the breath of life," or "the spirit of life," is common to man and the rest of the animal creation,1 the spirit of life conceived as thus existing in all living things is life in an impersonal sense. The spirit, therefore, in man can never in this sense be the bearer of the personality. On the other hand, though the spirit is not personally conceived, yet, since it remains in the man so long as he lives and forms in him a thing apart by itself, it must be regarded as forming part of man's composite personality. Accordingly, we have here a real trichotomy of spirit (דות), soul (נפשׁ), and body (במר). But if we examine these elements more closely we see that the soul is the result of the indwelling of the spirit in the material body, and has no independent existence of its It is really a function of the material body when quickened by the spirit. So long as the spirit is present, so long is the soul "a living soul" (שמש חדה), but when the spirit is withdrawn, the vitality of the soul is destroyed, and it becomes a soul of a dead man (מפשׁ מתו), i.e. a corpse (Num. vi. 6; Lev. xxi. 11). The dead body is sometimes simply termed "a

<sup>1</sup> According to the story worked up by a late priestly writer in Gen. i. 24 (P), the brute creation is only indirectly the product of the divine creation, whereas man is such directly (i. 26).

soul" (Lev. xix. 28, xxi. 1, xxii. 4; Num. ix. 6, 7, 10; Hag. ii. 13). According to this view the annihilation of the soul ensues inevitably at death, that is, when the spirit is withdrawn. This dis-Hence solution of the personality at death is frankly extinguished recognised in Eccl. xii. 7, and the impersonal breath of life returns to the Supreme Fount of Life: "the spirit shall return to God, who gave it." Thus this anthropological view is logically and historically the parent of later Sadduceism, which taught that there is neither angel nor spirit (Acts xxiii. 8). Thus the consequences of this view were fully drawn in the second and first centuries before the Christian era, but in the three preceding centuries the logic of its representatives was less consistent. They still believed that the soul subsisted after death, though it did not exist. This subsistence is indeed purely shadowy and negative-so negative that in it all the faculties of the soul were suspended. and Sheol, the abode of the souls, became a synonym of Abaddon or destruction (Job xxvi. 6; Prov. xv. 11, xxvii. 20).

CHAP. I.

If the teaching of Gen. ii., iii. is taken as a com- The soul is on plete account of man's composite nature, the soul this view the seat of the must be regarded not only as the vital principle mind. of the body, but as the seat of all the mental activities.1 With these the spirit, which is really the impersonal basis of life in man, stands in no direct relation.

<sup>1</sup> It is noteworthy that the soul, according to this view, corresponds to the Homeric conception of the mind (θυμός). See p. 142.

Such a theory makes the doctrine of a

inconceivable.

future life

From these facts it is clear that no advance in the direction of an immortality of the soul can be made with such an anthropology; for in death the soul is extinguished and only the spirit survives. But since the spirit is only the impersonal force of life common to men and brutes, it returns to the Fount of all Life, and thus all personal existence ceases at death. So the Sadducees concluded, and if we start from the same premises we must inevitably arrive at the same conclusion (cf. the Pauline psychology, p. 467).

Soul and spirit thus differ in essence and origin. In the above threefold division of man's personality the spirit and soul are distinct alike in essence and origin. The former is the impersonal basis of life coming from God, and returning on death to God. The latter, which is the personal factor in man, is simply the supreme function of the quickened body, and perishes on the withdrawal of the spirit.

This doctrine of Gen. ii., iii. never succeeded in dispossessing the older and rival doctrine.<sup>1</sup> These conflicting views of soul and spirit were current together, and not unfrequently the same writers in the Old Testament have used these terms, sometimes

¹ Its prevalence is attested by the Second Isaiah xlii. 5. It is presupposed probably by Deuteronomy, certainly by Ezek. xxxvii., and its diction and influence are conspicuous in Job and certain psalms. Thus in Job xxvii. 3 we find: "The spirit of God is in my nostrils"; and in xxxiii. 4, "The spirit of God hath made me and the breath of the Almighty giveth me life"; and in xxxiv. II-15, "If he cause his spirit to return unto him, and y gathereth unto him his breath, all flesh shall perish together" (so Duhm emends). Similarly in Ps. civ. 30-29, "Thou sendest forth thy spirit, they are created, and thou renewest the face of the earth. Thou takest away their spirit; they die, and return to their dust"; and in Ps. cxlvi. 3, 4, "Put not your trust in princes, in a son of man who cannot save. His spirit goeth forth, he returneth to his earth; in that very day his thoughts perish."

with one meaning, sometimes with another. Greece furnishes us with good analogies (see pp. 142, 143, 146-150).

CHAP. I.

Having now dealt with the later doctrine of man's personality which is taught in Gen. ii., iii., we shall now return to the earlier view with which we have already dealt at some length above. According to this primitive Hebrew view, man was Earlier composed not of three essentially distinct elements Hebrew view. —a trichotomy—spirit, soul, and body, but only of trichotomy but a dichotomy. two—a dichotomy—spirit or soul and body. The spirit and soul were really one and the same. They were synonymous in their primitive signification as "breath" or "wind." The conception of both was arrived at in the way of observation. When the breath (nephesh or ruach, ממש or ונות) left the body, death ensued. Thus the principle of life was identified with the soul or spirit. The partial differentiation of these two naturally arose in the course of time. The term "spirit" was The spirit. appropriated to mark the stronger side of the soul and, as Stade (Gesch. d. Volks Israel,2 i. 418) has remarked, designated the stronger and stormier emotions.

When once it became customary to personify the psychical affections as nephesh, the practice began of naming the stronger expressions of this personification as spirit or ruach. Thus anger is an affection of the ruach (Judg. viii. 3; see later).

So long as a man was wholly master of his powers, he still possessed his ruach, but when he

became lost in amazement, as the queen of Sheba (I Kings x. 5), or despair (Jos. ii. 11), or fainted (I Sam. xxx. 12), his ruach left him, though on his reviving it returned (Gen. xlv. 27; Judg. xv. 19). In keeping with this view of the spirit, it is said to be the subject of trouble (Gen. xli. 8), anguish (Job vii. 11), grief (Gen. xxvi. 35; Is. liv. 6), contrition (Ps. li. 17; Is. lxvi. 2), heaviness (Is. lxi. 3). It is the seat of energetic volition and action. Thus the "haughty spirit" (Prov. xvi. 18), the "lowly spirit" (xxix. 23), the impatient spirit (Prov. xiv. 29), etc.

As the departure of the ruach entailed a paralysis of the will (see above), it expresses therefore the impulse of the will (Exod. xxxv. 21); the purposes of man are the outcome of the spirit, מעלות רוח (Ezek. xi. 5): the false prophets follow their own spirit rather than that of Yahwè (Ezek. xiii. 3); God tries men's spirits (Prov. xvi. 2). Further, it seems to express character as the result of will in Num. xiv.

24, "Caleb . . . had another spirit in him."

These various applications were evolved in connection with the earlier conception of ruach. In the course of a natural development, the ruach had become the seat of the highest spiritual functions in man. To sum up, then, soul and spirit are at this early stage identical in essence and origin, though differentiated in function.

The primitive doctrine of the soul has already been discussed (see pp. 37-40). If we compare the doctrine of the soul there given with that of the spirit, which we have just investigated, it will be

Soul and spirit identical in essence and origin, but differentiated in function.

obvious that soul and spirit at this early stage were identical in essence and origin, though differen- Difference betiated in function, whereas according to the later tween earlier and later doctrine of Gen. ii., iii. they differed alike in essence, views. in origin, and function.

According to the primitive view of the spirit as Spirit could the stronger side of the soul, it is clear that it could into Sheol. not descend into Sheol. The soul, on the other hand, did descend, and enjoyed a considerable degree of life and knowledge there.

We are now in a position to contrast the earlier State of the and later views on the state of the departed in Sheol accord-Sheol.1

departed in ing to the earlier and later views.

Thus in opposition to the older view that in Sheol there is a certain degree of life, movement, and remembrance, the later view teaches that it is the land of forgetfulness (Ps. lxxxviii. 12), of silence (Ps. xciv. 17, cxv. 17), of destruction (Job xxvi. 6, xxviii, 22); in opposition to the belief that the dead return to counsel the living, the later teaches that the dead cannot return to earth (Job vii. 9, xiv. 12); in opposition to the belief that they are acquainted with the affairs of their living descendants, the later teaches that they no longer know what befalls them on earth (Job xiv. 21, "His sons come to honour, and he knoweth it not; and they are brought low, but he perceiveth it not of them"); in opposition to the belief in their superhuman knowledge of the future—as the "knowing ones"—the later teaches

<sup>1</sup> Logically, as we have seen above, there could be no future life for the soul in Sheol according to the later teaching of Gen. ii., iii.

that all knowledge has forsaken them (Eccl. ix. 5), that they have neither device nor knowledge nor wisdom (Eccl. ix. 10). And whereas according to the older view they were called elohim in invocation, they are termed in the later "dead ones," onco (Is. xxvi. 14; Ps. lxxxviii. 10).

Finally, the relations of the upper world appear to be more faintly reproduced, if at all; for all the inhabitants of Sheol, kings and slaves, oppressor and oppressed, good and bad, are buried in profound sleep (Job iii. 14-20). Indeed all existence seems to be absolutely at an end. Thus Ps. xxxix. 13, "O spare me, that I may recover strength before I go hence and be no more"; Job xiv. 7, 10, "There is hope of a tree, if it be cut down, that it will sprout out again—but—man giveth up the ghost, and where is he?"

Departed in Sheol not designated as souls till a late period. We have now to call attention to one point more before leaving this subject, and this is that though the soul leaves the body in death, the departed in Sheol are hardly if ever designated as souls in the Old Testament. This fact is probably to be explained by the metaphysical inability of early Israel to conceive the body without psychical functions, or the soul without a certain corporeity. Thus the departed were conceived as possessing a soul and a shadowy body. In the older days they were called

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "shades," רמאים (used also in the Phœnician religion; see Driver, Books of Samuel, p. xxix), was applied to the departed by both views, but possibly with a difference: contrast Is, xiv. 9, 10; xxvi. 14, 19, with Ps. lxxxviii. 10 (Prov. ii. 18, ix. 18, etc.), where it is synonymous with the dead.

shades (rephāim), or, when addressed, elohim. During the later times when such a doctrine of man's being became current as that in Gen. ii., iii., the departed were called "dead ones," or "shades," as in the older days. We should probably recognise in Job xiv. 22 an instance of the later usage of designating the inhabitants of Sheol as souls: "Only for himself his flesh hath pain, and for himself his soul mourneth." Here the soul is in Sheol, with all its feeling and interests limited to itself, and the body is in the grave. In this passage Job reflects the popular eschatology of his day. Furthermore, According to in xix. 26, 27, where he abandons this eschatology, theology, the and rises to the expression of his highest hopes, he is capable of declares that without the body he will see God, that the divine vision. is, his soul or spirit will enjoy the divine vision at some period after death. Since only the highest powers of man's soul were capable of the divine vision, it is clear that the writer had a lofty conception of the capabilities of the soul apart from the body. We cannot emphasise too strongly the importance of this point, since it is almost universally taught that the Jew had no such conception of the soul till he came under the influence of the Greek (see pp. 72, 73).

CHAP. I.

the higher soul after death

We have now done with the treatment of Short resume Ancestor Worship. We have considered it only in

<sup>1</sup> We seem here to have an idea which is also found in ancient Greek religion. So long as the body in any form still existed, the soul, though separated from it, was conscious of what befell it. This is the presupposition underlying Achilles' ill-treatment of Hector's dead body (see Rohde, Psyche, i. 27).

its eschatological aspects, only so far as it supplied to the individual a doctrine of the future life.

We have found that the individual Israelite derived from this source his views as to the nature of the soul and spirit, Sheol, and the condition of the departed there. On these questions no revelation was furnished by Yahwism for many centuries: Yahwism had no eschatology relating to the individual to begin with. But with the first proclamation of Yahwism by Moses the doom of Ancestor Worship and its teachings was already pronounced, though centuries might elapse before this doom was fully accomplished. We have already seen partial fulfilments of this doom in the destruction by Yahwism of all life in Sheol. This step was necessary with a view to the truly ethical doctrine of the future life. In the next chapter we shall deal with the positive preparation made by Yahwism for such a higher doctrine. This preparation proceeded essentially from the new value which came to be set on the individual through Yahwism.

## CHAPTER II

## ESCHATOLOGY OF THE INDIVIDUAL—RISE OF THE DOCTRINE OF AN INDIVIDUAL IMMORTALITY

In the preceding chapter we pointed out that it Résumé of would be impossible to understand the eschatology of the individual Israelite in preprophetic times apart from some knowledge of his conception of God.

For even a superficial study of the former is sufficient to show that down to the Exile and later the beliefs of Israel in reference to a future life were heathen to the core, and irreconcilable with any intelligible belief in a sole and supreme God. The question therefore naturally arose: Since Israel's preprophetic conception of God was not monotheistic, of what nature was it? In our short inquiry into this question, we found that Yahwè had revealed Himself to Israel as a God of justice, righteousness, and purity, and was thereby sundered essentially and absolutely from the other Semitic deities of the time, and yet that He was not regarded by Early Israel as the sole God of the earth, but

only as the sole God of Israel, whose influence and authority were in the main limited to His own people and country. As a national God, further, He was popularly conceived as being concerned only with the wellbeing of the nation, and as possessing neither interest nor jurisdiction in the life of the individual beyond the grave. Hence since early Yahwism possessed no eschatology of its own, the individual Israelite was left to his hereditary heathen beliefs. These beliefs we found were elements of Ancestor Worship. Thus the individual Israelite possessed teraphim, or household gods, which he worshipped with sacrifices with a view to secure their favour or avert their wrath. This worship was performed by a son of the departed, and thus in connection with this worship arose the importance of securing a male offspring. The primitive mourning usages in Israel belonged to this worship, and likewise the beliefs entertained regarding the family grave, Sheol, and its inhabitants. Finally we discovered that we have herein a key to difficulties that have hitherto proved insoluble in relation to the conceptions of the soul and spirit in the Old Testament. For the Old Testament attests, not a single and uniform doctrine of the soul and spirit, but two essentially distinct views of these conceptions, the earlier derived ultimately from Ancestor Worship, the later from the monotheistic account in Genesis.

The primitive beliefs of the individual Israelite regarding the future life, being thus derived from

CHAP. 11.

Ancestor Worship, were implicitly antagonistic to Yahwism from its first proclamation by Moses. its subsequent developments this antagonism becomes explicit, and results in the final triumph of During the progress of this conflict Yahwism annihilates all existence in Sheol, since the nature of this existence was heathen and nonmoral, and could in no sense form a basis on which to found an ethical and spiritual doctrine of the future life. Thus the first stage in this conflict was eminently destructive in character, but this only with a view to a higher reconstruction. For whilst Yahwism was destroying the false life in Sheol it was steadily developing in the individual the consciousness of a new life and a new worth through immediate communion with God. Now it is from the consciousness of this new life, and not from the moribund existence in Sheol, that the doctrine of a blessed future—whether of the soul only immediately after death, or of the soul and body through a resurrection at some later datewas developed in Israel. Thus this doctrine was a new creation, the offspring of faith in God on the part of Israel's saints.

A large body of the nation, however, took the provisional stage above referred to to be one of true and eternal significance. This defective view, named in later times the Sadducean, arose in the fifth century B.C., and maintained itself down to the destruction of Jerusalem in 70 A.D.

When Yahwism had destroyed the false view of

Doctrine of individual retribution derives its origin from Yahwism.

Preludings of the doctrine of a blessed future. the future life, it began to develop an eschatology of the individual in harmony with its own essential conceptions. We have now to deal with the foundation laid by Yahwism for this higher doctrine of the future life. This foundation is based on the new value set on the individual through Yahwism. The rise of individualism in Israel must therefore presently engage our attention.

But before we enter on this study we must not fail to observe certain beliefs in pre-Exilic religion, which, though they could not be regarded as forming actual stages in the development of the doctrine of a blessed future life, are nevertheless heralds and preludings of this doctrine. Regarded from the standpoint of our present investigation, these beliefs are of various worth. Of such beliefs there are Two of these, which ought to have had a determining influence on subsequent Jewish development, but which apparently had not, we shall discuss first. These are (i.) the creation of man in God's image and likeness in Gen. i. 26, 27. The presence of the tree of life in the Garden of Eden (Gen. ii., iii.) We need not linger long over either of these. As regards the former, however we interpret Gen. i. 26, 27, we cannot adopt it as the foundation of a Biblical anthropology, since this doctrine of man's creation in the divine likeness 1

Man's creation in God's likeness not made the basis of Biblical anthropology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Does the likeness refer to moral qualities? This is possible. Yet it is to be observed that Adam transmits this likeness to Seth v. 3, and that all men possess it after the fall (ix. 6). On the other hand, it is contended that the divine likeness consists in the fact that man rules all other living creatures on the earth just as God rules the universe. It is to be observed that, had the likeness been one of essence, and this view been accepted in Israel, the doctrine of a future life would have been developed some centuries earlier.

does not appear, with the exception of Gen. i. 26, 27; v. 1, 3; ix. 6, throughout the rest of the Old Testament. (ii.) Next, as to the tree of life. The presence of this tree in the Garden of Eden References to would seem to indicate that primitive man was in-tree of life in Gen. ii., iii. tended from the outset to become immortal. But intrusions in Budde (Biblische Urgeschichte, pp. 48-59) has shown that only one tree was spoken of in the original narrative, and that this tree was the tree of the knowledge of good and evil. Thus, according to iii. 3, there is only one tree in the midst of the Garden, and the same presupposition underlies iii. 5, 6, 11, 12. We find the first mention of the tree of life in ii. 9. But the latter half of this verse, which is in itself syntactically questionable, is irreconcilable with iii. 3. Further, though according to ii. 9 the tree of life occupied the chief place in the Garden, man was not forbidden to eat of it. Yet the eating of it would, according to iii. 22, have made man immortal. But this last idea is at variance with iii. 19. Man's mortality follows not from his being forbidden to eat of the magical tree of life: it rests simply on the will of Yahwe.1 Hence ii. 9b, iii. 22, 24 are intrusions in the original narrative.

CHAP. II.

These passages regarding the tree of life, whether we take them as interpolations or not, were without effect on the Old Testament doctrine of a future life. The phrase "tree of life" was, it is true, in the wisdom literature a familiar expression, but in a metaphorical sense; cf. Prov. iii. 18, xi. 30, xiii. 12, xv. 4, and the allied expression, "fountain of

<sup>1</sup> See Holzinger on Genesis, pp. 40, 41, in the Kurzer Hand-Commentar, and Gunkel, Gen.3 p. 26.

CHAP. II.
Two beliefs
which provide
essential
characteristics
and presuppositions of
the doctrine of
a future life.

life," Prov. x. 11, xiii. 14, xiv. 27, xvi. 22; Ps. xxxvi. 10. We proceed now to two beliefs which, though not furnishing in themselves the basis for this theology, yet provide some of its essential characteristics and presuppositions. These beliefs have to do with (i.) the translation of Enoch and Elijah; (ii.) the power of Yahwè to bring back the soul from Sheol. The former involves certain essential characteristics of the higher doctrine of the future life, and the latter one of its necessary presuppositions.

The translations of Enoch and Elijah supply an essential characteristic of this doctrine —the future life follows from present life in God.

(i.) The translations of Enoch and Elijah.— These translations of Enoch (Gen. v. 22-24) and Elijah (2 Kings ii. 11) are essentially miraculous in character, and on such exceptional incidents, therefore, the doctrine of a future life for man as man cannot be built. They are significant, however, in that they teach that death does not end the full and conscious life of all, and that Sheol does not engulf every living energy. They belong to an early period in Hebrew thought when immortality was inconceivable for man if soul and body were sundered. Hence soul and body must be translated together. The belief in such translations does not controvert the ancient view of Sheol as a place whence none can return. It probably springs from a time when the authority of Yahwè was still limited to this side the grave, and the dead were regarded as beyond the exercise of His grace. The dead were beyond recall, but the living could be raised to immortality—that is, to an immortality with the body, not without it, before death, not after it. But

since these translations, though miraculous, follow distinctively from the moral uprightness of Enoch and Elijah, we see herein an essential characteristic of the subsequent development. As it was a life of communion with God that led, though uniquely, to the translation of Enoch and Elijah,1 so it was from the same spiritual root that the immortality of all who enjoyed such communion was derived in later centuries.

(ii.) The power of Yahwe to bring back the soul A blessed from Sheol.—This view could not have arisen till future life presupposes monotheism had in some form been accepted. Yahwe's power to restore the Yahwe's power now extends to Sheol, though it soul from Sheol. does not influence its non-moral character. This belief is attested in 1 Kings xvii. 22 and 2 Kings iv. 35, xiii. 21, where Yahwè restores the dead to life through the instrumentality of His prophets. Here again the incidents in question are exceptional, but they are important as showing that Yahwe's power can reach the dead.

With this preface we shall now turn to the rise and development of individualism in Israel.

<sup>1</sup> What an infinite gulf yawns between the old Greek conception of the translation of Heroes to the Isles of the Blessed and that of the translation of Enoch and Elijah in Israel! For the translation of the Greek Heroes was due, not to their moral character or merits of any kind, but to their physical relationship to some of the gods. It is on this ground that the "cowardly" Menelaus  $(\mu\alpha\lambda\theta\alpha\kappa\delta s\ al\chi\mu\eta\tau\eta s,\ II.$  xvii. 588) is translated (Od. iv. 561-565). See pp. 39, 40; and Rohde, *Psyche*, i. 79-81. In the Babylonian religion there is one instance of translation—that of Parnapishtim and of his wife to the confluence of the waters, where they enjoy the immortal life of the gods. For this translation no distinctively ethical grounds were advanced. This place at the "confluence of the waters" may be an island, according to Jeremias. See Jastrow, Rel. of Bab. and Assyr. pp. 488, 493, 494, 577; Jeremias, Bab.-assyr. Vorstellungen vom Leben nach dem Tode, pp. 94-99.

CHAP, II.

No individual retribution looked for in preprophetic times.

No individual retribution looked for in preprophetic times.—The early Israelite was not alarmed by the prosperity of the wicked man or the calamities of the righteous; for Yahwè was concerned with the wellbeing of the people as a whole, and not with that of its individual members. The individual was not the religious unit, but the family or tribe. The individual was, as in Ancestor Worship, identified with his family; a solidarity existed between him and the line of his ancestors and descendants. identification led to strange consequences. it was regarded as natural and reasonable for God to visit the virtues and vices of the fathers on the children (Exod. xx. 5; Lev. xx. 5; Jos. vii. 24; 1 Sam. iii. 13), of an individual on his community or tribe (Gen. xii. 17, xx. 18; Exod. xii. 29), while His mercy was shown in postponing the punishment of the sinner till after his death 1 and allowing it to fall on his son (1 Kings xi. 12, xxi. 29). This principle of retribution gave no difficulty to the prophets of the eighth century. Their message is still directed to the nation, and the judgments they proclaim are collective punishment for collective guilt. It is not till late in the seventh century that the problem of individual retribution really emerged and received its first solution in the teaching of Ieremiah.

Popular seventh-cenresponsibility of the individual

Towards the close of the kingdom of Judah the tury view of the popular sentiment expressed the modern doctrine

<sup>1</sup> Rewards and punishments were necessarily conceived as limited to the earthly life; for Sheol was regarded as outside the jurisdiction of Yahwe.

of heredity in the proverb: "The fathers have eaten sour grapes, and the children's teeth are set on edge" (Jer. xxxi. 29). In this the people explicitly denied their own responsibility in the overthrow of the It was their fathers that had sinned, and they were involved as by an iron fate in their guilt. Such a view naturally tended to paralyse all personal effort after righteousness, and made men the victims of despair. But implicitly in the same proverb there is expressed, not an humble submission to the divine judgments, but rather an arraignment of the divine method of government. The righteousness of the individual could not deliver him from the doom befalling the nation.

Now in opposition to this popular statement of Criticism of the law of responsibility Jeremiah answers as follows: Jeremiah, and the days come "when they shall say no more, The of the new fathers have eaten sour grapes, and the children's doctrine of the teeth are set on edge; but every one shall die for his springing from own iniquity" (Jer. xxxi. 29, 30). And yet the relation to same prophet had already himself declared that the children suffered for the sins of the fathers: "I will cause them to be tossed to and fro among all the kingdoms of the earth because of Manasseh, the son of Hezekiah" (Jer. xv. 4). How, then, are we to account for this new departure in his teaching? It is to be explained from the new relation which God would establish in the coming days between Himself and the individual Israelite,1 which would

this view by his statement individual his personal Yahwè.

<sup>1</sup> See Duhm, Theologie der Propheten, 242-247; Giesebrecht, Das Buch Jeremia, Einleit. xiii. xiv. pp. 171, 172; Marti, Gesch. d. isr. Rel. 153-156.

supersede the old relation which had existed between *Himself and the nation as a whole* (Jer. xxxi. 31-34). Heretofore the individual was related to Yahwè only as a member of the nation, and as such shared, whatever his nature and character, in the national judgments, and thus had no individual worth. The nation was the religious unit. Henceforth the individual would step into the place of the nation in its relation to Yahwè, and the individual would henceforth constitute the religious unit.

Nature of new covenant determined by man's need Two great facts determined the nature of this new relation or covenant, *i.e.* man's need, and God's essential character.

First as to man, Jeremiah affirms man's total incapacity for self-reformation, his inability to convert himself. Just as easily might the Ethiopian change his skin or the leopard his spots (xiii. 22, 23). The law imposed under Josiah (i.e. Deuteronomy) had failed to touch the evil: it had led to a righteousness merely legal (vii. 4 sqq.; viii. 9, 10), as external as the physical rite of circumcision (iv. 4), to an outward reformation which cannot stand before Him who tries the reins and the heart (xi. 20, xvii. 10, xx. 12). Hence, since the old covenant had failed to preserve, much more to redeem Israel, Jeremiah promises the institution of this new covenant. Under this new covenant man's spiritual incapacities for obedience to God's law would be removed; for God would write His law in their hearts, and so beget a willing obedience. Jeremiah has arrived at this conclusion from his own

experience, his own relation to the law. To him the law is not an external commandment provoking opposition, but the word of God written in his heart, renewed from day to day, and evoking within him a passionate loyalty and obedience. His life is fed through constant communion with God. If then God so entered into communion with him. He will likewise in the coming time redeem the nation by writing His law in their hearts (Jer. xxxi. 31-34),1 that is, by establishing an immediate relation with each individual, such as God has already established with the prophet. Thus in the face of the coming exile, when the nation would cease to exist and only the individuals remain, Jeremiah was the first to conceive religion as the communion of the individual soul with God. Thus each individual enters into the privileges of the prophet. Moreover, the and God's character of God led to a like conclusion. Since character. God could accept none but a true and spiritual worship (xi. 20, xvii. 10), and, since, if this is to be offered, it must spring from the heart of the individual, then God must enter into relation with the individual, and make known His will to him, and hereby a personal relation of the individual with God is established. Thus through Jeremiah the foundation of a true individualism was laid, and the law of individual retribution proclaimed. further development of these ideas led inevitably to the conception of a blessed life beyond the grave.

This teaching of Jeremiah was taken up and developed by Ezekiel. In pre-Exilic times the

<sup>1</sup> Duhm denied the authenticity of these verses, but Cornill has satisfactorily answered his objections in his Commentary in loc.

CHAP. II.

Jeremiah's doctrine of the individual developed by Ezekiel.

individual soul had been conceived as the property of the family and the nation, but Ezekiel 1 now teaches that every soul is God's, and therefore exists in a direct and immediate relation to God (Ezek. xviii. 4). Ezekiel's individualism here receives its most noble and profound expression. Never hitherto had the absolute worth of the individual soul been asserted in such brief and pregnant words as those of the prophet speaking in God's behalf: "Behold all souls are mine." From this principle Ezekiel concluded that if the individual is faithful in his relation to Yahwè, he is unaffected whether by his own past (xviii. 21-28), or by the sins or the righteousness of his fathers (xviii. 20, xiv. 12-20). Righteousness raises him above the sweep of the dooms that befall the sinful individual or the sinful nation. And since this righteousness is open to his own achievement, he possesses moral freedom,2 and his destiny is the shaping of his own will (xviii. 30-32). Hence there is a strictly individual retribution: judgment is daily executed by God, and finds concrete expression in man's outward lot. Thus the outward lot of the individual harmonises perfectly with his character. According to ix. 3-6 Ezekiel expected that no righteous man would perish in the fall of Jerusalem. This expectation naturally followed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ezekiel's individualism is stated in iii. 16-21, xiv. 12-23, xviii.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On the other hand, we must recognise that Ezekiel emphasises beyond all other Old Testament prophets the absolute sovereignty of God. With this he makes no attempt to reconcile man's free will. This is practically the attitude adopted by the Pharisees in later times. It is also that of S. Paul.

from his doctrine of individual retribution. Only twice does he fall into forgetfulness of it, when, in xvi. 21; xxi. 3, 4, prophetic insight and actual fact served to deliver him from such doctrinaire views.

In these statements Ezekiel has enunciated a False elements great spiritual truth, but has hampered its accept- doctrine of the ance and development by associating it with positions individual. which are demonstrably false. It is true, on the one hand, that the individual can in communion with God break with the iron nexus of his own past and that of his people, and make a new beginning which is different in essence from that past and inexplicable from it as a starting-point; but, on the other hand, it is no less true that this new beginning is always conditioned in some degree by the past of the individual and that of his fathers, and herein lies the truth of heredity which Ezekiel denied.

Ezekiel's doctrine rooted itself firmly in the national consciousness. The evil results of such a doctrine are not far to seek. Thus, since in Ezekiel's view all retribution is necessarily limited to this life, and since, further, it has mainly to do with material blessings and is strictly proportioned to a man's deserts, it inevitably follows that a man's outward fortunes are the infallible witness to his internal character and to the actual condition in which he stands before God.

Thus by Ezekiel's individualism the community is dissolved into a mass of individual units, each of which pursues independently his own way wholly unaffected by the rest, responsible only for his own acts, and working out his own salvation or his own

Religious atomism of Ezekiel. doom. But his individualism proceeds farther still. The very individual is no longer conceived in his unity, but is dismembered into so many outward manifestations of life. Righteousness is not for Ezekiel a uniform divine temper shaping the whole life in conformity to God's will, but a mass or congeries of separate righteous acts. Hence the individual act is taken to be a true expression of the whole man at the moment of its occurrence. If this act is wicked on the moment of the advent of the kingdom, then the man will rightfully be destroyed, but if righteous he will be preserved.

Within the given premises Ezekiel's conclusions inevitable,

It is easy to cavil at Ezekiel's doctrine of retribution, and yet we must admit that no other theory was possible, if we start from the same premises as the theology of that period. If with Ezekiel we hold that God is righteous, and that all souls are His, we shall be ready to conclude with him, that a righteous retribution must be meted out to every If we further held, as we do not, that it is in this life only that a man is under the dominion of God, then we should be forced to conclude that every man must receive the full measure of retribution in this life, and that, accordingly, a man's outward fortunes must be the index of his spiritual condition. Logically no other conclusion was possible, and Ezekiel, with a sublime defiance of the actual. maintained this view with a loyalty that hardly ever wavered.

Ezekiel's doctrine thus rooted firmly in the national consciousness was variously applied in

two great popular handbooks, the Psalter and the Book of Proverbs. Though the righteous may have many afflictions, the Lord delivereth him out of them all: all his bones are kept, not one of them is broken, but evil slays the wicked (Ps. xxxiv. 19-21; see also xxxvii. 28, etc.) Similarly, the righteous and the wicked are to be recompensed on earth (Prov. xi. 31). Life is the outcome of righteousness, and this is to be understood as physical life, just as physical death is the outcome of wickedness (Prov. ii. 21, 22; x. 2; xi. 19; xv. 24, 25; xix. 16, etc.) Doubts, however, as to the truth of this doctrine are found from time to time in the Psalms, and modifications were introduced in the exposition of the now dominant dogma, in order to make it clash less rudely with the facts of religious experience. Trouble and affliction, it was taught, were not always retributive, but were sometimes sent as a discipline to the righteous, but such adversity was always in their case followed by a renewal of outward blessings (Ps. xxxiv. 19-22), and the end of the righteous was always peace (Ps. xxxvii. 25, 37; Job viii. 6, 7; xlii. 12; Prov. xxiii. 18; Wisdom iii. 3; iv. 7). On the other hand, though the wicked might be prosperous, yet their prosperity was short-lived, and was permitted only with a view to make their fall the more sudden and humiliating (Ps. xxxvii. 20, 35, 36; lxxiii. 18-20).

Naturally such a doctrine was a continual This doctrine stumbling-block to the righteous when in trouble. a stumbling-block to the So long as all went well with him, he was assured suffering righteous, of the favour of God, but misfortune or pain

CHAP. II. Currency of doctrine in Psalms and

destroyed this certainty; for as such they were incontrovertible evidence of sin. Hence the right-eous man looked to God to be justified by an outward judgment. If this was granted, then his righteousness was attested to his own conscience, and before men; but if it was withheld, no other conclusion could be drawn save that his case was one, not of afflicted righteousness, but hidden wickedness, now unmasked and visited with its fitting retribution.

and an absolute hindrance to any progress to a true solution of the problem.

Nor was it to the sufferer alone that this doctrine of retribution proved an insuperable difficulty. So long as the nation was convinced that there was a perfectly adequate retribution in this life, no true solution of the problem was possible, nor was there any occasion to question the justice of the prevailing views of the condition of the departed in Sheol, and thus every possibility of progress in this direction was blocked. Hence, as a preparation to the attainment of truer views of the after-life, it was necessary that this theory of retribution should be questioned and rejected. This was done subsequently in Job and Ecclesiastes.

Now, before dealing with the later developments of the doctrine of Ezekiel, it would be of advantage to define in the briefest compass those elements in it which received the sanction of subsequent religious thought, or called forth its opposition. Now whilst Ezekiel's undying merit in this respect was his assertion of the independent worth of the indi-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to Ezekiel's theory, there was no problem to solve. Every man received his exact due in this life.

vidual, his defects lay in two misstatements—(a) the individual does not suffer for the sins of his Erroneous fathers, but only for his own; (b) the individual elements in Ezekiel's is at present judged in perfect keeping with his doctrine. deserts. In other words, sin and suffering, righteousness and wellbeing, are always connected: the outward lot of the individual is God's judgment in concrete form.1

Now as regards (a), the experience of the nation must always have run counter to this statement. Indeed, subsequent Jewish literature attests the persistence of the older view, and rightly so, for the elements in every man's nature and lot which lie outside the sphere of his volition are undoubtedly shaped for better or worse in accordance with the merits or demerits of his father and people. Thus in Ps. cix. 13 the writer prays that the posterity of the wicked may be cut off. The son of Sirach declares that such is the fate of the children of sinners (xli. 6), that the offspring of the ungodly put forth few branches (xl. 15), that the children of an adulterous wife will be destroyed (xxiv. 25). That men are punished for the iniquities of their fathers and brethren is freely acknowledged in Ps. cvi. 6; Dan. ix. 7, 8, 16; Jud. vii. 28; Tob. iii. 3; Ass. Mos. iii. 5; Matt. xxiii. 35; Baruch i. 18-21, ii. 26, iii. 8; Apoc. Bar. lxxvii. 3, 4, 10.

Ezekiel's second error (b), that the individual's Ezekiel's views experience agrees with his deserts, is the corollary

controverted by the writers of Job and Ecclesiastes.

<sup>1</sup> Both (a) and (b) seemed to Ezekiel to follow logically from God's righteousness.

CHAP. II. of (a). We find that this thesis gave birth to a lengthened controversy, of which two notable memorials have come down to us, i.e. Job and Ecclesiastes. Although Ecclesiastes is much the later in time we will, for convenience sake, deal with it first.

Protest of Ecclesiastes.

Against the statement in (b), that the individual is at present judged in perfect keeping with his deserts, the writer of Ecclesiastes enters at once a decided negative. He declares, in fact, that there is no retribution at all. Thus he maintains that evil may prolong a man's days and righteousness curtail them (vii. 15), that the destiny of the wise man and of the fool is identical (ii. 14), and likewise that of the righteous and the wicked (ix. 2): "All things come alike to all: there is one event to the righteous and to the wicked; to the good and to the evil; to the clean and to the unclean; to him that sacrificeth and to him that sacrificeth not. The good man fares like the sinner, and he that sweareth as he that feareth an oath"; finally, that the wicked attain to the honour of burial, whilst this is often denied to the righteous (viii. 10). However extravagant the attack of this writer, his book is nevertheless valuable as a counterblast to the no less extravagant doctrine of Ezekiel, that the pre-

<sup>1</sup> The passages where judgment is threatened (iii. 17, xi. 9b, xii. 14) are, according to an increasing number of critics, intrusions in the text, being at variance with the entire thought of the writer. viii. 12, 13 is likewise an interpolation, or else no longer exists in its original form. Yet in certain cases the man who fears God has, he thinks, an advantage over others (ii. 26, vii. 18, 26). For a very interesting discussion of this book, see Cheyne's Jew. Rel. Life, pp. 183-203.

visions and claims of faith are realised in the world

of sight.

In the Book of Job the principal elements of Job's criticism Ezekiel's teaching reappear, and are dealt with in Ezekelian dramatic form. It is here shown that the doctrines doctrines of man's individual worth and a strictly individual retribution are really irreconcilable. The former receives in the person of Job its noblest exposition in all ancient literature, whilst in his actual fortunes the extravagance and untruth of the latter are demonstrated to the full. Conscious in the highest degree of his own worth and rectitude, Job claims that God should deal with him in accordance with his deserts. Like his contemporaries, he believes (for Job and the author of the dialogues may be identified for the present) that every event that befalls a man reflects God's disposition towards him; misfortune betokens God's anger, prosperity His favour: in short, that there is a strictly retributive judgment enforced in this life. But this belief, Job discovers, is not confirmed by the fortunes of other men (xxi. 1-15), for the wicked prosper, grow old and go down to the grave in peace, and their seed is established on the earth. Most of all, his own experience emphasises this conflict between faith and experience, and teaches him to conclude that in the world, governed as it is, faith may be without recognition, and the righteous be visited with the penalty of the wrongdoer. Faith, indeed, in order to be sure of its own

reality, claims its attestation by the outward judgments of God; yet, despite the absence of all such

CHAP. II.

attestation, Job resolves to hold on in the way of righteousness independently of both God and man (xvii. 7-9). The world as it is is out of joint; hence Job appeals from the God of outer providence, from the God of circumstance, to the God of faith. The fact that the writer does not seek to solve the antinomies of the problem, by making his argument lead up to the doctrine of a future life, shows that this doctrine had not yet won acceptance even amongst the religious thinkers of Israel.

The writer's conclusions point to a moral conception of the future life.

And yet the main views and conclusions of Job point in this direction. The emphasis laid on man's individual worth, with his consequent claims upon a righteous God, and the denial that these claims meet with any satisfaction at the hands of the God of the wrongful present, lead naturally to the conclusion that at some future time all these wrongs will be righted by the God of faith. And this thought is not wholly absent from Job. A momentary anticipation of it appears in xiv. 1-15. May not man revive as the tree that has been cut down? May not Sheol be only a temporary place of sojourn,2 where man is sheltered from the wrongs of the present life till God, who had once communion with him, summons him back to its renewal? But the time for realising this axiom of the faith had not yet

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In keeping with the high conception of the worth of the individual in Job is that of the conscience also, which is unique as regards the Old Testament. Job accepts its verdict over against that of his contemporaries and of the outward events of Providence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This idea of Sheol as an intermediate abode which is here suggested became shortly after 200 B.C. the prevailing doctrine. In xix. 25-27 also Sheol is conceived in some sense as an intermediate place.

come. It is but a passing gleam that dispersed the gloom of Job's perplexities, and the darkness speedily prevailed as before.

CHAP. II.

But what appears only as an impassioned desire in chap. xiv. rises into a real, though possibly only momentary, conviction in xix. 25-27:—

But I know that my Avenger liveth,
And that at the last he will appear above (my) grave:

†And after my skin hath been thus destroyed;†
Without my body shall I see God:
Whom I shall see for myself,
And mine eyes shall behold, and not another.

Although line 3 is hopelessly corrupt, the rest of the passage is clear. Job declares that God will appear for his vindication, and that after his death (i.e. without the body) he shall witness this vindication, and enjoy the vision of God. But we cannot infer that this divine experience will endure beyond the moment of Job's justification by God. It is not the blessed immortality of the departed soul that is referred to here, but its actual entrance into and enjoyment of the higher life, however momentary its duration. The possibility of the continuance, much less the unendingness, of this higher life does not seem to have dawned on Job, though it lay in the line of his reasonings. If it had, its overwhelming significance could not have been ignored through the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Duhm in loc., who declares that, with the exception of line 3 and a slight transposition in line 2, not a single letter in the rest of the text need be changed. Dr. Cheyne, on the other hand (J.Q.R. Oct. 1897, pp. 15, 16), regards the present form of xix. 26, 27 as corrupt. His restoration removes all reference to a future life. I cannot herein follow him. Both text and thought seem to me to be against him.

CHAP, II.

Book of Job exhibits the steps whereby the human spirit rose to the apprehension of a blessed life beyond the grave.

A new doctrine of the soul involved therein.

rest of the book. Nevertheless, the importance of the spiritual advance here made cannot be exaggerated. In order to appreciate this advance we have only to compare the new outlook into the future which it provides with the absolutely hopeless view that was then accepted on all hands. The Book of Job reflects all the darkness of the popular doctrine (see chaps. iii., vii., xiv.), and likewise exhibits the actual steps, whereby the human spirit rose gradually to the apprehension that man's soul is capable of a divine life beyond the grave.1 Two points require here to be emphasised. The first is that this new view of the next life springs from a spiritual root, and owes nothing to any animistic doctrines of the soul then existing. The second is no less weighty. We have here a new doctrine of the soul. The soul is no longer cut off from all communion with God on death and shorn of all its powers, even of existence, as Job and his contemporaries had been taught to conceive it, but is regarded as still capable of the highest spiritual activities, though without the body 2 (see

<sup>2</sup> Thus the new and lofty idea of the after-life has arisen, not from the old

animistic conceptions, but amid their ruins.

I cannot but regard as misleading in the highest degree the statement of Gunkel (Schöpfung und Chaos, p. 291 note) that the rise of the resurrection doetrine cannot be traced in the Old Testament. He holds that this belief originated neither in prophetical eschatology nor in the piety of the psalmists. It is owing to the piecemeal and unhistorical method in which the doctrine of a future life in the Old Testament and in Apoeryphal and Pseudepigraphal literature has been studied in Germany and England that such assertions are possible. The spiritual basis for the resurrection doctrine is laid in Job and the Psalms, which in part suggest and in part teach the doctrine of a future blessed life of the individual. When we take one step farther, and combine the hope of the individual and that of the nation together, we arrive forthwith at the doctrine of the resurrection. But, according to Gunkel, this doctrine arose in Israel neither from the previsions of faith nor from religious reflection, but was borrowed in its fully developed form from the East!

pp. 48, 49). We thus see that it was not necessary for Israel to borrow from Greece the idea that the soul could preserve its powers independently of the body.

CHAP, II.

Though the Book of Job does not teach categoric- Though the ally, it undoubtedly suggests, the idea of a future life. That this idea was in the air is clear from xiv. categorically 13-15 and xix. 28, 29, but even if they were theology," it entirely absent, it would still be true; for through-readers to take out the rest of this book the antinomies of the up a definite attitude to it. present are presented in so strong a light that the thinkers of Israel who assimilated its contents were forced to take up a definite attitude to the "higher theology." Some made the venture of faith and postulated the doctrine of a future life; others, like the writer of Ecclesiastes, declining this challenge of the Spirit, made the "great refusal," and fell back on unbelief and materialism. We have here arrived at the parting of the ways.

Book of Job did not teach the "higher obliged its

It only remains to consider the evidence of the Psalms touching a blessed future life of the soul.

Those who maintain the existence of this hope The doctrine in the Psalter base their view on Pss. xvi., xvii., xlix., lxxiii. As regards the two former, the evidence soul in the fails to bear out their view. There is nothing that necessarily relates to a future life in Ps. xvi., which expresses the fears and hopes not of the individual but of the community. In Ps. xvii. likewise the psalmist does not speak as an individual (cf. the plurals, vers. 7 and 11), but as the mouthpiece of the Jewish people, who are to Yahwè as the apple of the eye (ver. 8). In fear of a foreign

of a blessed future of the

invader (vers. 9, 13) the psalmist prays for help. Hence instead of "I shall be satisfied, when I awake with thy likeness" we expect some reference to God's help (so Cheyne and Smend 1). The former reads "I shall feast mine eyes when thy zeal awakes." In any case the context does not admit of a reference to a future life.

Psalm xlix.

But with regard to Pss. xlix. and lxxiii. the case is different. The doctrine of a blessed future life appears to be implied. With the present text of xlix, we seem compelled to adopt one or other of two interpretations. In vers. 14, 15 the speaker announces speedy destruction for the wicked, but complete redemption from death for himself. But who is the speaker? Does the "I" here denote the psalmist as a representative pious Israelite or the righteous community? In favour of the latter it is argued that the psalmist is here speaking in the name of the righteous who are poor and oppressed over against the wicked who are rich and oppressive: and in the next place that ver. 10 states that "all die, alike the wise man (i.e. the righteous) and the fool." Thus the immortality here expected is that of the righteous community.2 This

temple worship (cf. v. 3).

<sup>2</sup> See Cheyne's Bampton Lectures on the Psalter, 381-425, where it is contended that the belief of a future life is implied in Pss. xvi., xvii., xlix., lxxiii. In Pss. xlix. and lxxiii. he finds a protest against the old Hebrew view of Sheol. Dr. Cheyne has since abandoned this view of the psalms in question.

<sup>1</sup> Smend, ZATW, 1888, p. 95; Cheyne, Jew. Rel. Life, 240 f. Duhm, on the other hand, in his new Commentary on the Psalms, maintains that no change of text is necessary. "To behold God's face" = to visit the temple, as in Ps. xxvii. 4; and "the awaking" here mentioned means nothing more than the awaking next morning, when the psalmist will join afresh in the temple worship (cf. v. 3).

is the view of Smend, Schwally, and Cheyne. But in favour of a future life of the individual it is to be argued that Sheol is represented in ver. 14 as clearly penal in character—a place where the Sheol having wicked rich men are punished. This is still clearer in Pss. xlix. from Dr. Cheyne's attractively emended text (Jew. Rel. Life, 238):-

CHAP. II.

and Ixxiii. never becomes the abode of the righteous.

Like sheep they sink into Sheol Death rules them, terrors affright them; They go down straight into the grave Sheol is their mansion for ever.

Thus in Pss. xlix, and lxxiii. Sheol is conceived as the future abode of the wicked only; heaven as that of the righteous. This conception of the penal Other penal character of Sheol is all the more credible from the abodes in the Old Testament. fact that in the Old Testament two other places of punishment for special offenders are already developed. Thus in Is. xxiv. 21, 22 the angelic rulers of the nations and the kings will be imprisoned in "the pit" for "many days," after which they shall receive their (final) punishment. This "pit" must not be confounded with Sheol (cf. I Enoch liv.). Again, Gehenna is alluded to in Is. lxvi. 24 as the final abode of Jewish apostates.

But apart from all emendation, Sheol appears here as the place of punishment for the wicked, and the same view returns in some degree in ver. 20. From Sheol so described the righteous is to be delivered (ver. 15). But the force of the argument will be seen best by bringing forward the salient points of the thought. Thus in vers. 7-9 bodily CHAP. 11.

death is declared to be the inevitable lot of all: the righteous and wicked alike must physically die (ver. 10). But after death a difference in their respective lots sets in. As regards the wicked rich men, they must perish as the beasts (ver. 12); their bodies will be housed for ever in the grave 1 (ver. 11), and their souls descend as helpless sheep into Sheol, there to be shepherded by death; Sheol will consume their phantom forms (ver. 14). But as for the righteous, though they too must die 2 (ver. 10), God will ransom them, from the hand of Sheol will He take their soul 3 (ver. 15).

As a place of penal punishment, therefore, Sheol could never become the abode of the righteous. Hence in ver. 15 the righteous expect to escape it after death, and be taken immediately to heaven: "Surely my soul God will set free; for from the hand of Sheol will he take me."

Psalm lxxiii.

In Ps. lxxiii., as in xlix., the writer is troubled by the prosperity of the wicked (vers. 11, 12). He is even tempted to declare that all things fall out

<sup>1</sup> Here I follow the LXX, Syr., Vulg. and Targum, which, by transposing two letters (קְבָּרָם, read—

Their graves are their houses for ever,

instead of

Their inward thought is their houses for ever.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All must submit to bodily death is the teaching of ver. 10. Duhm, however, thinks that "the wise" spoken of here are not the wise in a religious sense, but are "the wise of this world," and this, he believes, is proved by their being contrasted with "the fools" and "brutish persons" in the parallel member of the verse. But the usage of almost the entire Wisdom literature is against this view, and particularly the usage of the Psalms, which always take the terms "wise man" and "fool" in a religious and ethical sense. This misinterpretation of ver. 10 has led Duhm into his impossible exposition of ver. 15 (see note 2 on p. 77).

<sup>3</sup> why is here taken collectively of all the faithful, as in Ps. xi. 1.

77

well with the sinner, but ill with the righteous, but from such an utterance he refrains out of loyalty to the Jewish community (vers. 13-15). Nevertheless, his trouble of heart has driven him to study this inversion of right and wrong in life, but the problem remained an unsolved burden upon him (ver. 16) till he entered into the knowledge of God's secret mysteries (מקדשראל), and learnt the fate of the ungodly, how that they do not escape punishment, but are already the prey of self-delusion (משׁואות —so Duhm), and will become the victims of a speedy and utter destruction (vers. 17-19). From such false views of life the righteous are preserved through God's daily chastisements (ver. 14), and enjoy His guidance continually. Their highest blessedness consists in unbroken communion with Him-unbroken even by death; for after this life God takes them to Himself (vers. 23, 24). What earth or heaven, therefore, has in store for them matters not. In comparison with God all the universe is as nothing (ver. 25): this life ended, God is the true portion of the souls 1 of the righteous for evermore (ver. 26).

In interpreting this psalm as referring to individual immortality the present writer has the support of Delitzsch, Davidson, Baethgen, Duhm,<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Duhm appears to be right in striking out צור לבבי as a false variant of אור ולבבי; we should then render: "When my flesh and my heart have perished, God is my portion for ever."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Duhm interprets Ps. xlix. '15 of an actual bodily translation, on the ground that npb has here the same technical meaning as in 2 Kings ii. 9, 10; Gen. v. 24; Ps. lxxiii. 24. Such an interpretation is simply impossible. Indeed on Ps. lxxiii. 24 he is obliged to abandon this view, and take the verb as simply meaning translation from this life to a higher state of being. Of what nature this state of being is we gather from lxxiii. 26, which takes for

though there is great divergence in the exposition of details.

Conclusions as to how far the doctrine of an individual immortality of the righteous existed in the

We have now done with the question of individual immortality so far as it is dealt with in the Old Testament. Its attestation is meagre. Job it emerges as a strong aspiration, but falls short Old Testament. of being an abiding spiritual conviction. To the latter stage it has already risen in Pss. xlix. and lxxiii But even if the evidence of the Psalms were doubtful, the evidence of Job is in itself sufficient to prove that, amongst a few at any rate in Israel, the hopes of the individual had at last come in sight of their destined goal, even the future blessed life of the But, further, even if all such evidence righteous. were wanting, we should be obliged to postulate the existence of this doctrine from the logical necessities of thought; for the doctrine of the resurrection which was developed towards the close of the fourth century, or at latest early in the third, is a complex idea, and presupposes in Israel 1 the prior existence of its two chief components, namely, the doctrine of an individual immortality of the righteous and that of the Messianic kingdom. When once the

The historical attestation of this doctrine confirmed by the logical necessities of thought.

> granted the disembodied existence of the righteous after death. In Ps. xlix, also the writer is dealing with the destinies of the righteous and of the wicked after death. Death leads off the latter to Sheol (ver. 14), whereas God takes the souls of the former to Himself (ver. 15). We should observe that μετατίθημι, which is the LXX rendering of np in Gen. v. 24, is used in Wisdom iv. 10 of the translation of the soul.

<sup>1</sup> That is, unless we assume that Israel borrowed the resurrection doctrine in its completeness. But the Book of Job, supported by Pss. xlix., lxxiii., makes this assumption at once gratuitous and groundless.

doctrine of an individual immortality was subsumed in the larger doctrine of the resurrection, and had Early superthus played its part in the evolution of truth, it seded by the doctrine of the could no longer exist side by side with this larger resurrection. conception, but fell perforce into the background, and for a prolonged period appears to be unknown and undesired in the thoughts and aspirations of the faithful. But with the lapse of nigh 200 years or more it again comes of necessity to the front, when the growing dualism of the times leads to the disintegration of the resurrection hope (as then conceived) into its original constituents, in order that these may pursue afresh and independently their paths of development with a view to their final synthesis in Christianity.

If we should ask why the doctrine of an individual immortality so soon gave place to that of the session. resurrection, the answer is at hand. The common good was still more dear to the faithful in Israel than that of the individual: in other words, the Messianic kingdom was a more fundamental article of their faith than that of a blessed future life of the individual. Hence when these doctrines were fused together, the doctrine of the resurrection, which was the direct outcome of this fusion, soon displaced that of the individual immortality of the righteous; for the latter doctrine could never gain the full sympathies of the Jew, who loved his nation, and had his heart fixed on its blessed future. Thus Essential the resurrection, stripped of its accidents and con-significance of the resurrecceived in its essence, marks the entrance of the tion.

CHAP. 11.

individual after death into the divine life of the community, the synthesis of the individual and the common good. Thus the faithful in Palestine looked forward to a blessed future only as members of the holy people, as citizens of the righteous kingdom that should embrace their brethren. And herein, as throughout this evolution of religion, we can trace the finger of God; for it was no accident that His servants were unable to anticipate any future blessedness save such as they shared in common with their brethren and nation. The self-centredness, if not selfishness, that marked the Greek doctrine of immortality is conspicuous

Thus the Greek development was one-sided. It was individualistic. And yet it could not well be otherwise with its peculiar doctrine of immortality, namely, its view that the soul was not only immortal but eternal, alike without beginning and end, and that it was capable of repeated incarnations in human and animal bodies. From this doctrine it follows that the present environment of the soul is only one of the many in which it exists from age to age, and accordingly this community or that can have no abiding significance. Such a soul can only consider God and itself. In Israel, however, as we have above seen, the soul was not in itself immortal, but only won

such immortality through life in God.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Thus in the religious philosophy of Plato, where the immortality of the soul is set forth in its loftiest and purest form, the individual who would secure this immortality is taught to live an ascetic life: not to concern himself with the community, but with himself (Ιδιωτεύειν άλλα μή δημοσιεύειν, Apol. 32 A); to lead a quiet life and mind his own business, like a man who has fallen among beasts (ώσπερ είς θηρία άνθρωπος έμπεσών, Rep. vi. 496 D). " Human affairs are not worth any real trouble" (τὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων πράγματα μεγάλης οὐκ ἄξια σπουδῆς, Leg. vii. 803 B). From these and many other passages of like import (see Rohde, Psyche, ii. 288-294) it follows that in pursuit of his own individual good a man should ignore the interests of the community; for that all the present life is corrupt, and the aim of the individual is to adopt a hostile attitude towards its manifold expressions, and fashion his conduct wholly with a view to his own immortality. Even in his own ideal Republic, the civic and social virtues had no independent value for the philosopher. "Der vollendete Weise hat nicht mehr die oberste Bestimmung, den Andern, draussen Stehenden, Pflichten zu erweisen; sein eigenes inneres Leben reif machen zur Selbsterlösung, das ist seine wahre und nächste Pflicht" (p. 293).

by its absence in the religious forecasts of the CHAP. II. faithful in Judaism. In true religion unlimited individualism is an impossibility. The individual can only attain to his highest in the life of the community alike here and hereafter.

#### CHAPTER III

THE ESCHATOLOGY OF THE NATION AND THE SYNTHESIS
OF THE TWO ESCHATOLOGIES IN THE DOCTRINE
OF THE RESURRECTION. DOCTRINE OF THE SOUL
AND OF THE FUTURE LIFE AMONG THE GREEKS.

In the preceding chapters we have studied the eschatology of the individual, and in the course of this study we have come down to within a couple of centuries of the Christian era. We have now to study the eschatology of the nation, and for this purpose we must retrace our steps and go many a hundred years back into Israel's past.

Though Israel became a nation at the Exodus, it would be difficult to express what were the hopes and aspirations they cherished at that early date or for many subsequent centuries. Long before they came into existence as a nation, promises are expressed in connection with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob (Gen. xii. 2, 3; xvii. 2, 4-6; xviii. 18; xxii. 17, 18; xxvi. 3, 4; xxvii. 29; xxviii. 14; xxxii. 12) as to the ultimate greatness of Israel and its destination as a source of blessing to all mankind. But since the

passages in question were, according to recent criticism, written eight hundred years or more subsequently to the Exodus, we shall confine ourselves in the present work to such eschatological facts and hopes as appear in the prophets. As these cluster at the outset round the familiar conception, "the day of Yahwè," we may with advantage study the eschatology of the nation in connection Eschatology of with this conception from preprophetic times down nation may be treated as to the close of the Old Testament. But the day of starting from the conception, Yahwè does not in itself constitute the blessed "the day of Yahwè." future, but only the divine act of judgment which inaugurates it. Hence the eschatology of the nation Eschatology of centres in the future national blessedness introduced centres in the by the day of Yahwe. This future is variously con-future national blessedness to ceived. According to the popular conception which be introduced was current down to the eighth century, it was Yahwe. merely a period of material and unbroken prosperity which the nation was soon to enjoy through Popular non-Yahwè's victorious overthrow of Israel's national foes. With this non-ethical expectation we shall not occupy ourselves further than to notice the conception of the day of Yahwè, associated with it.

But this conception of the future gave place in the eighth century, at all events amongst the spiritual leaders of the people, to the prophetic Prophetic doctrine of the coming kingdom. According to the a regenerated prophets, this kingdom was to consist of a re-community existing under generated nation, a community in which the divine national forms in which the will should be fulfilled, an organised society inter-divine will penetrated, welded together, and shaped to ever fulfilled.

future national by the day of

higher issues by the actual presence of God. This ideal we shall henceforth, for convenience sake, designate shortly as the *Messianic* or *theocratic kingdom*.

It will be observed that throughout this work the Kingdom of God is defined as the regenerated community, in which the divine will should be realised, but this is not the meaning that has been generally assigned to it. Dalman (The Words of Jesus, translated from the German, p. 94) states that "both in the Old Testament and in Jewish literature, when applied to God means always the kingly rule, never the kingdom as if it were meant to suggest the territory governed by Him." But no sound scholar would take it in the latter sense; and yet as regards the former, I cannot agree that Professor Dalman's attempt to limit the phrase always to this meaning is right. It is difficult to apply this meaning in Mt. viii. II (= Luke xiii. 20), where the text speaks of "sitting down in the kingdom of heaven," though Dalman boldly renders this "sitting down in the sovereignty of God." The same difficulty applies to the passage in Luke xiv. 15, "Blessed is he that shall eat bread in the kingdom of God." The right meaning in these passages, as in most others in the Gospels, is that which has been maintained throughout this work, i.e. the community in which the divine will is realised. How otherwise could the words of our Lord be explained, Mt. xix. 14, "Suffer little children to come unto me, and forbid them not, for of such is the kingdom of heaven," i.e. the divine community in which God's

will is realised, and not "such is the sovereignty CHAP. III. of God." Dalman, it is true, is inclined to admit other shades of meaning, but in no case does he give that which we have put forward.

Whether this kingdom was constituted under mon- The Messiah archical, hierarchical, or purely theocratic forms was part of this in itself a matter of indifference. Since the Messiah Old Testament concepformed no organic part of the conception, He was tion. sometimes conceived as present at its head, sometimes as absent. Two factors, and only two, Two factors in were indispensable to its realisation. First, it must be a community of Israelites, or of these together with non-Israelites. Secondly, it must be a community in which God's will is fulfilled. If we lose sight of either factor, our view of the kingdom is untrue.

no organic

That the prophetic conception of the kingdom prevailed from the seventh century onwards is admitted on all hands, but of late years there is a growing body of scholars who maintain that, with the exception of a single passage in Isaiah, no No eighthprophet of the eighth century preached the advent phet foretold of this kingdom, and that the unceasing burden of the advent of this kingdom their message to Israel was solely one of fast ap-save Isaiah, according to a proaching and inevitable doom. That most of the growing body passages in Amos, Hosea, Isaiah, and Micah which promise the advent of the Messianic kingdom and of the Messiah are intrusions in the text from a later time, may be regarded, on the whole, as a sound conclusion of criticism. But that they are all with one exception interpolations of a later date, and particularly that all the passages which tell of the Messiah are without exception of this character,

century proof scholars.

cannot be regarded as an established result of criticism.

But this conclusion is still to be taken provisionally. If the following pages betray at times signs of indecision, they do but reflect the present attitude of the writer; for though he has elected to follow the conclusions of the more advanced critics, it is with great hesitation that he has done so.

All the following statements on the above controversy regarding the eighth-century prophets are to be regarded as provisional.

Our study begins with the day of Yahwè, and develops into a description of the kingdom it introduces. As regards the day of Yahwè, no such critical difficulty exists. Our study of the eschatology of the nation, therefore, will begin with this unquestioned element in Israel's expectations of the future, and trace its subsequent enlargement and various developments from a judgment of individual nations to a judgment of all mankind. In pre-Exilic times this conception constitutes all but exclusively the subject of the prophetic teaching as to the future; but from the Exile onwards this is not so. Henceforth it serves only to introduce the eternal kingdom of God on earth. From the Exile onwards eschatological development begins to grow in complexity, for from that period the nation, no less than the individual, begins to maintain his claims to righteous treatment.

#### THE DAY OF YAHWE

This conception relates to the nation, and not to the individual. This conception is related to the people as a whole, and not to the individual. It means essentially the day on which Yahwè manifests Himself in

victory over His foes, that is, the national foes of CHAP. III. Israel.

Day amongst the Hebrews, as among the Arabs, occasionally had the definite signification of "day of battle." Thus in Is. ix. 4 "the day of Midian" is the day of victory over Midian. The belief in this day was older than any written prophecy. It was a popular expectation in the time of Amos. popular conception, which was as unethical and nationalistic as the kingdom it was expected to establish, was adopted by the prophets and transformed into one of thoroughly ethical and universal significance. We shall now deal with the various forms it assumed in the Old Testament.

I. The popular conception of the day of Yahwe Popular and as a judgment on Israel's national enemies, eighth conception of century B.C. and earlier.—This conception originated, day of Yahwe. no doubt, from the old limited view of Yahwè as merely the national God of Israel. The relation of Yahwe to Israel, in the minds of Amos' contemporaries, was not an ethical, but, to a large extent, a natural one. They conceived themselves to be solely Yahwè's people, and Yahwè to be solely Israel's God (Am. iii. 2). Israel's duty was to worship Yahwè, and Yahwè's to protect Israel. This worship consisted in ritual and sacrifice, and to its due discharge the morality of the worshipper was a thing indifferent. Hence, since they were faithful in the duties of worship and sacrifice and tithing (iv. 5; v. 5, 21, 22), they could with confidence not only look forward to, but also pray for, "the

day of Yahwè" as the instrument of their vindication against their enemies. The "day of Yahwè" is thus the day of Israel's vindication against their enemies through Yahwè.¹ But "the day of Yahwè," Amos warns Israel, is no such day as they expected. The day of Yahwè, the God of righteousness, cannot for an unrighteous people be a day of salvation, but of woe; not a day in which Israel would be vindicated against its enemies, but in which Yahwè's righteousness would be vindicated against wrongdoing, whether in Israel or its enemies.

## Amos (circa 760 B.C.)

Prophetic conception of the day of Yahwè.
I. Pre-Exilic period.
(a) directed against Israel in Amos

This assault of Amos on the popular conceptions of the day of Yahwè provides us, at the same time, with the prophetic conception of this day. According to the prophets of the eighth century, this day was to be one (a) of judgment directed, first and chiefly, against Israel. In opposition to the popular view that Yahwè is Israel's national God, Amos avoids the very phrase "God of Israel," and designates Him as "the Lord Yahwè," "the God of Hosts," or "Yahwé of Hosts." Yahwè is the Moral Ruler of all the earth. His "day," therefore, is, as we have seen, the day

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This belief that Yahwè must save His people survived, despite the prophets, till the captivity of Judah in 586 B.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Yahwè of Hosts means in the prophets the Omnipotent, the Lord of the armies of heaven as well as of earth. See p. 9 for an earlier meaning.

<sup>2</sup> The day of Yahwè, in its double character as a day of punishment and

The day of Yahwè, in its double character as a day of punishment and blessing, is also spoken of as "that day" (Is. xvii. 7, xxx. 23, xxviii. 5, xxix. 18; Hos. ii. 18; Mic. ii. 4, iv. 6, v. 10; Zech. ix. 16, xiv. 4, 6, 9), "that time" (Jer. xxxi. 1, xxxiii. 15, l. 4; Zeph. iii. 19, 20; Joel iii. 1), "the day" (Ezek. vii 10; Mic. iii. 6), "the time" (Ezek. vii. 12).

CHAP, III.

in which He manifests Himself for the vindication of Himself and of His righteous purposes, and not of Israel. In Amos, to whom we owe this new meaning of the phrase, the day of Yahwe appears only in its darker side,1 as directed against Israel. It will bring about the overthrow of the kingdom (v. 1-3), Samaria will be destroyed (iii. 11, 12), and Israel carried into captivity (v. 5, 27; vi. 7; vii. 11; ix. 4). This day is "darkness and not light" for Israel (v. 18). Other nations will feel it in proportion to their unrighteousness, but since unrighteous Israel is specially related to Yahwè, they will, for that reason, experience His severest judgments (iii. 2): "You only have I known of all the families of the earth: therefore will I visit upon you all your iniquities."

<sup>1</sup> ix. 8-15, which promises a happy future for Israel and the house of David, is rejected as an Exilic addition by Wellhausen, Smend, Cheyne, G. A. Smith, Marti, Nowack, Volz. Driver, on the other hand, defends this passage, but with some hesitation (*Joel and Amos*, 119-123).

In this rejected passage we have the promise of the restoration of the dynasty of David in all its former splendour over reunited Ephraim and

Judah (ix. 11):-

In that day will I raise up the fallen tabernacle of David, And close up the breaches thereof; And I will raise up his ruins, And I will build it as in the days of old.

The land is to be blessed with prosperity (ix. 13), and the exiles to be restored (ix. 14):—

And I will bring again the captivity of my people Israel, And they shall build the waste cities and inhabit them, etc.

And Israel thus restored will never again be removed from its own land (ix. 15).

The Messianic kingdom is limited strictly to Israel. The neighbouring nations, particularly Israel's ancient foe Edom, should come under the suzerainty of Israel, as in David's time (ix. 12).

### Hosea (746-734 B.C.)

and in Hosea.

Hosea is of one mind with Amos.<sup>1</sup> It is against Israel that the day of Yahwè is directed. Though the phrase itself is not found in Hosea, the judgment it designates is foretold.

The whole nation is utterly corrupt: "There is no truth, nor mercy, nor knowledge of God in the land" (iv. 1). They have gone after Baal, and become worshippers of graven images (v. 3, viii. 4, xi. 2). Wherefore Israel "shall fall by the sword: their infants shall be dashed in pieces, and their women with child shall be ripped up" (xiii. 16). So dire will be their tribulation that "they shall say to the mountains, Cover us; and to the hills, Fall on us" (x. 8). It was a fate from which there was no escape.<sup>2</sup> "The iniquity of Ephraim is bound up:

I The clauses referring to Judah in i. 7; iv. 15; v. 5, 10, 12, 13, 14; vi. 4, 11; viii. 14; x. 11; xi. 12; xii. 2 are rejected by Marti as interpolations.

Nowack, *Die kleine Propheten*, 1897, excises all these references

except v. 5.

<sup>2</sup> Most of the passages which predict the establishment of the kingdom under the Messianic King are rejected by a variety of scholars. Thus i. 10—ii. 1, iii. 5, iv. 15° are condemned as interpolations by Stade (Gesch. 577 note). In addition to these, ii. 16, vi. 11, and most of xiv. 1-9, have been rejected by Wellhausen. The latter, however, and Nowack, defend some of the passages which promise the future blessedness of Israel. "The complete destruction of Israel is for him (Hosea) an inconceivable thought" (Wellhausen, Gesch. 3 116). Similarly Nowack (Die kl. Propheten, p. 81). Nowack, notwithstanding, denies the originality of i. 7; i. 10—ii. 1, 14-16, 20-23; iii. 5; iv. 15°, vi. 11; xiv. 7, 9. The passages of a similar character which he accepts are v. 15—vi. 3; xi. 8; xiv. 6, 8. G. A. Smith adopts a like attitude to Wellhausen and Nowack. Cheyne (in W. R. Smith, Proph. 2 pp. xvii. sqq.) rejects i. 7; i. 10—ii. 1; iii. 5; iv. 15°, v. 15—vi. 4, 11; vii. 1; viii. 14; and xiv. 1-9 in its entirety. Marti (Gesch. d. isr. Rel. pp. 181, 182) appears to regard Hosea as the prophet of inexorable doom like Amos. Smilarly Volz (Vorexilisch. Yahweprophetie, 32 sqq.) See Driver, Introd. to Old Testament, 306, 307.

Taking the text as it stands, the eschatology is as follows: "At the end

his sin is laid up in store. . . . From the hand of Sheol shall I ransom them: from death shall I redeem them: . . . compassion is hid from mine eyes" (xiii. 12-14).

CHAP. III.

### Isaiah (740-701 B.C.)

(b) Day of Yahwe mainly against Judah.—In (b) directed Isaiah and Micah the day of Yahwè receives a new Judah. application: it is directed against Judah. Like the two preceding prophets, Isaiah 1 aimed his warnings of judgment against Israel (ii. 6-21, viii. 1-4, First against ix. 8-20, xvii. 1-11, xxviii. 1-4). By Yahwe's wrath Israel, as in preceding should the land be burnt up; its people should be as prophets. the fuel for fire (ix. 19); in one day should head and tail, palm-branch and rush be cut off (ix. 14); its warriors shall not be spared, nor its widows nor orphans receive compassion (ix. 17). The doom shall come like a tempest of hail, a destroying storm, as a tempest of mighty waters (xxviii. 2). And the lofty looks of man shall be brought low, and the haughtiness of men shall be bowed down, and the

of days" (iii. 5) Israel will be converted and return to God (v. 15), for He will revive them from their spiritual death (vi. 2), and betroth them to Him for ever "in righteousness, and in judgment, and in loving kindness, and in mercies" (ii. 19). And Israel will be called "sons of the living God," and become innumerable as the sand of the sea, and Judah and Ephraim will be reunited under one king (i. 10, 11), even under a scion of the house of David (iii. 5). And the exiles will return to their own land (xi. 10, 11). In this period the earth will be blessed with fruitfulness (ii. 22), the wild beasts will become tame, and all the weapons of war will be destroyed (ii. 18).

1 In my references to Isaiah I have adopted provisionally Dr. Cheyne's critical results in his Introduction to Isaiah, 1895, but have supplied those

of Duhm, Marti, Gray, and others where they differed.

Judgment on Judah. Lord shall be exalted in that day (ii. 11-17). And men shall cast away their idols of silver and of gold to the moles and the bats, and go into the caves of the rocks to hide themselves from before the terror of the Lord, when He ariseth to shake mightily the earth (ii. 19-21). Thus judgment fell on Israel, and since Judah was no less corrupt, it too must be destroyed (i. 10-17, 21-26; iii. 1-15; v. 8-24; xxviii. 14-22; xxix. 1-4; xxx. 8-17; xxxi. 4), and all the more surely as it sought help from the neighbouring world-powers (xxviii. 14-22, xxxi. 3). The judgment on Jerusalem shall come suddenly: it shall receive doom from the Lord of Hosts with thunder and with earthquake and great noise, with whirlwind and tempest, and the flame of a devouring fire (xxix. 6 1).

Isaiah once prophesied the advent of the kingdom.

Thus Isaiah was, like Amos, a prophet of doom. In one passage, however, he prophesies the advent of the kingdom, but in a very modest form.

i. 24-26. "Therefore this is the oracle of the Lord, Yahwè Sebáoth, the Hero of Israel: Ha! I will appease me of mine adversaries, and avenge me on mine enemies; 25. and I will bring back mine hand upon thee, and will smelt out in the furnace thy dross and will take away all thy alloy; 26. and I will bring back thy judges as at the first, and thy counsellors at the beginning: afterwards thou shalt

If we accept the last as it stands, the views of Isaiah as to the inviolability of Jerusalem wavered. Thus in xxix. 7, 8; xxxi. 5 he definitely prophesies that Zion can never be taken by its foes. This latter view is rejected by Cheyne, who pronounces xxix. 5, 7, 8 an intrusion, and xxxi. 5 to be hopelessly corrupt.

be called Citadel of Righteousness, Faithful City" (Cheyne's translation).

The nation is thus to be restored as aforetime, but on a righteous foundation. All that was evil was to be purged out of it. It is to be observed, however, that there is no mention of the Messiah in connection with it.

There is no world-judgment in Isaiah. Judg- No worldment, it is true, will be executed on Egypt, Ethi- Isaiah. opia, Tyre, Philistia, Moab, and Syria, and all nations will be concerned in Yahwe's purpose of "breaking Assyria." These nations, however, are dealt with by the prophet only in relation to his own people. The conception of a world-judgment wherein every nation was to be judged independently of Israel was of a later date.1

In iii. 13, where there appears to be a reference to it, the text is corrupt.2 The idea of its universality seems to be given in ii. 11-21, but the language is poetical.

Isaiah nowhere extends the blessings of the kingdom to the heathen world. Israel alone should enjoy them. Most of the Messianic passages in Isaiah i.-xxxix. are due to later interpolations.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cheyne, *Introd*. pp. 53, 246. 2 Ibid. 391 note; Marti, in loc.

<sup>3</sup> All the Messianic passages save one (Is. i. 24-26) are rejected as the work of a later age by Cheyne; also wholly or in part by Duhm, Hackmann, Marti, Brückner, G. A. Smith, etc. The chief passages are Is. ii. 2-4, iv. 2-6, ix. 1-7, xi., xvi. 5, xix. 18-25, xxv. 6-8, xxviii. 16, xxix. 16-24, xxx. 18-26, xxxii. 1-8, xxxv. 1-10. With ii. 2-4, xix. 18-25 we shall deal under post-Exilic prophecy. The post-Exilic date of iv. 2-6 is practically admitted by G. A. Smith (Hastings' Bible Dictionary, ii. 488); likewise of xi. 10-16, xvi. 5, xxv. 6-8, and xxxv. 1-10, pp. 492, 493. On the other

CHAP. 111.

### MICAH (circa 723-700 B.C.)

Destruction of Jerusalem. No Messianic kingdom.

In Micah the doom of Jerusalem is pronounced, and no hope of ultimate redemption is

hand, this scholar strongly contends against Marti, Volz, and Brückner, who

deny that the Messiah appeared at all in pre-Exilic prophecy.

Apart from arguments based on language and historical allusions, these writers argue that the functions of the Messiah are purely political and not religious. He is a national leader, and exercises the offices of neither prophet, priest, nor leader, and belongs therefore to the Exilic and post-Exilic periods. G. A. Smith vigorously assails this view. He contends (Hastings' Bible Dictionary, ii. 488, 489) that this national conception of the Messiah suits the pre-Exilic and not the later periods: that it belongs naturally to the pre-Exilic forms of the Messianic kingdom: that the Isaianic passages ascribe to the Messiah the duties prescribed by the time, the deliverance of Israel from the Assyrian invasion, and the establishment of a righteous kingdom over the people of Yahwè.

If we accept the chief Messianic passages as Isaianic, we obtain a very striking picture of the Messiah. Thus, according to ix. 6, 7 (Cheyne's

translation) :--

A child is born unto us, a son is given unto us, And the government is upon his shoulders, and his name is called Wonder-counsellor, God-hero, Father of booty, Prince of Peace. Increased is the government and of peace there is no end, Upon the throne of David and over his kingdom, In establishing and supporting it by justice and righteousness from henceforth even for ever.

The zeal of Yahwè Schaoth will accomplish this.

In these verses we have a description of the Messiah as a great warrior and ruler. In the following verses as a righteous judge, inspired by the Spirit of Yahwè, equally great in knowledge and in practice. xi. 1-5:--

And a shoot shall come forth from the stock of Jesse, And a scion from his roots shall bear fruit,

And the spirit of Yahwè shall rest upon him, A spirit of wisdom and discernment, a spirit of counsel and might, a spirit of knowledge and of the fear of Yahwè. And he shall not judge according to that which his eyes have seen, Nor arbitrate according to that which his ears have heard, But with righteousness shall he judge the helpless,

And arhitrate with equity for the humble in the land; And he shall smite tyrants with the rod of his mouth, And with the hreath of his lips shall he slay the ungodly.

And righteousness shall be the girdle of his loins,

Aud faithfulness the girdle of his reins.

held out: 1 iii. 12. "Zion, for your sake, shall be ploughed as a field, and Jerusalem shall become heaps, and the mountain of the house as the high places of the forest."

In the above prophets the judgment of the Gentiles is never conceived independently, but only in relation to the judgment on Israel or Judah.

# Nahum (664-607 B.C.) and Habakkuk (605-600 B.C.)

When we pass from these four great prophets of the eighth century to those of the latter half of the seventh, namely Nahum, Habakkuk, and Zephaniah, we find that religious thought on our subject has in

The nature of the lower creation will be transformed, xi. 6-8:-

And the wolf shall lodge with the lamb, and the leopard lie down with the kid,
And the calf and the young lion, and the fatling together, whilst a little child
leadeth them.

And the cow and the bear shall feed, together shall their young ones lie down, And the lion shall eat straw like the ox;

And the suckling shall play at the hole of the asp,

And the weaned child shall stretch out his hand to the eyeball of the basilisk.

xxx. 26 :-

Moreover the light of the moon shall be as the light of the sun, And the light of the sun shall be sevenfold, as the light of seven days.

1 Only chaps. i. iii. (with the exception of ii. 12, 13, which promise the return from the Exile) are assigned to Micah. Chaps. iv.-vii. (with the exception of iv. 9, 10, and v. 1, 10-14) are, according to Nowack (Kleine Propheten, 187, 188, 204 sqq.), derived from different authors and different periods. Stade, Smend, Wellhausen, Marti, and Cheyne reject iv., v. in their entirety, and most critics since Ewald's time reject vi., vii. See Stade, ZATW, 1881, pp. 161-172; 1883, 1-16; 1884, 291-297; Smend, ATliche Theol. 225; Driver, Introd. vii. 329-334.

According to the rejected chapters, Yahwè will again restore the kingdom to Israel (vii. 7-9). The exiles will be restored (ii. 12; iv. 6, 7). The Messiah from Beth Ephratah will rule in Yahwè's name (v. 2), and with His reign will begin the eternal Messianic kingdom (v. 3-7), and He will crush Assyria (v. 5-7), and henceforth idolatry and wickedness and warfare will be at an end

(v. 10-14).

Modification of conception of day of Yahwè in seventh century.

Yahwe must intervene for Israel because Israel is righteous. part advanced and in part retrograded. The retrogression is manifest in the books of Nahum and Habakkuk. In these prophets we have a modified renewal of the old popular conception of the day of Yahwè; for they conceive it as an intervention on behalf of righteous Israel against the wicked Assyria. According to the primitive view, Yahwè was bound to intervene on behalf of His people on the ground of the supposed natural affinities existing between them, whereas according to the view of Nahum and Habakkuk¹ His intervention must follow on the ground of ethical affinities; for Israel and the Gentiles are related to each other as the righteous, pyz, and the wicked, yma (Hab. i. 4, 13).

The grounds for this renewal in a modified form of the old view of the day of Yahwè are to be found partly in the sufferings experienced by Israel at the hands of their oppressors, and partly in the confidence which Josiah's reforms had begotten in the people that they were truly Yahwè's people.

Israel's sufferings at the hands of their oppressors had given birth to unutterable bitterness and resentment. The pressure of foreign influences in worship and morals also naturally made the religious leaders in Judah set all the higher value on their national worship and ancestral customs. The religious party therefore tended to become more and more

I Hab. i. 5-11 is an interpolation according to Giesebrecht, Wellhausen, Nowack, etc. Likewise chap. iii. The former passage is probably earlier and the latter much later in date than Habakkuk. With the later date of iii. Davidson and Driver agree, but both defend i. 5-11. ii. 11-14 is rejected by Nowack.

CHAP. III,

national in sympathy and aims. Nahum appears as the spokesman of this party. He does not stand, as the preceding prophets, in opposition to the ruling party in the state, but rather gives expression to their sentiments. The cause of Yahwe and of Israel is one and the same.

In the next place, owing to the reforms under Josiah, the people felt themselves to be Yahwe's people, and accordingly were confident of His help. They felt themselves to be righteous-neither in Nahum nor Habakkuk is there any mention of Israel's sin-hence over against the glaring wickedness of the Gentiles the actual Judah was regarded as righteous (see Hab. i. 4, 13). The righteousness of Judah was thus, it is true, only a relative righteousness. Judah could claim to be righteous only in contrast with the wickedness of the heathen. We have herein the beginnings of the thought that The Israel is right over against the world—the begin-beginnings of the belief that nings; for in Nahum and Habakkuk this view is Israel is right over applied only to the single nation of the Assyrians against the world, and not, as in later times, to all the Gentiles. Hence the impending judgment will strike, not righteous Israel, but the godless Gentiles. Under the influence of Habakkuk's example the usage was developed later of designating the Gentiles abso-Jutely as the godless, רשט, and Judah as the righteous, צדיק (cf. Is. xxvi. 10; Pss. ix. 5, 17, x. 2, 3, 4, lviii. 10, lxviii. 2, cxxv. 3). Henceforth in most subsequent representations of the future the destruction of the Gentiles stands as a central thought.

The Messianic kingdom to follow on the destruction of Assyria in Nahum.

In Nahum i.-ii. 2 we have a description of the day of Yahwè and the setting up of the Messianic kingdom. It begins with a reference to the attributes of Yahwè and their manifestation in nature (i. 2-6). The writer then deals with the utter end. that is to be made of the enemies of His people, particularly of Assyria (i. 7-14). The Messianic kingdom is apparently to follow on the destruction of Nineveh. For the humiliation of Judah is at an end (i. 12): "Though I have afflicted thee, I will, afflict thee no more." 1 The hour of redemption is at hand (i. 15): "Behold upon the mountains the feet of him that bringeth good tidings, that publisheth peace." God will restore Israel and Judah (ii. 2): "Yahwè bringeth again the excellency of Jacob, as the excellency of Israel."

But i. 2-ii. 2 may be post-Exilic. In that case Nahum would possess no the Messianic future. If, however, Bickell, Gunkel, and Nowack are right in their views that i. 2-ii. 2 is not a prophecy but an alphabetical psalm describing under traditional forms the coming of Yahwè to judge the enemies of His people and the establishment of the Messianic kingdom,<sup>2</sup> they will be no less right in maintaining that it is not from Nahum's hand but from a much later date. In that case the original prophecy of Nahum, would deal with the judgment of Nineveh (ii. 3-iii.) and not possess a single reference to the Messianic

<sup>1</sup> These words might be rendered: "When I afflict, I will afflict thee no more," that is, the affliction would be thorough and final. In this case the verse would apply to Assyria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Gunkel, ZATW, 1893, pp. 223 sqq.; Bickell, Sitzungsberichte der kaiserl. Akad. der Wiss. in Wien, Abhandl. v. 1894; Gunkel, Schöpfung und Chaos, 102, 103, 1895; Nowack, Kleine Propheten, pp. 227, 231-237, 1897; Davidson on Nahum, pp. 18-20, criticises this view unfavourably.

future. The real beginning of this prophecy was according to this view thrust out when i. 2-ii. 2 was amalgamated with ii. 3-iii.

CHAP. III.

In Habakkuk the only words that could be con- In Habakkuk strued as referring to the kingdom are ii. 4, "The just shall remain in life through his integrity," at the judgment, and ii. 14, "the earth shall be filled with the knowledge of the glory of Yahwè, as the waters cover the sea."1

## ZEPHANIAH (before 621 B.C.)

But whilst Nahum and Habakkuk are retrogressive, an important advance in the development of the idea of divine judgment is attested in Zephaniah, by whom the day of Yahwe is conceived as the judgment Day of Yahwe of the whole world resulting in the survival of a judgment righteous remnant of Israel.

resulting in survival of

In Zephaniah the judgment appears for the first a righteous time to be universal. Its universal scope is the Israel. necessary corollary to the monotheistic faith of the prophet; for Yahwè as the God of the whole earth, and pre-eminently as the God of righteousness, must summon all the nations to judgment.

The judgment deals with Jerusalem (i. 8-13), with Philistia, Ethiopia, and Assyria (ii. 1-6), with all nations (iii. 8), with all the inhabitants of the earth (i. 18). It extends even to the brute creation (i. 2, 3). There is, however, a certain inconsistency in the picture. The instruments of judgment are a

<sup>1</sup> This verse, however, is regarded by many scholars as a later addition.

mysterious people, called "the guests" of Yahwè (i. 7)—probably the Scythians—who do not themselves come within the scope of judgment. Thus the conception is wanting in definiteness and comprehension. Zephaniah moves in the footsteps of Isaiah in the account of the impending judgment, but, whereas, in the latter, judgment on Israel and the nations stands in inner connection with his conception of the divine character and purposes, in Zephaniah it is without definite aim, if with certain critics we reject ii. 8-11, iii. 8-10: its various constituents appear to represent already current eschatological expectations, while its wide sweep shows the operation of the prevailing monotheism. The day of Yahwè is a day of battle and assault on the defenced cities (i. 16), a day of trouble and distress, of wasteness and desolation, of supernatural terrors, of darkness, clouds and thick darkness (i. 14-18). The nations are to be assembled in order to be destroyed by the fierce anger of Yahwè (iii. 8). This last feature, that is, the destruction of the nations generally, appears first in Zephaniah. This idea is a further development of the earlier doctrine that only the nations hostile to Judah should be destroyed, which is found in ii. 1-7 (i.e. the Philistines, Moab, and Ammon, etc.), Jer. xxv. 15-24 (i.e. the genuine portions), and Is. xvii. 12, 13 (i.e. the Assyrians). In the eighth-century prophets

Zephaniah first treats of the judgment of all nations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ii. 8-11 are rejected by Wellhausen and Nowack (*l.c.* 275-277); also by Budde and Cornill. iii. 9, 10 generally held to be later. Davidson defends the integrity of the entire book. See Driver, *Introd.* 342, 343.

in this connection it is the destruction of definite and present foes that is announced, but in the later it is that of the nations generally. Of these later prophets it forms a prominent and constantly recurring characteristic, as we see in Jer. xxv. 32, 33 (the addition of a reviser); Ezek. xxxviii.-xxxix., the fifth-century passages in Is. xxxiv., lxiii. 1-6; Zech. xii. 1-3, and the much later anonymous fragments in Is. lxvi. 16, 18, 19; Zech. xiv. 1-3, 12-15. The scene of this judgment on the nations, which Zephaniah leaves indeterminate, is declared by later prophets to be Jerusalem (Zech. xiv. 2, 12, 13; Ioel iii. 2; Is. lxvi. 15).

At the close of the judgment there will be left The Messianic a small and righteous remnant in Israel (iii. 12, 13): "I will leave in the midst of thee an afflicted and poor people, and they shall trust in the name of Yahwè. The remnant of Israel shall not do iniquity, neither shall a deceitful tongue be found in their mouth: for they shall feed and lie down, and none shall make them afraid." These are those who are urged in ii. 3 to seek righteousness, if haply they

There is a wide universalism in Zephaniah if All the nations ii. 11 and iii. 9, 10 are original. ii. 11. "Men shall Yahwe." worship him, every one from his place, even all the coast lands of the nations." iii. 9. "Then will I turn to the peoples a pure lip, that they may all call upon the name of Yahwe, to serve him with one consent."

may be hid in the day of Yahwè.

In Zephaniah, as in Nahum and Habakkuk, there No Messiah. is no mention of a Messianic king.

# JEREMIAH 1 (626-586 B.C.) and EZEKIEL (593-571 B.C.)

Contrast between the pre-Exilic and Exilic conceptions of judgment.

We have now done with the pre-Exilic conceptions of the day of Yahwè. In pre-Exilic times divine judgment was mainly conceived collectively as one of doom on the nation as a nation: in Exilic and subsequent times the divine judgment is conceived as dealing with the individual Israelite, and thus as presenting a favourable or unfavourable side according to the character of the individual. As a result of this judgment a righteous community was to emerge, forming the nucleus of the Messianic kingdom. This difference in the conceptions of the two periods was brought about, at all events externally, by the destruction of the State. For the political annihilation of Israel may be regarded from two standpoints: from the one it was the inevitable doom of the impenitent nation; from the other, and that the one of most moment to our present study, it formed an indispensable factor in the development of religion; for it contributed to the individualisation of religion, alike in its essential nature and its expectations of the future. Thus the eschatology of the individual becomes henceforth a factor in the eschatology of the nation.

The Exile contributes to the individualisation of religion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jeremiah (626-586 B.C.) belongs, it is true, to the pre-Exilic period. Since, however, his teaching on the relation of man to God is so diverse from that of his contemporaries and predecessors, and in many respects so nearly akin to that of Ezekiel (who was herein his disciple), I have thought it best to discuss their doctrines together, and treat Jeremiah as though he were a prophet of the Exile.

We have seen above that the message of the CHAP. III. pre-Exilic prophet to Israel was mainly one of con- Contrast demnation, and that only in a few cases was the Exilic and prospect held out of a regenerated national life. subsequent But with the Exile the burthen of prophecy is no the Messianic longer doom and destruction, but promise and blessing, and such is its unfailing characteristic till the close of the Canon. Judgment is still of necessity preached. But its character is very differently conceived in the succeeding centuries accordingly as we study the spiritual founders of Judaism or the large-hearted prophets who prepared the way for Christianity. According to Jeremiah and his spiri- The kingdom tual successors, the rôle of judgment is only vindic- for Israel and all the nations, tive with the finally impenitent: in the case of all according to others its character is corrective and disciplinary. Its object is to prepare the way for the external Messianic kingdom in which all the nations shall participate.

between preprophecy as to

But, according to Ezekiel and subsequent writers For Israel only, of the same school, judgment was conceived as a according to Ezekiel. purging of Israel from its evil elements with a view to the establishment of the eternal Messianic kingdom; but for the nations it meant only destruction, partial or complete, or, under the most favourable construction, absolute political subjection to Israel.

According, therefore, to the eschatology of the Exilic concep Exile, the Messianic kingdon was placed in the tions of the forefront of both prophetic and popular expectation. and of the This kingdom was to be introduced by the day of kingdom.

day of Yahwè

Yahwè—conceived no longer merely as inflicting collective punishment for collective guilt, but as meting out individual retribution. As the result of this judgment a new and regenerate Israel emerges—the Messianic kingdom. Into this kingdom the nations enter by conversion, according to Jereniah, but according to Ezekiel, even those which had survived the day of Yahwè are for ever excluded from it.

We have above dealt with Jeremiah and Ezekiel's doctrine of Individualism (see pp. 59-68). The individualising of religion in these prophets was the *precondition* of the restoration of Israel after the fall of Jerusalem. In God's visitations only the wicked in Israel, according to Ezekiel, should be destroyed. When a new Israel was thus created, Yahwè would further intervene to vindicate His honour and sole sovereignty over the world, and Israel would be restored to its own land, and the Gentiles destroyed. In Ezekiel a synthesis of the eschatologies of the nation and of the individual is attempted wholly within the sphere of this life.

Ezekiel's synthesis of the eschatologies of the nation and of the individual.

We have now arrived at a new period in the development of eschatological thought in Israel. Israel is on the eve of exile. But this exile is to be only of temporary duration. Yahwè's thoughts to Israel are thoughts of peace and not of evil (Jer. xxix. 11). After an exile of 70 years¹ in Babylon (xxv. 11, xxix. 10), Israel will be converted and brought back to its own land, and an ever-

Prophecy of the Messianic kingdom by Jeremiah.

What a fruitful source of apocalyptic systems this number became we shall see later.

CHAP. 111.

lasting Messianic kingdom be established. This kingdom will be ruled over either by Yahwè or His servant the Messiah. Some scholars, it is true, maintain that the references to the Messiah in this prophet do not belong to the original text.1

Although the judgment of Israel is not strictly individualistic in Jeremiah, as it is in Ezekiel, we shall give his eschatological views with those of Ezekiel: for the latter are built on the former.

In Jeremiah the day of Yahwè is directed first and principally against Judah: the enemy will come in from the north (i. 11-16); the city and the temple will be destroyed (xxxvii. 6-10). But account is taken also of other nations, which are to drink of the cup of the wine of the fury of Yahwè-Egypt, Palestine, Edom, Moab, Ammon (xxv. 15-24; cf. i. 18). The further details of the judgment (xxv. 27-33, which expand it into a day of universal judgment) are interpolations from a later date. But there is a hopeful outlook. Israel will be restored (xxiii. 7, 8; xxiv. 5, 6). This restoration will be Restoration of preceded by repentance (iii. 13, 19-25), and accom- Israel to be accompanied panied by a change of heart wrought by Yahwè. by a change of heart. Through this change of heart each member of the nation will know Yahwè and obey Him (xxiv. 7): "And I will give them a heart to know me, that I am Yahwe; and they shall be my people, and I will be their God: for they shall return unto me with their whole heart"; cf. xxxii. 39. The same

<sup>1</sup> See, however, Cornill and Marti on Jer. xxiii. 5 sq.

Messianic kingdom and the Messiah, the latter representing a dynasty.

promise is made, but more clearly and fully, in xxxi. 33, 34: "But this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, saith Yahwe: I will put my law in their inward parts, and in their heart will I write it; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people; and they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know Yahwè, for they shall all know me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, saith Yahwe: for I will forgive their iniquity, and their sin will I remember no more." When restored to their own land, Yahwè will give them a righteous Branch of the house of David to rule over them (xxiii. 5, 6): "Behold the days come, saith Yahwè, that I will raise unto David a righteous Branch, and he shall reign as king and deal wisely, and shall execute judgment and justice in the land. In his days Judah shall be saved, and Israel shall dwell safely: and this is his name whereby he shall be called, Yahwè is our righteousness." Elsewhere Jeremiah speaks of the rulers of restored Israel as Shepherds (iii. 15, xxiii. 4). The Messiah, therefore, is conceived of as a dynasty, and not as an individual. Other Messianic passages, as xxx. 8, 9, 21; xxxiii. 14-26, are rejected by Giesebrecht.

The nations will be converted and incorporated in the kingdom. But the blessings of the kingdom will not be limited to Israel. The nations also will be converted, even those who have been hostile to Israel (xii. 14, 15): "Thus saith Yahwè: Against all mine evil neighbours, that touch the inheritance which I

have caused my people Israel to inherit: Behold I

will pluck them up from off their land, and will

CHAP. III.

pluck up the house of Judah from among them. And it shall come to pass, after that I have plucked them up, I will return and have compassion on them; and I will bring them again, every man to his heritage, and every man to his land." And elsewhere it is declared (iv. 2): "The nations shall bless themselves in Yahwè, and in him shall they glory"; (xvi. 19): "O Yahwè, my strength and my stronghold, and my refuge in the day of affliction, unto thee shall the nations come from the ends of the earth, and shall say, Our fathers have inherited nought but lies, even vanity and things wherein there is no profit." iii. 17, which gives evidence in the same direction, is rejected by Giesebrecht. All the nations shall be converted, and only the impenitent will be destroyed (xii. 16, Only the finally 17): "And it shall come to pass, if they will be destroyed. diligently learn the ways of my people, to swear by my name, As Yahwè liveth; even as they taught my people to swear by Baal; then shall they be built up in the midst of my people. But if they will not hear, then will I pluck up that nation, plucking up and destroying it, saith Yahwè." The individualism appearing in Jeremiah is, as

we have seen above, developed in Ezekiel to an Teaching of extreme degree. Judgment will proceed individually on Israel, but collectively on the Gentiles. Yahwè will give a new heart to Israel (xi. 17-21. xxxvi. 25-32), and restore Israel and Judah to their

Messianic kingdom.

The Messiah not an individual, but a series of successive kings.

Invasion of Palestine by Gog.

This prophecy arises from an unfulfilled prophecy of Jeremiah and Zephaniah.

Unfulfilled prophecy a source of Apocalyptic.

Hopeless destiny of the surviving Gentiles own land, where, as the Messianic kingdom (xvii. 22-24), they shall be ruled by the Messiah (xxi. 27), by one king, even David (xxxiv. 23-31, xxxvii. 21-28). But the Messiah is not conceived here as an individual, but as a series of successive kings (cf. xlv. 8, xlvi. 16).

But after the establishment of the kingdom under the Messiah in Palestine, the heathen powers will join in a vast confederation against it. Under Gog, from the land Magog, will they march, but will all be destroyed through the might of Yahwè (xxxviii., xxxix.) This is the foe whose invasion of Israel from the north had been prophesied by Jeremiah (iii.-vi.) and Zephaniah (i. 7), but whose coming had hitherto been looked for in vain. Since this prophecy had remained unfulfilled, Ezekiel edits it anew, and adjourns its accomplishment. It is of Gog that Ezekiel thus speaks: "Thou art he of whom I spake by my servants the prophets of Israel, which prophesied in those days for many years, that I would bring thee against them" (xxxviii. 171). This reduplication of judgment first appears in Ezekiel.

To this re-editing of unfulfilled prophecy is to be traced one of the sources of Apocalyptic.

On the Gentiles which survive the final overthrow in the land of Israel, no gleam of divine compassion will for ever light.<sup>2</sup>

I have given side by side the views of Jeremiah

<sup>1</sup> See Bertholet on Ezekiel xxxviii. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some scholars find in xvii. 23 a promise that the Gentiles will seek refuge under the rule of the Messiah; but xvii. 24 shows that this interpretation is unsound. The Gentiles are symbolised not by the "birds of every

and Ezekiel, the great prophets of the Exile and the years immediately preceding it, as the best means of displaying their undoubted affinities, and their no less indubitable diversities. This parallel presenta- Jeremiah and tion of their views will be helpful, since these two founders of prophets were the sources of two concurrent but two very diverse schools very diverse streams of development.

CHAP. III.

of development.

Both prophets are teachers of monotheism. With Jeremiah this doctrine was a living and fruitful principle, and teaches him to see, not in Israel only but in all the nations, the objects of the saving purposes of Yahwè. Jeremiah's universalism marks him out as the true spiritual successor of the great prophets of the eighth century. Ezekiel's particularism, on the other hand, shows his affinities to Nahum and Habakkuk of the seventh. For in Ezekiel monotheism is but a barren and lifeless dogma. Though theoretically he conceives Yahwè to be the sole Creator and God of all the earth, his belief has no influence on his views as to the destinies of the Gentiles. Israel alone will experience the salvation of Yahwe: but as for the Gentiles, their end is partly destruction and partly an unblessed existence under the malign rule of an ever hostile and ever unappeasable deity.

We shall deal first with those prophets who followed in the wake of Jeremiah, and developed his teaching to its legitimate consequences. In this

wing" in xvii. 23, but by "the trees of the field," xvii. 24. As "the cedar," xvii. 23, represents the kingdom of Israel, so "the trees of the field" represent the Gentile kingdoms. The only object with which the latter seem to be spared is that they may recognise the omnipotence of Yahwe.

Universalistic conception of the Messianic

kingdom.

post-Exilic development (550-275 B.C.) the thought of judgment, of the day of Yahwè, all but wholly disappears before that of an all-embracing Messianic kingdom—a kingdom initiated not through judgment but through the missionary efforts of Israel and the willing conversion of the nations.

### THE SECOND ISAIAH, xl.-lv. (545-539 B.C.)

According to the Second Isaiah, there is in store for Jerusalem not punishment but mercy, for already she has received double for all her sins (xl.2). Moreover the Chaldean power will be overthrown through Cyrus (xli. 25; xliii. 14; xlv.-xlvii.; xlviii. 14, 15). Yahwè's people will then come forth from Babylon (xlviii. 20, lii. 11, 12). All difficulties in the way of the returning exiles will be removed (xl. 3-5; xli. 18, 19; xliii. 2-7; xlviii. 20-22; xlix. 8). Jerusalem and the temple will be rebuilt by the help of Cyrus (xliv. 28, xlv. The desolation of Zion will be at an end, her wilderness will become like Eden and her desert like the garden of the Lord (li. 3), and Jerusalem will be built of precious stones (liv. 11, 12), and its inhabitants will be disciples of Yahwè (liv. 13). And the land will be too strait for its inhabitants (xlix. 18-23, liv. 1). And never more will Jerusalem be assailed nor any arm raised up against her (xlix. 24-26, liv. 8-10, 14-17). The cities of Judah will again be inhabited (xl. 9, xliv. 26), and Israel will possess the nations (liv. 3). Egypt and Ethiopia will of their own free will submit themselves unto

Restoration of the exiles: rebuilding of Jerusalem and the temple.

Voluntary conversion of nations, and their submission to Israel.

Israel, confessing: "Only in thee is God, and there is none beside-no Godhead at all" (xlv. 14). Yea, all the nations will become subject to Israel (xlix. 22, 23).1

CHAP. III.

But the conception of Israel's purpose and future The kingdom is more nobly conceived in the "Songs of the Israel and the Servant" (xlii. 1-4, xlix. 1-6, l. 4-9, lii. 13-liii. 12), established which can hardly be of the same authorship as the through "the Servant of rest of Isaiah (xl.-lv.) "They form a connected cycle Yahwe." of poetical meditations." In this poem the Servant "Songs of is the pious remnant of the people. They have been elected by God to a special service, and this service is the conversion of mankind to the worship of Yahwe. Hence the function of this true Israel is a missionary one. Their first task is the conversion of the rest of the nation-to "bring back Jacob unto him, and that unto him Israel might be gathered" (xlix. 5). Then their work is to extend to all the ends of the earth. The Servant should become the light of the nations; judgment shall be established on the earth, and the coast lands shall wait for His law (xlix. 6, xlii. 4). In these "Songs" the nations are considered only as subjects

nations to be according to the Servant."

A representation of the future somewhat similar The nations to that in the Second Isaiah appears in the post- selves under

of the divine mercy, and never of judgment, as in Is. xlii. 13-17. There is no thought of Israel's political

<sup>2</sup> Cheyne, Introd. p. 305.

supremacy.

<sup>1</sup> In xlii. 13-17 we have a description of the day of Yahwe in the Second of Yahwe Isaiah. In this passage it is the heathen and idolatrous world that is judged. (1s. ii. 2-4). Israel does not come within its scope (cf. li. 23).

Exilic passages Is. ii. 2-4 = Mic. iv. 1-3, according to which the nations should of their own free will submit themselves to Yahwè.

Isaiah ii. 2-4: "And it shall come to pass in the latter days that the mountain of Yahwè's house shall be established in the top of the mountains, and shall be exalted above the hills; and all nations shall flow unto it. And many peoples shall go and say, Come ye, and let us go up to the mountain of Yahwè, to the house of the God of Jacob; and he will teach us of his ways, and we will walk in his paths: for out of Zion shall go forth the law, and the word of Yahwè from Jerusalem. And he shall judge between the nations, and reprove many peoples, and they shall beat their swords into plow-shares, and their spears into pruning hooks; nation shall not lift up sword against nation, neither shall they learn war any more."

A like conception is probably at the base of the post-Exilic Is. xi. 9 = Hab. ii. 14 (both editorial additions?), which declare that the earth shall be full of the knowledge of Yahwè as the waters cover the sea.

PSALMS XXII., IXV., IXXXVI., IXXXVII.

In the Psalms.

The same thought 1 is set forth in the Psalms: "All the ends of the earth shall remember and turn to Yahwè, and all the kindreds of the nations shall worship before him" (xxii. 27-31): yea, "all nations... should come and worship," for God is their

<sup>1</sup> Cf. also the addition in Zeph. iii. 9, 10.

Creator (lxxxvi. 9).1 God is said to be "the confidence of all the ends of the earth" (lxv. 5); all flesh is to come to Him as "the hearer of prayer" (lxv. 2).2 But in Ps. lxxxvii. we have a noble conception which sums up in itself all the highest thought of the past in this direction. Jerusalem is to be the mother city of all the nations, "the metropolis of an ideally Catholic Church" (Cheyne); whole nations should enter the Jewish Church (lxxxvii. 4), but as individuals (lxxxvii. 5); and this should be their universal song: "All my fresh springs are in thee"

Only three more works, Malachi, Jonah and Is. xix. 16-25, call for attention, but these are beyond measure remarkable.

(lxxxvii. 7).

### MALACHI (before 458 B.C.)

A wide universalism is apparently found in Mal. i. Recognition of 11, where, in regard to the surrounding nations, the monotheistic element in prophet declares: "From the rising of the sun even heathen unto the going down of the same, my name is great Malachi. among the Gentiles; and in every place incense is offered unto my name and a pure offering." Here the writer recognises the monotheism underlying the heathen religions. At this date the divine

religions by

<sup>2</sup> On the expectation that proselytes shall be admitted into the congregation of Yahwe's worshippers, see also Is. xiv. 1, xxv. 6, lxv. 3. 6.

<sup>1</sup> Cf. also xxv. 6 in the small apocalypse in Is. xxiv.; xxv. 6-8; xxvi. 10-20; xxvii. 1, 12, 13. This Cheyne assigns to the fourth century, Duhm and Marti to the second. This later date, which is, however, difficult on other grounds, would help to explain the very advanced eschatology which appears in xxiv. 21-23, which speaks of a preliminary judgment, and then after a very long interval of the final judgment. On the latter follows the theocratic kingdom (xxiv. 23).

CHAP, III,

designation "the Most High God" existed contemporaneously among the Phœnicians, Samaritans, and Jews. The words, however, are not to be taken in an absolute, but in a relative sense. The offerings of the heathen are made, though unconsciously, to Yahwè, and are more pleasing to Him than the faulty and deceitful sacrifices of Israel. That the words are to be construed in some such limited sense is clear from the next chapter (ii. 10), where Yahwè is represented as the Father and Creator of all the members of Judaism, and of these alone; 1 for on this statement is based an argument against the taking of heathen wives. And yet, however much we limit the words, it is indisputable that in Malachi heathenism is not conceived as a power hostile to God, as it is in Haggai'and Zechariah.

Despite the severe visitations which the nation had experienced in the past, Israel proved again unfaithful when restored to its own land. Some seventy years earlier Haggai and Zechariah had promised the advent of the kingdom on the rebuilding of the temple. Within a few years the temple had been rebuilt, but the promise remained unfulfilled.

With Malachi the temple still holds this central position. Yahwè will suddenly come to it after that His messenger has prepared the way. But this coming will be for judgment; for Israel has, alike in

<sup>1</sup> These conflicting views show that although the monotheistic conception of God was a central article of the Jewish creed of the time, it was not a living and growing principle, and so its transforming influence on the rest of this creed was in the main nullified.

private morals (iii. 5, 14) and public worship (i. 6, 14), gone back to evil pre-Exilic ways.

Judgment was therefore impending, but before Day of Yahwè that "great and terrible day" Elijah should be sent on Israel only to "turn the heart of the fathers to the children and the heart of the children to the fathers" (iv. 5, 6). This judgment, which in Hag. ii. 5 sqq., 21-23, and Zech. i. 15, ii. 1 sqq., vi. 1-8, was conceived as an annihilation of the heathen powers, is in Malachi limited to Israel (ii. 17, iii. 3, 5, 13 sqq.) This day will "burn as a furnace," and destroy "all the proud and all that work wickedness." Only the righteous only the will be delivered. For them there is a book of inherit the remembrance written before Yahwè. "And they kingdom. shall be a peculiar treasure unto me, saith Yahwè of Hosts, in the day that I prepare; and I will spare them as a man spareth his own son that serveth him" (iii. 17); "and all nations shall call you happy; for ye shall be a delightsome land, saith Yahwè of

#### Jonah (circa 300 B.C.)

Hosts" (iii. 12).

The Book of Jonah was written with a didactic The most purpose. It is not a piece of history, nor is it a pure notable manifesto of invention. Many of the materials of the narrative Universalism in the Old are drawn from tradition, which the author freely Testament. recasted in such a form as would best bring home to his readers the truths he sought to enforce.

<sup>1</sup> Very remarkable parallels to individual elements in Jonah, as well as to the story as a whole are found in Greek and Babylonian and Old Egyptian Mythology, but above all in Buddhistic.

Though many subordinate lessons are to be drawn from the book, the main object of the writer was to teach, in opposition to the narrow exclusiveness of the Jews, that the divine mercy embraced not Israel alone, but all mankind. While Israel claimed to be the elect and sole people of God, our author would teach that the other nations were no less the objects of the divine compassion and love, and that the nations, in fact, were more ready to repent than Israel with all its unique advantages (cf. Jer. xviii. 7, 8), and that it was the duty of Israel to carry as missionaries the knowledge of God to the nations. The keynote of the entire book is given in the confession of Jonah iv. 2, "I knew that Thou art a gracious God, and full of compassion, slow to anger, and plenteous in mercy, and repentest Thee of the evil." The implication of the entire book is that these words describe the attitude of God, not to Israel only but to all men. It is the most remarkable pronouncement of Universalism outside the New Testament.

Isaiah xix. 16-25 (circa 275 B.C., Cheyne: 180 B.C., Gray: post 160 B.C., Duhm and Marti)

In Is. xix. 16-25 the hopes of Ps. lxxxvii. reappear, but are far outbid in universality. Jerusalem, though the source of spiritual blessedness to Egypt and Assyria (Syria), is neither nationally nor spiritually paramount over them; rather do they form a spiritual and national confederacy in which Israel holds not the first but the third place (Is. xix. 21, 23-25): "And

Israel, Egypt, and Assyria to form a spiritual confederacy. Yahwè shall be known to Egypt, and the Egyptians shall know Yahwè in that day; yea, they shall worship with sacrifice and oblation. . . . In that day shall there be a high way out of Egypt to Assyria, and the Assyrians shall come into Egypt and the Egyptians into Assyria; and the Egyptians shall worship with the Assyrians. And in that day shall Israel be the third with Egypt and with Assyria, a blessing in the midst of the earth; for that Yahwè of Hosts hath blessed them, saying: Blessed be Egypt my people, and Assyria the work of my

hands, and Israel mine inheritance."

We have now dealt with the prophetic writers who, following in the wake of Jeremiah and the Second Isaiah, foretold the incorporation of the Gentiles into the Messianic kingdom. But concurrently with this large-hearted universalism there existed a variety of narrow and one-sided views, which held more or less closely to the particularism which originated with Nahum and Habakkuk, but especially with Ezekiel. According to Ezekiel and The future, his successors, the future world, the Messianic age, Ezekiel and belonged to Israel—to Judah and Israel reunited (Hos. iii. 5; Mic. v. 36; post-Exilic) under the Messianic descendant of David (Is. ix. 1-6, xi. 1-8; Mic. v. 2-4; all Exilic or later): in it the Gentiles had no share at all, or only in a subordinate degree as dependants or servants of Israel. Their destiny was subjection or destruction, generally the latter, and always so in the case of those that had been hostile to Israel. According to these teachers—

CHAP. 111.

Particularistic conception of the day of Messianic kingdom.

The day of Yahwè was to be a day of deliverance, initiating the Messianic kingdom on earth for Israel, but (a) a period of ministry or bondage, or (b) else Yahwe and the of partial or complete destruction for the Gentiles.1

#### Some post-Exilic Fragments of Isaiah

**Various** post-Exilic fragments of Isaiah.

- (a) In the Messianic future the Gentiles are to escort the returning Israelites to Jerusalem, and become their servants and handmaids (Is. xiv. 1-3,2 lxvi. 12-16, 18"-208): they should build up its walls (lx. 10). and bow themselves and become subject to Israel (lx. 14), or else perish (lx. 12); they should become Israel's herdsmen, and ploughmen, and vinedressers (lxi. 5).4 Very noble descriptions of the Messianic kingdom are given in iv. 2-6, xxvii. 6, xxix. 16-24, xxxv. 1-10, but these speak only of Israel in relation to the Messianic age.
- (b) But at times the partial or complete destruction of the Gentiles predicted. In Is. xxxiv., xxxv. (450-430 B.C., Cheyne) there is a universal judgment described in which all the nations are to be destroyed (xxxiv. 1-3).5 In the fifth-century

<sup>2</sup> Cheyne regards these verses as alien to Is. xiii. 2-xiv. 21. This idea of the nations escorting the exiles back to Zion is found also in the Second Is. xlix. 22, 23.

3 According to Cheyne, lx. and lxvi. 6-16, 185-22 belong to the age of Nehemiah and Ezra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Though in Haggai and Zechariah, and other post-Exilic writings, the day of Yahwe is essentially a day of destruction for the Gentiles, in Malachi, as we have already seen, its range is limited to Israel (see ii. 17-iii. 6, iii. 17-iv. 3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> These passages are post-Exilic, lx., lxi. being about 432 B.C. (Cheyne). <sup>5</sup> We have a world-judgment described in xiii. 6-22, though the judgment is there directed primarily against Babylon (cf. xiii. 11, 19), just as in xxxiv. it is specially against Edom.

fragment lix. 156-20 the nations hostile to Yahwè and Israel 1 are singled out for destruction, while those that are spared fear the name of Yahwè (lix. 18, 19); whereas in another fragment of the same date, lxiii, 1-6, which closely resembles the preceding passage in subject and phraseology, only the destruction of the Gentiles is announced.

#### CHAP. 111.

# HAGGAI (520 B.C.)

The exiles have already returned sixteen years, Messianic and the Messianic kingdom has not yet come.3 That kingdom will be established it is at hand the prophet Haggai is assured. A few on the comyears more and it will be manifested. So he infers building of from the political upheavals of the time. But Israel has not done its part. The temple is still lying in ruins. When it is rebuilt, the time will have arrived. Yahwè will in a little while shake the heavens and the earth, and the kingdoms of the nations will be overthrown, and their wealth will be brought to the temple, and though all the world round about be tumbling into ruin, peace will reign in Jerusalem (i, 8, ii 6-9). That the dawn of this kingdom has

pletion of the the temple.

<sup>1</sup> In the post-Exilic (?) passage ix. 1-7 it is the Messiah that destroys the oppressors of Israel (ix. 1). This active rôle of the Messiah is rare in the Old Testament.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. the world-judgment in the small apocalypse Is. xxiv., xxv. (fourth century according to Cheyne, second century according to Duhm, Marti and others), where after the judgment (xxiv. 18-23) the surviving Gentiles shall be admitted to the worship of Yahwè (xxv. 6). It is very remarkable that in xxiv. 21, 22 an intermediate place of punishment is spoken of. The judgment, therefore, is conceived as consisting of two distinct acts. It is possible that we have here some traces of Mazdean influences. See Stave, Ueber den Einfluss des Parsismus auf das Judenthum, 176, 177.

<sup>3</sup> The prophecies of Jeremiah and Ezekiel imply that the return from the Exile and the advent of the kingdom will synchronise.

The heathen powers to be destroyed, and Zerubbabel chosen to be Messiah. already appeared is made clear by a prophecy delivered two months later. For Yahwè will presently overthrow all the heathen powers, and set on the throne of the Messianic kingdom a prince of the house of David, even Zerubbabel, who was already in their midst (ii. 20-23).

Thus the establishment of the Messianic kingdom was expected to follow on the completion of the building of the temple; 1 and the day of Yahwè was conceived to be a destruction of the heathen powers.

What a falling-off there is in Haggai as compared with the great pre-Exilic prophets! No religious reformation of the individual and of the community is demanded by this prophet to prepare for the kingdom. They have only to build the temple.

#### ZECHARIAH i.-viii. (520-518 B.C.)

The thoughts of Haggai are more fully developed by his contemporary Zechariah. He expects the immediate advent of the kingdom when once the temple is rebuilt. As in Haggai (ii. 20-22), so in Zechariah there will be a day of Yahwè in which all the hostile heathen powers will be destroyed (i. 18-21). In this passage the complete heathen world is symbolised by the "four horns," *i.e.* the four quarters of the world. Since this world was

Advent of Messianic kingdom on building of the temple.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For Yahwè the temple is indispensable as His dwelling-place. It is not through moral reformation, but through divine intervention, that the kingdom is to be introduced. The importance of the temple also testifies to the growing importance of the priesthood. Hence the Messiah is less important in Haggai and Zechariah than in Jeremiah.

hostile to Israel, which was to Yahwè as the apple of His eye (ii. 8), it must be annihilated. This destruction of the heathen powers is a precondition of the Messianic time. We have in Haggai and Zechariah further developments of that opposition between the kingdom of God and of the worldkingdoms which has already appeared in Nahum, Habakkuk, and Ezekiel, and which is presented in its sharpest features in Daniel.

the temple.

Zechariah agrees also with Haggai in naming Zerubbabel to be the Messiah Zerubbabel as the Messianic king. After the and to build example of Jeremiah (xxiii. 5, xxxiii. 15), he names him the Branch (iii. 8, 9; vi. 12). But whereas Jeremiah designated a dynasty by this term, in Zechariah it is applied to an individual already in their midst. Zerubbabel will rebuild the temple (vi. 12), and thus Yahwè will dwell among them (ii. 12, 13; viii. 23). The exiles will return (ii. vi.)

Zechariah differs from Haggai in requiring moral Entrance into purity and uprightness in the members of the kingethically condom (vii. 9, 10; viii. 16, 17). The nations also that ditioned for Jews and survive the day of Yahwè will become worshippers Surviving Gentiles. of Yahwè (ii. 11, viii. 20, 21, 23).

# JOEL (about 400 B.C.)

In Joel the enemies of Judah are not actual and Judgment and present foes, but the nations generally. These are to of all the be gathered together in order to be annihilated (iii. Gentiles. 1, 2). The place of judgment is mentioned—the valley of Jehoshaphat—which is obviously chosen

on the ground of the etymological meaning of the term. Yahwè will there sit in judgment upon them (iii. 12), and all the Gentiles will be destroyed. Here we have a nearer approximation to the idea of a final world - judgment than elsewhere in the Old Testament save in Dan. vii. 9, 10. But the judgment is one - sided. Yahwè appears as an advocate for Israel against the nations (iii. 2). The day of Yahwè does not morally sift Israel, as in the pre-Exilic and some Exilic prophets, and the exceptional post-Exilic Mal. iii. 2-5, iv. 1-3, 5, but serves only to justify Israel (ii. 25-27, iii. 16, 17) against the world.1 On the other hand, it is to be observed that Israel here is not the actual but the purified and restored Israel, a spiritually transformed people (ii. 28, 29) worthy of Yahwe's presence (iii. 21). This spiritual transformation, however, is not extended to any of the nations. They are to perish irrevocably.

Before the day of Yahwè all the members of the nation will be filled with the spirit of God (ii. 28, 29): "And it shall come to pass afterward, that I will pour out my spirit upon all flesh; and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, your old men shall dream dreams, your young men shall see visions: and also upon the servants and upon the handmaids in those days will I pour out my spirit."

Signs of the day of Yahwe.

Then signs of the approaching judgment will appear in nature (ii. 30, 31): "And I will show wonders in the heaven and in the earth, blood and

<sup>1</sup> Cf. the interpolation in Second Isaiah, i.e. xlv. 25.

fire, and pillars of smoke. The sun shall be turned CHAP. III. into darkness, and the moon into blood, before the great and terrible day of Yahwè come."

Those who call upon Yahwè in Jerusalem (ii. 32) will be saved. Henceforth Jerusalem is to be holy, Jerusalem the and there will be no heathen to defile it; (iii. 17): eternal "So shall ye know that I am Yahwè your God, Messianic kingdom. dwelling in Zion, my holy mountain: then shall Jerusalem be holy, and there shall no strangers pass through her any more"; (iii. 18, 20): "And it shall come to pass in that day, that the mountains shall drop down sweet wine, and the hills shall flow with milk, and all the brooks of Judah shall flow with waters; and a fountain shall come forth of the house of the Lord, and shall water the valley of Shittim. . . . But Judah shall abide for ever, and Jerusalem from generation to generation." There is no mention of the Messiah.

With Joel and his successors prophecy has Joel apocalyplargely changed into apocalyptic. The forecasts of ticin character. these prophets do not as a rule stand in a living relation with the present and its needs, but are frequently the results of literary reflection on former prophecies. This is specially clear in Joel's "day of Yahwè," which has no organic relation with the present, as it has in the earlier prophets.

ZECHARIAH ix.-xiv. (circa 300 or 160 B.C.)

According to Zech. ix. 1-xiii. 6, all the Gentiles will attack Jerusalem and be destroyed before it

The nonhostile nations to Iudaism.

(xii. 3, 4, 9), but in xiv. it is only the hostile nations that are to be annihilated (Zech. xiv. 12, 13), and the remnant to be converted to Judaism, and to to be converted attend the yearly Feast of Tabernacles (Zech. xiv. 9, 16-21). This fragment is further peculiar in that divine help does not intervene till Jerusalem is in the hands of the Gentiles (xiv. 2, 3).

> The later date suggested above of this section of Zechariah, i.e. 160 B.C., is not improbable. It would in that case provide us with a description of one of the Jewish parties of the time, i.e. the legalistic wing of the Chasidim. According to this party a Kingdom of God was to be established, in which the ceremonial Law of Moses was to be observed, the holiness of Jerusalem was to be of a Levitical character (ch. xiv. 20, 21), and a yearly participation in the Feast of Tabernacles, as we have already mentioned, was to be obligatory on all. view of this writer, as in that of his contemporaries, the Law was absolutely supreme. Accordingly, there was no room for any kind of prophecy, and the writer, who was probably a priest, states categorically, that all prophets, as such, should be put to death by their parents (xiii. 1-5). Under this benumbing yoke of the Law, there was no room for the man who came with a fresh message from God unless he issued it under the name of some ancient worthy of Israel. Thus Prophecy or Apocalyptic had of necessity become pseudepigraphic in this century, and Enoch and Daniel are

Absolute supremacy of Law makes Prophecy impossible.

the first great representatives of this type of prophecy CHAP. IIL. or apocalyptic.

# Daniel (165 B.C.)

Between the years 168 and 165 B.C. when the political subjection of the Jews was complete, and their High Priestly leaders were betraying the religious interests of the nation, and yielding themselves as the mere tools of Antiochus in the Hellenisation of the Jewish faith, there arose a man of God amongst the Chasidim, who felt that he was divinely commissioned to be one of the means of saving Israel, in this, one of the worst crises of their national history. He belonged to the Apocalyptic side of the Chasidim. Had he lived two hundred vears earlier he would have come forward as a prophet, and addressed the people directly in the name of Yahwè, but he had fallen on evil days, seeing that the absolute autocracy of the Law had made prophecy impossible. Accordingly, if the Prophet or Seer at this period wished to secure a hearing for his message he was obliged to publish it under the name of some great personality in Israel's prophetic past. It is thus that we have in Daniel the first great pseudepigraph in Judaism.

It is by no means likely that it was the first work of this class, for certain chapters of I Enoch are probably older; but this was by far the greatest of these early pseudepigraphs, and it was the only one that won its way into the Jewish Old Testament Canon.1

When evil reaches its climax, God will intervene and judge the world.

We shall now touch on the salient points in the Apocalypse of Daniel, but only in the briefest manner, as we shall have to deal with it later at greater length under various heads. When evil reaches its culmination, and the need of the saints is greatest (vii. 21, 22; xii. 1) (in the time of Antiochus Epiphanes), the Ancient of Days will intervene, and His throne of judgment will be set up (vii. 9), and the world-powers overthrown (vii. 11, 12), and everlasting dominion given to His saints (vii. 14, 22, 27), and these will "break in pieces and consume" all the kingdoms of the world (ii. 44), and all the surviving nations will serve them (vii. 14). And the The Messianic righteous martyrs of Israel shall rise to share in this Messianic kingdom, but the apostate Jews shall be cast into Gehenna (xii. 1-3). With the question of the resurrection we shall deal presently.

kingdom and the resurrection.

That the world's history will terminate on the culmination of

The view that the world's history will terminate in the culmination of evil, and that Israel will be delivered by supernatural help in the moment of its

<sup>1</sup> This is not quite certain, for anonymous elements had been incorporated in most of the Old Testament prophets, and it is not at all unlikely that Is. xxvi. 1-19 was an actual pseudepigraph, written under Isaiah's name. It was certainly composed while the Law was absolutely supreme, and since it contains teaching above and beyond the Law, its author would naturally have shrunk from issuing it in his own name. If not pseudonymous it was at all events anonymous.

greatest need, derives originally from Ezekiel, and CHAP. III. after reproduction in various forms in his spiritual evil hencesuccessors attains to classical expression in Daniel, forth an apocalyptic and henceforth becomes a permanent factor in dogma. Jewish Apocalyptic.

#### Isaiah lxv., lxvi. (before 400 B.C.)

In defiance of historical sequence I have reserved The doctrine the consideration of the composite chapters Is. lxv., of a new heaven and lxvi. to the last. These call for special treatment, a new earth in Is. lxv. 17 because apparently they present a new development and lxvi. 22 as regards the scene of the Messianic kingdom: it dean sources?) was to be a new heaven and a new earth. In lxv. Jerusalem is to be especially blessed: it is to undergo a spiritual and a gradual physical transformation 1 -there appears to be no question here of the New Jerusalem: it is the same material Jerusalem as before, but supernaturally blessed. They still build houses and plant vineyards in it (lxv. 21, 22), sinners are still found in it (lxv. 20), and death still prevails. To the question of this creation of a new heaven and a new earth2 in Is. lxv. 17 we shall return two pages later. In the Messianic age here fore-

1 The word ברא does not appear to imply a physical or actual creation in 1xv. 18, therein differing from its sense in 1xv. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The older doctrine was the eternity of the present order of things. This doctrine is attested in Ps. civ. 5, "Who laid the foundations of the earth, that it should not be removed for ever"; Eccl. i. 4, "The earth abideth for ever." See also Pss. xciii. 1, xcvi. 10, cxlviii. 6. This was the received view in Palestine down to the close of the second century B.C., with the exception of a few passages in the Old Testament, which we shall deal with presently. About or after 100 B.C. the destruction of the present heaven and earth was taught in I En. xci.-civ., and some decades later this doctrine, together with the creation of a new heaven and a new earth, in I En. xxxvii.-

CHAP, III.

shadowed men live to a patriarchal age, and the animal world, as in an earlier prophecy (xi. 6-9), loses its ferocity, and shares in the prevailing peace and blessedness (lxv. 25).

In lxvi. 6-16,  $18^{\delta}$ -22 we have a fragmentary apocalypse (see Cheyne, *Introd. to Isaiah*, 374-385) which describes the judgment of the hostile nations (lxvi. 16, 18<sup>\delta</sup>, 19). Those of the Gentiles who escape are to go to the more distant nations and declare to them the divine glory (lxv. 19). There-

lxxi. In this last book the doctrine of a new heaven and a new earth is set forth for the first time in Jewish literature with logical consistency. In the Old 4 Testament passages where such a view appears, it is, as we have seen above, at variance with other eschatological features therein described. Is. li. 16, which apparently speaks also of a new heaven and a new earth is, as Cheyne (Introduction, p. 303) and Duhm (Isaiah, p. 359) have shown, a piece of mosaic interpolated at a later date. Hence the doctrine of a new heaven and a new earth appears to be adopted eclectically in the Old Testament, and is thus of the nature of a foreign element. It may therefore be a loan from Mazdeism, as Kohut has pointed out (ZDMG, xxx. 716, 717). On the other hand, it must be recognised that the way for such a doctrine was prepared for in the Old Testament by the post-Exilic view that the present heaven and earth should be destroyed. Thus in Is. li. 6 this view is expressed, not indeed as an eschatological doctrine, but purely poetically. Not only the inhabitants of the world but the world itself will perish; only God's salvation and God's righteousness abide for ever. "The heavens shall vanish away like smoke, and the earth shall wax old like a garment, and they that dwell therein shall die in like manner, but my salvation shall be for ever, and my righteousness shall not be abolished." The further dissolution of the heavens and earth is pronounced in a distinctly eschatological passage of a late date, i.e. Is. xxxiv. Thus in ver. 4, "The heavens shall be rolled together as a scroll, and all their host shall fade away." Finally, in Ps. cii. 25, 26, which, according to Baethgen, was probably, and, according to Duhm, was certainly, written in Maccabean times, the destruction of the present heaven and earth, and their creation anew, are poetically described: "Of old thou hast laid the foundation of the earth, and the heavens are the work of thy hands. They shall perish, but thou shalt endure: yea, they shall all wax old like a garment: as a vesture thou shalt change them, and they shall be changed." The important thought here, it is true, is not the transitoriness of the world, but the eternity of God: though heaven and earth pass away, God abides. But if this psalm be Maccabean, we have probably here the reflection of the new doctrine of the future heaven and earth, though there is no other reference to it in the literature of the second century.

upon the latter are to go up to Jerusalem, escorting the returning exiles. This apocalypse concludes with the promise: "For as the new heavens and the new earth, which I will make, shall remain before me . . . so shall your seed . . . remain" (lxvi. 22). How is this verse to be interpreted? Does the new creation take place at the beginning of the Messianic kingdom, or at its close? the words are taken literally, it cannot be at its beginning; for the earth is practically what it was before: and not at its close; for the kingdom here has apparently no close. Hence Is. lxv. 17, lxvi. 2 are to be taken as meaning that the physical nature of man and of the earth will be transformed pari passu with man's spiritual transformation, as in Jubilees i. 29 (where see my note), iv. 26, xxiii. 26-28.1

We have now completed the study of the eschatologies of the individual and of the nation, in their concurrent and separate developments, from preprophetic times to the fourth or rather the second century before the Christian era. Down to the period of the Exile these developments pursue an independent course, but from the Exile onwards they begin to exert a mutual influence on each other. This mutual interaction, however, does not lead to any true synthesis till the close of the third century or the early decades of the second, when they are both

<sup>1</sup> Is. li. 16 and lx. 19 can hardly be quoted in support of lxv. 17, lxvi. 22: for in the two last passages the language is obviously meant to be literal, whereas in the former it is metaphorical.

It is possible that the idea is derived from the Persian religion. The renewal of the earth, according to this faith, was to follow after the final judgment and the destruction of the evil powers. Yet see note on pp. 127, 128.

Synthesis of the two eschatologies in the resurrection of the righteous in Israel to the Messianic kingdom.

seen to be the two complementary sides of a religious system, that subsumes and does justice to the essential claims of both. Thus, when the doctrine of the blessed immortality of the faithful is connected with that of the coming Messianic kingdom, the separate eschatologies of the individual and of the nation issue finally in their synthesis: 1 the righteous individual, no less than the righteous nation, will participate in the Messianic kingdom, for the righteous dead of Israel will rise to share therein.

We have considered the question of the day of Yahwè and the Messianic kingdom in relation to Israel and the Gentiles as they were conceived by pre-Exilic, Exilic, and post-Exilic writers. We have seen that whereas the advent of Yahwè to exercise judgment meant all but universally a crisis of doom for Israel in the pre-Exilic period, in subsequent times it came all but universally to be regarded as marking the advent of Messianic blessedness for Israel.

Concurrently with the establishment of the Messianic hope in the national consciousness the claims of the individual had, as has already been shown, pressed themselves irresistibly on the notice of religious thinkers—so irresistibly, in fact, that no representation of the future could ultimately hope for acceptance which failed to render them adequate

A synthesis of these two eschatologies was attempted by Ezekiel wholly within the sphere of this life. But this reconciliation was achieved only through a misconception and misrepresentation of the actual facts of the problem. And yet this theory of retribution gave such general satisfaction that the need of a theory that did justice to the facts of the problem was not experienced, save by isolated thinkers, till the era of the Job literature.

satisfaction. Thus naturally these two questions came to be considered as essentially related, as in fact they were. The righteous individual and the righteous nation should be blessed together-or rather the righteous individual should ultimately be recompensed—not with a solitary immortality in heaven or elsewhere, but with a blessed resurrection life together with his brethren in the coming Messianic kingdom. We saw above how the doctrine of an individual immortality failed to establish itself permanently in the Old Testament, and the grounds for such a failure were not far to seek. But the objections against the belief in a blessed immortality of the righteous man apart from the righteous community are actual arguments in favour of the resurrection of the righteous to share in the Messianic kingdom. The obvious lesson in such a development is that the individual should not seek to be blessed apart from his brethren-nay, rather that his blessedness, his highest well-being, is impossible of realisation except through the common life.

The doctrine of the resurrection is clearly enunciated in two passages of great interest: as a spiritual conception in Is. xxvi. 1-19, and as a mechanical conception in Dan. xii. 2, 3.

Is. xxvi. 1-19 (anonymous or pseudepigraphic?) Doctrine of the forms an independent writing (Cheyne) composed resurrection spiritually about 334 B.C.<sup>1</sup> He calls it "a Liturgical Meditation." conceived in Is. xxvi.

<sup>1</sup> Smend and Kuenen assign chaps. xxiv.-xxvii. to the fourth century; Driver to an early post-Exilic date; Duhm (Das Buch Jesaia, p. xii.) to the close of the second century B.C.; so also Marti and Kennett. Even if the last date is right, the doctrine is most probably not later than the third century B.C.

The writer looks forward to the setting up of the kingdom, to the city of strength, whose walls and bulwarks are salvation, and whose gates will open that the righteous nation may "enter in" (xxvi. 1, 2). And since the nation was but few in numbers, the righteous dead shall rise and share the blessedness of the regenerate nation (xxvi. 19). This notable verse should, with Duhm and Cheyne, be read as follows: "Thy dead men (Israel!) shall arise, and the inhabitants of the dust shall awake and shout for joy; for a dew of lights is thy dew, and the earth shall produce the shades."

Criticism of his doctrine.

In this passage of Isaiah, as we have above remarked, there is a true synthesis of the eschatologies of the nation and of the individual. A true synthesis, and yet defective. A true synthesis; for justice is done to the claims of the righteous nation and the righteous individual, and the blessedness of the individual and that of the nation receive their perfect consummation together. A defective synthesis; for the righteous who die before the advent of the Messianic kingdom are, till that kingdom appears, committed to the unblessed existence of Sheol, where they are shut out from the life of God.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The designation of death as a sleep did not arise from the resurrection hope; for it is found in books that were unacquainted with this hope. Thus death is described as "sleep" in Gen. xlvii. 30; Deut. xxxi. 16; Job vii. 21, xiv. 12; as "the eternal sleep" in Jer. li. 39, 57. Hence in later times, when the belief in the resurrection was firmly established, and the state of the departed is described as a "sleep," the word is not necessarily to be taken in its literal meaning.

יחיו and יחיו are omitted by these scholars as interpolations, and instead of הַקְּיצוּ הָנוּ they read הַקִּיצוּ הָנוּנוּ.

In this synthesis Sheol on the one hand maintains its primitive heathen

Yet if we are to disregard this defect, which was inevitable under the circumstances, this passage of Isaiah presents us with a truly spiritual doctrine of the future life; for that life stands in organic and living relation to the present life in God, which the faithful enjoy on earth. And since the faithful alone stood in this relation, only the resurrection of the righteous was conceivably possible. This limitation of the resurrection to the righteous is the primitive form of this conception. It is the genuine product of Jewish inspiration, and not derived from any foreign source. For even if the Mazdean doctrine of the resurrection of the righteous and the wicked be of an earlier date, it could not be the parent of the higher spiritual form with which we have just dealt.

This spiritual form of the resurrection doctrine is The doctrine the genuine product of Jewish inspiration; for all that only the righteous are its factors are indigenous to Jewish thought. The raised a way was prepared for it, as we have seen, in the duct of Jewish independent and concurrent eschatologies of the individual and the nation, the synthesis of which could not admit of any other resurrection save that of the righteous. But long before any such synthesis was effected the idea of a spiritual resurrection had

character in that it is still an unspiritual, godless region; but on the other it undergoes a certain transformation in that, though heretofore the eternal abode of all the departed, it henceforth becomes only the intermediate abode of righteous Israelites, though it continues to be the eternal abode of all else. Thus for the time being the progress achieved by the writers of Pss. xlix. and lxxiii. is lost sight of. These, we remember (pp. 73-78), held that death could not break off the communion of the righteous with God, and accordingly that the soul of the faithful could not descend into Sheol, as popularly conceived, but must be taken home to God.

The idea of a spiritual resurrection—one of its chief sources—already familiar to Judaism through Hosea and Ezekiel.

Thus in Hos. vi. 2 established itself in Israel. (possibly of Exilic or post-Exilic origin) a religious transformation of character is described as a spiritual resurrection: "After two days will he revive us: on the third day he will raise us up so that we shall live before him." This is done on a large scale in Ezek. The resurrection there described of the dry bones imports, no doubt, a political restoration of Israel, but it is a restoration ethically conditioned. The people so restored are to be God's people (xxxvii. 13); they will be cleansed from all their sins and transgressions (xxxvii. 23); they will walk in the statutes and judgments of God, and be ruled by the Messiah of David's line (xxxvii. 24, 25); God will make an everlasting covenant of peace with them, and dwell with them for ever (xxxvii. 26, 27). Hence the resurrection in Ezekiel, though national, postulates a moral regeneration of the people. This harmonises with the view enforced elsewhere in Ezekiel that the conversion of the individual Israelites is the precondition for the restoration of the kingdom.

Determination of the essential thought underlying this doctrine.

The resurrection implies a double restoration: (a) restoration to communion

We have on an earlier page (see pp. 79-81) referred to the thought underlying this spiritually conceived doctrine of the resurrection. We must here deal with it more closely. According to Is. xxvi. the righteous individual is at some period after death to be restored to communion with God and with the righteous community. This double restoration to communion with God and to communion with the community of the faithful after death constitutes the resurrection in its essential aspects. That there

should be any delay to this restoration to communion with God after death in Is. xxvi. is, as we have above with God, and seen, due to the imperfect thought conditions of the time. Till the Messianic kingdom was established, community. even the righteous must abide in Sheol apart from God. In later times, however, when this heathen idea of Sheol was displaced by the doctrine of Paradise, or heaven, as the abode of the faithful immediately after death, death made no breach in the communion of the faithful with God. Hence (a) Restoration the first constituent of the resurrection doctrine is not really subject to any time-conditions. second constituent, however, restoration to com-because unmunion with the community of the righteous, seems death. at first sight to be so conditioned; for this second (b) Restoration requirement cannot be fully realised till the kingdom with the of God is consummated either in this world or in the next. In other words, the blessedness of the in- is temporally dividual is conditioned by that of the community as a as regards its whole. But further. If in the definition "restoration to communion with God and to communion with the community of the faithful after death" we omit the words "after death," we have in what but not as remains a description of the spiritual change which spiritual the faithful must already experience in the present essence, for the spiritual life, and which really forms in itself the essence of resurrection the resurrection. Such a spiritual change constitutes, enced in the in Pauline language, a spiritual resurrection. Thus it appears that man can appropriate the spiritual side of this doctrine already here: can, through abjuring the life of self and sin, enter into the new life of God

CHAP, III. (b) with the

righteous

to communion with God not The temporally conditioned broken by

> to communion righteous community conditioned consummation,

can be experi-

Moreover, since the life of the faithful beyond the grave is in communion with God and the faithful departed, this life is the resurrection life, though but in its beginnings.

and of the community of the faithful. Thus the *spiritual* resurrection can already be experienced by the faithful on this side of the grave.

But we may press on farther, and ask: If this Old Testament doctrine of the time of the resurrection of the faithful is manifestly based on the faulty conceptions of that age, when do the faithful rise to the resurrection life beyond the grave? The answer is clear in the light of later Since the life of the faithful bedevelopments. yond the grave consists in communion with God and communion with the faithful who form the kingdom of God, though but in its beginnings, then the faithful in a certain degree enter into the resurrection life immediately after death, into the true resurrection life, though not indeed into its consummated form; for that cannot be realised till the consummation of the righteous community, or the kingdom of God. Thus it is only from the standpoint of its consummation that the second essential factor of the resurrection can be said to be temporally conditioned.

Prevalence of resurrection doctrine indirectly attested. The currency of the doctrine of the resurrection is attested in Ps. lxxxviii. 10, where, indeed, the resurrection of the righteous is only mentioned in order to be rejected: "Wilt thou show wonders to the dead? Shall the shades arise and praise thee?" That this psalmist should reject the resurrection hope is not to be wondered at; for in the Psalter this psalm stands solitary and alone as the expression of a pessimistic despair. A similar

rejection of the resurrection doctrine may possibly CHAP. III. be found in Ecclesiastes vii. 14.

We must assume that a considerable period of Doctrine of the time elapses between the origin of this doctrine as mechanically attested in Isaiah and the next and final form in conceived. which it appears in the Old Testament, i.e. in Dan. xii. 2: "And many of them that sleep in the land of dust shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt."

Here there is an absolute transformation of the The resurrecresurrection doctrine. Heretofore it was the sole prehas already
rogative of the righteous Israelite; now it is extended into lifeless to the pre-eminently good and bad in Israel. Accord-dogma. ingly, between the rise of the doctrine enunciated in Isaiah and that in Daniel there was probably a considerable interval—an interval sufficiently long to account for the loss of the original significance of the resurrection as a restoration to the life of communion with God which had been broken off by death. During this interval, at all events within a small circle of pious Israelites, the spiritual doctrine has passed into a current and somewhat lifeless dogma, in which the real essence of the conception has been forgotten; for without any consciousness of impropriety, the writer of Daniel can speak of the resurrection of the wicked. The resurrection is thus severed from the spiritual root from which it sprang, and transformed into an eschatological property or device, by means of which certain members of the nation are presented in the body before God for judgment.

Thus the doctrine of the resurrection was probably familiar to the Jews for many generations previous to Daniel; unless we are to assume that the later conception in Daniel is due to Mazdean influences.

Grounds for this extension tion to the wicked.

But though the possibility of extending the resurof the resurrection to the wicked is to be explained by the lifelessness of this article of the faith, we have not as yet learnt why the writer was obliged to resort to this idea. The ground for such a necessity is clearly to be discovered in his belief that Sheol is still exempt from the divine sway, and that, though God can raise souls from thence, He cannot influence them for good or evil so long as they are there. Hence, if any inhabitants of Sheol are to be rewarded or punished, they must first through resurrection return to earth and come within the bounds of the divine rule. Thus this new application of the resurrection conception 1 in Daniel follows logically from two beliefs of the writer—the doctrine of God's retributive righteousness, and the heathen conception of Sheol.2

> It is most noteworthy that this doctrine of the resurrection of the wicked 8 is attested only three or,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This doctrine of a partial resurrection of the wicked is taught also in 1 En. i.-xxxvi. (see Chap. XXII.) This section may be earlier than Daniel. A general resurrection of all Israel is found probably in 2 Macc. xii. 42, 43, and 4 Ezra vii. 37.

Sheol is still the "land of dust," Dan. xii. 2.
 This resurrection to punishment, or a belief somewhat akin, is found in contemporary work, xxiv.; xxv. 6-8; xxvi. 20, 21; xxvii. 1, 12, 13, a fragmentary apocalypse of 334 B.C. (Cheyne), a date which seems too early, as that of Duhm (Das Buch Jesaia, p. xii.), i.e. 128 B.C., seems much too late. Thus in xxiv. 21, 22, the "host of heaven," i.e. angelic rulers of the nations, and the kings of the earth, are to be imprisoned in the "pit," and "after many days

at most, four times in Jewish literature prior to the CHAP. III. Christian era.

In these two conflicting doctrines of the resurrection we have the parents of all subsequent speculation on this subject in Judaism and Christianity.

We have now traced the rise and development of Resurrection the doctrine of a blessed future so far as it appears in doctrine of 1s. the Old Testament. We have seen how thoroughly product of Jewish inspiranative to the Jewish genius has been the nature of tion, and in no this development. It is therefore a matter of from Mazdesurprise that some scholars have sought to affiliate this doctrine on that of the Mazdean religion, and to treat it accordingly as borrowed from the teaching of Zoroaster. But in the case of any religion such a method of explanation is mechanical, and only to be admitted when it is clearly proved that the elements for an internal and organic development were wanting. In the case of Israel, however, these elements were present, and that in a very high degree, and were slowly but surely shaped under the influence of the supreme and formative idea of God. Further, even were the resurrection doctrine in Israel the exact equivalent of that in Mazdeism, the evidence would not justify us in concluding that the former was borrowed from Mazdeism, but only that the latter exercised a formative influence in shaping the Jewish doctrine. But as a matter of fact the

sense borrowed

to be visited" with punishment. This punishment of the angelic rulers of the nations and the kings is found also in I En. liv., xc. 25.

According to later views, God did not punish a nation until He had first humiliated its angelic patron (Shir. rabba xxvii.b) Moreover, the future judgment of the Gentile nations will be preceded by the judgment of these angelic chiefs (Tanchuma, Beshallach 13); see Weber, L. d. Talmud,2 170.

Jewish doctrine, as it appears in its earliest form in Is. xxvi., is essentially different from the Mazdean. Thus (i.) Whereas the former is spiritually conceived as the prerogative of only the righteous in Israel, the latter is a mechanical and ethically indifferent dogma, in accordance with which good and bad alike are raised. Thus whereas the former is specifically the result of right conduct, the latter has no relation to conduct at all. (ii.) According to the former, only a limited number—the faithful in Israel -are raised; according to the latter, all men of all nationalities and of all times. (iii.) According to the former, the resurrection was at the beginning of the Messianic kingdom; according to the latter, at its consummation in connection with the final judgment. Thus we see that the resurrection doctrine in Is. xxvi. cannot in any sense be derived fromthat of the Mazdean religion. We may observe here, in the way of anticipation, that this spiritual form of the resurrection is the prevailing one in Judaism down to the Christian era.

Resurrection doctrine in Daniel has certain affinities with that of Mazdeism, But as regards this doctrine as it appears in Daniel, the case is very dissimilar. There are several points in common between Daniel's doctrine of the resurrection and that in Mazdeism. Thus—(i.) both alike teach a resurrection of the righteous and the wicked; and (ii.) both alike combine it with the final judgment. Since there are some other points of contact between the eschatologies of the two, it is not impossible that we have here traces of the influence of the Mazdean religion. On the other

hand, we must recognise that certain differences exist. Thus the resurrection in Daniel is not ex-but in other tended to all Israelites, but is limited to the martyrs respects is strongly at and the apostates, whereas in Mazdeism it is abso-variance with lutely universal. Again in Daniel, Sheol—the intermediate abode of the saints and apostates-preserves its ancient godless character, whereas in Mazdeism the intermediate abode of the souls of the righteous and of the wicked are respectively heaven and hell. Finally, in Daniel the final judgment is at the beginning of the Messianic kingdom, in Mazdeism at its close. Thus even in Daniel's eschatology the influence of Mazdeism was, on the most favourable assumption, but slight.

CHAP. III.

We conclude, therefore, that though Mazdeism may have exerted some influence in shaping the mechanical doctrine of the resurrection in Daniel, the evidence is wholly against the assumption of any such influence on the spiritual doctrine of the resurrection as taught by Is. xxvi.

Judaism, as we are aware, came under Greek Grounds for a influences as early as the third century B.C. It is a the Greek docmatter, therefore, of great moment to ascertain to trines of the soul and the what extent these influences operated in the forma-future life, tion of the Jewish doctrines of the soul and of the future life. It has long been the fashion to exaggerate these influences, and to derive from sources certain undoubtedly indigenous Greek developments. Such exaggerations have been due in many instances to indefinite ideas both of Judaism and of Greek religion. Their best refutation will

be to append to our history of Jewish eschatology a short sketch of Greek religion in so far as this relates to the soul and the future life.

#### Doctrine of the Soul and the Future Life among the Greeks<sup>1</sup>

Homeric doctrine of the soul.

Only one part of man's composite nature survived death according to Homer. This was the soul (ψυχή). But the Homeric conception of the soul is peculiar. It enjoys an independent and secret existence in the body, and on the death of the body independently withdraws itself. It exercises no function of the human spirit, whether of thought, will, or emotion. These belong to the "mind" (θυμός), which resided in the diaphragm (φρένες, Il. xxii. 475). The θυμός is the most comprehensive expression in Homer for the various mental activities. Now this θυμός, and such faculties of the mind as are represented more or less definitely by νόος, μένος, or physical expressions such as ήτορ, καρδίη, κήρ, στήθος, etc., are all functions of the body and not of the soul, and disappear with its. resolution into its original elements.<sup>2</sup> Homer never

<sup>2</sup> Only once is the θυμόs said to descend into Hades (vii. 131); but this can only be an oversight or carelessness of expression. In Od. xi. 221, 222 the θυμόs and ψυχή are distinguished. The latter alone goes down to

Hades.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The present writer is indebted beyond measure in this sketch of Greek religion to Rohde's *Psyche*, <sup>2</sup> 1898. He has also used Zeller's *History of Greek Philosophy*, likewise that of Ueberweg, Campbell's *Religion in Greek Literature*, 1898, and Fairbairn's *Studies in the Philosophy of Religion*, 168-230, which, though written over twenty years ago, is valuable and suggestive. Dieterich's *Nekuia* has occasionally been found helpful.

ascribes any activity to the soul in the living man. The soul is not mentioned till its separation from the body is impending, or has actually taken place. Accordingly after death, or rather after entrance into Hades,1 the soul loses consciousness and thought (Il. xxiii. 103, 104); it knows naught of the upper world; it cannot return thither 2 (II. xxiii. 75, 76); it cannot exert any influence on the living; it is as incapable of anguish as of affection. Wherein the personality consists in Homer is difficult of comprehension. At times the body, as opposed to the soul (II. i. 3-5), is described as the person, at times the soul (II. xv. 251, where it is the soul that speaks). The person fully conceived appears to be the living man, that is, the combination of the visible body and the invisible soul.

Such is the normal, and all but universal, view of conflicting the soul in Homer.8 On the other hand, passages survivals of are occasionally to be met with in the Odyssey which the Homeric

The soul possessed a certain degree of consciousness and thought before it entered Hades (Il. xxii. 65-67).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hence the Homeric world had no ghosts. The living were at rest from the dead.

<sup>3</sup> The Homeric conceptions of the soul and of Hades find an excellent parallel in the fourth- and third-century views of these in Israel. In the case of the latter this result was brought about, as we have seen, by the action of Yahwism directly and indirectly. The soul in Sheol possessed in early Israel a certain degree of energy and power to benefit or injure the living-an idea which was derived from a primitive Ancestor Worship, but in the course of 1000 years this idea was overcome by Yahwism, the soul reduced to all but annihilation in Sheol, and Sheol itself transformed into a synonym for destruction and death. In Greece, on the other hand, the Homeric conceptions were the result of very different influences. What these were it is perhaps impossible to enumerate exhaustively. Amongst them, however, undoubtedly was first the separation of the Ionic Greeks from the land of their forefathers, where were the ancestral graves—the temples of the dead. A further cause was the adoption of the custom of burning the dead. By this means the soul was confined at once and for ever to Hades.

assign a larger degree of consciousness, thought, and vitality to the shades. These passages attest belated survivals of Ancestor Worship. Thev are to be found especially in books x. and xi. of the Odvssey. In these books the poet attributes the restoration of the consciousness of the shades to their enjoyment of the blood of the slaughtered animals; but this is a pure misapprehension of the poet, who lived in an age that had forgotten the original significance of these rites. The shades, even according to these books, possess the faculties of thought, will, and action before drinking the blood. The blood is simply an offering to the souls of the departed to comfort and feed them, but not to restore to them faculties which they had never lost. Moreover, the poet's account provides us with an exact and detailed description of a sacrifice to the dead (see Rohde, i. 55-59).

Hence, according to the specific view of the Homeric times, the soul had no consciousness in Hades; but occasional survivals of the older view belonging to Animism are reproduced in the Odyssey without any consciousness of their true significance.

Immortality possible only through translation to Elysium or heaven, according to Homeric views.

We have now to ask: How are the Homeric views related to the question of immortality? The soul, as we have seen, when it had once descended into Hades could never return. Hence if immortality was to be vouchsafed to any individual man, it had of necessity to be given to him when living through translation into Elysium (as in the case of

Menelaus) or heaven (as in that of Ganymede). Thus this immortality was mainly a material immortality, and such was the immortality of the Homeric gods. Moreover, as immortality was of necessity a privilege limited in the main to mortals who were physically related to the gods, it is of no service in preparing the way for a doctrine of human immortality as such.

From Homer we pass on to Hesiod, but in this Further surconnection we shall only pause to draw attention vivals of Animism in to the vigorous survivals of Animism which are Hesiod. apparent in his poems. Souls cannot exist outside Erebus and possess consciousness in Homer; yet such is Hesiod's belief. Thus, according to his Works and Days, 109-201, the men of the golden age became after death δαίμονες ἐπιχθόνιοι, watchers over mankind in a good sense, and endowed with large powers. Similarly, men of the silver age became δαίμονες ὑποχθόνιοι (not in Hades). Men of the bronze age (namely of Hesiod's own time) became phantoms (εἴδωλα) in Hades. Now these views regarding the two earlier ages are not inventions of Hesiod, but survivals in the outlying Boeotia of a worship of souls which had existed long anterior to Homer; and that such views prevailed with regard to earlier generations and not to the later is due to the fact that in Hesiod's age the Homeric doctrine had become supreme.

Such views as to the conscious and independent activity of souls after life were undoubted helps to the formation of a doctrine of immortality. Certain

146

CHAP. III.

classes of souls in the past belonging to the gold and silver ages could, it is true, become immortal, but this was not possible for members of the bronze age.

Doctrine of the soul in the Dionysiac cult.

The first real contribution towards this doctrine comes from the Dionysiac cult in Thrace. Underlying this cult is the presupposition of the original kinship of God and man. This being presumed, man could through certain ritual ceremonies and ecstasies become one with the gods. experiences the soul burst, as it were, the fetters of the body. But even so, the old Homeric view of the indispensableness of the body to the soul is not yet fully transcended. The soul has an eternal existence, but not apart from the body. full and divine life apart from the body for men in Hence doctrine general was still inconceivable. Hence the doctrine of the transmigration of souls was of necessity a factor in this belief. The soul could maintain its immortality only through successive incarnations.1

A vigorous life of the soul apart from the body still inconceivable.

of transmigration adopted.

Transformation of the Dionysiac doctrines of soul and of transmigration in the Orphic teaching.

With the Orphic teaching we enter on a new stage of development. So far from the body being the necessary complement of the soul, the union of body and soul has become an actual bar to the consummation of the latter. And this is easy to understand; for when the conviction that the soul and body can exist independently rises into a belief in the godlikeness and immortality of the soul, as opposed to the transitoriness of the body, the distinction

<sup>1</sup> For a full treatment of the Dionysiac cult in Thrace and Greece, see Rohde, Psyche, ii. 1-69.

between soul and body naturally leads to antagonism of both. Thus the body comes to be conceived as the prison-house (δεσμωτήριον) or tomb of the soul  $(\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a - \sigma \hat{\eta} \mu a)$ , Plato, Crat. 400 c), and the connection of the soul and body to be regarded as a punishment of the former. Under this view the tenet of transmigration changes of necessity its. character. It is no longer the means whereby the soul preserves its vitality, as the Dionysiac religion conceived it, but has become a spiritual punishment and discipline of the soul, and the soul does not attain to its highest till it is freed from this cycle of necessity or rebirths (κύκλος ἀνάγκης οτ τροχὸς τῆς γενέσεως), and lives eternally in God. As opposed, therefore, to the Homeric doctrine of the soul, we have here a new and well-defined doctrine as to the origin, essence, and destiny of the soul.

This transformation is to be traced to the Orphic doctrine of the indissoluble connection of guilt and expiation.

In this phase of religion Hades becomes an inter- Hades bemediate abode where the soul meets with retributive comes an intermediate judgment. There the initiated and purified live in abode and a communion with the gods of the lower world till the distinctions. time for their return to the upper life has come. last when the soul has passed through its cycle of rebirths and is fully cleansed, it ascends, as we have above remarked, to enjoy a never-ending existence with God.

The soul, whether of the impure or of the purified,

<sup>1</sup> See Rohde, Psyche, ii. 129, 130, 133-136; Dieterich, Nekuia, 88, 89.

The soul eternal—without beginning or end.

New meaning attached to the term "soul" by the philosophers. No room in their systems for the existence of the soul after death in its older meaning, nor yet in its new.

is in itself immortal. But it is not only immortal, it is eternal, without beginning or end.

In the speculative systems of the philosophers to which we must now turn, the term "soul" assumes a new meaning, and becomes a comprehensive designation for all the human powers of thought and will. From the fifth century onwards it is used in this sense in prose writers and non-philosophical poets. When the soul was thus absolutely identified with the mind, its individual existence after death was inconceivable in the speculative systems of such philosophers as Thales of Miletus, Anaximander, Anaximenes, Heraclitus. Indeed, such a question would have been meaningless; for the soul was in their philosophies conceived merely as a function of the various elements of the body or as a transient individualisation of the one primitive substance or force, and this individualisation terminated at death.

In the pantheistic theories of the Eleatic philosophers Xenophanes of Colophon, Parmenides, and Zeno, there is no room for the future individual existence of the soul. And yet Parmenides quite inconsistently taught the pre-existence of the soul and its survival on the death of the body, but this he did as a disciple of the Orphic and Pythagorean schools.

Pythagoras' doctrine of the soul. According to Pythagoras, the soul of man is immortal, and is confined in the body only in

<sup>1</sup> See Ritter and Preller's Historia Philosophiae, § 151, και τὰς ψυχὰς πέμπεω ποτὲ μὲν ἐκ τοῦ ἐμφανοῦς εἰς τὸ ἀιδές, ποτὲ δὲ ἀνάπαλιν φησω. This view, however, is disputed by Zeller, Pre-Socratic Philosophy, i. 604 (English transl.)

the way of punishment. It has no inner connection with the body in which it dwells; any soul may live in any body. It possesses a divine knowledge through memory (Dieterich, Nekuia, p. 122; Rohde, Psyche, ii. 186 note). When death separates the soul from the body, the soul must after an interval of purification in Hades return to the upper world, and be reborn. Its conduct in the earlier life determines the nature of its new incarnation. Finally, after a series of transmigrations, it is raised from the earthly life and restored to a divine existence.

Empedocles' doctrine of the soul is peculiar. Empedocles. The office of the soul, which is a stranger in the world of sense, is neither perception nor thought, which are merely functions of the body, but the philosophic vision into the complete truth of being and becoming which it brings with it out of its divine existence in the past (Rohde, Psyche, 185, 186). The faculty of thought (vóos) and the daimonic being which we may call soul (though Empedocles never uses this term) thus exist side by side in man, the former of which perishes with the body, while the latter is not immortal, indeed, but longlived. This dualism in the inner life which appears in Homer thus reappears in Empedocles, and later in Plato and Aristotle. The doctrine of transmigration naturally formed a part of his system; but between the various incorporations of the soul it did not, as in the Orphic

or Pythagorean belief, descend into an underground Hades. Finally, when all the elements and powers

CHAP. III.

return into their original unity, all souls and even the gods will be reunited in the divine universal spirit, in order again to come forth in individual existence in a newly restored world.

Anaxagoras.

The immortality of the soul was inconceivable from Anaxagoras' principle of an all-pervading mind. For though this mind individualised itself in certain material combinations, it retired into itself on the dissolution of these.

Pindar's divergent views on the soul and its destiny. The views of Pindar on the soul and the after-life form an interesting study. Side by side we find two distinct and irreconcilable views on these questions. Thus at times—(i.) his poems reproduce the old Homeric doctrine of the soul and of Hades, the everlasting abode of the shades, combined with certain elements of soul worship; (ii.) at others they present us with a theology of an essentially Orphic type.

(i.) The popular view.

In the former he uses the language of the popular theology of the day, which was a medley of Homeric and animistic elements. This was indeed practically at all times the orthodox belief. Thus the soul departs after death to Hades (Pyth. xi. 19-22; Ol. ix. 33-35), where it is still acquainted with the affairs of the living (Pyth. v. 96-104). No rewards await it save the praise its virtues have won on earth. If individuals were to enjoy a blessed life, they were translated in the body, as in the Homeric view a perfect life was otherwise inconceivable. Yet instances of deification after death were also acknowledged, as of Semele.

The second view is closely connected with the first. The soul is, as in the former, the invisible (ii.) The double of the man. It is to a large degree dormant Orphic view-Pindar's own. -though not wholly, as in Homer-during a man's living activities on earth. Soul is never used by Pindar in its philosophic meaning (see above). Thus far, therefore, Pindar's conception corresponds mainly with the Homeric, but to this conception he adds, with the Orphics, that it is of divine origin, "an image of eternity" (αίωνος εἴδωλον), and springs from the gods only (μόνον ἐκ θεῶν, Frag. 131). Its descent into the body is due to ancient guilt. After death retributive judgment follows in Hades, and the condemned are plunged into Tartarus (Ol. ii. 57-60). The soul must be embodied at least three times before it can hope for an end of its earthly course. The past life determined the conditions of the present,

Aeschylus reproduces the old Homeric concep- Aeschylus tions of Hades and the soul, but he goes beyond reflects the popular views these in speaking in a few cases of a judgment of the soul and of Hades. beyond death in Hades. This judgment, however, is only a completion of the retribution which is generally executed on earth (Suppl. 230, 231, 416; Eum. 273-275; Choëph. 61-65). On the other hand, the belief in the community of interests existing

and the present those of the future. After a final course of nine years in Hades the purified soul could "ascend the path of Zeus" and enter "the Isles of the Blessed" (Ol. ii. 69-75) and become heroes.1

CHAP, III.

<sup>1</sup> According to certain tomb-inscriptions of the fourth century found near Sybaris the blessed become gods (see Rohde, Psyche, ii. 217-221).

CHAP. III.

between the living and the dead is reflected strongly -in other words, an essential factor belonging to Ancestor Worship (see p. 40 note). This same belief is attested also in Sophocles and Euripides, though the latter does not himself accept it (see p. 24 note).

Plato at first held the popular views.

The immortality of the soul was not originally a part of Plato's system.1 We have in the Republic the various stages through which his views passed before he arrived at his maturest convictions on the subject.

His own doctrine of the soul.

It is not necessary to our present purpose to do more than give a few of the salient points in the later Platonic doctrine of the soul. The soul is a purely spiritual being. It is uncreated (ἀγένητος, Phaedr. xxiv.), apparently eternal 2 (ἀίδιος, Rep. x. 611 B). In compliance with a universal cosmic law, according to the Timaeus (41 D sqq.), or else in consequence of an intellectual declension of the soul from its original destiny, according to the Phaedrus

<sup>2</sup> Plato's doctrine of the soul's immortality and pre-existence are bound up togethen The mythical representation of the Timaeus, where the creation of souls is attributed to the Demiurge, cannot be allowed any weight in the face of his frequent assertions that this pre-existence had no beginning (cf. Phaedr. 245 C, ψυχή πασα άθάνατος. The soul is άρχη κινήσεως, άρχη δέ άγένητον . . . έξ ἀνάγκης ἀγένητον τε και ἀθάνατον ψυχή αν είη. See Zeller,

Plato, 398, 399, 405 (English transl.)

<sup>1</sup> This has been established by Krohn, Der Platon. Staat, p. 265; Pfleiderer, Platon. Frage, pp. 23, 24, 35 sqq.; Rohde, Psyche, ii. 265-267. Thus no trace of this doctrine is to be found in the oldest part of the Republic, iii. 368-v. 460 c. The next portions that were composed were v. 460 D-471 C, viii., ix. (all but 580 D-588 A), x. (608 C to end). In this part the doctrine of immortality is introduced and discussed, and further established in the third part, v. 471 c-vii., ix. 580 D-588 A, x. 595-608 B. Books i.ii. 367 were finally written as an introduction to the whole. In this introduction a harmonising of the above parts is attempted. This statement is drawn from Rohde.

(246 sqq.) and the Phaedon (246 c), it enters into the body. In the body the soul lives as in a prison. However closely united, there can never be any true harmony between them. Yet this connection with the body can become the cause of unlimited impurity and degradation.

In his earlier speculations, i.e. the Phaedrus, 1 Soul, accord-Plato had ascribed a trichotomy of reason (70 hoye- earlier views, στικόν), courage (τὸ θυμοειδές), and desire (τὸ ἐπι- of reason, θυμητικόν), to the soul in its pre-existent state, and desire, and desire, explained its fall by the presence of the two latter. Subsequently, however, the thought that such lower powers were indissolubly connected with the soul became inconceivable, since this conjunction would have logically involved the soul in a never-ending cycle of rebirths, and, henceforth, the soul was re- Afterwards garded by him as simple and indivisible, a power of held to be purely rational. pure thought (λογιστικόν<sup>2</sup>). According, therefore, to Courage and his later speculations in the Timaeus, it was not until desire added to the soul on a soul was enclosed in the body that courage and its birth in the desire were associated with it, these being proper to the body only. Though the passions are on this view left behind by the soul at death, yet the association of the soul with these in the body produces an inward deterioration of the soul-an idea by means of which Plato explains its desire for rebirth in the body.

ing to his a trichotomy

CHAP. III.

1 See Zeller, Plato and the Older Academy, 391, 392 (English transl.); Lewis Campbell, Religion in Greek Literature, p. 353.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> When the entire content of the soul came to be regarded as λογιστικόν, a soul could no longer consistently be ascribed to animals, who only possessed θυμός and ἐπιθυμία. Further, if a soul could not justly be ascribed to animals, it follows further that a human soul could not rightly be said to descend into the body of an animal. Plato, however, maintained this view to the end, probably for ethical reasons.

CHAP. III.

Judgment after death, and subsequent transmigrations.

Immediately after death the soul is judged, and placed in heaven or under earth by way of reward or punishment, where it remains for 1000 years. When this interval has elapsed the soul is forced to be incorporated anew. The nature of the new body is determined by the character of the soul in the former life. The soul must pass through a series of such transmigrations.1 In the course of these it can descend to the beast,2 or ascend into nobler forms of existence. Incurable sinners are cast into Tartarus. The aim of the soul is finally to be delivered from the body and to depart into the realm of pure being, that is, of the divine, the invisible, and the pure.

Aim of the soul

Pre-existence and immortality lead to doctrine of recollection.

In Plato pre-existence and immortality stand or fall together,8 and if these are admitted, the doctrine of recollection follows of necessity. This doctrine, which appears first among the Orphics, receives at Plato's hands a philosophical exposition. necessary, he holds, in order to explain the facts of learning and knowledge. "We could not seek for what is yet unknown to us, nor recognise in what we find the thing that we sought for, if we had not unconsciously possessed it before we recognised and were conscious of it (see Meno, 80 D sqq.) could form no conception of Ideas, of the eternal essence of things which is hidden from our percep-

<sup>1</sup> At least three (as in Pindar, Ol. ii. 68 sqq.) according to the Phaedrus, 249 A. Between each of the births there is a period of 1000 years (cf. Rep. x. 615 A).

2 See note 2, p. 153.

<sup>3</sup> Zeller, Plato, 405 sqq.

tion, if we had not attained to the intuition of these in a former existence." 1

CHAP. III.

Two phases of teaching on the question of retri- Earlier teachbution appear in Plato. In the earlier the uncondibution. tional worth of morality in itself is set forth without reference to a hereafter. Thus, according to the Republic, iii. 1 sqq., the guardians are to pay no heed to what follows after death, but to make it their chief task to show that virtue carries with it its own reward. But this doctrine is handled very differ- Later teaching. ently when Plato became convinced of the soul's immortality. Retribution hereafter appeared to follow necessarily from this doctrine, else divine justice would be at fault, and discord disturb the moral order of the universe.2

We have now touched on the chief features of Plato's doctrine of immortality.

It is obvious that an immeasurable gulf divides it An immeasurfrom Jewish no less than Christian doctrine. We divides Greek do not refer to such obvious differences as appear in from Jewish and Christian his doctrine of the soul's transmigrations, its eternal eschatology. pre-existence, and its antagonism to the body, but to the two following points: (i.) it is not a human soul that Plato's final teaching deals with, but a pure intelligence; (ii.) his doctrine, as set over against the Jewish and Christian, is the glorification of an unbridled individualism. The individual soul owes no duty practically but to itself. Its appearance in any single human community or family

<sup>1</sup> Zeller, Plato, 406, 407. <sup>2</sup> Rep. x. 612 A sqq.; cf. Phaedo, 63 C, 95 B, 114 D.

CHAP. III.

is of the nature of an accident. It existed before any such came into being, and will outlive them. However nobly the virtues relating to one's neighbour or the State are expounded in the Platonic system, they are related to the individual mainly as elements in its discipline and self-culture.

Popular eschatology still Homeric down to the Christian era. Despite all the teaching of individual poets, philosophers, and schools, the popular beliefs of the Greeks remained from century to century in the main unaffected. The immortality of the soul never became a part of the national creed, but remained the peculiar property of individual theologians and philosophers. This is conclusively established by the evidence of Greek epitaphs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prof. Percy Gardner, New Chapters in Greek History, 333, 334; Rohde, Psyche, ii. 378.

## CHAPTER IV

SUMMARY OF OLD TESTAMENT TEACHING ON DIVIDUAL CONCEPTIONS --- AN APPENDIX TO THE PRECEDING CHAPTERS

In the preceding chapters we have tried to trace short sumthe history of Old Testament eschatology; but mary of development before entering on the subsequent developments of of doctrine of a future life, this eschatology in Jewish literature, it will be helpful to sum up shortly the results we have already gathered from the writers of the Old Testament on this question. In these results we are provided with an eschatology that to a large extent takes its character from the conception of Yahwè. So long indeed as Yahwe's jurisdiction was conceived as limited to this life, a Yahwistic eschatology of the individual could not exist; but when at last Israel reached the great truth of monotheism, the way was prepared for the moralisation of the future no less than of the present. The Exile also contributed to this development by making possible a new and truer conception of the individual. Henceforth the individual and not the nation became the religious unit. Step by step through the slow processes of the religious life, through the ofttimes halting logic of . spiritual experience, the religious thinkers of Israel

were led to a moral conception of the future life, and to the certainty of their share therein. These truths were reached, not through questionable assumptions and metaphysical processes, as in Greece, but through spiritual crises deep as the human personality and wide as human life. Only thus could they be won if they were to last for ever. The eternal life, the life in God, cannot admit of death as its goal, and to the apprehension of this truth Israel's saints rose through first realising that life to be the one supreme fact of the present, before the necessities of their spiritual experience forced them to postulate its continuance in the future. Thus in fact they reasoned: he that hath God hath eternal life.

We shall now enumerate the views of the Old Testament on such conceptions as soul, spirit, Sheol, Gehenna, etc., which are partly developed from Yahwism, and, partly as heathen survivals, are still independent of it.

Soul and spirit. Earlier and later doctrines of these. Soul and Spirit.—There were two conflicting views on the nature of these, as we have seen above. According to the older dichotomic view, the soul and spirit were all but practically identical. The spirit represented the stronger side of the soul. But according to the later trichotomic view (Gen. ii. 4<sup>b</sup>-iii.), which is current from the time of the Deuteronomist onwards side by side with the former, the spirit is the breath of God, which on death returns to God. This different conception should be borne in mind in order to appreciate the psychology of subsequent periods. For a full account of the two views, see above, pp. 39-48.

We should observe that the departed in Sheol are spoken of as "gods," "shades," or "dead ones," but never as "spirits" nor as "souls" except in Job. See above, pp. 48, 49. The way for this last usage is prepared by such passages as Pss. xvi. 10, "Thou wilt not give over my soul to Sheol"; xxx. 3, etc. On the other hand, that the soul of the righteous was conceived as capable of exercising its highest capacities after death follows incontestably from Job xix. 26, 27, and also, we may safely conclude, from Pss. xlix. 15, lxxiii. 24.

Judgment, preliminary and final, on all Israel, on Judgment, the surviving Gentiles, and on the faithless angelic and final. rulers.—In the Old Testament the conception of a final judgment is developed, but in a limited sense. It always precedes the Messianic kingdom. It deals only with the living Jew and Gentile, and not with the dead, in Ezekiel and Joel; in its latest Old Testament development in Daniel it takes account, of course, of all the living, whether Jew or Gentile, but it is also extended to certain classes of departed Israelitesthe martyrs and apostates. The Gentiles were apparently visited with temporal penalties only, i.e. loss of this life. But it is possible that even in the third century, or much earlier, pains and penalties were conceived to attend on them in Sheol; for Sheol was in all cases the final abode of the Gentiles, whether bad or good, and had already in some instances come to be regarded as penal in character. But though the final judgment in the Old Testament The final was never conceived as embracing all men, living embraced all and dead, a very remarkable extension of the idea oldTestament.

of judgment is found in Is. xxiv. 21, 22, where the faithless angelic patrons and departed kings are brought within its venue. Here there is definitely a preliminary as well as a final judgment.

This division of the judgment into two distinct catastrophes is to be found in Ezekiel, where the first consists of the triumphal restoration of Israel to its own land, and the second in the judgment of the Gentile world. But still more clearly in Daniel, where the first act of judgment is executed by the saints (ii. 44), and the final by God Himself on all the nations.

Places of abode of the departed.

Heaven.

Of abodes for the departed there are Heaven, Sheol, Gehenna, and the Pit.

Heaven.—Heaven appears to be the final abode to which the writers in Pss. xlix. 15, lxxiii. 24 look forward. It was to heaven also that Enoch and Elijah were translated.

Sheol—its various mean-ings.

Sheol<sup>2</sup> appears in the Old Testament either as (i.) the eternal abode of all the dead; (ii.) the eternal abode of the wicked only; (iii.) the intermediate abode of certain classes in Israel. (i.) Of the former conception there were two varieties, as we have already seen: (a) the older represents Sheol as the scene of considerable life, movement, and knowledge;

<sup>I</sup> See pp. 138 note, 164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As synonyms for Sheol in meaning we find "pit" (¬¬¬»). Pss. xxviii. 1, xxx. 3, lxxxviii. 4, cxliii. 7; Is. xiv. 19, xxxviii. 18; Ezek. xxvi. 20, xxxi. 14, 16, xxxii. 18, 24, 29, 30; Prov. i. 12. We must carefully distinguish this sense of "pit" (¬¬¬») from that in Is. xxiv. 22, where it is the intermediate abode of angels and kings. "Pit" is also a rendering of ¬¬¬¬», and in this case also it is a synonym for Sheol in the following passages: Pss. xvi. 10, xxx. 9, lv. 23, ciii. 4; Is. xxxviii. 17; Ezek. xxviii. 8; Job xvii. 14, xxxiii. 18, 22.

(b) the later represents it as the practical negation of all existence, and all but a synonym for annihilation. Greek religion furnishes us with a remarkable parallel to these two conflicting views of Sheol (see above, pp. 40-43). Hades was similarly conceived in Greece at two different periods (see p. 143 note). (ii.) Sheol is depicted as the eternal abode of the wicked only in Pss. xlix. 14, 15; lxxiii. 19, 20. (iii.) The transformation of Sheol into an intermediate abode of the departed was due to the rise of the higher theology. The transformation, however, is very slight. Though Sheol is regarded as the intermediate abode of righteous Israelites in Is. xxvi. 19, it remains the eternal abode of all the rest of mankind. xii. 2 it is again presupposed as the intermediate abode of Jewish martyrs and apostates, but the eternal abode of all else.

Gehenna.—The word Gehenna, Γέεννα in Tischender and WH (or Γεέννα according to other meanings. scholars, on the ground of its derivation from the Aram. בְּהַבָּהְם, is derived ultimately from the Hebrew expression בַּי הַבֹּם "Valley of Hinnom" (Jos. xv. 8, xviii. 16; Neh. xi. 30), which is an abbreviated form of בַּי־בָּן הַבֹּם "Valley of the Son of Hinnom" (Jos. xv. 8, xviii. 16; 2 Chron. xxviii. 3, xxxiii. 6; Jer. vii. 31, 32, xix. 2, 6), or in the Kethib of 2 Kings xxiii. 10 (בֵּי בְּנִי-הַבֹּם). But this place became so notorious through its evil associations that it was simply called "the Valley," κατ' ἐξοχήν (Jer. ii. 23, xxxi. 40), and the gate of Jerusalem leading to it "the Valley-gate" (2 Chron. xxvii. 9; Neh. ii. 13, 15, iii. 13). In 1 En.

xxvii. 2 it is termed "the accursed gê" (or "valley"), "the deep gê" (or "valley") (liv. 1), and "the gê" (or "valley"), (Ass. Mos. x. 10). This valley lay to the S. and S.W. of Jerusalem (Robinson, BRP. ii. 273, 274). The derivation of מול is quite uncertain. This term is used in a variety of meanings in the course of Israelitish and Jewish history.

I. Its use in the Old Testament falls under three heads. (a) It is used in a merely topographical sense. Thus it formed the boundary between Judah and Benjamin (Jos. xv. 8, xviii. 16), and the northern limit of the district occupied by the tribe of Judah after the Captivity (Neh. xi. 30), and lay in front of the gate Harsith of Jerusalem (Jer. xix. 2).

(b) It is used in a religious significance as implying a place of idolatrous and inhuman sacrifices. These were first offered by Ahaz and Manasseh, who made their children to "pass through the fire" to Moloch in this valley (2 Kings xvi. 3; 2 Chron. xxviii. 3; and 2 Kings xxi. 6; 2 Chron. xxxiii. 6). These sacrifices were probably made on the "high places of Tophet, which is in the valley of the son of Hinnom" (Jer. vii. 31; cf. Jer. xxxii. 35). In order to put an end to these abominations, Josiah polluted it with human bones and other corruptions (2 Kings xxiii. 10, 13, 14). But this worship of Moloch was revived under Jehoiakim (Jer. xi. 10-13; Ezek. xx. 30). In consequence of these idolatrous practices in the Valley of Hinnom, Jeremiah prophesied that one day it would be called the "Valley of Slaughter," and that they should "bury in Topheth till there be

no place to bury" (Jer. vii. 32, xix. 11). Many scholars have accepted the statement of Kimchi (circa 1200 A.D.) on Ps. xxvii: "Gehinnam fuit locus spretus, in quem abjecerunt sordes et cadavera, et fuit ibi perpetuo ignis ad comburendum sordes illos et ossa; propterea parabolice vocatur judicium impiorum Gehennam." But this is denied by Robinson (i. 274), who writes that "there is no evidence of any other fires than those of Moloch having been kept up in this valley" (Rosenmüller, Biblisch. Geogr. II. i. 156, 164).

(c) It signifies the place of punishment for rebellious or apostate Jews in the presence of the righteous. Gehinnom or Gehenna is not actually mentioned with this signification in the Old Testament, but it is it and no other place that is implied in Is. 1. 11, "in a place of pain shall ye lie down," and lxvi. 24, with the beginnings of this new connotation. Both these passages are very late, and probably from the same hand—not earlier than the third century B.C. (Cheyne, Introd. to the Bk. of Isaiah, p. 380), but second century according to Duhm and Marti; cf. xxx. 33. Further, the punishment of the apostate Jews in Is. lxvi. 24 is conceived as eternal: "They shall look upon the carcases of the men that have transgressed against me; for their worm shall not die, neither shall their fire be quenched, and they shall be an abhorring to all flesh." The punishment of Gehenna is implied also in Dan. xii. 2, "some to shame and everlasting abhorrence." We should observe that the same word דָּרָאוֹן, "abhorrence,"

occurs in these two passages, and in these only, and the reference in both is to Gehenna.<sup>1</sup>

The Pit as the intermediate abode of angels and kings.

The Pit (בוֹד) is the intermediate abode or place of punishment of the guilty angels and kings (Is. xxiv. 22). The fact that there is a special intermediate place for these makes it easier to understand Sheol as an intermediate place for the righteous. Yet the ideas in Is. xxiv. 22 appear as a foreign element in the Old Testament, and may be derived from the Mazdean religion.<sup>2</sup>

Resurrection.

Though the doctrine of an individual immortality emerged in Job and the Psalms, it failed to establish itself permanently in the religious expectations of Israel. Not to a future of individual bliss, even though in the divine presence, but to a resurrection to a new life (Is. xxvi. 19) as members of the holy people and citizens of the Messianic kingdom, did the righteous aspire. The individual thus looked forward to his highest consummation in the life of the righteous community. This resurrection as the necessary spiritual sequel and the true organic development of the righteous life on earth was of necessity limited to the righteous; but, as we have seen, the author of Dan. xii., writing at a time when this spiritual significance of the resurrection was forgotten, extended it to the unfaithful in Israel, and thus wholly secularised it, and gave it a meaning absolutely at variance with its original one.

Most of these paragraphs on Gehenna have already appeared in my article on Gehenna in Hastings' Bible Dictionary, ii. 119.
 See Stave, Einfluss des Parsismus auf das Judenthum, pp. 176, 177.

The Messianic kingdom was always conceived CHAP. IV. as eternal on earth save in Is. lxv. 17, lxvi. 22, Messianic where a new heavens and a new earth are always of spoken of; but these verses are at issue with their eternal duracontexts, and should no doubt be rejected (see Testament. pp. 127-129).

Gentiles.—Apart from the few wider teachers who looked for the redemption of the Gentiles, the prevailing view, and the view that was strong and effective in later Judaism, was that all the Gentiles were to be destroyed (Is. xxxiv., xxxv.; Joel) or all that were hostile to Israel (Ezekiel, Haggai, Zechariah), while the rest were for ever to rest under the shadow of Yahwe's omnipotent but pitiless supremacy (Ezekiel), or to be converted to His worship (Haggai, Zechariah, etc.1)

New heavens and a new earth .- This idea, though found in Is. lxv., lxvi., is really inoperative there (see above, pp. 127-129).

Angelic patrons of the nations. - This idea, which is referred to in Is. xxiv. 21, 22, where the angelic rulers and kings are committed to prison, was used by the Jews to explain the oppression of Israel consistently with the belief in the supremacy of Yahwè (see Cheyne, Introd. Is. p. 151; Smend, A.T. Rel. Gesch. 395, 396). God did not rule the world directly, but through the agency of angels. The mention of this judgment is here so brief that obviously it is a current belief. The writer counts on the ready intelligence of his readers, and so touches on it shortly. This idea is referred to in Deut. xxxii. 8; Pss. lviii. 2, 3-lxxxii.; Dan. x.-xii., and is reproduced,

<sup>1</sup> Eschatological ideas, which are proper to Apocalyptic, are by no means rare in later Jewish prophecy. Thus we have the Book of Life. A roll of citizens seems to have been kept in Israel from the end of the ninth century onwards (Ezra ii. 62; Neh. xii. 22, 23, vii. 5, 64) as a security against aliens (Bertholet, Stellung d. Isr. zu d. Fremden, p. 80). This burgher list is referred to in Ezek. xiii. 9 (Jer. xxii. 30). From this seems to have been derived the expression "God's Book" in Exod. xxxii. 32, 33; Ps. cxxxix. 16; "Book of the living," Ps. lxix. 28: cf. also Ezek. ix. 4; Ps. Ixxxvii. 6. In all these passages to have one's name in the book of life meant participation in the temporal blessings of the theocracy; but in Dan. xii. I the idea is transformed, and to have one's name in the Book of Life means participation in the spiritual blessings of a future life. For further details see Charles' 1 Enoch, 2 91-92.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.—For the older literature on this subject see Alger, a Critical History of the Doctrine of a Future Life, with a Complete Bibliography, by Ezra Abbot, pp. 783-970, New York, 1871. Schulze, Voraussetzung der Christ. Lehre v. d. Unsterblichkeit, 1861. Stade, Die ATliche Vorstellungen vom Zustand nach dem Tode, 1877; Geschichte des Volks Israel,2 i. 415-427, 503-506, 1889. Briggs,4 Messianic Prophecy, 1886. Jeremias, Die Babyl.-Assyr. Vorstellungen vom Zustand nach dem Tode, 1887. Schwally, Das Leben nach dem Tode, 1892-original and most helpful. Toy, Judaism and Christianity, 1892; Ursprung der Israelitisch-Jüdischen Eschatologie, Gressmann, 1905. Cheyne, Origin of the Psalter, see pp. 381-452 on "Rise of Doctrine of Judgment after Death," 1891; Introduction to the Book of Isaiah, 1895—invaluable both on critical and exegetical grounds; Jewish Religious Life after the Exile, 1898. Robertson Smith, Religion of the Semites, 1894. Salmond, Christian Doctrine of Immortality, 3rd ed., 1897. Davidson, Art. "Eschatology" in Hastings' B.D. i. 734-741. See also the relative sections in the Biblical Theologies of Oehler, Schultz, Dillmann, and particularly Smend's ATliche Religionsgeschichte, 1893, and Marti's Geschichte der Israelitischen Religion, 1897.

but in a different form, in Eth. En. lxxxix. 59, xc. 17. According to Jubilees xv. 31, 32, angels are set over the nations, but only God is over Israel.

Mountain of God in the North.—This mountain in the north is referred to in Is. xiv. 13 (Ezek. i. 4) and in Job xxxvii. 22 (according to Siegfried's emendation) and in Ps. xlviii. 2 (?). In Ezek. xxviii. 13, 14, 16 this idea is combined with that of the Garden of Eden in Gen. iii. In this form the myth is further developed in I En. xviii. 6-9 (see note in my edition), xxiv. 1-3, xxv. 3. The Mountain of God in the North is found amongst the Assyrians, Babylonians, Indians, etc. It is a late idea in Israel; for their sacred mountain was anciently in the south (Judge. v. 4, 5; Deut. xxxiii. 2; Hab. iii. 3; Ps. lxviii. 8, 9; Zech. ix, 14).

## CHAPTER V

THE ESCHATOLOGY OF APOCRYPHAL AND APOCALYPTIC LITERATURE DURING THE SECOND CENTURY B.C.

In the preceding chapters we have dealt with the Higher eschatological thought of the Old Testament Canoni- Old Testacal books, and the rise of the higher theology in Job, ment further developed in the Psalms, Isaiah, and Daniel. The main ideas of subsequent this theology are reproduced and further developed in literature, but the subsequent Apocryphal and Apocalyptic litera- iasticus and ture. Of the Apocryphal books, however, Ecclesiasticus and Tobit have no part in this development, but reflect the earlier and more conservative views of the Old Testament. Since these are the last chief witnesses to some of the still surviving heathen elements in Judaism, and lie off the main path of religious development, we shall consider them at the outset together, and then pass on to the writings. which are important in an eschatological regard.

non-Canonical not in Eccles-

In Ecclesiasticus the problem of retribution Doctrine of takes a peculiar form. On the one hand it is uncom- Ecclesiasticus. promisingly conservative, and refuses to admit the possibility of the new views as to the future life.

As Box and Oesterley have shown in their Introd. to Sirach § 4 in Charles' Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha i., there appears to have been a Pharisaic recension of Sirach in the 1st cent. B.C. The relics of this recension are preserved in certain Greek Cursives, the Old Latin and Syriac Versions, the Syro-Hexaplar, in Clement of Alexandria, and Chrysostom. Many of these Pharisaic additions appear in the A.V. but not in the R.V.

Retribution confined to this life.

All retribution without exception is confined to this life: "Fear not death," exclaims the Son of Sirach, "whether it be ten or a hundred or a thousand years, there are no chastisements¹ for life in Sheol" (xli. 3, 4). On the other hand, this writer supplements Ezekiel's theory of exact individual retribution with the older view which Ezekiel attacked, and seeks to cover its obvious defects with the doctrine of the solidarity of the family. A man's wickedness must receive its recompense either in his own person in this life, or, failing this, in the persons of his surviving children, since Sheol knows no retribution. Thus on the one hand he teaches the doctrine of individual retribution:

Look at the generations of old and see:
Who did ever put his trust in the Lord and was ashamed?<sup>2</sup>
(ii. 10, 11).

There shall no good come to him that continueth to do evil, Nor to him that giveth no alms (xii. 3).

The ungodly . . . shall not go unpunished unto Hades (ix. 12).

For it is an easy thing in the sight of the Lord To reward a man in the day of death according to his ways, . . . And in the last end of a man is the revelation of his deeds (xi. 26, 27<sup>b</sup>).

But this theory of individual retribution was inadequate, for obviously all men did not meet with their deserts. Hence a man's sins are visited through

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So the marginal reading of the new Hebrew text, This reading is supported by the LXX.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some suffering is disciplinary and educational, and as such is a proof of God's love. Thus "gold is tried in the fire, and acceptable men in the furnace of humiliation" (ii. 5; cf. Prov. iii. 12; Ps. cxix. 71).

the evil remembrance of his name and in the misfortunes of his children after him. Thus our author declares that a man's character shall be manifest in the fortunes of his children:—

CHAP. V.

A man shall be known in his children (xi. 28).

The children of the ungodly shall not put forth many branches;

For the root of the impious is on the point of a crag (so Heb.)

(xl. 15).

The inheritance of sinners' children shall perish,
And with their posterity abideth poverty (Heb. and Syr.)
(xli. 6; cf. xxiii. 24-26).

On the other hand, the children of the righteous are blessed. Thus:—

With their seed shall remain continually a good inheritance; Their children are within the covenants. Their seed standeth fast
And their children for their sakes.
Their seed shall remain for ever,
And their glory shall not be blotted out (xliv. 11-13).

Since there is thus no retribution beyond the grave, there is no organic relation between this life and the life in Sheol.¹ Sheol is out of the sphere of moral government; for there no account is taken of man's past life on earth (xli. 4); there is there no recognition of God: "Thanksgiving perisheth from the dead as from one that is not" (xvii. 28). In that region there is no delight of life (xiv. 16); its inhabitants are bereft of light (xxii. 11); they are plunged in an eternal sleep (xlvi. 19).

The reference to Gehenna in vii. 17, ἐκδίκησις

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In xxi. 10 thoughts of the penal character of Sheol do seem to be present, though not in harmony with the doctrinal system of the author.

ἀσεβοῦς πῦρ καὶ σκώληξ, is undoubtedly corrupt; for belief in an abode of penal character is contrary to the whole outlook of the writer as to the future: moreover, it is without the support of the Hebrew, of the Syriac Version and the best MSS. of the Ethiopic Version.

As regards the future of the nation, the writer looks forward to the Messianic kingdom (xxxvi. 1-17), of which Elijah is to be the forerunner (xlviii. 10), when Israel will be delivered from evil (l. 23, 24), the scattered tribes restored (xxxvi. 11; Greek, xxxiii. 13), the heathen nations duly punished (xxxv. 18, 19; Greek, xxxii. 22-24). This kingdom of Israel will last for ever (xxxvii. 25, xliv. 13).1

Tobit.

Tobit.—The eschatology of this book is very slight. It entertains, like the Old Testament, high hopes for the nation. Thus Jerusalem and the temple will be rebuilt with gold and precious stones, the scattered tribes restored, and the heathen, forsaking their idols, will worship the God of Israel (xiii. 10-18, xiv. 4-6). This author takes Sheol in its Old Testament sense. Thus it is called the eternal place (ὁ αἰώνιος τόπος) in iii. 6. Here Hades (cf. iii. 10, xiii. 2) is a region where existence is practically at an end, as in Job and Ecclesiasticus, for Sarah, the daughter of Raguel, prays in iii. 6: "Command my spirit to be taken from me, that I... may

¹ This last statement is questionable, as it has the support of the Greek Version only; for xxxvii. 25 is not found in the Syriac, and in xliv. 13, while the Greek gives "their seed (=ppm) shall remain for ever," the Heb. and Syr. "their remembrance (=ppm) shall remain for ever."

become earth . . . and go to the everlasting place." This deathlike character of Sheol is due to the current theory of the soul and spirit derived from Gen. ii., iii.

CHAP. V.

When we pass from the Old Testament to A developed apocalyptic literature at the beginning of the second form of the Old Testament century B.C., we find that what had been tenta-higher theology the creed of the , tive and exceptional on the part of two or more Chasids. Old Testament writers has now become normal and settled in the creed of a small body of zealous Jews known as Chasids or Asidaeans. Since these came forward as the representatives and champions of the higher theology in Israel, we must turn aside for a few minutes to notice their history. For Their history. many years it was one of patient martyrdom. The first reference to these as forming a religious organisation is found in I Enoch xc. 6, and the date of its initiation appears to be about 200 B.C. In this passage they are described in the following allegorical terms: "But behold lambs were borne by those white sheep, and they began to open their eyes, and to see and to cry to the sheep." white sheep" here are the faithful adherents of the Theocracy, the lambs are the Chasids. The lambs are distinguished from the white sheep, because the movement initiated by the Chasids marked a new and severer rule of life and worship than had hitherto been observed. The next reference to them is to be found in 1 Macc. ii. 29-38, where we are told of a large body of men who, with their wives and children, forsook all that they had, and

took refuge in the caves in the wilderness, in order to worship without let or hindrance. When the officers of Antiochus were informed of this exodus. they went in pursuit, and put 1000 Chasids to the sword (Joseph. Ant. xii. 6, 2) on their refusal to return and comply with the edicts of Antiochus." The victims offered no resistance, for it was the Sabbath. But many escaped and gave their sup-, port to Mattathias in the great Maccabean struggle on behalf of the Law of God, but only after much indecision (1 Macc. vii. 13 sqq.); because the Maccabean movement put them in strife with the high priest of the time, the legitimate and religious head of the nation. So long, however, as the Maccabean family fought simply for the restoration of the Theocracy, they carried with them the support of the Chasids; but the moment that Jonathan laid hands on the high priesthood, from that moment began the alienation of the Chasids, and their withdrawal from the arena of public life. For almost half a century they are unknown to history; when, they once more reappear in history, they are known as the Pharisees, and from henceforth they mould for good or ill the destinies of the nation. However corrupt this movement became in later times, it was incomparably noble in its early days. It incorporated within it all the enthusiasm and religious faith of the nation, and, though spiritual children of the Scribes, they drew within their membership the most zealous of the priestly as well as the non-priestly families. Though first appearing

as the champions of the Law against the Hellenising Sadducees, they were still more the representatives of advanced forms of doctrine on the Messianic , kingdom and the resurrection. To this compara- Their tasks tively small body of men was entrusted for some and achievedecades the defence, confirmation, and development of the religious truths that were to save the world. , How nobly and with what prodigal self-sacrifice they proved themselves worthy guardians of this sacred trust is told for all time in the Enoch and Maccabean literature, and set forth in pregnant strength and simplicity in the New Testament book of the Hebrews (xi. 35-39), which describes them as those "of whom the world was not worthy." Through their agency the spiritual aspirations of the · Old Testament few became in the course of a century

CHAP. V.

## Prophecy and Apocalyptic

the unshakeable convictions of Palestinian Judaism.

Prophecy and Apocalytic are not opposed to each other essentially; for fundamentally they have a common basis, they use for the most part the same methods, and are both alike radically ethical. On the other hand, whereas some of the leading conceptions of prophecy became untenable in the face of the problems stated in Job and Ecclesiastes, the answers to these problems, which in a developed form all religious men accept this day, were first given by apocalyptic and not by prophecy. These will be dealt with presently. We shall now enumerate the

points wherein prophecy and apocalyptic are essentially at one and those wherein they diverge. We shall thus best apprehend the contributions of both to the religious history of the world.

Prophecy and apocalyptic use same methods for learning will of God.

- apocalyptist either sought or came to learn the will of God, or think God's thoughts after Him, are in the main the same. Thus the prophet's knowledge came through visions, trances, and through spiritual, and yet not unconscious, communion with God—the highest form of inspiration. Since apocalyptic makes use of the same methods, we must dwell for a few minutes on these psychical experiences.
- (a) Visions and trances. The reality of the vision and trance as actual experiences no man who is familiar with modern psychology will for a moment ' question. But these psychical experiences were not confined to Israel. They were familiar to the ethnic religions, and in the case of the Greek religion we might mention the Oracle at Delphi, which exerted an influence for good on the national life. But the hope of continuous progress by such means was foredoomed from the outset owing to their association with polytheism and other corrupt forms of religion, and to the failure of Hellas to respond to the moral claims as it has done to those of the intellect. But it was otherwise in Israel, where seers such as Samuel prepared the way for the prophet, where henotheism—the worship of one God with the recognition of the existence of other gods-in due course gave way to monotheism, and

the moral claims received a progressive and everdeepening response. The prophets had, like their predecessors the seers, dreams, visions, and trances. The value of these visionary experiences is not to be estimated by the degree in which they were actual experiences; for psychology, as we have already stated, has established both the possibility and actuality of such experiences. They may be supremely actual, and yet valueless or even hurtful. Their value must be determined by quite a different standard, i.e. by the source from which they spring, the environment in which they are produced, and the influence they exercise on the will and character. In all these respects prophecy in Israel was duly authenticated. This is a point of primary importance. But there is another which, though of secondary weight, we must touch on here, and this is that the very form of the prophetic experiences as beheld by the inner eye, or heard by the inner ear, as well as their literary expression, must take their character largely from the spiritual and literary standards of the time. This psychical experience of the prophet was generally one of sight or of sound: that is, in the psychical state he either saw certain things or heard certain things. Now the things so seen or heard he could grasp only so far as his psychical powers, and the spiritual development behind him, enabled him to do so: that is, in the case of a heavenly vision he could at the best only partially apprehend its significance. To the things seen he perforce attached the symbols more or less trans-

formed that these naturally evoked in his mind, symbols that he owed to his own waking experience or the tradition of the past; and the sounds he heard naturally clothed themselves in the literary forms with which his memory was stored.

And yet however successful the prophet might be in setting forth his visionary experiences, he laboured, as we have pointed out, under a double disadvantage. His powers of spiritual perception were generally unequal to the task of apprehending the full meaning of the heavenly vision, and his powers of expression were frequently unable to set forth the things he had apprehended.

Now the visions and trances with which we have thus briefly dealt belong both to prophecy and apocalyptic. And before passing on to other forms of revelation, we may remark here, that just as at times the prophet came to use the words "thus saith the Lord," even when there was no actual psychical experience in which he heard a voice, but when he wished to set forth the will of God which he had reached by other means, so the term "vision" came to have a like conventional use both in prophecy and apocalyptic.

Highest form of spiritual experience.

(b) But there is a higher form of spiritual experience than either that of the prophetic audition or of the prophetic vision. In this higher experience common to both prophecy and apocalyptic, the divine insight is won in a state of intense spiritual absorption, in which the consciousness of selfthough not unconscious-may in a sense be lost,

and the soul come into direct touch with truth or with God Himself. The light, that in such high experience visits the wrestling spirit, comes as a grace, an insight into reality which the soul could never have achieved by its own unaided powers, and yet can come only to the soul that has fitted itself for its reception. In such spiritual and intellectual crises-with which we may compare sudden conversion in the religious life—the eye of the seer sees no vision, and the ear of the seer hears no voice, and yet therein is spiritual experience at its highest. To represent experiences unwitnessed by the seer's eye, and unheard by the seer's ear, the prophet must perforce have recourse to symbols and sense images, which can at the best suggest but not convey the truth. Such experiences, therefore, must ever be beyond the range of literal description, and cannot be adequately expressed by any human combination of words or sounds or colours.

- (c) Allegory.—On one more literary form used Allegory. alike by prophecy and apocalyptic I must here briefly touch, and this is their use of allegory. Allegories are generally freely constructed and figurative descriptions of real events and persons. With this form of literature we might compare Bunyan's Pilgrim's Progress. Their object is to lay bare the eternal issues that are at stake in the actual conflicts of the day: Dan. xi. and En. 87-90 are of this nature.
- 2. Again prophecy and apocalyptic have each its own eschatology. I must pause here for a moment to

CHAP. V.

Prophecy and apocalyptic and their eschatologies. emphasize the fact that eschatology is not to be identified either with prophecy or apocalyptic. With each it is in part synonymous. Eschatology is strictly the doctrine of the last things: and is no more to be identified with apocalyptic than it should be with prophecy—a most radical blunder that has been made recently by many English and German scholars. Prophetic eschatology is the child of prophecy, and apocalyptic eschatology is the child of apocalyptic. As might be expected, the two eschatologies by no means agree. To some of the differences between the prophetic and apocalyptic eschatologies I will now draw your attention.

Differences between the eschatologies of prophecy and apocalyptic. The eschatology of the prophets dealt only with the destiny of Israel, as a nation, and the destinies of the Gentile nations, but it had no message of light or comfort for the individual beyond the grave. For all men ultimately, whether of Israel or of the Gentiles, Sheol, the unblessed abode of shades, was the final and everlasting habitation.

Permanent contributions of apocalyptic: (1) the doctrine of a blessed future life.

Every advance on this heathen conception we owe to apocalyptic. The belief in a blessed future life springs not from prophecy but from apocalyptic. With this doctrine the O.T. prophet quâ prophet was not concerned. Not even a hint of it is to be found in Old Testament prophecy. On the other hand, the apocalyptist made it a fundamental postulate of his belief in God. Thus it is stated as an unquestionable truth in Daniel, in the late Apocalypse, which was incorporated in Isaiah xxiv.-xxvii., in the

apocalyptic Psalms xlix. and lxxiii., and the foundations of the doctrine are to be found in Job, which exhibits the characteristic features and questionings of Jewish apocalyptic. Only the beginnings of this doctrine, it is true, are to be found in the Old Testament. Its further development and spiritualisation were carried on in the later apocalyptic school. It is a genuine product of Jewish inspiration, and at the beginning of the Christian era was accepted by the entire Jewish nation, with the exception of the larger and radical wing of the Sadducean party.

Again, the Christian expectation of a new heaven (2) Doctrine of and a new earth is derived not from prophecy and a new heaven but from apocalyptic. The prophetic expectation of a blessed future for the nation, however pure from an ethical standpoint, was materialistic. Old Testament prophecy looked forward to an eternal Messianic kingdom on the present earth, which should be initiated by the final judgment, but in apocalyptic this underwent a gradual transformation, till the hopes of the righteous were transferred from a kingdom of material blessedness to a spiritual kingdom, in which they were to be as the angels and become companions of the heavenly hosts. This transference of the hopes of the faithful from the material world, took place about 100 B.C. At this period the earth had come to be regarded as wholly unfit for this kingdom, and thus new conceptions of the kingdom arose, and it was taught by many that the Messianic Kingdom was to be merely of temporary duration, and that the goal of the risen righteous was to be-not this

CHAP. V.

temporary kingdom or millennium—but heaven itself. This conception, combined with kindred apocalyptic beliefs, begat an attitude of detachment from this world. The faithful while in the world were not of it. This temper of apocalyptic but not of prophecy finds expression in the New Testament in the words: "Here we have no continuing city": "We look for a city whose builder and maker is God."

If we try to appreciate these revolutions in religious thought, we shall in some degree apprehend their vast significance. In the kingdom of God, as expected by the Old Testament prophets, though righteousness was to be therein supreme, there was a large element of materialism. The emphasis was laid on the community, on its security, and permanence and happiness. But the thought was almost wholly of the community and not of the individual.

Only the faithful who survived till that blessed era should enjoy it, and none others. Furthermore, though the kingdom itself was to be for everlasting, there was no such promise for the individual who lived to share its glories. He should enjoy it for an idefinite period and then depart from God's presence to Sheol.

If we penetrate beneath the surface of such conceptions, we discover that they imply that things were of more concern than souls, it matters not whether these things be things celestial or things terrestrial. These conceptions, therefore, are somewhat of a materialistic character. But

with the advent of the belief in a blessed immortality of all the faithful, and in a kingdom of spiritual blessedness, the emphasis was transferred from the material to the spiritual, from things to souls. Whatever the things may be, souls are of infinitely higher worth.

CHAP. V.

One more doctrine which has been adopted into (3) Doctrine of catastrophic later Judaism and the New Testament, not from end of world. prophecy, though the germs of it are there, but from apocalyptic, is that the end of the present world will be catastrophic. According to science, there are two possible endings of the earth. Either it will perish slowly through cold, owing to the failing energies of the sun, and life revert to a savagery beyond our imaginings, and the last men die in mortal strife for the last faggot and the last crust of bread; or the earth will suddenly be destroyed catastrophically by the impact of some other heavenly body, or by the outburst of its own internal fires. While science of necessity can only predict two possible endings of the world, apocalyptic declared that the end of the present order of things will be catastrophic.

This teaching of apocalyptic cannot fail to commend itself to the faith of every thoughtful man. For if we believe the teaching of science as to the conservation of energy-even of the lowest forms of it-then still more must we believe in the conservation of the highest forms of energy that have appeared on earth, the personalities of saints and heroes, yea, and of the nameless and numberless multitudes, in whom have been realised the

divine energies of courage and truth, of faith and of unfailing hope, of love and boundless self-sacrifice.

(4) Prophecy deals with present and future as arising out of present. Apocalyptic as dealing with past, present, and future philosophy of religion.

Again, prophecy, though mainly devoting itself to the present and to the future so far as it rose organically out of the present, occasionally took account of the past (Jer. iii. 6 sqq., Ezek. xvi.) Its object in so doing was to show the true nature of forms a Semitic that past, and to bring to light the real principles and agencies that moulded that past, and to show the inevitable goal to which they led. This, too, is characteristic of apocalyptic, but in a far greater degree. Thus Dan. ii. 31, 32, 37-38, iv. 7-12, vii., viii. deal with the present or immediate past; I Enoch lxxxv.-lxxxvi. with all the past preceding the life of Enoch; 2 Baruch liii., lvi.-lxix. with the leading crises in the history of the world down to Baruch's time; the Sibyllines iii. 819 sqq., ii. 5-290 with an account of the beginnings of history down to the Deluge. But the classical example of this treatment of the past is to be found in the New Testament Apocalypse, chap. xii., where the birth of Christ, and certain other great events prior to the date of the Apocalypse are recounted. Other examples discover themselves in chap. xiii. 1-4, 11-12, 14, etc. Here Gunkel is decidedly wrong, when in his Schöpfung und Chaos (186-190) he identifies apocalypse wholly with vision, and, maintaining that vision can never deal with the present or the past, infers that apocalyptic cannot do so either. Every position in this statement is wrong, and even the thoroughgoing eschatologist, Johannes Weiss, takes the field against him on this question.

But whilst prophecy and apocalyptic occupy to some extent the same province, the scope of apocalyptic is incommensurably greater. Thus whereas prophecy incidentally dealt with the past and devoted itself to the present and the future as rising organically out of the past, apocalyptic, though its interests lie chiefly in the future as containing the solution of the problems of the past and present, took within its purview things past, present, and to come. It is no mere history of such things. While the ordinary man saw only the outside of things in all their incoherence and isolation, the apocalyptist sought to get behind the surface and penetrate to the essence of events, the spiritual purposes and forces that underlie and give them their real significance. With this end in view apocalyptic sketched in outline the history of the world and of mankind, the origin of evil, its course, and inevitable overthrow, the ultimate triumph of righteousness, and the final consummation of all things. It was thus, in short, a Semitic philosophy of religion, and as such it was ever asking, Whence? Wherefore? Whither? and it put these questions in connection with the world, the Gentiles, Israel and the individual. Apocalyptic and not prophecy was the first to grasp the great idea that all history, alike human, cosmological, and spiritual, is a unity -a unity following naturally as a corollary of the unity of God preached by the prophets.

Such problems arose inevitably in Israel, owing to Israel's belief in monotheism and the righteousness of God. The righteousness of God postulated

the temporal prosperity of the righteous, and this postulate was accepted and enforced by the Law. But the expectations thus founded and fostered had been falsified, and thus a grave contradiction had emerged between the prophetic ideals and the actual experience of the nation and of the indi-To the difficulties affecting the individual prophecy could give no answer at all. The prophets could promise a blessed future for the nation, but for the individual they could foretell, as we have seen, only Sheol. Ezekiel, it is true, said there was no problem and no difficulty; for that every man was recompensed in this life exactly as he deserved, that his outward lot harmonised perfectly with his inner character. This is the last word that prophecy had to say on the destiny of the individual, and so Ezekiel's view became the orthodox dogma of Judaism. But such a shallow dogma was presently challenged and controverted by Job and Ecclesiastes, and but for the services of apocalyptic in this field, true religion could not have survived in Palestine save in the case of a handful of mystics.

Apocalyptic in minor degree derived from unfulfilled prophecy. 3. Since study and reflection entered largely into the life of the apocalyptist, and his chief studies were confined to the sacred books of Israel, it follows that a not unimportant element in apocalyptic is that of unfulfilled prophecy. Unfulfilled prophecy had been clearly a matter of religious difficulty to the prophets themselves. The unfulfilled prophecies of the older prophets were re-edited by the later.

Thus Ezekiel takes up one such prophecy and reinterprets it in such a way as to show that its First refulfilment is yet in the future. The prophets interpretation due to Ezekiel. Jeremiah (iii.-vi.) and Zephaniah had foretold the invasion of Judah by a mighty people from the North. But this northern foe had failed to appear. And yet appear he must; for was not inspired prophecy pledged thereto?1 Hence Ezekiel reedits this prophecy in a new form, and adjourns its fulfilment. Thus, according to Ezek. xxxviii. 8. 16, a mighty host (i.e. Gog) in the future will attack Jerusalem from the North (see above, p. 108). This host, Ezekiel declares, is the foe foretold by the prophets: "Thou art he of whom I spake by my servants the prophets of Israel, which prophesied in those days for many years that I would bring thee against them" (xxxviii. 172).

It was probably the re-editing of these unfulfilled prophecies that led Ezekiel to divide the final judgment into two great catastrophic events—the glorification of the united Israel in Jerusalem

<sup>2</sup> So LXX and Vulgate, which omit the interrogative in this verse.

<sup>1</sup> The source of a prophecy, whether from Yahwè or not, was, according to Deut. xviii. 21, 22, to be shown by what followed. If the prophecy was fulfilled, then it was from Yahwè, but otherwise not. That this cannot, however, be regarded as a true canon follows from the fact that many prophecies of the greatest prophets remained unfulfilled. This canon, moreover, is to some extent questioned in Deut. xiii. 1-4, where it is said that false prophets, who would lead Israel to worship other gods, will foretell signs and wonders, and that though these come to pass the false prophets are not to be hearkened to. Thus the fulfilment of prophecy did not in itself prove that the prophet was from Yahwè. Yet another canon is given by Jer. xxviii. 8, 9: "The prophets which have been before me and before thee of old prophesied against many countries, and against great kingdoms, (only) of war and of evil and of estilence. (But) the prophet which prophesieth of peace, when the word of the prophet shall come to pass, then shall the prophet be known that Yahwè hath truly sent him."

Other apocalyptic features in Ezekiel. (xxxvii. 23-28) and the subsequent destruction of the heathen powers (xxxviii., xxxix.)

Other traces of the apocalyptic type of thought discover themselves in Ezekiel. Thus the word of God has become identical with a written book (ii. 8iii. 4), by the eating of which he learns the will of God—an idea that is to be compared with the tree in Paradise, the eating of which imparted spiritual knowledge. Moreover, when the divine word is thus conceived as a written message, the sole office of the prophet is that of merely communicating what is written. Thus the human element is reduced almost to zero, and the conception of prophecy becomes mechanical. Man stands over against God as the involuntary instrument of the Absolute. And as the personal element disappears in the conception of the prophetic calling, so it tends to disappear in the prophetic view of history, and the future comes to be conceived, not as the organic result of the present under the divine guidance, but as mechanically determined from the beginning in the counsels of God, and as arranged under certain artificial categories of time.

It is therefore not without reason that Duhm¹ has called Ezekiel the spiritual founder of Apocalyptic.

Non-fulfilment of prophecies

of the date of the Messianic kingdom the main source of Apocalyptic. The non-fulfilment of prophecies relating to this or that individual event or people served, no doubt, to popularise the methods of Apocalyptic, but only in a very slight degree in comparison with the non-

<sup>1</sup> Theologie der Propheten, p. 210.

fulfilment of the greatest of all prophecies—the advent of the Messianic kingdom. Thus, though Advent of Jeremiah had promised that after seventy years kingdom after seventy years, (xxv. 11, xxix. 10) Israel should be restored to according to Jeremiah; their own land (xxiv. 5, 6), and there enjoy after forty the blessings of the Messianic kingdom under the ing to Ezekiel. Messianic King (xxiii. 5, 6), this period had passed by, and things remained as of old. A similar expectation was cherished by Ezekiel, but this no more than that of Jeremiah was destined to be fulfilled.1 Next, Haggai and Zechariah promised After rebuildthat, when the temple was rebuilt, the Davidic king- according to dom should be established and the glories of the Haggai and Zechariah. Messianic time. The temple was presently rebuilt, but the kingdom failed to appear. Through century after century the hope for the advent of the kingdom still persisted, and was possibly sustained with fresh reinterpretations of ancient prophecy.

years, accord-

At any rate, in the first half of the second According to century B.C. we have two notable reinterpretations (circa 168of the old prophecy of Jeremiah. In both of these kingdom works the problem is solved by adjourning the hour within a few years, or at of fulfilment. In the first—the Book of Daniel, circa most a genera-168 B.C.—the writer (ix. 25-27) interprets the 70 years of Jeremiah as 70 weeks of years = 490 years. Since 69½ of these had already expired, there were only 3½ years to run before the destruction of the Greek power and the consummation of the

According to Ezek. iv. 6, the captivity was to last forty years. Thus the present generation was to atone for its own guilt. But since the children were not to suffer for the parents, the next generation was to witness their restoration to Palestine. Ezekiel hoped to survive it (xxix. 21).

Theocracy. In the second, and almost contemporaneous work—I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc., circa 166-161 B.C.—a somewhat analogous solution of the problem is given. The writer takes the 70 years of Jeremiah to denote the 70 successive reigns of the 70 angelic patrons to whom God had committed the care and administration of the world. Since the sway of these angelic rulers was to terminate within the present generation, the Messianic kingdom was, therefore, at hand.

Both the above periods came and passed by,

and again the expectations of the Jews were

doomed to disappointment. The Greek empire in the East was indeed overthrown, and an independent kingdom of Judah set up under the Maccabean dynasty. But this latter speedily showed itself to be in many respects the antithesis of the promised kingdom of God. Thenceforward the Messianic hopes undergo an absolute transforma-They are still cherished, indeed, but their object is no longer an eternal but only a temporary theocracy established on the present earth. The solutions of Daniel and Enoch (lxxxix., xc.) have been perforce abandoned for the time, but the number seventy still possesses a strong fascination for the Jewish writer of Apocalyptic. Thus in I Enoch xci.-civ. (circa 105-95 B.C.) the whole history of the world is divided into ten weeks, each apparently of seven generations. The Messianic kingdom is to be established at the beginning of the eighth week, and to terminate with the seventh

After 100 B.C. tion.
only a temporary Messianic object kingdom expected, but that immediately.

day of the tenth. The writer is living at the close of the seventh week (I Enoch xciii. 10). Hence the kingdom is close at hand. But this hope no more than its predecessors met with fulfilment.

CHAP. V.

We shall now pass over a period of a century Book of Daniel and a half. During this interval the Book of Daniel prestige, and has more than recovered the loss its prestige had its prophecy of the fourth sustained in the second century through its un-kingdom now fulfilled prophecies of the kingdom. During the Rome in same interval, however, a new and more ruthless 4 Ezra power had taken the place of the Greek empire in the East. This new phenomenon called, therefore, for a fresh reinterpretation of Daniel. The fourth and last empire, which, according to Dan. vii. 19-25, was to be Greek, was now declared to be Roman by the writer of 2 Baruch xxxvi.-xl. (circa 50-70 A.D.), and likewise by the author of 4 Ezra x. 60-xii. 35 (circa 90 A.D.) In the latter work the writer implies that the vision in Dan. vii. 7, 8 was misinterpreted by the angel in vii. 23-25.1

interpreted of

Another subject which was studied by the apoca- Cosmological lyptists is the story of creation. The first three ideas transformed into chapters of Genesis were, as we are aware, derived eschatological. originally from Babylonian sources. Other elements of these cosmological myths relating to the primeval monster of the deep are preserved in the prophets. and have been dealt with at length by Gunkel.

<sup>1</sup> Thus in reference to the fourth kingdom, symbolised by the eagle in this book (see xi. 1 sqq.), God says to Ezra: "Aquilam quam vidisti ascendentem de mari, hoc est regnum quartum quod visum est in visu Danieli fratri tuo. Sed non est illi interpretatum quomodo ego nunc tibi interpretor" (xii. 11, 12).

In later Judaism these cosmological myths were transformed into eschatological expectations. The account in Genesis of the first week of Creation came in pre-Christian times to be regarded not only as a history of the past, but as a forecast of the future history of the world so created. Thus as the world was created in six days, its history was to be accomplished in 6000 years; for 1000 years with God were as one day, and as God rested on the seventh day, so at the close of the 6000 years there would be a rest of 1000. Similarly the primeval monster of the deep, the enemy of God, became the dragon or Satan, who was again to fight against God in the last days. This principle of interpretation is explicitly stated in Barnabus 618, ίδου ποιῶ τὰ ἔσγατα ώς τὰ πρῶτα. But this transformation of primitive myth into eschatological expectation, was already known to the prophets, at all events in a poetical form, Is. xvii. 12-14; Hab. iii. 8; Nah. i. 4, etc. Here again apocalyptic was only developing a tendency already active in prophecy.

Apocalyptic no less ethical than prophecy. 4. Prophecy has always been recognised as the greatest ethical force in the ancient world. Such also was apocalyptic in its time, and yet an attempt has recently been made by advanced liberals to differentiate prophecy and apocalyptic on the ground that apocalyptic and ethics are distinct, and that ethics are the kernel and apocalyptic the husk, which Christianity shed when it ceased to need it. How any scholar who was really acquainted with the texts could make such a statement I cannot understand.

Apocalyptic was essentially ethical. To use the mixed metaphor of St. Paul, it was rooted and grounded in ethics, and that an ethics based on the essential righteousness of God. In every crisis of the world's history, when the good cause was overthrown and the wrong triumphant, its insistent demand was ever: "Shall not the Judge of all the earth do right?" and its uncompromising optimism, its unconquerable faith under the most overwhelming disasters was: "God reigns, and righteousness shall ultimately prevail."

What else than an inexpugnable sense of truth, and duty to truth, inspire the refusal of the three children in Daniel to fall down and worship the image that the king had set up? When the king demands, "Who is that God that shall deliver you out of my hands?" mark the splendid heroism of their reply: "There is a God whom we serve who is able to deliver us from the burning fiery furnace; and He will deliver us out of thy hand, O king. But if not, be it known unto thee, O king, that we will not serve thy gods, nor worship the golden image which thou hast set up" (iii. 17 sqq.) Now let us turn to the apocalyptic books outside the Canon. What an impressive ethical statement is that in Jubilees (xxi. 22) addressed to Israel:

Beware lest thou walk in their ways
And tread in their paths,
And sin a sin unto death against the Most High,
And so He deliver thee back again into the grip of thy
transgression.

CHAP. V.

Or turning to a different theme, let us hear what the Testaments of the XII. Patriarchs say of the faithful doer of the word of God.

Every man that knoweth the law of the Lord shall be honoured, And shall not be a stranger whithersoever he goeth—
For though there be a leading into captivity,
And cities and lands be destroyed,
And gold and silver and every possession perish,
The wisdom of the wise can nought take away,
Save the blindness of ungodliness,
Or the callousness that comes of sin.
Even among his enemies shall wisdom be a glory to him,
And in a strange country a fatherland,
And in the midst of foes shall prove a friend.

T. Lev. xiii. 3, 7-8.

Or again in 2 Enoch (lxiii. 2-3). "As one year is more honourable than another, so is one man more honourable than another. This man on account of having possessions, that man on account of the wisdom of the heart, another on account of understanding, another on account of purity, another on account of strength—but let it be heard everywhere: there is none greater than he that feareth God."

Or again when to faithless men excusing their moral derelictions on the ground of Adam's transgression the apocalyptist denies the right of such an excuse, and retorts in the pregnant words, "Not Adam, but every man is the Adam of his own soul."

There are numberless other passages showing the moral depth and inwardness of this literature. What nobler advice could the best ethical Christian teacher give to a defeated rival than this, "If a man is prospered beyond you, do not be vexed, but

even have recourse unto prayer on his behalf, that he may be prospered to the full" (T. Gad. vii. 1); or again, "If any man seeketh to do evil unto you, do him a good turn, and pray for him, and so from all evil ye shall be redeemed of the Lord" (T. Jos. xviii. 2); or again, "The holy man is merciful to him that revileth him, and holdeth his peace" (T. Benj. v. 4)?

The ethical teaching on these subjects in apocalyptic is a vast advance on that of the Old Testament, and forms the indispensable link, which in this respect connects the Old Testament with the New.

From these facts it follows that prophecy and Some conclusions as to apocalyptic are, in the main, concerned with the same prophecy and objects, that they use, in the main, the same methods, but that, whereas the scope of prophecy was limited, as regards time and space, that of apocalyptic was as wide as the universe and as unlimited as time. Moreover, inasmuch as prophecy had died long before the Christian era, and its place had been taken by apocalyptic, it was from the apocalyptic side of Judaism that Christianity was born-and in that region of Palestine where apocalyptic and not legalism held its seat-even in Galilee, from whence, as we know, came our Lord and eleven of His disciples.

5. The existence of two forms of Pharisaism in Apocalyptic pre-Christian Judaism, i.e. the apocalyptic, and the and legalistic sides of legalistic, which were the historical forerunners Pharisaism. respectively of Christianity and Talmudic Judaism,

Though starting originally from the same basis, demands here further notice. When we speak of apocalyptic and legalistic Judaism, it must not be inferred that in pre-Christian times these two were, essentially antagonistic. This would be a wholly mistaken inference. Fundamentally their origin was the same. Both started from the basis of the Law. This is obvious with regard to legalistic Pharisaism, but it is true no less of apocalyptic. The most universalistic and ethical of all the apocalyptic writings, i.e. the Testaments of the XII. Patriarchs, declares that "the law is the light that lighteth every man." To every Jewish apocalyptic writer the Law was of eternal validity. But they were also agreed on another fundamental point, and this was the validity of the prophetic teaching, and the right of apocalyptic as its successor. evidence for this statement is to be found in the Book of Jubilees, which, though the narrowest book that was ever written by legalistic Judaism, contains within it a complete apocalypse, and a considerable quantity of apocalyptic material. But we have still earlier evidence of this conjunction of legalism and apocalyptic in the canonical Book of Joel. The Law is there recognised as authoritative, its ritual is a matter of the highest import, and the thoughts of the community are directed to the closely impending advent of the Kingdom of God, which is depicted in apocalyptic colouring and with apocalyptic features. Legalism and apocalyptic are for the time welded together.

Thus devotion to the Law is the note that

characterises apocalyptic from its earliest beginnings. According to Josephus (Ant. xii. 6. 1) Mattathias, the father of the Maccabees, said to his children, on the outbreak of the persecution of Antiochus, "It is better for us to die for the laws of our fathers than to live thus dishonourably." The same sentiment was voiced by the Jews, in their uprising against Caligula's attempt to set up his statue in the Temple: and in the Assumption of Moses, written just after the Christian era, the attitude of its author is stereotyped in the expression "let us die rather than transgress the commands of . . . the God of our fathers" (ix. 6).

I have emphasised the original and fundamental identity of apocalyptic and legalistic Pharisaism in respect to devotion to the Law; because Iewish scholars in the past, and to a great extent in the present, have denied to apocalyptic its place in the faith of pre-Christian orthodox Judaism. Such an action on their part is absurd, seeing that Talmudic Judaism, no less than Christianity, owes its spiritual conceptions of the future to apocalyptic. But the affinity between Jewish apocalyptic and legalism is essential, since the Law was for both valid eternally: but we shall find that when apocalyptic passed over into Christianity, it abandoned this view of the Law, fested in and became in a measure anti-legalistic. The way course of time a degree of was already prepared in part for this abandonment antagonism, and developed by apocalyptic of the Law; for the natural tendency respectively of the apocalyptic and legalistic sides in Pharisaism anity and was to lay more and more emphasis on the chief Judaism.

into Christi-

CHAP. V.

factor of its belief and study, to the almost complete exclusion of the other. Thus legalistic Pharisaism in time drove out almost wholly the apocalyptic element, and became the parent of Talmudic Judaism, whereas apocalyptic Judaism developed more and more the apocalyptic, i.e. prophetic, element, and in the process came to recognise, as in 4 Ezra, the inadequacy of the Law for the salvation not only of Israel as a nation, but even of a mere handful of Israelites, unless the works of these few were supplemented by faith and accepted through the mercy of God. Thus apocalyptic Pharisaism became, speaking historically, the parent of Christianity, which in the great New Testament Apocalypse exhibits a decidedly anti-legalistic character. The Law is not once mentioned in the New Testament Apocalypse. To repeat, then: the Judaism that survived the destruction of Jerusalem was not the same as the Judaism of an earlier date.

Jewish apocalyptic always pseudonymous after third century B.C. 6. We have now dealt with the main characteristics which apocalyptic and prophecy possess in common, and those which in some degree mark them off each from one another. But there is still another characteristic, and this is, that, whereas prophecy generally bears the genuine name of its author, apocalyptic is generally pseudonymous. Generally, I repeat, for all Old Testament prophecy does not belong to the prophets under whose names it is given, considerable portions of it being in fact anonymous, as the 2nd Isaiah, and all apocalyptic is not pseudonymous; for some apocalypses appear

under the names of their authors: Joel can justly be described as a genuine apocalypse in the Old Testament; while in the New Testament we have the Johannine apocalypse, and the Pauline apocalypse in 2 Thessalonians ii.; and outside the canonical books the Shepherd of Hermas: others moreover are anonymous as Isaiah xxiv,-xxvii., and Zechariah ix.-xiv., the fragmentary Jewish apocalypse in Mark xiii., and parallels, and a few others that can be detected in the sources used by the New Testament Apocalypse.

From this brief statement of the facts, it follows that apocalyptic was, with the exception of Joel, always pseudonymous or anonymous in Judaism, down to A.D. 1300, but that it lost its pseudonymous character, in Christianity at all events, in the first century A.D. Is there any explanation of these strange and conflicting phenomena?

Before entering on this question I wish to confess that neither in my own books nor in those of any other writer has any satisfactory explanation been given. But that there is such an explanation a fresh and comprehensive study of the facts has convinced me, and this explanation will now be laid before the reader. It has already been pointed Partial exout that the anonymity of a great part of the this fact. Bible helps us to understand in some degree the adoption in later times of pseudonymity. Hebrew writer was almost wholly devoid of the pride of authorship, and showed no jealousy as to his literary rights. He was apparently devoid of the

desire of personal fame; his sole object was the service of God and the well-being of the nation. Accordingly the post-Exilic writer adopted freely the work of his predecessors and recast it according to the needs of his own time, or in other cases, as in that of the scribe, he re-edited the works of the ancient prophets, and introduced under their names anonymous fragments of prophecy. It is to this process of re-editing that we owe their preservation. Such additions are in a certain sense pseudonymous, and prepare us for the luxuriant growth of pseudonymous prophecy in later times; but they are not truly pseudonymous, and as yet we have no explanation.

Again it has been urged by Gunkel that these writings were in a sense not really pseudonymous, since much of their material was derived from really ancient traditions, already current under the names of Daniel, Enoch, Noah. The final editor of such traditions being conscious that he had not originated but only reinterpreted these traditions, might reasonably feel justified in attaching to his work an ancient name associated with such traditions. There is a very slight substratum of truth is this view; for to a certain extent the apocalyptist did re-edit and republish earlier traditions, but it is wholly inadequate to explain the adoption of pseudonymity. I will now attempt to give what I consider a reasonably adequate explanation of this strange phenomenon.

The present writer's explanation.

Pre-Exilic prophecy first spoken and then written. Beginning with Jewish prophecy, we observe that whereas pre-Exilic prophecy was first spoken and then written, post-Exilic prophecy was first written

and not necessarily spoken at all, and that whereas the greatest pre-Exilic prophecies were published in the names of their authors, Isaiah, Hosea, or Amos, much post-Exilic prophecy was anonymous. anonymous authors were at work even before the Exile. Deuteronomy belongs to this category. But more important still for our present purpose is the fact that the narratives of the Creation come from anonymous writers, who laid Babylonian sources under contribution. In these accounts we have an attempt to answer the question "whence?" in regard to the earth, and in regard to man, and so far we discover the same spirit that influenced the apocalyptists of later days. They attempt also to explain the origin of evil. Two views are set forth: the first at length in the Paradise story of the fall of Adam and Eve, and the other in the apparently casual statement in Genesis vi., according to which the sin of the world was traced to the mingling of the angels with the daughters of men-an idea that was revived in the second century B.C., and is apparently at the root of certain beliefs regarding demons in the New Testament.

Returning now to post-Exilic prophecy, much of which was issued anonymously as the 2nd Isaiah, we find that the concluding chapters of Isaiah and Zechariah ix.-xiv. are apocalyptic. In Isaiah xxiv.-xxvii., moreover, the writer deals with the ultimate destinies of the world, of the angels, and of men, and proclaims for the first time in existing Jewish literature the resurrection of righteous Israelites. Thus we

find that apocalyptic has not only its roots and early growth in the Old Testament: it has already arrived at a high degree of maturity within the Canon of the Old Testament, and that without including in our purview the Book of Daniel.

Later prophecy first written sarily spoken at all.

At this period we have the new type of prophecy, and not neces- i.e., prophecy of a literary character. Like the earlier prophecy it was based in part on visions and personal revelations. When once this literary type of prophecy had firmly established itself, anyone who, like the ancient order of prophets, appeared personally before the people as a representative of God, independent of traditional law or ordinance, was practically regarded as an impostor. Thus the writer of Zechariah xiii. declares that if any man attempt to prophesy in the pre-Exilic fashion his father and mother will put him to death as a deceiver. Joel in the fourth century could still promise an outpouring of the Spirit upon all flesh. This had been a living thought in Joel, the expectation of a Jewish pentecost, but later Jewish writers held that this promise was already fulfilled in the Law. Thus the author of the Testaments of the XII. Patriarchs declares that the Law is the light that lighteth every man; 1 and the author of the Book of Jubilees is never weary of insisting that the Law is not the expression of the moral consciousness of a particular age, but is valid for all eternity. When once this idea of an

When the Law became supreme it claimed to be the complete revelation of God, and so left no room for prophecy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> T. Lev. xiv. 4. Yet the Messiah was to pour out the spirit of prophecy on all believers (T. Levi xviii.; T. Jud. xxiv.; Sibyll. iii. 582), being Himself the bearer of the prophetic spirit (Ps. Sol. xvii. 37, xviii. 7), and possessing His sevenfold gifts (1 Enoch xlix. 3, lxii. 2).

inspired Law-adequate, infallible, and valid for all time-had become an accepted dogma of Judaism, as it became in the post-Exilic period, there was no longer room for independent representatives of God appearing before men, such as the pre-Exilic prophets. God had, according to the official teachers of the Church, spoken His last and final word through the Law, and when the hope is expressed that in the coming age a prophet will arise, he was only conceived as one whose task was to decide questions of ritual or priestly succession, or legal interpretation in accordance with the Law. Thus in Macc. iv. 46 the stones of the defiled altar of burnt-offering were to be put aside till a prophet arose, and in xiv. 41 (cf. ix. 27) the high-priesthood of Simon was to be provisionally acknowledged similarly till a prophet arose, who could decide on the validity of his high-priesthood. Accordingly the first fact we are to recognise is, that from the time of Ezra and Nehemiah the Law has not only assumed the functions of the ancient pre-Exilic prophets, but it has also, so far as lay in its power, made the revival of such prophecy an impossibility. The prophet who issued a prophecy under his own name after the time of Ezra and Nehemiah could not expect a hearing unless his prophecy had the imprimatur of the Law. This influence is manifest already in the fourthcentury prophecy of Joel, whose book is not so much the original work of a prophetic genius as an exposition of the faith of the community of his time, and in the second century this influence had in many

CHAP. V.

circles reached its zenith, as we have seen in the passages above quoted from Jubilees and the Testaments.

This is exactly the view of the Rabbinic scholars. Thus they taught that whereas the prophets and hagiographa will in the future cease to be, for there is nothing in them which is not suggested in the Law (Jer. Meg. 70d; Taanith, 9a), "The Law itself would endure for ever"; and that "Any prophet who attempted to annul one of its laws would be punished by death" (Tosephta xiv. 13), and that "though all mankind should combine, they could not abolish one yod of it" (Cant. R. v. 11; Lev. R. xix.; Num. R. xvii. etc.). See Jewish Encyc. xii. 197; Weber, Jüdische Theologie, 18 sqq.

Prophecy could do little more than be an echo of the Law from Nehemiah onward.

It is now clear, I think, that from Nehemiah's time onward prophecy could not gain a hearing, whether the prophecy was genuine, that is, appeared under the name of its actual author, or was anonymous, unless it was acceptable in the eyes of the Law.

From the class of genuine and anonymous works we pass on to the third division, the pseudonymous. There are at all events two of them in the Old Testament, Ecclesiastes and Daniel. With the former we have here no concern. But how are we to explain the pseudonymity of Daniel and the other apocalyptic works of the second century B.C. such as Enoch, Jubilees, and the Testaments of the XII. Patriarchs? This pseudonymity has already in part been explained. These apocalyptists do not merely repeat

he old truths, which in so many cases had become he mere shibboleths of a petrified orthodoxy, they ot only challenged many of the orthodox views of he time and condemned them, but they also carried orward the revelation of God in the provinces of eligion, ethics, and eschatology. Against the reeption of such fresh faith and truth, the Law stood n the way, unless the books containing them came inder the aegis of certain great names in the past. Against the claims and authority of such names, the official representatives of the Law were in part reluced to silence, at all events in the case of the Book of Daniel. But there is another ground for the doption of pseudonymity, and when we combine it vith the autocracy claimed and exercised by the Law we have the grounds for which we are in search. This second ground is the formation of the threefold Canon of the Law, the Prophets, and the Hagiographa. Before the formation of the prophetic Canon anonymous prophetic writings could gain urrency and acceptance on the ground of their nherent worth, but, when once the prophetic Canon vas closed, no book of a prophetic character could gain canonisation as such. Now the collection of he Prophets existed pretty much in its present form bout 200 B.C., as we can infer from Sirach, though dditions may have been made to Hosea, Isaiah, and Lechariah, subsequently to that date. "Into the rd division of the Canon, that of the Hagiographa, vere received all books of a religious character, of which the date was believed to go back as far as the

CHAP. V.

prophetic period, that is to the time of Ezra" (Budde in Encyc. Brit. i. 667). To this third division of the Canon books were admitted down to 100 A.D., and the last were Canticles, Ecclesiastes, and Esther. Daniel was admitted to this third Canon at some period in the second century B.C. For I Macc. i. 54 seems to quote Daniel's prediction, ix. 27, and ii. 59-60 names Daniel and his three friends as patterns in immediate connection with Elijah, David, Caleb, and others. Daniel was admitted into the Canon in the belief that it was written by the ancient worthy of that name, but not among the prophets; for the prophetic Canon was closed, but among the Hagiographa. The example of Daniel was followed by Jewish apocalyptic down to the thirteenth century A.D. It was pseudonymous, and it remained pseudonymous; for the Law was supreme, inspiration was officially held to be dead, and the Canon was closed. Moreover, all the great Jewish apocalypses which were written before 10 A.D., and which carried on the mystical and spiritual side of religion as opposed to the legalistic, Judaism dropped and banned after its breach with Christianity, just as it dropped and banned the Greek translation of the Old Testament.

Thereupon Legalism became absolute, and determined henceforth the character of Judaism Apocalyptic, which had exercised a determining influence in many of the great crises of the nation and had given birth to and shaped the higher theology of Judaism, was driven from its position o

secondary authority, and either banished absolutely or relegated wholly into the background. Owing to this fact Jewish scholars like Jost and Graetz have denied the great significance of apocalyptic in Iudaism. But this blunder is every day becoming more impossible, and now we find that Jewish scholars like Buttenwieser (Jewish Encyc. i. 676) maintain that the courage and persistency of the Jews in their faith, their indomitable hope under persecution, their scorn of death, were all nourished by apocalyptic from the time of the Maccabees down to the thirteenth century A.D. "The darker the present grew . . . the more eagerly did their minds turn to the comfort offered by apocalyptic promises. which predicted the end of their suffering and the dawn of their delivery."

All Jewish apocalypses, therefore, from 200 B.C. onwards were of necessity pseudonymous, if they sought to exercise any real influence on the nation; for the Law was everything, belief in inspiration was dead amongst them, and their Canon was closed.

7. Another feature of apocalyptic, as distin- Also by its guished from prophecy, was imposed upon it by the determinism and its necessities of the time, i.e. its indefinitely wider mechanical conception of view of the world's history. Thus, whereas ancient history. prophecy had to deal with temporary reverses at the hands of some heathen power, apocalyptic undertook at a time when Israel was subject to the sway of one or other of the great world-powers to justify the ways of God to men. Hence in order to

harmonise such difficulties with God's righteousness, it took account of the rôle of such empires in the counsels of God; recounted the sway and downfall of each in turn till, finally, the lordship of the world passed into the hands of Israel, or the final judgment arrived. The chief part of these events belonged, it is true, to the past; but the apocalyptic writer regarded them not in their secular but in their eternal issues, in specie aeternitatis, as it were, arranged under certain categories of time, and as definitely determined from the beginning in the counsels of God, and revealed by Him to His servants the prophets. Determinism thus became a leading characteristic of Jewish apocalyptic; and accordingly its conception of history, as distinguished from that of prophecy, was often mechanical rather than organic.

Having dealt with the origin of apocalyptic and its differentiation from prophecy, we shall now address ourselves to a detailed consideration of the literature of the former throughout the three centuries from 200 B.C. to 100 A.D. The literature of each century can be considered best by itself. It will thus fall into three divisions. Each division will be treated under four heads. I. The authorities. II. General eschatological development of the century. III. Eschatological systems of the various writers of the century. IV. Development of special conceptions.

## I. Authorities for 200-100 B.C.

CHAP. V.

I Enoch vi.-xxxvi.

Daniel.

I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc.

Sibylline Oracles-Procemium and iii. 97-818.

Test, xii. Patriarchs.

Book of Jubilees.

We have given the above authorities in their chronological order, and in the following discussion this order will be observed save in the case of the third book of the Sibylline Oracles (i.e. iii. 97-818). Since this artificial rechauffé of Old Testament ideas makes no single contribution to the eschatological expectations of the time, and confines its forecasts to the final destinies of Israel as a nation and of the Gentiles, we will at once reproduce its main teaching in these respects. Belonging, moreover, to Hellenistic Judaism, and observing an esoteric (?) reticence as to the higher doctrines of a future life, its evidence is only of very secondary importance in this study of Palestinian eschatology, and really lies outside the stream of religious development that drew within it all the noblest elements in Palestinian Judaism.

Its eschatological forecasts are, as we have Eschatology of already observed, confined to this world.

Though so limited, it gives, nevertheless, a vivid 818 confined to the nation. account of the Messianic kingdom. Very soon the Ultimate people of the Mighty God will grow strong (iii. 194, Israel and the 195), and God will send the Messiah from the East, who will put an end to evil war, slaying some, and

Sibylline Oracles iii. 97-

fulfilling the promises in behalf of others, and He will be guided in all things by God. And the temple will be resplendent with glory, and the earth teem with fruitfulness (iii. 652-660). Then the nations will muster their forces and attack Palestine (iii. 660-668), but God will destroy them, and their judgment will be accompanied by fearful portents (iii. 669-697). But Israel will dwell safely under the divine protection (iii. 702-709), and the rest of the cities and the islands will be converted, and unite with Israel in praising God (iii. 710-731). The blessings of the Messianic age are recounted (iii. 744-754; cf. also iii. 367-380, 619-623). And the kings of the earth will be at peace with one another (iii. 755-759). In the later section of this book the forecast is somewhat different. Though in the earlier part, as we have seen above, it was the Messiah that conducted the war against the hostile nations, in this it is the prophets of God. Thus God will establish a universal kingdom over all mankind, with Jerusalem as centre (iii. 767-771), and the prophets of God will lay down the sword and become judges and kings of the earth (iii. 781, 782), and men will bring offerings to the temple from all parts of the earth (iii. 772, 773).

Eschatological doctrine and development of the second century B.C.

# II. General Eschatological Development in the Second Century B.C.

In the apocalyptic literature of the second century we enter into a region of definite ideas as to the destinies of the individual and the nation.

CHAP. V.

Despairing of the present, the writers of this century have fixed their entire hopes on the future. This future is sketched in firm, unwavering lines. In order to encourage the faithful under the unsparing persecutions of Antiochus, religious thinkers of the period consolidated and developed into more or less consistent theodicies the various eschatological systems of the Old Testament. In these theodicies there is no vagueness or doubt as to the ultimate destinies of the righteous and the wicked. The doctrine of retribution in the next life is held all the more firmly in proportion to the uncertainty of its sway in the present. These writers are convinced that the essential distinctions already existing between these classes must one day be outwardly realised. Hence, all with one accord proclaim, in the most emphatic tones, the certainty of judgment on the advent of the Messianic kingdom, while some further teach that on death men enter immediately on a state of bliss or woe in Sheol, which is but the prelude to their final destiny. The righteous, both The righteous dead rise to quick and dead,1 will be recompensed to the full in share in the the eternal Messianic kingdom, and the blessed Messianic future of the righteous individual and of the kingdom on earth. righteous nation will be realised and consummated together. Thus the synthesis between the eschato- Old Testament synthesis logies of the nation and the individual, that was of the two hopes prevails established on Old Testament soil, is still maintained throughout

this century.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is true only of the martyred righteous in Daniel.

throughout this century. There are not wanting, however, signs of its approaching resolution into its original factors, in order that these may again pursue their separate lines of development, and, on attaining their full-grown stature, may once more coalesce in the final and complete synthesis which they receive in the New Testament.

Sign of the approaching resolution of this synthesis The sign of this impending detachment of the hopes of the individual from those of the nation is to be seen in the fact that the writer of I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc. has become conscious that the earth, however purged and purified, is no fitting theatre for an eternal Messianic kingdom. If the Messianic kingdom is to be of eternal duration, and God is to be present with men, His habitation and that of the blessed must be built, not of things earthly and corruptible, but of things heavenly and incorruptible. Hence the writer represents the erection of the heavenly Jerusalem in the place of the earthly as the centre of the kingdom.

owing to the growing dualism of the times. This device is clearly of the nature of a compromise, and springs from the dualism that was making itself increasingly felt in Judaism. In the following century we shall find that it had established itself so firmly in Jewish thought that an eternal Messianic kingdom on the present earth became inconceivable.

We must not fail to notice here a development of this century to which we have already called attention. This is the extension of the prerogative of resurrection from the righteous to the wicked. That this marks a declension in religious thought we have

already pointed out. The currency, however, of this lower view was far from general. The older and higher conception is retained in I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc., which presents the fullest picture of the last things in the literature of the second century.

CHAP. V.

## III. Eschatological Systems of the various Writers of the Second Century B.C.

THE BOOK OF DANIEL (168 B.C.)

We have already discussed the Book of Daniel in certain aspects amongst the Old Testament books. But since it belongs in character specially to this literature; since, further, it forms an indispensable link in eschatological development, it finds here its natural place.

Although this book is the forerunner and herald Book of Daniel of most subsequent apocalyptic developments, its mainly concerned with own outlook is in the main confined to this world. Its hopes are directed, not to the after-world, with its retributions for the individual, but to the setting up of a world-empire of Israel which is to displace the with the future heathen, to an eternal Messianic kingdom on earth. individual. Accordingly, it extends neither promise nor threatening to the individual as such, but only to those individuals who have in an extraordinary degree helped or hindered the advent of this kingdom. To the former, the martyrs, the great saints and teachers (xii. 2, 3), it holds forth the blessedness of a resurrection to life; to the latter, the Jewish apostates,

mainly conthe worldempire of Israel-the Messianic kingdom-and only partially

it proclaims a resurrection to shame and everlasting contempt.<sup>1</sup> As for the great majority of the nation, who are of average character, and are neither overmuch righteous nor overmuch wicked, their lot is of no concern to the kingdom, and Sheol remains their eternal abode. Thus the claims of the individual are only very partially recognised in the eschatological system of Daniel.

This world-empire of Israel is to be of eternal duration, and all the nations are to be subject to it (vii. 14). We are probably right in assuming that all the surviving nations will be converted. There is no Messiah.

Sheol here used in its non-moral sense.

Sheol, which is called "the land of dust" 2 (xii. 2), retains its Old Testament sense as a non-moral region. This is not incomprehensible in a writer whose paramount interest is in the nation and not in the individual, in this world and not in the next. If he had ever experienced a profound concern in the problem of individual retribution, he could no longer have tolerated such a heathen conception. Sheol thus possesses a peculiar character in our author. It is the *intermediate* abode of the very good and the very bad in Israel, and the *eternal* abode of the rest of Israel and of all the Gentiles. It is not improbable, likewise, that after the special class of righteous Israel have enjoyed "an aeonian life" in the kingdom,

Very peculiarly conceived in this book.

<sup>1</sup> This is probably to be taken as describing Gehenna.

<sup>2</sup> אָרְכָּת שָׁפָּר. For Sheol so described compare Job vii. 21, xvii. 16, and the Babylonian view.

<sup>3</sup> סְלְּיֵׁט אַרָּה. That this is not an eternal life follows from the general presuppositions of the writer. The above Hebrew phrase

they will descend finally and for ever to Sheol. Thus ultimately Sheol becomes sooner or later the eternal abode of all mankind, save the small class of Jewish apostates who are condemned to Gehenna. In this book the writer uses the belief in the angelic Angelic patrons of the nations to explain the national reverses, patrons of the nations. and likewise the delay in the establishment of the Messianic kingdom. Thus Persia has its angelic guardian (x. 13, 20), likewise Greece (x. 20), and Israel (x. 21, xii. 1), i.e. Michael. We shall find another application of this idea in the almost contemporaneous work 1 Enoch lxxxiii.-xc., which we shall now deal with.

CHAP. V.

## I ENOCH vi.-xxxvi. (probably before 170 B.C.)

This fragmentary work represents the earliest I Enoch and in some respects the most primitive theodicy of early the second century. The problem of its author is

ζωή αιώνιος. The indefinite meaning of this phrase is seen in the contemporary work I Enoch i.-xxxvi. Thus in x. 10 it is said that the ζωη alώνιος = 500 years, and εἰς τοὺς alῶνας in x. 5 = a period of 70 generations. In the next century the phrase els alŵvas aπανταs (Sibyl. Or. iii. 50) denotes merely a very long time. This same use is attested also in 2 Baruch xl. 3, lxxiii. 1, where the Syriac =  $\epsilon ls \tau \partial \nu \alpha l \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha =$  "for the age." See below, p. 273. The phrase in Daniel might possibly be taken to mean everlasting life if we could accept the view advocated by Bertholdt, Daniel neu übersetzt und erklärt (1806), and later by Lagarde, and by Barton, Composition of the Book of Daniel, 1898, which regards Dan. x.-xii. as an independent writing.

1 In the Targ. Jer. i. on Gen. xi. 7, 8 the seventy angels of God are apparently appointed to preside over the seventy nations which originated through the confusion of tongues. So also Deut. xxxii. 8 (Lxx). guardian angels are referred to in Ecclus. xvii. 17, but are limited to the Gentiles-Israel has God as its portion. But according to the prevailing

tradition Michael represents Israel.

to justify the ways of God to man. Unlike the author of Daniel, this writer is more concerned with the problem of individual retribution than with the future of the nation. The righteous will not always suffer, and the wicked will not always prosper. The limits to such evil experience are set by death (xxii.) and by great world-judgments. But in order to apprehend the remedy of the world's ills, we must . apprehend their cause, and their cause, according to our author, is to be discovered, not in the primal fall of Adam, but in the lust of the fallen angels for the daughters of men referred to in Gen. vi. 1-4. Original sin, therefore, stands not in the following of Adam, whose transgression seems limited in its effects to himself, but in the evil engendered through the fallen watchers or angels (ix. 6, 9, 10; x. 8). But sin of necessity entails its due retribution, and this retribution has already in part befallen the sinful angels, their children, and antediluvian man in the first world-judgment (x. 4-10, xii. 1-3.) By this first act of judgment the fallen angels are confined in caverns under the mountains as an intermediate abode of punishment (x. 4, 5, 12), and the souls of men are committed to Sheol (xxii.) To an account of this place we will return presently. It is well to observe the definiteness with which the intermediate abodes of angelic and human beings are here conceived. But though only a few righteous survived the Deluge, sin afresh asserted itself in the world through the agency of the demons. These demons. according to our author, were the spirits that had

CHAP, V.

gone forth from the slaughtered children of the fallen angels and the daughters of men. For some reason unexplained, these invisible agents of evil were allowed to pursue their wicked activities through all the interval elapsing between the Deluge and the Final World-judgment (xvi. 1), a belief that was still current in New Testament times.1 But with regard to the generations of men subsequent to the Flood, the due recompense of their conduct is not postponed till the last judgment. Immediately after death they enter on a foretaste of their final doom in Sheol (xxii.) We must now treat somewhat fully this important conception.

We have shown in the preceding chapters that the Certain Old conception of Sheol as an intermediate abode underlies at least certain passages of the Old Testament (see pp. 160, 161, 212), though in other respects it preserves teaching of in these passages its traditional non-moral character. In two of the Psalms it assumes the character of a place of retribution; but since some doubt possibly attaches to this view, there is all the more reason here for dwelling on the ancient and very full description of Sheol given by our author in chap. xxii., written probably before 170 B.C. This chapter<sup>2</sup> I will give in the words of the author, who represents himself, like Dante, as visiting this region under the guidance of an angel.

xxii. 1. "And thence I went to another place, Description of

Sheol. Fourfold division.

views of Sheol prepare the

way for the

chap, xxii.

I See Matt. viii. 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The translation given in the text is a revised form of that which is given in my Book of Enoch, 2 pp. 46-51. The translation follows the Greek version, where it diverges from the Ethiopic.

and (Uriel) showed me in the west 1 another great and high mountain of hard rock. 2. And there were +four+ hollow places in it, deep and very smooth: threet of them were dark and one bright, and there was a fountain of water in its midst. And I said: + How + smooth are these hollow places, and deep 3. Then Raphael answered, and dark to view. one of the holy angels who was with me, and said unto me: These hollow places have been created for this very purpose, that the spirits of the souls of the dead should assemble therein, yea that all the souls of the children of men should assemble 4. And these places have been made to receive them till the day of their judgment and till their appointed period, till the great judgment (comes) upon them. 5. I saw <the spirits of> a dead man making suit, and his voice went forth to heaven and made suit. 6. And I asked Raphael, the angel who was with me, and I said unto him: This spirit which maketh suit, whose is it, whose voice goeth forth and maketh suit to heaven? And he answered me saying: This is the spirit which went forth from Abel, whom his brother Cain slew, and he makes his suit against him till his seed is destroyed from the face of the earth, and his seed is annihilated from amongst the seed of men. Then I asked regarding all the hollow places, Why is one separated from the other? answered me saying: These three have been made

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The geographical position assigned to Sheol here agrees with Babylonian (?), Greek, and Egyptian ideas, but not with the ancient Hebrew. It is most probably Greek views that have influenced the text.

that the spirits of the dead might be separated. CHAP. V. And this division has been made for the spirits of the righteous, in which there is the bright spring First of water. 10. And this has been made for sinners the when they die and are buried in the earth and righteous. judgment has not been executed upon them in their Second for sinners who 11. Here their spirits shall be set apart have died without suffering in this great pain, till the great day of judgment, due retribuscourgings, and torments of the accursed for ever, so that (there may be) retribution for their spirits. There He shall bind them for ever. 12. And this Third for division has been made for the spirits of those who sinners who have met with make their suit, who make disclosures concerning retribution in These their destruction, when they were slain in the days will remain here for ever. of the sinners. 13. And this has been made for the spirits of men who shall not be righteous but sinners, who are godless, and they of the lawless shall be companions: but their spirits shall not be punished on the day of judgment, nor shall they be raised from thence." 1

This elaborate description of Sheol with its three divisions, one for the spirits of the righteous (xxii. 96) and two for those of the wicked (xxii. 10-13), cannot have leapt into life full grown, as it appears here, but must have passed through several stages of development. This fact in itself serves to confirm the view urged in previous chapters, that different conceptions of Sheol springing from the higher theology had already shown themselves in the Old Testament.

<sup>1</sup> Since the wicked in this division are confined here for ever, Sheol has in this instance become synonymous with Hell.

Great moral advance in this picture of Sheol,

From this view of Sheol the chief heathen features have disappeared. We have thus here a higher conception than that in Daniel. Instead of being a region where existence was at its lowest possible ebb, and the presence of moral distinctions was inconceivable, it has now become a place where there is a vigorous conscious existence, where ethical considerations are paramount, and the soul's lot is determined on moral grounds, and on moral grounds alone.

It is difficult to exaggerate the importance of this revolution in Jewish thought on the nature of the after-life.

Its shortcomings. But whilst we recognise the ethical importance of this forward movement, we must not conceal its shortcomings. For its conception of Sheol is only imperfectly ethical. The destiny of each soul is regarded as accomplished at death, and its place in Sheol is absolutely and irrevocably defined according to its character on earth. Thus, however prolonged its abode may be in Sheol, it is held to be incapable of progress either upward or downward, and its character is regarded as mechanically fixed. Hence, at its best, Sheol thus conceived is only a place of petrified moralities and suspended graces. It aims at being moral but ends in being mechanical, and thus constitutes an amalgam formed of heterogeneous elements.

To return, however, to the description of our author, we should observe that of the three classes of souls or spirits in Sheol, two are raised to

receive their final award, but the third, which consists of the wicked who have already been punished sheel = hell for for their crimes in the upper world, is not raised from Sheol, but remains there for ever. Of the two other classes, one is composed of the wicked who had escaped punishment in this life, and after abode for other a preliminary course of "great pain" in Sheol, are The wicked raised at the great day of judgment in order to who had receive the punishment and torment of the accursed ment in life in Gehenna (xxvii. 2). Thus though the wicked are disembodied here said to rise, they do not share in the resurrection spirits to be punished for truly so called, they are simply transferred from ever in Gehenna, Sheol to everlasting punishment in Gehenna, where there is "retribution for their spirits." This phrase appears to teach that the writer conceived the wicked to rise as disembodied spirits at the resurrection.2 As for the remaining class, composed of the The righteous righteous, these rise with their bodies: they eat of the tree of life (xxv. 4-6), and thereby enjoy a very long life patriarchal lives (xxv. 6), in the Messianic kingdom prosperity, on a purified earth (x. 7, 16, 20-22), with Jerusalem as its centre (xxv. 5). All the Gentiles become righteous and worship God (x. 21). Messianic kingdom, in which there is, however, no Messiah, but the immediate presence of God with men (xxv. 2), the felicity of the blessed is of a very sensuous character. The powers of nature are

CHAP. V.

the wicked who have met with judgment on earth, but only an intermediate Israelites. escaped judgraised as

raised in the body to enjoy

<sup>1</sup> The third class escapes this severest form of condemnation (1 Enoch xxii. 13).

<sup>2</sup> xxii. 10, 11 (cf. xxii. 13). It is true that in xxvii. 3 the wicked in Gehenna are visible to the risen righteous, but this does not necessarily imply the possession of a body by the wicked.

increased indefinitely. Thus the righteous will beget 1000 children (x. 17); of all the seed that is sown each measure will bear 10,000 grains (x. 19); and each vine will have 10,000 branches, and each branch 10,000 twigs, and each twig 10,000 clusters, and each cluster 10,000 grapes, and each grape 25 measures of wine. The allowance is liberal. We must not, however, neglect the ethical side of this felicity. "And I will open the store-chambers of blessing which are in heaven" and "send them down over the work and labour of the children of men," and "truth and peace shall be associated together throughout all the days of the world" (xi. 1, 2).2

yet of a strongly ethical character.

#### I ENOCH lxxxiii.-xc. (166-161 B.C.)

1 En. lxxxiii.xc. a Chasid defence of the Maccabees. We have now to study that most interesting fragment of the Book of Enoch which was written when Judas the Maccabee was still warring against Antiochus (166-161 B.C.). It consists of only eight chapters, i.e. lxxxiii.-xc. Their author was a Chasid, writing in support of the Maccabean movement. Its value is all the greater as it is the chief literary memorial emanating from the short period when

<sup>2</sup> In the fragment i.-v. of this book (before 60 B.C.) we have a good parallel, i.e. v. 7, 9:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See my edition of *2 Baruch*, p. 54, where I show that such was probably the full and original form of this expectation.

<sup>&</sup>quot;But for the elect there shall be light and grace and peace,
And they shall inherit the earth,
And they shall not again transgress,
Nor shall they sin all the days of their life."

a coalition existed between the Chasids and the Maccabees.

CHAP. V.

The writer has advanced considerably beyond His eschatothe naïve and sensuous views of the kingdom logical views are in advance presented in the earlier fragment vi.-xxxvi. His alike of Daniel conceptions are more spiritual. He writes a few vi.-xxxvi. years later than the Daniel Apocalypse. To this apocalypse his own forecasts of the future are on the whole closely allied, but in certain respects his outlook is more logically ethical: he reflects the earlier and more spiritual view of the resurrection, and does fuller justice to the problem of individual retribution. To these points we will return presently.

Like the previous writers of his century, our Like r Enoch author is concerned with the undeserved calamities this also a of the elect people, and with the task of reconciling the belief in God's righteousness with the suffering condition of His servants on earth.

theodicy.

His solution of this problem took the form in short compass of a Semitic philosophy of religion. In this treatise, though he describes the first worldjudgment, and traces it to the sin of the angels, his interest centres mainly in the disastrous history of Israel since the Exile. That Israel indeed has sinned grievously, and is deserving of punishment, our author amply acknowledges, but not a punish- Israel's undue ment so immeasurably transcending its guilt. these undue severities have not come upon Israel angels to from God's hands. They are the doing of the seventy had committed shepherds or angels into whose care God committed chastisement.

Israel (lxxxix. 59) for the due chastisement of Israel. But these angels had proved faithless to their trust, and treacherously destroyed those whom God willed not to destroy—but not with impunity. For an

The Chasids or Asidaeans the forerunners of the Pharisees.

Iudas the Maccabee.

The final judgment. account has been taken of all their excesses, and of all those whom they had wickedly destroyed (lxxxix. 61, 62). And judgment is fast approaching. When the oppression is at its worst a righteous league will be established in Israel (xc. 6). This league is composed of the Chasids, with whom we have already dealt. These embrace within their ranks a family from which will come forth the deliverer of Israel (xc. 9-16). The deliverer here referred to is Judas the Maccabee, who was fighting against Syria when our author was writing. At this point the writer has completed his description of the past. He now completes his representation with a forecast of the future. The Syrians and other enemies of Israel, and finally all nations of the earth, will put forth every effort to destroy the God-sent hero, but in vain. While the struggle is still raging, God will intervene in person, and the earth will open her mouth and swallow them up (xc. 19, 16, 18). Then a throne will be "erected in the pleasant land" (xc. 20), and first the lustful angels, who had wrought such woe through their sin with women, will be judged and condemned to the abyss of fire, which is full of fire and flame and pillars of fire, and likewise the seventy angels who had dealt treacherously with Israel (xc. 20-25). The apostate Jews are next judged, and cast into Gehenna

(xc. 26, 27). With this last act the great Assize will close. Then God Himself will set up the New Jerusalem (xc. 28, 29), and the surviving non-Jewish nations will be converted and serve Israel (xc. 30), and the dispersion will be brought back, and the The resurrecrighteous dead of Israel will be raised to take part in the kingdom (xc. 33). Then the Messiah will appear amongst them, and all the righteous will be transformed into His likeness (xc. 38); and God will rejoice over them.

CHAP. V.

In the above apocalypse there are one or two signs of features that call for further attention. We remark dualism in this apocalypse. first of all the consciousness of a dualism in the mind of the writer. The earthly Jerusalem, however purified, is no longer regarded as a fitting abode for God amongst men. Hence the heavenly Jerusalem must take the place of the earthly, as the spiritual and temporal metropolis of the Messianic kingdom, which is co-extensive with the world.

In the next place only the righteous dead are Only the raised. Thus our writer holds fast to the original righteous are and spiritual view of the resurrection, that the risen to an eternal life is the organic development of the righteous life on earth. Finally, after the resurrection follows a transformation of all the members of the kingdom into a higher form of life. From this transformation of the righteous into the likeness of the Messiah we naturally conclude their eternal risen life. Thus we have this idea for the first time in Jewish literature.

#### TESTAMENTS OF THE TWELVE PATRIARCHS

This book—a work of over-whelming importance—attests a revolution in the Messianic expectation, and teaches that the Messiah is to come not from Judah but from Levi.

The Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs were written in Hebrew in the latter years of John Hyrcanus—in all probability after his final victory over the Syrian power and before his breach with the Pharisees—in other words, between 109 and 106. Their author was a Pharisee who combined loyalty to the best traditions of his party with the most unbounded admiration of Hyrcanus. The Maccabean dynasty had now reached the zenith of its prosperity, and in its reigning representative, who alone in the history of Judaism possessed the triple offices of prophet, priest, and king, some members of the Pharisaic party had come to recognise the actual Messiah.

Won over by the purity of life, nobility of character, and pre-eminent gifts of the Maccabees as high-priests, civil rulers, and military commanders, and Chasids, or early Pharisees, had some decades earlier attached themselves to this new high-priest-hood, though with many a misgiving on account of

the break in the high-priestly succession.

The approval thus won from the reluctant Chasids, the Maccabees had deepened and strengthened by their achievements every year in every province of their activity, till the thought was begotten in many a breast, that at last the hope of Israel had come, and, in defiance of all ancient prophecy, was sprung from the house and lineage of Levi.

There are good grounds for regarding Psalm cx. as the outcome of such an expectation, and as

greeting one of the Maccabees as the long-expected deliverer of Israel. But, however this may be, there ris no doubt that our author addresses two or more Messianic hymns to John Hyrcanus, in whom had culminated all the glories and gifts of this great The writer already sees the Messianic kingdom established, under the sway of which the Gentiles will in due course be saved, Beliar overthrown, sin disappear from the earth, and the righteous dead rise to share in the blessedness of the living.

But our book had hardly been published, when A later docu-Hyrcanus, owing to an outrage done him by the porated in the Pharisees, broke with their party, and joining the body of the book, reverts Sadducees, died a year or two later. His successors to the older proved themselves the basest of men. Their infamy is painted in lurid colours by contemporary writers of the first century B.C., and by a strange irony the work, or rather, fragments of the work of one of these assailants of the later Maccabees, has achieved immortality by finding a covert in the chief manifesto that was issued on behalf of one of the earlier members of the dynasty.

This second writer singles out three of the Maccabean priest-kings for attack, the first of whom , he charges with every abomination; the people itself, , he declares, is apostate, and chastisement will follow speedily-the temple will be laid waste, the nation carried afresh into captivity, whence, on their repentance, God will restore them again to their own , land, where they shall enjoy the blessedness of God's

expectation.

The work contains the highest pre-Christian ethics in Judaism. presence and be ruled by a Messiah sprung from Judah.

When we contrast the expectations of the original writer and the actual events that followed, it would seem that the chief value of his work would consist in the light that it throws on this obscure and temporary revolution in the Messianic expectations of Judaism towards the close of the second century. -But this is not so. The main, the overwhelming value of the book lies not in this province, but in its ethical teaching, which has achieved a real immortality by influencing the thought and diction of the writers of the New Testament, and even those of our Lord. This ethical teaching, which is very much higher and purer than that of the Old Testament, is yet its true spiritual child, and helps to bridge the chasm that divides the ethics of the Old and New Testaments. That this ethical teaching belongs to the essence of the book is obvious to any careful student of the work. As such it forms alike its warp and woof. On the other hand, the dogmatic Christian interpolations are patches differing . alike in colour and texture from the original material, stitched on at times where originally there was no rent at all, and at others rudely thrust in where a rent had been deliberately made for their insertion.

Since the ethical element in the Testaments is of transcendent importance, and especially as the connection of apocalyptic and ethics has been questioned by certain scholars, I will here adduce.

some of our author's ethical teaching before I enter on his eschatological expectations.

CHAP. V.

We have in our text a passage of truly epoch- Forgiveness.1 making importance. Its importance cannot be grasped until we contrast the teaching of the New Testament with that of the Old on the question of man's forgiveness of his neighbour. In the New Testament, from the first page to the last, it is either explictly stated or implicitly understood that a man can only receive the divine forgiveness on condition that he forgives his neighbour. Indeed, in their essential aspects, these two forgivenesses are seen to be one and the same. But in the Old Testament it is very different. There, it is true, God's forgiveness is granted, without money and without price, to the sinner who truly seeks it. But the penitent in the Old Testament could accept and enjoy the divine pardon, and yet cherish the most bitter feelings towards his own personal enemy. David on his death-bed shows this unforgiving spirit when he ' charges Solomon not to let Joab's hoar head go down to the grave in peace; and commands him to deal similarly with Shimei, though David had promised to preserve his life. There is certainly the notable instance of Joseph's forgiveness of his brethren; but this act of grace on Joseph's part does not seem to have impressed later Old Testament writers, or led them to urge Joseph's conduct therein as worthy of imitation. There is, of course, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These following sections are reprinted in the main from my edition of the Testaments, pp. xcii. sqq.

noble passage on the subject of beneficence to one's enemy in Prov. xxv. 21, 22: "If thine enemy be hungry, give him bread to eat; and if he be thirsty, give him water to drink. For thou shalt heap coals of fire on his head, and the Lord shall reward thee." But to show how far these words are from representing the attitude which the saints should adopt to those who wronged them, we have only to turn back \* to the preceding chapter (Prov. xxiv. 17, 18), where we receive this remarkable piece of advice, "Rejoice not when thine enemy falleth, and let not thine heart be glad when he is overthrown: lest the Lord see it and it displease Him, and He turn away His wrath from him." Moreover, the righteous man can pray to God to make him strong enough to pay out his enemies: "Do thou, O Lord, have mercy upon me, and raise me up, that I may requite them" (Ps. xli. 10). Thus we may conclude on the whole that in the Old Testament the saint as well as the sinner could indulge in resentful feelings or even in personal vengeance.

Now that we have grasped the conflicting attitudes of the Old and New Testaments on this great moral and religious question, we are able to appreciate the value of the contribution which the Testaments make in this direction. This contribution is found in T. Gad vi. 3-7 (see also § 26, p. lxxviii. of my edition). These verses, as I have said in my notes, contain the most remarkable statement on the subject of forgiveness in all ancient literature. They show a wonderful insight into the true psycho-

logy of the question. So perfect are the parallels in thought and diction between these verses and Luke xvii. 3, Matt. xviii. 15, 35, that we must assume our Lord's acquaintance with them. The meaning of forgiveness in both cases is the highest and noblest known to us, namely, the restoring the offender to communion with us, which he had forfeited through his offence. And it is likewise the essence of the divine forgiveness-God's restoration of the sinner to communion with Him, a communion from which his sin had banished him. But, though such is the meaning of forgiveness in the full sense of the word, our author is aware that it is often impossible to attain to such a perfect relation with the offender. Thus forgiveness comes often to be synonymous with banishing the personal feeling of resentment which rises within us when we suffer wrong, and which, when indulged, leads to hate. When we have achieved this right attitude towards the offender the way is always open for his return to a right relation with us, and so far as we do so we reflect the attitude of God Himself to His erring children.

For the further prosecution of the parallels the reader can consult my edition. We now see the importance of our text. It shows that pre-Christian Judaism possessed a noble system of ethics on the subject of forgiveness. By the early school of the Chasidim, or the pious ones of the Psalms, the best elements of the Old Testament had been taken up, studied and developed, and the highly ethical code of conduct deduced therefrom had been carried out

in actual life by these ancient Quietists. But when Pharisaism, breaking with the ancient ideals of its party, committed itself to political interests and movements, and concurrently therewith surrendered itself more and more wholly to the study of the letter of the Law, it soon ceased to offer scope for the further development of such a lofty system of ethics as the Testaments attest, and so the true successors of the early Chasids and their teaching quitted Judaism and found their natural home in the bosom of primitive Christianity.

Duty of loving God and one's neighbour. It is remarkable that the famous command in the Gospels that embodies all duty in itself, "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thine heart . . . . Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself" (Mark xii. 30, 31)—is already found in the Testaments, though less emphatically and vigorously stated. Thus in T. Iss. v. 2 we have, "Love the Lord and your neighbour"; T. Dan. v. 3, "Love the Lord through all your life, and one another with a true heart"; and again in T. Iss. vii. 6, Issachar declares, "I loved the Lord; likewise also every man with all my heart."

Various ethical teachings.

The Testaments deal largely with the question of hatred, lying, envy, hate, lust, covetousness and the virtues of long-suffering, truthfulness, love, purity, generosity, and the like. We can touch here only on a few of their pithy sayings on such subjects. Thus: "Anger is blindness, and does not suffer one to see the face of any man with truth" (T. Dan. ii. 2). "Hatred, therefore, is evil; for it constantly

mateth with lying "(T. Gad v. 1). "Envy dominates the whole mind of man" (T. Sim. iii. 2.), "it has no rest while the object of it prospers" (iii. 3): "Deliverance therefrom cometh through the fear of God" (iii. 4). Wherefore "If a man prospereth more than you, do not be vexed, but pray for him that he may have perfect prosperity" (T. Gad vii. 1). "When envy goes a man's mind is lightened, so that he can enjoy the well-being of his former rival" (T. Sim. iii. 5, 6). A man is "not to keep a ledger account of the evil done him" by his neighbour (T. Zeb. viii. 5), a clause that St. Paul borrows in 1 Cor. xiii. 5, where λογίζεται τὸ κακόν is wrongly rendered in A.V. by "thinketh no evil," and misleading in R.V. by "taketh not account of evil,"

As regards the questions of temperance and total abstinence, what better advice could be given than that of this old writer: "If ye drink wine with gladness, be ye modest with the fear of God. For if in your gladness the fear of God departeth, then drunkenness ariseth and shamelessness stealeth in. But if you would live soberly do not touch wine at all, lest ye sin in words of outrage . . . and perish before your time" (T. Jud. xvi. 2-3). "Be not drunk with wine; for wine turneth away the mind from truth and inspireth the passion of lust . . . and if the occasion of lust be present, he worketh the sin and is not ashamed" (T. Jud. xiv. 1-3).

Although the Testaments were written about the taught in same date as the Book of Jubilees and both books absolute were the work of Pharisees, the views of the two of Jubilees.

Universalism

authors were widely sundered on some of the greatest questions, and particularly on that of the destiny of the Gentiles. The author of Jubilees taught that there was no hope for the Gentiles: God had placed them under angelic guardians with the object of accomplishing their destruction (xv. 31). Moreover, the Jew who intermarried with them should be put to death, and the man who gave his daughter in marriage to a Gentile should be stoned with stones (xxx. 7-17). How different the spirit of the author of the Testaments. A true son of the large-hearted Old Testament prophets, he proclaims the salvation of the Gentiles. The promised time has come. The kingdom is already established, and all the Gentiles will be saved through Israel. In the Judgment the conduct of the best heathen will form the norm according to which Israel shall be judged. The teachings of the author on this question will be found in the note on pp. 210, 211 of my edition.

The first century B.C. additions are likewise characterised by the same Universalism. The Law was given to lighten every man, and the Gentiles were to be saved through the example and teaching of Israel (T. Lev. xiv. 4). The same view of the destiny of the Gentiles is found in the Pss. of Solomon (xvii. 32), though it is perhaps not as favourable as that in these additions. In other literature of the first century B.C. a harsher fate is predicted for the Gentiles, as in I Enoch xxxvii.

lxxi. and the Assumption of Moses, and in 4 Ezra in the next century.

In the original work the Messiah is to be The Messiah. descended from Levi, and not from Judah-in other words he is to be first of all priest, and then prophet and king. There are many passages expressing this view: T. Reub. vi. 7-12; T. Lev. viii. 14, xviii.; T. Jud. xxiv. 1-3; T. Dan. v. 10, 11; T. Jos. xix. 5-9. We have here the attestation of a most remarkable revolution in the Jewish expectations of the Messiah. For some thirty or forty years the hope of a Messiah from Judah was abandoned in favour of a Messiah from Levi. But with the breach of Hyrcanus with the Pharisees this hope was abandoned, and so we find that in the first-century additions the hope of a Messiah from Judah reappears (T. Jud. xxiv. 5-6; T. Naph. iv. 5 (?)).

The prerogatives and powers ascribed to the priestly Messiah from Levi are very lofty. He was to be free from sin (T. Jud. xxiv. 1); to walk in meekness and righteousness (T. Jud. xxiv. 1); to establish a new priesthood under a new name (T. Lev. viii. 14), and also be a mediator for the Gentiles (T. Lev. viii. 14, emended); likewise he was to be a prophet of the Most High (T. Lev. viii. 15); to be a king over all the nation (T. Reub. vi. 11, 12; T. Lev. viii. 14); to war against Israel's national enemies and against Beliar and the powers of wickedness (T. Reub. vi. 12; T. Lev. xviii. 12; T. Dan. v. 10), and deliver the captives taken by

him, even the souls of the saints (T. Dan. v. 11); to open Paradise to the righteous (T. Lev. xviii. 10; T. Dan. v. 12), and give the saints to eat of the tree of life (T. Lev. xviii. 11). Moreover, he should give the faithful power to tread upon evil spirits and bind Beliar (xviii. 12), who should be cast into the fire (T. Jud. xxv. 3), and sin should come to an end (T. Lev. xviii. 9).

It was the priestly character of the Maccabean priest-kings that gave rise to the expectation that the Messiah was also to be a priest as well as a

king.

The Resurrection and the Kingdom.

There is to be a resurrection, first of the O.T. heroes and patriarchs, and next of the righteous on the right hand and of the wicked on the left (T. Benj. x. 6-8). The scene of the future kingdom is to be the present earth. It is to last for ever.

Angelology and Demonology and the Antichrist.

The book represents a very developed angelology and demonology, as a reference to the index in my edition will show. The conception of Beliar in the Testaments is very advanced for this early date. The designation of Michael in T. Dan. vi. 2 (cf. T. Lev. v. 6) as a mediator between God and man is noteworthy.

In the first-century addition, T. Dan. v. 6, we have the most ancient authority at present known to us for the view which connects the tribe of Dan with Antichrist, and helps to explain the exclusion of this tribe from the list of the Twelve in the New Testament Apocalypse.

### THE BOOK OF JUBILEES (before 105 B.C.)

Hellenism had for many a decade been under- The everlasting validity of the mining the observance of circumcision and the Law. Sabbath, the very bulwarks of Judaism, before these destructive tendencies came to a head in the reign of Antiochus Epiphanes. Our author, writing at the close of the second century B.C., is a representative of the strong reactionary movement which asserted the everlasting validity and sanctity of these elements of the Law. The Law, to our author, was the realisation in time of what was in a certain sense timeless and eternal. Though it was revealed in time, it was superior to time. Before it had been made known at sundry times and in divers manners to the fathers, it had been kept in heaven by the angels, and to its observance henceforward there was to be no limit in time or in eternity. This book contains the strongest expression of the The priesthood absolute autocracy of the Law, a subject with which revived by the we have dealt in an earlier page. That there was High Priests. originally an account of Melchisedec in this book, I have shown in my Commentary on it (see note on xiii. 25). And that the Maccabean High Priests deliberately adopted the title applied to him in Gen. xiv., I have shown in the same work (see note on xxxii. 1). That they still clung, however, to the expectation of the Messiah from Judah, will appear presently. The writer of Jubilees did not abandon this hope, if the text is genuine, as his contemporary

the author of the Testaments did, who, as we have just seen, taught that the Messiah was to spring from Levi.

This work is a glorification of legalistic Judaism and of the priesthood. Hence a special blessing is given to Levi by Isaac (xxxi. 13-15): "May the God of all, the very Lord of all ages, bless thee and thy children throughout all ages. 14. And may the Lord give to thee and to thy seed greatness and great glory, and cause thee and thy seed, from among all flesh, to approach Him to serve in His sanctuary as the angels of the presence and as the holy ones; (even) as they, will the seed of thy sons be for glory and greatness and holiness, and may He make them great in all the ages. 15. And they shall be judges and princes, and chiefs of all the seed of the sons of Jacob; they shall speak the word of the Lord in righteousness, and they shall judge all His judgments in righteousness, and declare My ways to Jacob and My paths to Israel. The blessing of the Lord shall be given in their mouths that they may bless all the seed of the beloved."

The Messianic Kingdom. The writer of Jubilees thought that the era of the Messianic Kingdom had set in. Such an expectation was often cherished in the prosperous days of the Maccabees. It was to be brought about gradually, by the progressive spiritual development of man, and a corresponding transformation of nature. This transformation, described in the text as the renewal of the heaven and the earth, was not to be catastrophic but gradual, as is clear from i. 29, iv.

26, xxiii. 26-28. (See my notes in loc.) We have already found the same idea in Isaiah lxv. 17, lvi. 22. According to Jubilees xxiii. 27 the righteous should attain a thousand years, and according to Isaiah lxv. 20 the sinner should be prematurely cut off when a hundred years old. The same idea reappears in Test. Levi xviii. 9, which states that sin should come to an end during the principate of the Messiah. These three works are the only authorities in pre-Christian Judaism for this view, which may be derived ultimately from Mazdeism.

Such being the nature of the kingdom, it cannot be preceded by the final judgment, nor can the final judgment be inserted at any single point of its evolution. Hence it could occur only at the close of the kingdom. The kingdom, therefore, is only of temporary duration. (See my note on xxiii. 30.)

A Messiah of the tribe of Judah seems to be A Messiah expected by this writer. Thus Isaac blesses Judah: seems to be expected. "May the Lord give thee (xxxi. 18, 19) strength and power to tread down all that hate thee; be a prince, thou and one of thy sons, over the sons of Tacob; may thy name and the name of thy sons go forth and traverse every land and region; then shall the Gentiles fear thy face, and all the nations shall quake [and all the peoples shall quake]. 19. In thee shall be the help of Jacob, and in thee be found the salvation of Israel."

There is a detailed description of the Messianic The Messianic woes (xxiii. 13, 14, 18, 19, 22). 13. "For calamity woes. follows on calamity, and wound on wound, and

tribulation on tribulation, and evil tidings on evil tidings, and illness on illness, and all evil judgments such as these one with another, illness and overthrow, and snow and frost and ice, and fever and chills, and torpor and famine, and death, and sword, and captivity and all kinds of calamities and pains. 14. And all these shall come on an evil generation, which transgresses on the earth; and their works are uncleanness and fornication, and pollution and abominations. . . . 18. Behold the earth shall be destroyed on account of all their works, and there shall be no seed of the vine, and no oil; for their works are altogether faithless, and they shall all perish together, beasts, and cattle, and birds, and all the fish of the sea, on account of the children of men. 19. And they shall strive one with another, the young with the old, and the old with the young, the poor with the rich, and the lowly with the great, and the beggar with the prince, on account of the law and the covenant; for they have forgotten commandment and covenant, and feasts, and months, and Sabbaths, and jubilees, and all judgments. . . . 22. And a great punishment shall befall the deeds of this generation from the Lord, and He shall give them over to the sword, and to judgment, and to captivity, and to be plundered and devoured." Thereupon will follow an invasion of Palestine by the heathen nations (xxiii. 23, 24). 23. "And He shall wake up against them the sinners of the Gentiles, who have neither mercy nor compassion, and who will respect the person of none, neither old nor

Invasion of Palestine by the Gentiles.

young, nor any one, for they are more wicked and strong to do evil than all the children of men. And they shall use violence against Israel and transgression against Jacob, and much blood shall be shed upon the earth, and there shall be none to gather it and none to bury. 24. In those days they shall cry aloud and call and pray that they may be saved from the hand of the sinful Gentiles: but none shall be saved."

Then Israel will begin to learn the error of its Repentance of

ways, and repent (xxiii. 16, 26). 16. "And in that Israel.

CHAP. V.

generation the sons shall convict their fathers and their elders of sin and unrighteousness, and of the words of their mouth and of the great wickedness which they perpetrate, and concerning their forsaking the covenant which the Lord made between them and Him, that they should observe and do all His commandments and His ordinances and all His laws, without departing to the right hand or to the left. . . . 26. And in those days the children shall begin to study the laws, and to seek the commandments, and to return to the path of righteousness." And then as the nation The gradual becomes faithful (xxiii, 27-29). 27. "The days advent of the Messianic shall begin to grow many amongst those children kingdom. of men, and increase from generation to genera-

tion, and day to day, till their days draw nigh to one thousand years, and to a greater number of years than (before) as the number of the days. 28. And there shall be no old man, nor one that is not satisfied with his days; for all shall be (as) children

and youths. 29. And all their days they shall complete in peace and in joy, and there shall be no Satan nor any evil destroyer; for all their days shall be days of blessing and healing."

No resurrection but a blessed immortality of the righteous.

Judgment on close of Messianic kingdom.

The Messianic period here described is elsewhere (xxv. 20) called "the great day of peace." Though the Gentiles are to be blessed through Israel (xviii. 16, xx. 10, xxvii. 23), it is doubtful if this applies to the Messianic age. Finally, when the righteous die, their spirits will enter into a blessed immortality (xxiii. 31): "And their bones shall rest in the earth and their spirits shall have much joy, and they shall know that it is the Lord who executes judgment, and shows mercy to hundreds and thousands and to all that love Him." The "day of the great judgment" (xxiii. 11) seems to follow on the close of the Messianic kingdom. At this judgment Mâstêma, the chief of the demons, will be judged (x. 8), and the demons subject to him. We have seen that the writer believes only in a resurrection of the spirit (xxiii. 31). The question now arises: Where do the spirits of the righteous go who die before the final judgment? It cannot be to Sheol as this book ordinarily conceives it; for Sheol is "the place of condemnation" to which eaters of blood and idolaters are condemned (vii. 29, xxii. 22). either be to an intermediate abode of the righteous, such as Paradise, as in the Parables, or else to heaven. All Palestinian Jewish tradition favours the former view.

CHAP, V.

#### APPENDIX TO CHAPTER V

## Development of Special Conceptions

Soul and Spirit.—The later view of the spirit as the divine breath of life probably underlies Ecclus. xxxviii. 23; 1 Bar. ii. 17 ("the dead also who are in Hades whose spirit is taken from their bodies"); Tob. iii. 6 ("Command my spirit to be taken from me, that I may depart and become earth . . . command therefore that I may now depart unto the everlasting place"); and Jud. x. 13, where man is called a breath of life (πνεθμα ζωής). In the Baruch and Tobit passages the spirit and the soul are regarded as essentially different. The spirit goes back to God and the soul continues to subsist in Sheol.

Elsewhere in the second century only the older The older Semitic view of the soul and spirit is attested, but anthropology prevails in a the older view in a further stage of development. developed form. At this stage the difference that had hitherto distinguished these conceptions has now wholly disappeared. The soul and spirit, which according to the older view had been identical in essence but not in function, are now identical in both. The terms have become absolutely synonymous. What can be predicated of one can be predicated of the other.

Of this new development we find several ex- Departed in amples in the oldest chapters of I Enoch. Thus the Sheol called souls or spirits inhabitants of Sheol are called "souls" in xxii. 3 (cf. indifferently.

also ix. 3), but generally "spirits" (xxii. 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 131; Jub. xxiii. 31). The obvious result of this development is the high degree of life and consciousness now attributed to the inhabitants of Sheol. On death the entire personality so far as this is immaterial descends into Sheol. Thus, though according to the old Semitic view the spirit never descended into Sheol, now it always does so, and the departed in Sheol are more frequently called "spirits" than "souls." From this time forward, when the departed are spoken of as "asleep," the term is to be regarded as a mere metaphor. The departed are henceforth conceived as possessing life and consciousness as much as the living.

Before leaving this subject it is worth observing that the fallen angels and demons are always spoken of as "spirits," the former in xiii. 6, xv. 4, 6, 7, the latter in xv. 9, 11, xvi. 1. The term "soul" is never used of angels, fallen or otherwise, in the Old Testament, or elsewhere in Jewish or Christian literature.

Final judgment on the living classes of the dead.

*Judgment.* — Besides the preliminary judgment and on certain there was, on the advent of the Messianic kingdom (except in the Tests. xii. Patr. and Jubilees (?)), to be the final judgment on all men living, and on

> 1 A very peculiar phraseology appears in ix. 10 and xxii. 3, where we find "the spirits of the souls of the dead." In the Syncellus Version of ix. 3 we have "the spirits and the souls of men."

<sup>2</sup> In Daniel the word "soul" is not found. The writer always uses "spirit" when he could with perfect propriety have used "soul." That he had likewise the idea of the spirit existing apart from the body after death may probably be concluded from vii. 15, "my spirit was grieved in its sheath " (reading יוָרָת instead of יוֹנָת with Nöldeke). But the text is doubtful.

certain classes of the dead of Israel, or on all Israel, on the faithless angelic rulers and the impure angels.

The second century thus marks a great develop- Judgment, ment upon the past. This development is not I Enoch vi.seen in Daniel so much as in I Enoch. In Daniel xxxvi., already in Sheol. there is a preliminary judgment of the sword Judgment, according to executed by the saints (ii. 44), and the final world-Daniel, on the living and judgment in vii. 9, 11, 12 by God Himself to initiate certain dead the Messianic kingdom. There is no mention of Israelites. the judgment of angels; but this must be supposed in the case of the angelic patrons of Persia and Greece, who were hostile to Israel. In I Enoch vi.-xxxvi. the question of retribution has advanced with mighty strides. Judgment is conceived as setting in immediately on death in an intermediate abode of souls (xxii.) There is also a preliminary judgment on the angels who married the daughters of men, and likewise on all mankind at the Deluge (x. 1-12). The final judgment will take place before the advent of the Messianic kingdom on the impure angels (x. 12, 13), on the demons who have hitherto gone unpunished (xvi. 1), and on all Israel with the exception of a certain class of sinners. In I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc. there is Judgment in the first world-judgment of the Deluge (lxxxix. 1-8), lxxxiii.-xc. the judgment of the sword executed under Judas

the Maccabee (xc. 19, 16), and the final judgment on the impure angels and the faithless angelic patrons (xc. 20-25) and the apostate Jews (xc. 26, 27). This judgment serves to introduce the Messi-

anic kingdom on the present earth.

Four places of Abode for the Departed.

(i.) We have already dealt with the transformation which Sheol has undergone in Daniel (see p. 212) and I Enoch vi.-xxxvi. (see pp. 215-219). In Jub. vii. 29, xxii. 22, it=hell.

Paradise only for those who had been translated in the flesh. (ii.) Paradise.—In the second century only two men, Enoch and Elijah, were conceived as admitted to Paradise immediately on leaving this world (I Enoch lxxxvii. 3, 4; lxxxix. 52). This view was evidently due to Old Testament accounts of their translation (see above p. 58).

Gehenna becomes the final abode of apostates. (iii). Gehenna.—The conception of Gehenna preserves for the most part during this century its Old Testament signification. But whereas in Is. l. 11, lxvi. 24 it signifies the immediate place of punishment of apostate Jews, it is definitely conceived in Dan. xii. 2; I Enoch xxvii. 1, 2, xc. 26, 27 as the final and not the immediate abode of apostates in the next world.<sup>1</sup>

Final abodes of the faithless and the impure angels.

(iv.) The Abyss of Fire.—This abyss is the final place of punishment for the faithless angelic rulers and for the impure angels (I Enoch x. 6, 13, xviii. 11, xxi. 7-10, xc. 21-25). In I Enoch xviii. 12-16, xxi. 1-6 the fiery abyss for the disobedient stars, i.e. angels, is distinguished from another fiery abyss in xxi. 7-10, which is for the faithless angelic rulers.

Resurrection various conceptions. Resurrection.—There is only a resurrection of some of the righteous and some of the wicked in Dan. xii. 2, 3, of all the righteous and some of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 1 Enoch xc. 26, 27 apparently only the living apostates are judged and cast into Gehenna.

wicked in 1 Enoch vi.-xxxvi. (see pp. 215-219), of all the righteous but of none of the wicked in I Enoch lxxxvii.-xc. In all cases only Israelites attain to the resurrection. The ethical character of this second-century development we have already discussed (see pp. 208-211). In all cases where the righteous rise they rise to participate in the Messianic kingdom. In the Testaments there is to be resurrection of all Israel (T. Benj. x. 8-10), in Jubilees of the spirits of the righteous only (xxiii. 3).

CHAP. V.

Messianic Kingdom.—The scene of this kingdom Messianic was to be on the earth in Daniel, and in I Enoch all cases on vi.-xxxvi. But the growing consciousness of the the earth and for ever. evils and imperfections of the present world shows itself in I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc., where the centre of this kingdom is to be, not the earthly Jerusalem, but the New Jerusalem brought down from heaven. This is the first trace in this century of a sense of the unfitness of the present world for this kingdom. In all cases the kingdom itself was to last for ever, except perhaps in the Tests. xii. Patr. and Jubilees, and its members were to enjoy a patriarchal life, Dan. xii. and in 1 Enoch xxv. 6, or a never-ending one in xc.

Messiah.—There is no mention of the Messiah Messiah only in this century save in I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc. (see xc. times in second 37) and the Sibylline Oracles III. 652-654. there He has no part to play in the kingdom, and His introduction seems due merely to literary reminiscence.

In Jubilees xxxi. 18 the Messiah from Judah is referred to if the text is not interpolated, but in the Testaments he is to spring from Levi.

Non-hostile Gentiles to be converted. Gentiles.—According to 1 Enoch x. 21, all the Gentiles are to become righteous and worship God. Only the hostile Gentiles are to be destroyed (Dan. ii. 44, vii. 11, 12; 1 Enoch xc. 9-16, 18). The rest will be converted (?) and serve Israel (Dan. vii. 14; 1 Enoch xc. 30).

#### CHAPTER VI

# ESCHATOLOGY OF APOCRYPHAL AND APOCALYPTIC LITERATURE DURING THE FIRST CENTURY B.C.

I. Authorities for 104-1 B.C.

I Enoch xci.-civ.

I Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi.

1 Maccabees.

Judith.

Psalms of Solomon.

Sibylline Oracles III. 1-62.

2 Maccabees.

Fragments of a Zadokite Work.

2 Maccabees was composed indeed in this century, but its eschatology belongs to the second century B.C., as we shall see in the sequel.

# II. General Eschatological Development in First Century B.C.

A great gulf divides as a whole the eschatology Absolute breach with of this century from that of the past. Thus the the expectations of the hope of an eternal Messianic kingdom on the present past.

earth, which had been taught by the Old Testament

prophets and cherished by every individual Israelite, is now at last absolutely abandoned.1 The earth, as it is, has now come to be regarded as wholly unfit for the manifestation of this kingdom. Thus the dualism which had begun to affect the forecasts of religious thinkers in the preceding century has in this century succeeded in leavening their entire expectations. As a consequence of this breach between the

CHAP. VI.

Henceforth ary Messianic kingdom expected on the present earth. and the resurfinal judgment adjourned to

things of earth and the things of heaven, the writers of this century are forced to advance to new conceptions touching the kingdom. Hence some boldly only a tempordeclare (1 Enoch xci.-civ.) or else imply (Pss. Sol. i.-xvi.) that the Messianic kingdom is only of temporary duration, and that the goal of the risen rection and the righteous is not this transitory kingdom but heaven itself. From this abandonment of the hope of an its close. eternal Messianic kingdom it follows further that not only the resurrection but also the final judgment must be adjourned to its close.

personal immortality has thus dissociated itself from the doctrine of the Messianic kingdom. Thus the synthesis of the two eschatologies achieved two centuries earlier (see pp. 130-135) is anew resolved into its elements, never again, save once (1 Enoch xxxvii.-1xx.), to be spiritually fused together within the sphere of Judaism. Their true and final synthesis became

the task and achievement of Christianity.

But quite another line of thought was possible, 1 As already in Jubilees (?). See also p. 250.

In the thoughts of these writers the belief in a

Synthesis of the two eschatologies resolved into their original factors,

and this was pursued by the author of the Parables (1 Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi.) The present earth could except in the not, it is true, be regarded as the scene of an eternal Parables, r Messianic kingdom, but a renewed and transformed laxi, which earth could. Hence the scene of the eternal trine of a new Messianic kingdom would be such a new earth, earth as the and not only so, but also a new heaven, and to a kingdom and share in this eternal kingdom the righteous should the goal of the risen righteous. rise. Here the idea of a new heaven and a new earth, which appeared with a different meaning in Is. lxv., lxvi., is applied for the first time with reasonable consistency. It is further to be observed Elsewhere in that these writers who hope only for a temporary only a resur-Messianic kingdom anticipate a resurrection of the spirits of the righteous only, and of the spirit, not of the body (1 righteous expected. Enoch xci.-civ.; Pss. Sol.), at the close of this temporary Messianic kingdom, whereas the writer of the Parables looks forward to a resurrection of all Israel (1 Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi.) at the beginning of the eternal Messianic kingdom. In 2 Maccabees, which liverges in some respects from both classes, a bodily esurrection of the righteous is expected, and possibly of all Israel; but this doctrine belongs, as we shall liscover presently, to the second century B.C.

Enoch xxxvii.teach the docheavens and

Again, in contradistinction to the preceding Unique entury, a vigorous, and indeed a unique, doctrine doctrine of the Messiah. of the Messiah is developed in this century—that of he supernatural Son of Man in the Parables, and of he militant Messiah in the Psalms of Solomon.

Finally, the present sufferings of Israel at the suffering in ands of the Gentiles are explained as of a disci-regard to

plinary character.<sup>1</sup> Israel is at once chastened for its sins lest they should come to a head; but the Gentiles are allowed to fill up the cup of their iniquity.<sup>2</sup>

# III. Eschatological Systems of the various Writers, of the First Century B.C.

I ENOCH xci.-civ. (134-95, or more nearly 104-95, B.C.)

We begin the study of the eschatological literature of this century with a most fascinating and original work. It dates at latest from the first years of the century, and attests in unmistakable terms the revolution that has passed over Jewish thought.

Century opens with a revolutionary doctrine of the future.

In the preceding century it was still conceivable, as in the Old Testament prophets, that God could take up His abode with men. But this conception has now become impossible. God is of such unapproachable purity on the one hand, and humanity so sinful and defiled on the other, that His abiding on earth with men has now become inconceivable. Thus the doctrine of the divine immanence has given place to that of the divine transcendence, and the time-honoured hope of an eternal Messianic kingdom which should abide for ever on earth, ruled and sustained by the immediate present Deity, has at last been sorrowfully abandoned by the Jews of

No longer an eternal but only a temporary Messianic kingdom expected.

See 2 Macc. vi. 12·17; cf. Jud. viii. 27; Wisdom xii. 22.
 See Dan. viii. 23, ix. 24; cf. Gen. xv. 16.

this later age. According to the universal expectations of the past, the resurrection and the final judgment were to form the prelude to an everlast- Hence the ing Messianic kingdom on earth, but from this time forth these great events are relegated to its close, and the Messianic kingdom is for the first time in literature conceived as of temporary dura-relegated to tion. On this revolutionary view of the Messianic kingdom follows another no less revolutionary. Such a temporary earthly kingdom cannot be the goal of the hopes of the risen righteous. Their faith can find satisfaction only in a blessed immortality in the eternal heaven itself.

Another question of surpassing interest dealt Debate on the with by the author is that of the nature of retribu-nature of retribution. tion in this and in the after life. His views on this subject he brings forward in the course of a debate which he represents as taking place between himself and some Sadducees. The latter uphold the still orthodox and conservative view found in the Old Testament, our author that of the higher theology. We will not, however, recount this discussion till we have first sketched the eschatology of this work. Our author acknowledges that the wicked are seemingly sinning with impunity; but this is not so: their evil deeds are recorded every day (civ. 7), and immediately on death "their spirits shall be cast into the furnace of fire" (xcviii. 3): yea, they "shall be slain in Sheol" (xcix. 11); and from this hell of darkness and flame and grievous condemnation, into which their souls enter on death, they will

CHAP. VI.

resurrection and final judg-

ment can no longer initiate

the kingdom, but must be

its close.

never escape (ciii. 7, 8). Thus Sheol is here conceived as hell.

The author's (r Enoch xci. 12-17).

But turning from our author's views of the imscheme of the world's history mediate lot of the wicked at death to his scheme of the world's history, we find that he divides the history of mankind into ten world-weeks of varying The first seven weeks embrace all events duration. from the Creation till the advent of the Messianic kingdom. This kingdom lasts three world-weeks, and thus terminates with the close of the tenth In the words of the writer, "And after that there shall be another week, the eighth, that of righteousness, and a sword shall be given to it, that a righteous judgment may be executed on the oppressors, and sinners shall be delivered into 13. And at its close the hands of the righteous. they shall acquire houses through their righteousness, and a house shall be built for the great king in glory for evermore. 14. And all mankind shall look to the path of uprightness. And after that in the ninth week the righteous judgment shall be revealed to the whole world, and all the works of the godless shall vanish from all the earth, and the world shall be written down for destruction. 15. And after this, in the tenth week in the seventh part, there shall be the great eternal judgment, in which He will execute vengeance amongst the angels. And the first heaven shall depart and pass away, and a new heaven shall appear, and all the powers of the heavens shall give sevenfold light. 17. And after that there shall be many weeks without number

for ever and all shall be in goodness and righteousness, and sin shall no more be mentioned for ever" (1 Enoch xci. 12-171). Here we see that the final , judgment will be held at the close of the Messianic kingdom, that the former heaven and earth will be destroyed and a new heaven created. To share in this new heaven the righteous dead will rise; but in spirits of the - the meantime their spirits will be at rest, guarded by righteous kept angels (c. 5). From this intermediate abode (prob- in safety ably in Sheol, cf. 4 Ezra iv. 41) they will be raised Henren h (xci. 10, xcii. 3), but not in the body, but as spirits forth their only (ciii. 3, 4), and the portals of heaven will be opened to them (civ. 2), and they shall joy as the angels (civ. 4), and become companions of the heavenly hosts (civ. 6), and shine as the stars for ever (civ. 2).

CHAP. VI.

in safety till Heaven hence-

We now return to our author's treatment of the Our author question of retribution. He enters the arena doctrine of against the doctrine as taught by Ezekiel. According to Ezekiel's teaching and that of his spiritual successors, the wealthy could appeal to their riches as a proof of their righteousness; for according to them prosperity was a divine token of God's approval.

Our author, on the other hand, so far from re- Prosperity is garding prosperity as the mark of divine favour, divine favour, divine favour, charges it with being the source of delusion to but only a source of

no mark of delusion to those who

<sup>1</sup> From the present writer's second edition of the Book of Enoch. The experience it. rest of the quotations from Enoch are from the same edition. In the above quotation from I Enoch xci, 12-17 each verse forms a tristich.

those who possess it: "Woe unto you, ye sinners,

for your riches make you appear like the righteous, but your hearts convict you of being sinners" (xcvi.

CHAP. VI.

4). To their own conscience, therefore, this writer, appeals against the orthodox view of retribution. Yea, further, he declares that their very personality through giving itself up to such external possessions will at last lose itself: "in grandeur, and in power, and in silver, and in gold, and in purple, and in splendour, and in food, they shall be poured out as water. Therefore they shall be wanting in doctrine and wisdom, and they shall perish thereby, together + with their possessions and with all their glory and their splendour, and in shame and in slaughter and in great destitution their spirits shall be cast into the furnace of fire" (xcviii. 2, 3). And as regards the experience of the righteous, our author considers Ezekiel's doctrine of retribution as equally false. He teaches that there is often no difference in the in the outward outward lot of the righteous and the wicked, either in life or in death. Nay, more, he can contemplate the righteous as enduring undeserved tribulation all their days, and yet address them with words of hope and encouragement: "Fear ye not, ye souls of the righteous, and be hopeful, ye that have died in righteousness. 5. And grieve not if your soul into Sheol has descended in grief, and that in your life your body fared not according to your goodness, but wait ye for the day of the judgment of sinners, and for the day of cursing and chastisement" (cii. 4, 5). These words conceding the unhappy experience

There is often lot of the righteous and the wicked.

of the righteous in this life are immediately fastened upon by the Sadducean opponents in this debate. This concescii. 6. "As we die, so die the righteous, and what the Sadducean benefit do they reap for their deeds? 7. Behold, opponents. even as we, so they die in grief and darkness, and what have they more than we? From henceforth we are equal. 8. And what will they receive and what will they see for ever? Behold, they too have died, and henceforth for ever they shall see no light." To this the author replies that the life of the wicked Our author's is fashioned by material and temporal aims only, The righteous and so all their desires find satisfaction in this differ in charworld, but the life of the righteous is moulded by acter and ideals. spiritual and eternal aims. 9. "I tell you, ye sinners, ye are content to eat and drink and rob and sin and strip men naked and acquire wealth and see good days. 10. Have ye seen the righteous how their , end falls out? that no manner of violence is found in them till their death" (cii. 9, 10). Thereto the "These make wicked rejoin that this difference in character is of no difference in destiny," no advantage: "Nevertheless they perished, and say the wicked. became as though they had not been, and their spirits descended into Sheol in tribulation" (cii. 11).

At this point the context ceases to bear the form our author of a debate, and thenceforward the author develops the form of a the contention at issue in alternate addresses to the debate, and henceforth righteous and their Sadducean opponents. At first, addresses the righteous and in opposition to the statement of the latter that their Sadduthe same lot awaits the good and bad alike, our alternately. author bids the righteous to be of good cheer and not to regard the contumely of the wicked. He

CHAP. VI.

cean opponents

He assures the

righteous of their future

blessedness,

declares: ciii. 1. "I swear to you, ye righteous, by the glory of the Great and Honoured, and Mighty One in dominion; yea, by His greatness I swear to you. 2. I know a mystery and have read the heavenly tablets, and have seen the holy books, and have found written therein and inscribed regarding them: 3. That all goodness and joy and glory are prepared for them, and written down for the spirits of those who have died in righteousness, and that manifold good shall be given to you in recompense for your labours, and that your lot is abundantly beyond the lot of the living. 4. And the spirits of you who have died in righteousness, shall live and . rejoice, and their spirits shall not perish, nor their memorial before the face of the Great One unto all the generations of the world: wherefore, no longer fear their contumely."

and declares the future torment of the wicked. Having thus assured the righteous, he turns to the wicked with words of denunciation and woe: ciii. 5. "Woe unto you, ye sinners, when ye have died if ye die in the wealth of your sins, and those who are like you say regarding you: Blessed are the sinners: they have seen all their days. 6. And now they have died in prosperity and in wealth, and have not seen tribulation or murder in their life; and they have died in honour, and judgment has not been executed on them during their life. 7. Know ye that their souls shall be made to descend into Sheol, and they shall be wretched in their great tribulation. 8. And into darkness and chains and a burning flame where there is a grievous judg-

ment shall your spirits enter. . . . Woe unto you, CHAP. VI.

for ye shall have no peace."

It is noteworthy here that, though our author declares that the anticipations of the godless as to their future destiny are wholly false, he tacitly admits their claim to having seen good all their days. Moreover, in keeping with this admission, he accepts as true the derisive description which the ungodly give of the righteous in the immediately subsequent verses ciii. 9-15: "Say not in regard to The evil lot of the righteous and good who are in life: 'In our the righteous in the present troubled days we have toiled laboriously and ex-life. perienced every trouble, and met with much evil and been consumed, and have become few and our spirit small. 10. And we have been destroyed and have not found any to help us even with a word: we have been tortured [and destroyed], and not hoped to see life from day to day. 11. We hoped to be the head and have become the tail: we have toiled laboriously and had no satisfaction in our toil; and we have become the food of the sinners and the unrighteous, and they have laid their yoke heavily upon us. 12. They have had dominion over us that hated us and smote us; and to those that hated us we have bowed our necks, but they pitied us not. 13. We desired to get away from them that we might escape and be at rest, but found no place whereunto we should flee and be safe from them. 14. And we complained to the rulers in our tribulation, and cried out against those who devoured us, but they did not attend to our cries

and would not hearken to our voice. 15. And they helped those who robbed us and devoured us and those who made us few; and they concealed their oppression, and they did not remove from us the yoke of those that devoured us and dispersed us and murdered us, and they concealed their murder, and remembered not that they had lifted up their hands against us."

This terrible picture, which the godless gave of the

condition of the Chasids, is, as we have remarked, accepted by our author as true. He, therefore, makes no attempt to charge it with exaggeration or weaken a single detail, but turns to the righteous, and urges them to continued faithfulness and hope, for that the day of recompense and of the great judgment will soon appear. The hope he holds out to them is not to be fulfilled in a transitory Messianic kingdom on earth, but in the blessed future that awaits them in heaven, where in due time they shall become companions of the heavenly hosts: civ. 1. "I swear unto you, that in heaven the angels remember you for good before the glory of the Great One: and your names are written before the glory of the Great One. 2. Be hopeful; for a foretime ye were put to shame through ill and affliction; but now ye shall shine as the lights of heaven, ye shall shine and ye shall be seen, and the portals of heaven shall be opened to 3. And in your cry, cry for judgment, and it shall appear to you; for all your tribulations shall be visited on the rulers, and on all those who helped

those who plundered you. 4. Be hopeful, and cast

Yet the day of their recompense is at hand.

not away your hope, for ye shall have great joy as the angels of heaven. 5. What shall ye be obliged to do? Ye shall not have to hide on the day of the great judgment, and ye shall not be found as sinners, and the eternal judgment shall be far from you for all the generations of the world. 6. And now fear not, ye righteous, when ye see the sinners growing strong and prospering in their ways: be not companions with them, but keep afar from their violence; for ye shall become companions of the hosts of heaven. 7. And although ye sinners say, All our sins shall not be searched out and written down, nevertheless they shall write down all your sins every day."

We cannot part from this book without confessing how nobly it maintains the cause of goodness in the face of triumphant evil, how unhesitatingly it concedes that this world gives its best to the unrighteous and the sinner, and that godliness can find no stay or encouragement therein. Yet though the lot of the latter is thus one of contumely and rebuke and shame, they are not for one moment to regret their high calling, but to be steadfast and hopeful; for the day of their glorification is at hand. It is a noble work, yet falls far short of what was noblest in the past. It never reminds the faithful, as do some of the psalmists, that present life and communion with God more than outweigh the loss of every temporal blessing.

### I ENOCH xxxvii.-lxxi. (94-64 B.C.)

From this interesting work we now pass to the consideration of one of still greater interest, namely, the well-known "Parables," which consist of chaps. xxxvii,-lxxi, of the 1st Book of Enoch. This book presents us with many difficulties. In several respects it stands alone among Jewish apocalyptic writings. Thus, though all other writers of this and the next century abandoned the Old Testament idea of an everlasting Messianic kingdom, the author of the Parables clings fast to this hope. The scene of this kingdom, indeed, was not to be the present earth, but a new heaven and a new earth (xlv. 4, 51); for, owing to the prevalent dualism, such a conception had already become impossible. Thus the writer for the last time in Judaism combines in one blessed future the separate hopes of the individual and the nation, and thus unites in a high spiritual synthesis the severed eschatologies.

The unique conception of the Messiah.

Of no less startling character is the conception entertained by the writer of the coming Messiah. He is here regarded not as of human descent, but as a supernatural being. Four titles applied to Him

<sup>1</sup> This thought lay ready to hand in Is. lxv., lxvi. But there, as we have already seen, the thought has a somewhat different meaning. Thus the wicked still live on the new earth (lxv. 20). In the Parables such a view would be impossible; accordingly, in the words following on the declaration of a new heaven and a new earth, our author declares "the sinners and the evildoers shall not set foot thereon."

here for the first time in literature are afterwards reproduced in the New Testament. These are "the Variously Christ" (xlviii. 10, lii. 4); "the Righteous One" described as "the Christ. (xxxviii. 2, liii. 6; Acts iii. 14, vii. 52, xxii. 14); "the Elect One" (xl. 5, xlv. 3, 4, etc.; Luke ix. 35, xxiii. "the Elect 35); and, most important of all, "the Son of Man." "the Son This last title is found in its definite form for the first time in the Parables, and is historically the source of the New Testament designation, if the date assigned to the former is correct. The conception, indeed, is so lofty and wide-reaching that in treating of it we are treating likewise of the writer's eschatology. Thus he is conceived as the Judge of the world, and the Champion and eternal Ruler of the righteous.

1 Eerdmans (Theol. Tijdschrift, 1894, pp. 153-176) and Lietzmann (Der Menschensohn, 1896) have sought to show that "Son of Man" in the Similitudes is never a title of the Messiah, and that it simply means "man." To prove this contention, they point out that "Son of Man" is almost always accompanied by the demonstrative "this" or "that," and allege that in only one passage (lxii. 7) is it without the demonstrative. Since this passage is contrary to his thesis, Lietzmann rejects it, while Eerdmanns seeks to weaken its evidential value by reference to the context. Wellhausen (Skizzen und Vorarbeiten, vi. 199) builds an hypothesis also on the presence of the demonstrative. He holds, with the two scholars already mentioned, that the expression "Son of Man" simply = man, and that if it has to convey a definite signification, it must be preceded by a demonstrative pronoun, as is always the case in I Enoch, according to Lietzmann. Wellhausen presses home the fact that the use of the demonstrative before the expression "Son of Man" proves conclusively that "Son of Man" cannot be a Messianic title; for that such a phrase as "this Messiah" or "that Messiah" is an impossibility. Since, therefore, so much turns on the presence or absence of the demonstratives, it is of the highest moment to determine whether these demonstratives, when they are present, are renderings of Greek demonstratives, or of the Greek article. That they are renderings of the Greek article will, I think, be clear from the following evidence. Now, if we examine the way in which the Ethiopic translator frequently renders the Greek article in those passages where the Greek Version is preserved, we shall be able to deal with the meaning of the Ethiopic demonstratives which are prefixed to the phrase "Son of Man." Now as regards "this" (=ze and zentû), we find that in chaps. xxv. 1, xxvii. 2, xxviii. 2, xxxii. 5 it is a rendering of the

CHAP. VI.

"the Christ," "the Righteous One,"

The Messiah the Judge of the world.

The Messiah is conceived in the Similitudes as (i.) the Judge of the world and the Revealer of all things; (ii.) the Messianic Champion and Ruler of the righteous. (i.) As Judge, He possesses righteousness, wisdom, and power (cf. Pss. xlv. 4-8, lxxii.; Is. xi. 3-5; Jer. xxiii. 5, 6). He is the Righteous One in an extraordinary sense (xxxviii. 2, liii. 6): He possesses righteousness, and it dwells with Him (xlvi. 3), and on the ground of His essential righteousness (xlvi. 3) has He been chosen no less than according to God's good pleasure (xlix. 4). Wisdom, which could find no dwelling-place on earth (xlii.), dwells in Him, and the spirit of Him who giveth knowledge (xlix. 3): and the secrets of wisdom stream forth from His mouth (li. 3), and wisdom is poured forth Greek article and "that" (w'etû) in xiii. 10, xiv. 10: also "those" ('elkû) in x. 8, xii. 2. But the result is startling if we compare the small Greek fragment of chap. lxxxix. 42-49 with the Ethiopic Version, for we find that zekû or zektû (=that), though occurring ten times in these verses, is nine times a rendering of the Greek article. That it is very frequently used in this sense in Ethiopic translations generally is stated by Dillmann's Ethiopic Lexicon, col. 1057. Wetû (=that) is also twice used to render the Greek article in lxxxix. 42-49. Thus it appears that the demonstratives very frequently represent the Greek article, and it is these very demonstratives that are prefixed to the expression "Son of Man." Hence we may, with some reason, conclude that before the latter expression the demonstratives zekû and zentû stand for the article, and nothing more, in xlvi. 2, 4; xlviii. 2; lxii. 9, 14; lxiii. 11. This conclusion is supported by lxii. 7, where the demonstrative is wanting. But the evidence is still stronger. In xlvi. 3; lxix. 26, 29 6; lxx. 1; lxxi. 14, 17 the demonstrative w'etû (= that) is prefixed. Now that in all these cases, except xlvi. 3, lxxi. 14, where it stands for the copula, w'etû represents the article I feel convinced, for in the first place this is one of its commonest uses in translations from the Greek (see Dillmann's Lexicon, col. 919), and in the next place the Ethiopic translator actually uses it in this sense, as I have shown above. Finally, in three other passages (lxii. 10, lxxi. 12, 13), where w'etû precedes the phrase "Lord of Spirits" and the "Head of Days" it is beyond the reach of question the equivalent of the Greek article. In xlvi. 3, lxxi. 14 w'etû serves as a copula. Indeed, it cannot be taken here in any other sense. Thus we conclude that in the Ethiopic expression "Son of Man" we have a Messianic title, and that this expression represents the Greek ὁ υίὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου. For a discussion of the question see Encyc. Bib. and Hastings' Bible Dictionary, in loc.

like water before Him (xlix.) In Him abides the spirit of power (xlix. 3), and He possesses universal dominion (lxii. 6). He is the revealer of all things. His appearance will be the signal for the revelation of good and the unmasking of evil: will bring to light everything that is hidden, alike the invisible world of righteousness and the hidden world of sin (xlvi. 3; xlix. 2, 4): and will recall to life those that have perished on land and sea, and those that are in Sheol and hell (li. 1, lxi. 5). Evil when once unmasked will vanish from His presence (xlix. 2). Hence all judgment has been committed unto Him (lxix. 27), and universal dominion (lxii. 6), and He will sit on the throne of His glory (xlv. 3, 1xii. 3, 5), which is likewise the throne of God (xlvii. 3, li. 3), and all men, righteous and wicked, and all angels, fallen and unfallen, will be judged before Him (li. 2, lv. 4, lxi. 8, lxii. 2, 3), and no lying utterance will be possible before Him (xlix. 4, lxii. 3), and by the mere word of His mouth will He slay the ungodly (lxii. 2). (ii.) He is the Messianic Champion The Champion and Ruler of the righteous. He is the stay of the and Ruler of the righteous. righteous (xlviii. 4), and has already been revealed to them (lxii. 7): He is the avenger of their life (xlviii. 7), the preserver of their inheritance (xlviii. 7): He will vindicate the earth as their possession for ever (li. 5), and establish the community of the righteous in unhindered prosperity (liii. 6, lxii. 8): their faces will shine with joy (li. 5), and they will be vestured with life (lxii. 15), and be resplendent with light (xxxix. 7), and "become angels in heaven" (li. 4),

CHAP. VI.

and He will abide in closest communion with them for ever (lxii. 14), in the immediate presence of the Lord of Spirits (xxxix. 7), and His glory is for ever and ever, and His might unto all generations, (xlix. 2). I have given with some fulness this description of the expected Messiah; for it forms the centre of this writer's expectations of the future. To the revival of the Messiah hope in this century we shall advert later.

This writer's solution of the moral problem of the world.

Before leaving this author we shall briefly recount his solution of the difficulties affecting the moral government of the world. This he discovers in a comprehensive view of the world's history. Only by tracing evil to its source can the present wrongness of things be understood, and only by pursuing the world's history to its final issues can its present inequalities be justified. The author has no interest save for the moral and spiritual worlds, and this is manifest even in the divine name "Lord of Spirits," and in the peculiar form he gives to the trisagion (xxxix. 12): "Holy, holy, holy, is the Lord of Spirits: he filleth the earth with spirits." Whole hierarchies of angelic beings appear in lxi. 10-12, and the doctrine of the Satanic world is further developed. The origin of sin is traced back not to the Watchers or angels, as in an earlier work, but to the Satans, the original adversaries of man (xl. 7).

Sin traced to the Satans.

> The Watchers fell through becoming subject to these, and leading mankind astray (liv. 6). Punishment was at once meted out to the Watchers, and they were confined in a deep abyss (liv. 5) to

await the final judgment (liv. 6, lv. 3, lxiv.) In the meantime sin flourishes in the world: sinners deny the name of the Lord of Spirits (xxxviii, 2, xli. 2), and of His Anointed (xlviii. 10); the kings and the mighty of the earth trust in their sceptre and glory (lxiii. 7), and oppress the elect of the children of God (lxii. 11). But the prayer of the righteous ascends, and their blood goes up before the Lord of Spirits crying for vengeance (xlvii. 1); and the angels unite in the prayer of the righteous (xlvii. 2). But the oppression of the kings and the mighty will not continue for ever: suddenly the Head of Days The Head of will appear, and with Him the Son of Man (xlvi. 2, Messiah Messiah 3, 4; xlviii. 2), to execute judgment upon all alike- appear to judge the on the righteous and wicked, on angel and on man. All are judged according to their deeds, for their deeds are weighed in the balance (xli. 1). The fallen angels are cast into a fiery furnace (liv. 6); the kings and the mighty confess their sins, and pray for forgiveness, but in vain (lxiii.); and are given into the hands of the righteous (xxxviii. 5); and their destruction furnishes a spectacle to the righteous as they burn and vanish for ever out of sight (xlviii. 9, 10; lxii. 12), to be tortured in Gehenna by the angels of punishment (liii. 3-5, liv. 1, 2). The remaining sinners and godless are driven from off the face of the earth (xxxviii. 3, xli. 2, xlv. 6). The Son of Man slays them with the word of His mouth (lxii. 2). Sin and wrongdoing are A new heaven banished from the earth (xlix. 2); and heaven earth. and earth are transformed (xlv. 4, 5); and the

CHAP. VI.

righteous and elect have their mansions therein (xxxix. 5, xli. 2). And the light of the Lord of Spirits shines upon them (xxxviii. 4); they live in the light of eternal life (lviii. 3). And they seek after light and find righteousness and peace with the Lord of Spirits (lviii. 3, 4); and grow in knowledge and righteousness (lviii. 5).

Of the peculiar character of the resurrection in

this book we shall treat presently.

#### 1 MACCABEES (100-64 B.C.)

I Maccabees the work of a Sadducee. From the Similitudes, the work of an original and highly gifted member of the Pharisaic school, we turn for a few minutes to First Maccabees, the work of a contemporary who belonged to the Sadducean party. As we might expect, this book is entirely wanting in eschatological teaching. Of the hope of a future life beyond the grave there is not a trace. All the rewards of faithfulness enumerated by the dying Mattathias (ii. 52-61) are limited to this life. Thus this writer ignores the entire Chasid movement, which embodied within it all that was best and most spiritual from the Maccabean revolt for many generations onwards. God has no longer direct dealings with men. With the Maccabean psalmist who wrote—

We see not our signs:

There is no more any prophet,

Neither is there among us any that knoweth how long

(Ps. lxxiv. 9)—

this writer too deplores the extinction of prophecy

(ix. 27). And yet he seems to look forward to some CHAP. VI. prophet in the future; for Simon the Maccabee was appointed to be high priest "until there should arise a faithful prophet" (xiv. 41), and the stones of the profaned altar of burnt offerings were laid up "in the mountain of the temple . . . until there should come a prophet to show what should be done with them" (iv. 46).

## PSALMS OF SOLOMON (70-40 B.C.)

The next work that calls for consideration is the Psalms of so-called Psalms of Solomon, which were written Solomon of Pharisaic some decades later than the Parables. These authorship Psalms are eighteen in all. They appear to be are from derived from various authors. With this diversity different authors, and of authorship we are only here concerned in a limited present two degree. Our present subject requires us to dis-tological tinguish the first sixteen psalms carefully from the systems. last two. The eschatological systems presented by these differ in essential respects.

We shall deal with Pss. xvii., xviii. first. Pss. xvii., xviii. These psalms cannot be regarded as possessing great originality. There is hardly a statement in them relative to the hopes of Israel which could not be accounted for on the grounds of literary reminiscence, And yet the representation on the whole is vigorous and fascinating. Where, however, they do display decided originality, their influence is distinctly hurtful; for, by connecting the Messiah with the popular aspirations of the nation, they

The Messiah of Pss. xvii., xviii.

secularised it, and with it the teaching of Pharisaism, and thus prepared the way for the ultimate destruction of the nation. The Messiah, certainly, is finely conceived. As in the Parables, He is called the Christ (xvii. 36, xviii. 6, 8). He is to be of the house and lineage of David: thus the psalmist prays (xvii. 23-25):—

Behold, O Lord, and raise up unto them their king, The Son of David, in the time which thou, O God, knowest,

That he may reign over Israel thy servant.

And gird him with strength to break in pieces unrighteous rulers,

To purge Jerusalem from the heathen that tread her down and destroy.

Moreover, the Messiah will be "a righteous king and taught of God" (xvii. 35); He will "be pure from sin, so that he may rule a mighty people" (xvii. 41). He will bring back the dispersion also (xvii. 28):—

And he shall gather together a holy people, whom he shall lead in righteousness.

And he shall judge the tribes of the people that have been sanctified by the Lord his God.

And having purged Jerusalem and made it holy as in the days of old (xvii. 33), He will make Israel a holy people (xvii. 29, 30°, 36):—

And he shall not suffer iniquity to lodge in their midst And none shall dwell with them that knoweth wickedness; For he shall take knowledge of them that they are all the sons of their God.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These and the following quotations from this book are drawn from Ryle and James's edition. They are, however, occasionally modified in accordance with Gebhardt's new text. For "to purge" (καθαρίσαι), conjectured by Geiger and accepted by Gebhardt, Ryle and James give the MSS. reading καθάρισον, "purge."

And there shall be no iniquity in his days in their midst For all shall be holy and their king is the Lord Messiah.

CHAP. VI.

And no stranger shall dwell within the gates: "the sojourner and the stranger shall dwell with them no more" (xvii. 31<sup>a</sup>).

But as for the ungodly nations, He will destroy them with the word of His mouth (xvii. 27; cf. xvii. 39). His weapons will not be carnal (xvii. 37):—

For he shall not put his trust in horse, and rider, and bow, Nor shall he multiply unto himself gold and silver for war, Nor by means of many peoples 1 shall he gather confidence for the day of battle.

But he shall (xvii. 41)-

Rebuke princes and overthrow sinners by the might of his word.

When at last the hostile nations are destroyed, the rest will become subject to Him (xvii. 31<sup>b</sup>, 32<sup>a</sup>, 38<sup>b</sup>, 34):—

He shall judge the nations and the peoples with the wisdom of his righteousness

And he shall possess the nations of the heathen to serve him beneath his yoke.

And he shall have mercy on all the nations that come before him in fear.

(Yea) the nations shall come from the ends of the world to see his glory,

Bringing as gifts her sons that had fainted.

And the Messiah will not faint all His days (xvii. The Messiah 42).

So Gebhardt, adding λαοῖs after πολλοῖs. Ryle and James emend πολλοῖs into πλοίοιs ("by means of ships"), Hilgenfeld into παλτοῖs or ὅπλοις.

I have given at some length this very vigorous presentation of the Messiah hope. The nearly contemporaneous appearance of this picture of the Messiah and that in the Similitudes attests the strength and vitality to which this hope, though practically dead in the preceding century, had already attained, before many decades had elapsed in its successor. By means of these two writings we are enabled to understand in some degree the intensity with which the expectation of a personal Messiah was cherished in the first century of the Christian era, and likewise the guise in which the people expected Him to appear.

The Messianic kingdom of temporary duration. The Messianic kingdom in these psalms is apparently of temporary duration, for there is no hint of the righteous dead rising to share in it. Only the surviving righteous become members of it. Cf. xvii. 50:—

Blessed are they that shall be born in those days.

To behold the blessing of Israel, which God shall bring to pass in the gathering together of the tribes.

Further, we might infer the transitory nature of the Messianic kingdom from the fact that the Messiah here is a single person, and not a series of kings. The duration of His kingdom, therefore, is to be regarded as conterminous with that of its ruler.

Pss. i.-xvi. contain no references to the Messiah, We now proceed to discuss the remaining sixteen psalms of this book. In these psalms there are hardly any references to the future, and there are none to the Messiah.

A Messianic kingdom, however, was expected;

for they paint in glowing colours the restoration of the tribes (xi. 1-81):—

but dwell on the Messianic

r. Blow ye the trumpet in Zion, yea the holy trumpet of kingdom. Jubilee.

2. Proclaim ye in Jerusalem with the voice of him that bringeth good tidings,

That God hath had mercy upon Israel: he hath visited them.

3. Stand up on high, O Jerusalem: and behold thy children Gathered from the East and the West together by the Lord.

4. From the North they come in the gladness of their God:

From the islands afar off hath God gathered them.

5. Lofty mountains did he make low: yea even unto the plain before them.

6. The hills fled before their entering in,

The woods gave them shelter as they passed by.

7. Every tree of sweet savour did God make to spring up before them

That Israel might pass by in the day when the glory of their God shall visit them.

8. Put on, O Jerusalem, the garments of thy glory:

Make ready thine holy apparel,

For God hath spoken comfortably unto Israel, world without end.

This future is regarded as a promised and appointed period when God would succour His people (vii. 9). But the psalmists do not dwell on the gracious side of this promised time, but on the vengeance that will befall the hostile nations and the sinners amongst men. With their main burden, therefore, we are not here concerned. Whatever But the degree of importance they may attach to the righteous do not rise to expected kingdom, they do not regard it as the share in this kingdom, but recompense of the righteous. The righteous rise to an eternal life in the not to a kingdom of temporal prosperity but to spirit. eternal life. Thus iii. 16:-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. also viii. 34.

They that fear the Lord shall rise unto life eternal, And their life shall be in the light of the Lord, and it shall fail no more.

And they will "inherit this life in gladness" (xiv. 7), and "live in the righteousness of their God" (xv. 15). There seems to be no resurrection of the body.

The wicked descend to Hades, their eternal abode of torment, As for the wicked, on the other hand, they descend on death into hell (xvi. 2). Further, the psalmist declares (xv. 11):—

And the inheritance of the sinners is destruction and darkness, And their iniquities shall pursue them as far as Hades beneath.

And again (xiv. 5<sup>a</sup>, 6):—

They remembered not God:

Therefore is their inheritance Hades and darkness and de-

And they shall not be found in the day of mercy for the righteous.

We thus observe a remarkable agreement between the teaching of the first sixteen psalms of this book and that of I Enoch xci.-civ.

### JUDITH (circa 50 B.C.1)

In the next book of which we have to take account, *i.e.* Judith, there is only one eschatological reference. This is found in xvi. 17, which runs:—

Judith attests a new development of Gehenna. Woe to the nations that rise up against my kindred:

The Lord Almighty will take vengeance of them in the day of judgment.

By putting fire and worms in their flesh,<sup>2</sup> And they shall weep and feel their pain for ever.

δοῦναι πῦρ καὶ σκώληκας εἰς σάρκας αὐτῶν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The date of this book is uncertain. Most probably it belongs to the first century B.C.

This passage refers obviously to Gehenna, and is valuable in this respect, that it attests a new development in the use of the term Gehenna. Conceived heretofore as the final abode of the apostate Jews, it is now regarded as the final abode of the nations generally, a meaning which it preserves in the next century also (cf. Ass. of Mos. x. 10; 4 Ezra vii. 36).

Only three books more call for consideration, and our survey of the eschatology of this century will be complete. These are a short fragment of the Sibylline Oracles, the Second Book of Maccabees, and the Fragments of a Zadokite Work.

SIBYLLINE ORACLES III. 1-62 (before 31 B.C.1)

In these verses God's kingdom is expected, and the advent of a holy king who shall sway the sceptre of every land (ήξει δ' άγνὸς ἄναξ πάσης γῆς σκήπτρα κρατήσων, iii. 49). This Messianic King is to reign Messiah to "for all ages" (iii. 50), but these words must not be pressed, for in a few lines later a universal judgment on all men is foretold (iii. 53-56, 60, 61). For a similar limitation of these words, cf. 2 Bar. xl. 3, lxxiii. 1 (see note on pp. 212, 213).

reign for all ages, i.e. for a long time.

2 MACCABEES (some time between 100 and 40 B.C.)

In the Second Book of Maccabees there is The Messianic no direct declaration as to the Messianic kingdom, though we are most probably right in interpreting vii. 37 as referring to it, where the

kingdom in 2 Maccabees.

<sup>1</sup> See Encyclopædia Biblica, i. Article on Apoc. Lit. par. 85.

youngest of the seven brethren prays that "God may speedily be gracious to the nation." The hope of this kingdom is implied also in the expectation of the return of the tribes. This expectation appears in the prayer of Jonathan (i. 27):—

Gather together our dispersed ones, Set at liberty them that are in bondage among the heathen, Look upon them that are despised and abhorred, And let the heathen know that thou art our God.

#### And in ii. 18:-

In God have we hope, that he will quickly have mercy upon us, and gather us together out of all the earth into the holy place.

The two last passages indeed do not belong to the original work, but to the two letters which were prefixed to it by the epitomiser of Jason's work or at ' a later date. It is very difficult to get a clear view of the eschatology of this writer. According to his express statement (ii. 19-23), the main narrative of the book is drawn entirely from a single source, and forms merely an epitome of a work written by one Jason of Cyrene in five books. These five books of Jason, our writer declares that he has "abridged into one work" (ii. 23). They, therefore, if we may reason backwards from the epitome to the original work, dealt with the history of events from 175 B.C. down to the decisive victory of Judas in 161, in all a period of fifteen years, and were written most probably several decades before the close of the second century B.C. Hence if these books of Jason are faithfully epitomised in the Second Book of Maccabees

2 Maccabees an epitome of Jason's five books. we should have in the latter book the eschato-

logical views of the second century B.C. And in some respects this must be the case; for though this book nowhere enunciates definitely the nature of the future kingdom, we can nevertheless with some degree of certainty infer it from several passages. Thus a Messianic or theocratic kingdom of some Messianic sort seems to be expected; for God has estab-kingdom. lished Israel for ever (xiv. 15). vii. 37, as we have mentioned above, points to the blessed future which Israel will yet experience, and the same inference might be drawn from vii. 33 also, where the hope is expressed that God will again be reconciled to His servants. But we are left in the dark as to the nature of this kingdom. May we complete the picture from the writer's beliefs on Hades and the writer's resurrection? Immediately after death the righteous Hades. and wicked alike depart to Hades (vi. 23). In Hades the departed have a foretaste of their final doom. Thus when bidden to share in the idolatrous

sacrifices of Antiochus, Eleazer declares: "Even if for the present time I shall remove from me the punishment of man, yet shall I not escape the hands of the Almighty, either living or dead" (vi. 26). But Hades was only an intermediate state for the righteous (vii. 9, 11, 14, etc.), and likewise for all Israel; for in xii. 44 it is stated that Judas made a certain sacrifice in the belief that those Jews who had fallen in battle would rise again. For the non-Israelite there was no resurrection (vii. 14): "It is good to die at the hands of men and look for the hopes which

CHAP. VI.

are given of God, that we shall be raised up again by him; for as for thee, thou shalt have no resurrection unto life." 1

The resurrection is to eternal life, Now as regards the resurrection, its nature was as follows. It was to be to an eternal life. Thus the second of the seven brethren addressed Antiochus before he died (vii. 9): "Thou, O miscreant, dost release us out of this present life, but the King of the world shall raise us up, who have died for his laws unto an eternal revival of life" (ɛis alώνιον ἀναβίωσιν ζωῆς). And to the same effect the youngest of the seven, addressing Antiochus, declares (vii. 36): "For these our brethren, who have endured a short pain, have now died under God's covenant of everlasting life; but thou, through the judgment of God, shalt receive in just measure the penalties of thy pride."

Thus the heathen enter at death on their eternal doom.

Resurrection of the body.

In the next place, the resurrection is to be one of the body. The third of the seven brethren declares (vii. 11): "From heaven I had them (i.e. his tongue and hands); and for his laws' sake I contemn them; and from him I hope to receive these back again." And with this thought the mother of the seven encouraged them to endure martyrdom (vii. 22, 23): "I know not how ye came into my womb, neither was it I that bestowed on you your spirit and your life, and it was not I that brought into order the first elements of each one of you. 23. Therefore the Creator of the world, who

<sup>1</sup> The text is σοι μέν γάρ ἀνάστασις είς ζωήν ούκ έσται.

fashioned the generation of man and devised the generation of all things, will in mercy give back to you again both your spirit and your life, as ye now regard not your own selves for his laws' sake."

CHAP. VI.

Finally, it is said of Razis in xiv. 46: "He drew forth his bowels through the wound, and taking them in both his hands he shook them at the crowds; and calling upon him who is Lord of the life and spirit to restore him these again, he thus died."

the Messianic

Finally, the resurrection was not only to be to an Resurrection to eternal life, and that an eternal life in the body, but kingdom. also to a life to be eternally enjoyed in the community of the righteous. This follows from the mother's words in vii. 29: "Fear not this executioner, but proving thyself worthy of thy brethren, accept thy death, that in the mercy of God I may receive thee again with thy brethren."

This last thought of a community of the risen righteous in the body recalls the idea of the Messianic kingdom. Now we found above certain allusions to such a kingdom. If, therefore, we combine the latter with those we have just learnt touching the nature of the expected resurrection, we arrive at a picture of the future that belongs essentially to the second century. The departed righteous are raised to an eternal Messianic kingdom on earth. Thus the The eschatowriter looked forward to such a kingdom as we find 2 Maccabees depicted in I Enoch lxxxiii.-xc., of which the scene second century is the present earth. This being so, we must regard the writer of this book as having reproduced with

some faithfulness, at least in an eschatological aspect, the work of Jason.

Before parting with this interesting book, we should notice the significant rôle played in it by the doctrine of retribution, present no less than future.

Its doctrine of retribution in this life.

Present retribution follows sin alike in the case of Israel and of the Gentiles, but in the case of Israel its purpose is corrective, but in that of the Gentiles it is vindictive (vi. 13-15). Though God punish His people, He does not withdraw His mercy from them (vi. 12-16, xiv. 15). In order to show the certainty of retribution in this life, the writer rewrites history, and makes individual sinners suffer the penalties which he thought, in strict justice, they ought to have suffered. This we may see in the final earthly destinies assigned to the heathen oppressors Epiphanes (vii. 17, ix. 5-12) and Nicanor (xv. 32-35), and to the Hellenising Jews, Jason (v. 7-10) and Menelaus (xiii. 8). Even the martyrs confess their sufferings as due to sin (vii. 18, 33, 37), and pray that God's wrath may be expiated in their sufferings (vii. 38). Immediate retribution is a token of God's goodness (vi. 13). But here we must part with our author, for our present concern is mainly with retribution beyond the grave.

# Fragments of a Zadokite Work 18-8 B.C. (?)

The origin of the Zadokite Party and their relation to the Sadducees and Pharisees. Written towards the close of the first century B.C. in good Hebrew, our book represents the beliefs and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are some Aramaisms and Rabbinic expressions in the text, but the Hebrew is good on the whole.

expectations of a body of reformers who sprang up in the second century B.C. within the priesthood, as the Pharisees had within the laity, and called themselves, at all events in the first century, "the Sons of Zadok."1 The reformation, in which they were the chief movers, was the result of a slow but steady religious revival, which took place between the years , 196 and 176 B.c. or thereabouts (i. 6), and which culminated at the close of this period in the formation of a party within the priesthood. This party-"the penitents of Israel"-appears to have attempted the reform of irregularities connected with the Temple, but having failed in the attempt they left Jerusalem and the cities of Israel, either voluntarily or under compulsion, and withdrew to Damascus under the eleadership of "the Star," otherwise designated as "the Lawgiver," where they established the "New Covenant "-"the Covenant of Repentance." Thus the first breach of the party was with their brethren the Sadducean priesthood. After the institution of the New Covenant, the party appears to have returned from Damascus and made the cities of Israel the sphere of their missionary efforts. For an unspecified period of years till the coming of the Teacher of Righteousness, they were to obey faithfully the interpretation of the Law laid down by the Lawgiver above referred to. It was probably during this period that they first came into open antagonism

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The party, though originating apparently with the priests and Levites, came to embrace a strong lay element, just as the Pharisaic party, though in the main a lay movement, came ultimately to embrace a section of the priests.

with the Pharisees-an antagonism which grew in bitterness with the growing years. The most virulent attacks in our book are directed against the Pharisees. The ground for these attacks can be best understood from the knowledge of the origin of the party. The movement that gave them birth was of an intensely ethical and religious character, and naturally tended to lead them to recognise the prophets as of great worth, even if not of equal worth with the Law, and therein to differentiate themselves from both Pharisee and Sadducee. was one cause of the breach with the Pharisees. Another arose from the fact that whereas the Pharisees were upholding and developing a vast body of oral tradition, the reformed Sadducees absolutely opposed its acceptance except in a few particulars. They clung fast to the written Law and would have none of the oral. While the Pharisees called their school or college "the House of Midrash," our party designated theirs as "the House of the Law." Furthermore, since they claimed to represent the true Israel, especially on the priestly side, to them belonged the covenants and the priestly functions, and the rights of teaching and judging Israel-which latter functions had been usurped by the Pharisees; to them also belonged the Temple at Jerusalem as their Sanctuary, to them belonged Jerusalem and "the holy city."

The precepts of the Law as expounded by the Lawgiver were to be obeyed till the coming of the Teacher of Righteousness. This Teacher was to

come "in the end of the days." It was probably during this time that the party assumed the name "the Sons of Zadok."

CHAP. VI.

After the death of the "Teacher of Righteousness," whose teaching and activities are not recounted -a fact which points to the defectiveness of our MSS .- a considerable period elapses, much more than forty years. We have now arrived at the date of our author. He is living "in the end of the days," and the advent of the Messiah "from "Aaron and Israel" is momentarily looked for. If I am right in my interpretation of this phrase, to , which I shall return presently, the Messiah was to be a son of Mariamne and Herod (i.e. from Aaron and Israel), and the book was therefore written between 18 and 8 B.C. Herod put his two sons to death in 8 B.C., since they were the popular idols of the nation, and so this hope, like so many that preceded it, failed to reach fulfilment.

The later history of the Sons of Zadok is buried in all but impenetrable gloom. It is, however, not at all improbable that many of their members joined the Christian Church. For their appreciation of the prophets—unparalleled in Judaism; their insistent preaching on the need of repentance; their constant proclamation of God's readiness to forgive the repentant; their expectation of a Messiah (and just at this period) and of a future life—all these beliefs and hopes prepared them to accept Christianity, and accordingly it is not unreasonable to conclude that they formed part of the "great

company of the *priests* that became obedient to the faith " (Acts vi. 7).

The Messiah to arise from Aaron and Israel.

The Zadokite Party expected a Messiah not from Levi simply, as in the Test. XII. Patriarchs, but "from Aaron and from Israel," ii. 10, viii. 2, ix. 10 (B), 29 (B), xv. 4, xviii. 8. It must be confessed that the form of the expectation is peculiar and needs explanation. Since our author was acquainted with the Test. XII. Patriarchs, the fact that he does not simply repeat the expectation there entertained, but differentiates that of his party from it, leads us to conclude that the difference of phraseology points to a real difference in the nature of the expectation. Since the Messiah was to be descended, not from Aaron simply, but "from Aaron and from Israel," the additional words "and from Israel" are surely significant. The priesthood was, of course, an hereditary privilege, and could only be passed on through the male line. As long as the succession from father to son was preserved the descent through the mother was immaterial; for the priests were not confined in their choice of wives to the tribe of Levi. Not only could they marry any Israelite, but they could marry the daughter of a proselyte.1 These facts make the phrase "from Aaron and Israel" all the more strange. In the case of un-

<sup>1</sup> The greatest care was taken in regard to the character of the wives chosen by the priests. Their pedigrees, unless known to be unimpeachable, were examined for four generations on both sides in the case of priestly lineage, for five if they were of non-priestly origin. Cf. Qid. iv. 4, 5, 77 ab; Joseph. Contra Ap. i. 7. Though a priest might not marry a proselyte, he could marry the daughter of a proselyte. If both parents of a woman were proselytes, a priest was not allowed to marry her; but if he did so, the marriage was considered legitimate. See Jewish Encyc. x. 195.

broken descent in the male line, the words "and CHAP. VI. from Israel" would be wholly superfluous. Hence they cannot point to the maternal ancestry of the expected Messiah. If not to the maternal ancestry, then they can only refer to his paternal ancestry. If this reasoning is valid, it follows that the expected Messiah was to spring from Israel, i.e. from a non-priestly source, on his father's side, and from Aaron, i.e. from a priestly source, on his mother's. Such an expectation could only be explained, so far as I am aware, in reference to the two sons of Mariamne and Herod, i.e. Alexander and Aristobulus. Herod was an Israelite, while Marianne combined in her own person all the royal claims of the Maccabean house. Since Alexander and Aristobulus were descended from Aaron on the spindle side, they could not legitimately inherit the priesthood. But the technically non-priestly character of Mariamne's sons could not stand in the way of the Messianic hopes attached to them by the Zadokite Party, since the all but universal expectation of Israel was directed to a Messiah sprung not from Levi but from Judah. But since the Zadokite Party rejected the expectation of a Messiah from Judah, they described their expected Messiah as sprung from Aaron (i.e. from the Maccabees through Mariamne) and from Israel (i.e. from Herod).

But we can go a step further in defining the comprehension of the words "and from Israel." Since throughout our text there is a decided animus against Judah and the dynasty of David, we may

fairly conclude that the Messiah was not expected to spring from Levi and Judah—which would have been a natural fusion of the two conflicting hopes—but from Aaron and an Israelite who was not descended from Judah.

This hostility to the Davidic stock and to Judah is not an unmediated and unlooked-for phenomenon. The way had already been prepared for it in the struggles of the Jewish hierarchy to preserve its ancient prerogatives against the encroachments of the Pharisees and their followers. The hostility to the Davidic stock is already apparent in Sirachthe work of a priest, or at all events an upholder. of the high sacerdotal claims of that period. Lévi (L'Ecclésiastique, I. xxxvi.) has drawn attention to Sirach's glorification of the priesthood at the expense ' of the kings. While only a few verses are assigned to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob (xliv. 19-23), and even to Moses (xlv. 1-5), Aaron and his descendants are the subjects of long and glowing eulogiums (xlv. 6-24). To Aaron God gave the priesthood by "an everlasting covenant" (xlv. 7, 15), which was to last "as long as the heaven endureth" (xlv. 15); to the priesthood God had entrusted the charge of His commandments, the power to deal with statutes and judgments, and the right of teaching His people (xlv. 17); and the book practically closes with a lofty panegyric on Simon the High Priest, the son of Onias (l.). On the other hand, Sirach depreciates the kingly dynasty. He admits that God had, indeed, made a covenant with David touching the

kingdom, but he points out that that was only an ordinary and limited heritage passing from father to son, whereas that of Aaron was an everlasting heritage transmitted to all his posterity (xlv. 25). He is careful, moreover, to point out that the dynasty of David had proved itself unworthy of the Divine favour; for that only three of them, including David (xlix. 4), had been faithful to God, and that accordingly they were stripped of the kingship and the government of the nation re-entrusted to the priesthood. Nor could the Messiah arise from such a stock: if a Messiah was to be expected, he was to

be Elijah reappearing in the flesh (xlviii. 10-11). This glorification of Levi over Judah is repeated in Jubilees, which, like Sirach, was written by a priest, but by a priest who had assimilated some of the higher theology such as the doctrine of a blessed future life (xxiii. 31).1 In Jubilees Levi receives the first blessing (xxxi. 15-17), and Judah the second (xxxi. 18-20). Levi was to be at once the supreme teacher, the sole priest, and the civil ruler of the nation. Judah's blessing dwells on his great military power, which the Gentiles should fear and at which the nations should quake. Thereby he should prove the help of Jacob, and the royal dynasty should bring peace to Israel. There is a clause of two or three words in xxxi. 18 d referring to a Messiah from Judah, but it is most probably an interpolation, since throughout the rest of this long

In the time of Josephus apparently only the Sadducees of the highest rank still denied the doctrine of a blessed future life (Ant. xviii. 1. 4). Thus this doctrine seemed gradually to have permeated the main body of the Sadducees by the middle of the first century of the Christian era.

work there is not even the barest hint of such a hope.

The glorification of Levi reaches its climax in « the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs. Not only is Levi the supreme authority in Church and State: from Levi also is to spring the coming Messiah. In fact the Messiah had already come in the person of John Hyrcanus according to the author of the Testaments, just as according to the earlier writing, the CX. Pslam, he had already come in the person of Simon the Maccabee.

The development actually realised and the tendencies in the process of evolution in these books find a not unnatural culmination in our author. The steady glorification of Levi in Sirach, Jubilees, and the Testaments had only served to reduce Judah to the second place of authority and honour in the nation, but not otherwise to depreciate that great tribe. In no case had this process degenerated into a hostile attack on Judah. And yet this and naught else could be the inevitable outcome of the tendencies which were actively at work, in the second century before the Christian era.

These tendencies came to a head in the Zadokite Fragments. Therein the glorification of the Zadokite priests is carried out pari passu with the disparagement of the Davidic family and claims and, an attack on Judah. David is accused of ignorance of the Law (vii. 5 ). The title "king" (מלד) is replaced by that of "prince" (אינט vii. 4). In ix. 7 the passage from Amos ix. 11, which promises the

setting up of "the tabernacle of David that is fallen," is explained in such a way that all reference to David is got rid of; for "the king" is said to denote "the Congregation," and the "tabernacle of the king" to denote "the books of the Law." Having thus explained away the Davidic expectation, a prophecy of the Zadokite Messiah (see ix. 8) is discovered in Num. xxiii. 17.

The princes of Judah are attacked in ix. 13 as being "like them that remove the landmark" (ix. 13), i.e. the Pharisees. When the time of the end was approaching the Zadokites were to break off all relations with Judah (vi. 7).1

### Appendix

Soul and Spirit. Just as in the second, so in this Syncretism of century, the doctrine of the soul and spirit is almost ent views in without exception a development of the older Semitic

1 I shall content myself in the main with referring to the notes in my edition (published by the Clarendon Press) on the theological views of the Zadokites.

Doctrine of a future life. See note on v. 6. Some scholars have doubted the reference of this verse to a future life. But even if it were absent it would not affect the question. The Zadokites are the disciples of the prophets and the Hagiographa, and in these the doctrine of a future life is taught. The party that regarded the Book of Daniel, the Book of Jubilees, and the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs as authoritative could not have questioned the belief in a blessed future life.

Repentance. See note on vi. I and references. In this respect they prepare the way for the preaching of John the Baptist.

Predestination and free-will. See ii. 6 note, iii. 7 a, iv. 2 c, 10. Divorce. Divorce is absolutely forbidden in our text. See vii. I.

The Law and the prophets. It will be sufficient here to refer to § 8 in my Introduction, to show how profoundly the Zadokites valued the prophets. The fact to which we have drawn attention elsewhere, that they based halacha on the prophets, is proof that they regarded the prophets as of equal authority with the Law. Their attitude in this respect is nearer to that of the Sermon on the Mount than that of any other party in Judaism.

view. We shall notice the exceptions first. They are to be found in 2 Macc. vii. 22, 23, where we have a syncretism of the two psychologies. Thus in vii. 22 the mother of the seven martyred brethren declares: "It was not I that bestowed upon you your spirit and your life" ( $\tau \delta \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \kappa a \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \zeta \omega \dot{\eta} \nu^{1}$ ). the spirit is the life-giving principle of which the life, or living soul, is the product, just as in Gen. ii., iii. These were given by God, and according to the writer's view are taken back by God at death; for in vii. 23 the mother continues: "Therefore the Creator of the world . . . in mercy giveth back to you again both your spirit and your life." Yet the, withdrawal of this spirit does not lead to annihilation or unconsciousness in Sheol: for the departed are conscious (vi. 26). Hence the writer possesses no consistent psychology; for the ordinary dichotomy of soul and body is found in vi. 30, vii. 37, xiv. 38, xv. 30. In all the remaining literature of this century there is only a dichotomy—either the spirit<sup>2</sup>

Elsewhere the ordinary dichotomy appears.

are really

identical.

Soul and spirit and body, or the soul and body. Some writers speak only of the spirit and body, others only of the soul and body, but some also use either indifferently. In none of these cases is spirit conceived as in Gen. ii., iii. Thus in the oldest writing of the century the departed in Sheol are spoken of as spirits (in I En. xcviii. 10, and likewise in ciii. 3, 4, 8): "All good-

1 The same phraseology recurs in xiv. 46.

ness and joy and glory are prepared for them, and are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In I Enoch xv. 4 the antithesis between the spiritual and the fleshly is strongly emphasised, but the contrast is not between two parts of man, but between the nature of angels and of men.

written down for the spirits of those who have died in righteousness, and manifold good will be given to you in recompense for your labours, , and your lot is abundantly beyond the lot of the living. 4. And your spirits—(the spirits) of you who die in righteousness, will live and rejoice and be glad, and their spirits will not perish, but their memorial will be before the face of the Great One unto all the generations of the world: wherefore then fear not their contumely. . . . 8. And into darkness and chains, and a burning fire, where there is grievous condemnation, will your spirits enter; and there will be grievous condemnation for the generations of the world. Woe unto you, for ye will have no peace." Again, the departed in Sheol are spoken of as "souls" (cii. 5, 11; ciii. 7): "Know ye that their souls will be made to descend into Sheol, and they will become wretched, and great will be their tribulation." On the other hand, in the nearly contemporaneous books of the Similitudes and Psalms of Solomon the term "spirit" is not used of man at all, but only "soul" (see I Enoch xlv. 3, lxiii. 10; Pss. Sol. passim).

Finally, in the Noachic interpolations only the term "spirit" is used of man (cf. xli. 8; lx. 4; lxvii. 8, 9; lxxi. 1), and likewise in the Essenic appendix to this book, where it speaks of "the spirits of the wicked (cviii. 3, 6) and of the righteous" (cviii. 7, 9, 11).

Judgment—final on all rational beings, human Final judgment and angelic, at the close of the Messianic kingdom Messianic

kingdom except in I Enoch xxxvii, -lxxi. except in one author.—Only in I Enoch xxxvii.-lxxi. in the literature of this century is the final judgment regarded as initiating the Messianic kingdom. The scope of this judgment is co-extensive with the human and angelic worlds (see p. 265). This conception of the final judgment, though apparently the same as that which prevailed in the second century, differs, however, from it in this respect, that while the latter ushers in the Messianic kingdom on the present earth, the former ushers in the Messianic kingdom in a new heavens and a new earth.

But—and herein the main difference between the first- and second-century eschatologies under this, head is to be observed—all (?) other writers of this century conceived the final judgment as forming the close of the temporary Messianic kingdom. This is clearly so in 1 Enoch xci.-civ. and Pss. Sol. i.-xvi., and also in Pss. Sol. xvii., xviii. With the difficulties besetting 2 Maccabees we have already dealt (see pp. 273-278). A preliminary judgment of the sword is found in 1 Enoch xci. 12, xcv. 7, xcvi. 1, xcviii. 12, etc., which is executed by the saints, as in Dan. ii. 44. This Messianic judgment is executed in Pss. Sol. xvii., xviii. by the Messiah forensically.

Paradise the intermediate abode of the righteous, according to various sections of r Enoch.

Places of abode of the departed: (i.) Paradise.—
Paradise, which in the preceding century had been regarded as the abode of only two men, has come in this to be conceived as the intermediate abode of all the righteous and elect (I Enoch lxi. 12): "All the holy ones who are in heaven will bless him, and all the elect who dwell in the garden of life."

lxx. 2-4: "And he was carried aloft on the chariots of the spirit, and the name vanished amongst men (lit. 'them'). 3. And from that day I was no longer numbered amongst them, and he set me between the two winds, between the North and the West, where the angels took the cords to measure for me the place for the elect and righteous. 4. , And there I saw the first fathers and the righteous who from the beginning dwell in that place." Noachic fragment, lx. 8: "But the male is called Behemoth, who occupies with his breast a waste wilderness named Dêndâin, on the east of the Garden where the elect and the righteous dwell, where my grandfather was taken up, the seventh from Adam, the first man whom the Lord of spirits created." Again in lxxvii. 3 Paradise is called "the garden of righteousness," and in lx. 23 "the garden of the righteous." This Paradise appears to be somewhere in the N.W. From Paradise the righteous pass to the Messianic kingdom in the »Similitudes.

(ii.) Heaven.—For the first time in apocalyptic Heaven the literature heaven becomes the abode of the spirits final abode of the righteous of the righteous after the final judgment. Thus the int Enoch xc.-civ.; likeportals of heaven will be open to them (I Enoch wise in xxxvii.civ. 2), and their spirits will live and rejoice (ciji, 4), and "have great joy as the angels in heaven," and "become companions of the heavenly hosts" (civ. 4, 6). Likewise in the Similitudes the new heaven as well as the new earth is to be the abode of the righteous (see xli. 2, li. 4).

Sheol—(a) the intermediate abode of the departed.

For the first time conceived to be a place where moral transformation becomes possible.

(iii.) Sheol.—During this century Sheol has a variety of meanings, most of which have been attested previously. Thus it = (a) Intermediate abode of the departed whence all Israel (?) rises to. judgment (1 Enoch li. 11). In 2 Maccabees it appears only in this sense (vi. 23). It is noteworthy that the writer of this book regards a moral change as possible in Sheol, in xii. 42-45. Thus Judas sacrifices on behalf of those who had fallen in battle, and on whose persons idolatrous symbols had been found. "For," the writer adds, "if he had not expected that they that had fallen would rise again, it would have been superfluous and idle to. pray for the dead." This is the first trace of a belief in Sheol as a truly moral abode. According to I Enoch c. 5, the souls of the righteous are preserved in a special part of Sheol (? cf. 4 Ezra iv. 41).

(b) Hell or Gehenna the final abode of the wicked. (b) Secondly, Sheol is regarded as the place of final eternal punishment, that is, it has become hell (1 Enoch lvi. 8, lxiii. 10, xcix. 11, ciii. 7). In Sheok the souls are slain (xcix. 11). Yet in 1 Enoch xxii., 11-13, which belongs to the preceding century, the souls of the wicked had to be raised out of Sheol in

I Enoch li. I is difficult. Both Sheol and hell (here Haguel = Abaddon, cf. Job. xxvi. 6) are said to give up their inhabitants for judgment. Are we therefore to regard Sheol and hell as mere synonyms here, or Sheol as the temporary abode of the righteous and hell of the wicked? The fact that Paradise is the intermediate abode of the righteous in the Parables (see above) favours the former alternative. Thus Sheol would in all cases be a place of punishment intermediate or final in the Parables. But Sheol may be regarded as lying in the N.W., and Paradise as a department of Sheol. Paradise in the Parables lies in the N.W. and Sheol in the W., according to I Enoch xxii.

CHAP. 71.

order to be slain. The reason is clear. In Enoch xxii. Sheol is not an abode of fire. Hence it is not here the place of final retribution where "souls are slain." In I Enoch xci.-civ., on the other hand, which belongs to the opening years of the first century, Sheol has become for the first time an abode of fire, and therefore synonymous so far with Gehenna. In the Psalms of Solomon Sheol in all cases is a synonym for hell (xiv. 6, xv. 11, xvi. 2). We should observe here how Sheol is associated with fire and darkness. It has thus drawn to itself some of the attributes of Gehenna. In several passages in the Parables, and throughout I Enoch xci.-civ., Sheol and Gehenna are practically identical. In the Parables Sheol is the intermediate abode of all (? see footnote) that died before the advent of the Messianic kingdom (li. 1); but after its advent it is henceforth conceived as a final abode of fire (lxiii. 10).

(iv.) Gehenna.—A new development of this idea Gehenna.
Transformaappears in this century in I Enoch xlviii. 9; liv. tions of this , 1, 2; lxii. 12, 13. According to the prevailing view of the second century B.C., Gehenna was to be the final abode of Jewish apostates whose sufferings were to form an ever-present spectacle to the righteous; but in the Parables (xxxvii.-lxxi.) Gehenna is specially designed for the kings and the mighty, and Gehenna and its victims are forthwith to vanish for ever from the sight of the righteous. This latter view appears to be due to the fact that after the judgment in the Parables there were to be a new heaven and a

Gehenna a place of spiritual punishment only in Eth. En. xci.-civ. new earth, in which, of course, there was no room for Gehenna. The notable transformation of Gehenna referred to on p. 219 is further attested in I Enoch xci.-civ., if we may identify Sheol and Gehenna in this book. Elsewhere Gehenna had always been conceived as a place of both corporal and spiritual punishment. In the book just mentioned it appears as a place of spiritual punishment only. Thus in . xcviii. 3 "their spirits will be cast into the furnace of fire" (cf. also ciii. 8). Sheol and Gehenna seem to be equivalent terms in this writer (see xcix. 11, ciii. 7; also c. 9). The old idea of Gehenna as a place of punishment within view of the righteous can no more be admitted in this book than Parables: for after the destruction of heaven and earth only a new heaven is created. The same \* conception is found in the Essene writing I Enoch cviii. 5, 6.

Burning Furnace = final abode of the fallen angels.

Resurrection.
The teaching of 2 Maccabees on this head belongs to second century B.C.

(v.) Burning Furnace = the final abode of the fallen angels (I Enoch liv. 6; cf. xviii. II-16, xxi. I-6).

Resurrection.—The doctrine of the resurrection is taught in four books belonging to this century, but the particular form of this doctrine, which appears in 2 Maccabees, belongs, as we have seen above, to the second century B.C., and not to the first. This book puts forward a very definite doctrine of the resurrection of the body. Such a doctrine was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gehenna is never mentioned by name in this book, but only Sheol. Sheol, however, possesses some of the chief characteristics of Gehenna. It is generally spoken of as "a burning fire," "a furnace of fire," etc.

quite in keeping with the view of the Messianic kingdom which prevailed in the second century B.C. as an eternal kingdom on the present earth. But in the next century, where this specific doctrine of the kingdom is abandoned, and the righteous are regarded as rising either to heaven itself or to the eternal Messianic kingdom in a new heaven and a new earth, the nature of this resurrection is, of necessity, differently conceived. To such spiritual final abodes of the blessed there could not be a mere bodily resurrection. Hence two views arose Views of first as to the nature of the resurrection. Whilst some taught, as the writers of I Enoch xci.-civ. and Pss. Sol., that there would be no resurrection of the A resurrection body at all but only of the spirit, others, as the (a) of the spirit only; writer of the Parables, said that there would be a (b) of the resurrection of the body, but that this body would in a body of consist of garments of glory and of light (1 Enoch glory and lxii. 15, 16), and that the risen righteous would be of an angelic nature (li. 4). Thus we find that the doctrine of the resurrection which was current amongst the cultured Pharisees in the century immediately preceding the Christian era was of a truly spiritual nature. And moreover, as regards those only the who have the right to share in the resurrection, the righteous are to attain to the doctrine is no less high and spiritual. Thus accord-resurrection ing to the teaching of I Enoch xci.-civ. and Pss. xci.-civ. and Pss. of Solo-Sol. i.-xvi. only the righteous are to rise. The mon). testimony of the Parables on this head is doubtful. Testimony of Thus in I Enoch lxi. 5 it is clearly implied that Similitudes divided. only the righteous are to share in the resurrection,

CHAP. VI.

but in li. 1, 2 it is just as clearly stated that there is a resurrection both of the just and of the unjust.

Messianic Kingdom.—See general historical development in first century (see pp. 247-250).

Messiah.-In the preceding century the Messianic hope was practically non-existent. So long as Judas and Simon were chiefs of the nation, the need of a Messiah was hardly felt. But in the first half of the next century it was very different. to ruthless oppressions, the righteous were in sore need of help. But inasmuch as the Maccabean princes were themselves the leaders in this oppression, the thoughts of the faithful were forced to look for divine aid.1 Thus the bold and original thinker to whom we owe the Parables conceived the Messiah as the supernatural Son of Man, who should enjoy universal dominion and execute judgment on men and angels. But other religious thinkers returning afresh to the study of the Old Old Testament Testament, revived, as in Pss. Sol., the expectation of the prophetic Messiah, sprung from the house and lineage of David (xvii. 23; see above, pp. 267-270). These very divergent conceptions took such a firm hold of the national consciousness that henceforth the Messiah becomes almost universally the central and chief figure in the Messianic kingdom.

Supernatural Messiah.

conception of the Messiah.

No share in a blessed future for the Gentiles.

Gentiles.—The favourable view of the second century as to the future of the Gentiles has in this century all but disappeared. In I Enoch xxxvii.-

<sup>1</sup> This hope of a Messiah descended on one side at all events from Levi was revived by the Zadokites before the Christian era; see pp. 282 sqq.

lxxi. annihilation appears to await them. Only in CHAP. VI. Pss. Sol. xvii. 32 is it stated that they shall be spared to serve Israel in the temporary Messianic kingdom. This may have been the view of the other writers of this century, who looked forward to a merely temporary Messianic kingdom. In no case does it appear that the Gentiles could attain to a blessed resurrection.

## CHAPTER VII

(1-60 A.D.)

ESCHATOLOGY OF APOCRYPHAL AND APOCALYPTIC LITERATURE DURING THE FIRST CENTURY A.D.

I. Authorities for the First Century A.D.

Assumption of Moses.

Book of Wisdom, 1

Philo.

Book of the Secrets of Enoch, or 2 Enoch.

- 4 Maccabees.
- 2 Baruch.
- 1 Baruch,2 or the Book of Baruch.
- 4 Ezra.

Josephus.

# II. General Eschatological Development of the First Century A.D.

Further effects of growing dualism. The growth of dualism, which was so vigorous in the preceding century, attains in this its final development. Not only has the thought of an

<sup>1</sup> This book should have been included in the first century B.C. literature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The earlier part of this work may be as old as the second century B.C.

eternal Messianic kingdom passed absolutely from CHAP. VII. the minds of men, but also the hope of a tempo- Even the hope rary Messianic kingdom is at times abandoned in Messianic despair (B<sup>2</sup> and B<sup>3</sup> of Apocalypse of Baruch; 4 Ezra, kingdom at times given up. Salathiel Apoc.; 4 Macc.); and in the rest, where it is expected, it is always of temporary duration.

of a temporary

In some books the actual duration of this Duration of temporary Messianic kingdom is defined. 2 Enoch ascribes to it a duration of 1000 years, Hence the i.e. a millennium, and the Ezra Apocalypse (4 Ezra Millennium. vii. 28, 29) a period of 400 years. According to the latter authority also, some of the saints will rise to share in it. In this way was evolved the doctrine of the first resurrection.

Thus defined as

Thus the breach which had set in between the eschatologies of the individual and of the nation in the preceding century has been still further widened in this century, and the differences in the two eschatologies developed to their utmost limits. Either the nation has no blessed future at all, or at best only one of temporary duration. With this the Extreme individual has no essential concern. His interest in religion centres round his own lot in the after-life. Judaism has surrendered in despair the thought of Messianic the divine kingdom, which was the bequest of the Old Testament prophets.

Thus follows upon the loss of the

The transcendent view of the risen righteous which we observed in the preceding century is in this century likewise generally received. Thus it Resurrection is to be a resurrection of the spirit only (Jubilees, or of spirit Assumption of Moses, Philo, Book of Wisdom, glory of God,

of spirit only, clothed in the

CHAP. VII. or of the earthly body, which was subsequently to be transfigured.

Righteous enter the final abode of happiness after death, according to Hellenistic but not Palestinian Judaism. The latter always taught an intermediate abode for the righteous.

4 Maccabees), or the righteous are to rise vestured with the glory of God (2 Enoch; see also the Pharisaic doctrine in Josephus, B.J. 11. viii. 14), or with their former earthly body, which is forthwith to be transformed and made like that of the angels (2 Baruch; 4 Ezra). But a new development now takes its rise in

regard to the resurrection of the spirit. Heretofore the righteous spirit did not rise from its intermediate abode in Sheol till after the final judgment; but now several writers regard the righteous as entering on a blessed immortality immediately after death. the doctrine of this view is held only by Alexandrian writers, i.e. Book of Wisdom (iii. 1-4; iv. 2, 7, 10, etc.), Philo, 4 Maccabees, or by the Essenes (see Josephus, B. J. II. viii. II). This may possibly be the view of the Book of Jubilees, but it is unlikely. Hence we may conclude that the universal tradition of Palestinian Judaism always taught the doctrine of an intermediate abode for the righteous.

Resurrection extended from Israel to all mankind.

Finally, the scope of the resurrection, which in the past was limited to Israel, is in this century extended in some books to all mankind (2 Baruch; xlix.-li.; 4 Ezra vii. 32, 37). For the Gentiles, however, this is but a sorry boon. They are raised only to be condemned for ever, and the condemnation that followed such a resurrection was beyond measure severer than had been that which they had endured before its advent.1

<sup>1</sup> So 2 Bar. xxx. 4, 5, xxxvi. 11; 4 Ezra vii. 87.

## III. Eschatological Systems of the various Writers of the First Century A.D.

## Assumption of Moses (7-29 A.D.)

The Assumption of Moses is closely allied to the The Assump-Book of Jubilees in many respects. But whereas of a Pharisaic Jubilees is a manifesto in favour of the priesthood, Quietist. the Assumption emanates from a Pharisaic Quietist. and contains a bitter attack on the priesthood in vii. It adds greatly to the interest of this book that it was written during the early life of our Lord, or possibly contemporaneously with His public ministry. As in Jubilees, so here the preparation for the advent of the theocratic or Messianic kingdom will be a period of repentance (i. 18). Seventeen hundred and fifty years after the death of Moses (x. 12) God Messianic will intervene on behalf of Israel (x. 7), and the ten restoration of tribes brought back from captivity. There is no Messiah: "The eternal God alone . . . will punish the Gentiles" (x. 7). In this respect it may differ from Jubilees. Moreover, the most popular doctrine of the Messiah made Him a man of war. But such a doctrine was offensive to the author of the Assump-He was a Pharisee of a fast-disappearing type, recalling in all respects the Chasid of the early Maccabean times, and upholding the old traditions of quietude and resignation. While his party was fast committing itself to political interests and movements, he raised his voice to recall them from the

evil ways on which they had entered, and besought them to return to the old paths, but his appeal was made in vain, and so the secularisation of the Pharisaic movement in due course culminated in the fall of Jerusalem.

Israel will be exalted to heaven, and see its enemies in Gehenna. At the close of this temporary Messianic kingdom Israel will be exalted to heaven, whence it shall see its enemies in Gehenna. The great hymn of the last things is so fine that we shall quote it almost wholly (x. 3-10<sup>1</sup>):—

- 3. For the Heavenly One will arise from His royal throne, And He will go forth from His holy habitation, With indignation and wrath on account of His sons.
- 4. And the earth shall tremble: to its confines shall it be shaken:

And the high mountains shall be made low, And the hills shall be shaken and fall.

And the horns of the sun shall be broken, and he shall be turned into darkness;

And the moon shall not give her light, and be turned wholly into blood.

And the circle of the stars shall be disturbed.

- And the sea shall retire into the abyss, And the fountains of water shall fail, And the rivers shall dry up.
- For the Most High will arise, the Eternal God alone, And He will appear to punish the Gentiles, And He will destroy all their idols.
- 8. Then thou, O Israel, shalt be happy,
  And thou shalt † mount upon the neck[s and wings] of the
  eagle,
  And they shall be ended.†

<sup>1</sup> For the text of this hymn, see the present writer's Assumption of Moses, pp. 40-43, and Charles' Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha, ii. 421 sq.

q. And God will exalt thee, And He will cause thee to approach to the heaven of the † In the place of their habitation. †

CHAP. VII.

10. And thou shalt look from on high and see thy enemies in Ge(henna),

And thou shalt recognise them and rejoice, And thou shalt give thanks and confess thy Creator.

It is noteworthy that the conception of Gehenna, Gehenna bewhich was originally the specific place of punishment comes the final abode of for apostate Jews, is here extended, as in Judith, so the wicked generally. as to become the final abode of the wicked generally. Finally, there seems to be no resurrection of the body, but of the spirit only.

We must now leave Palestinian soil and discuss the hopes which Alexandrian Judaism cherished as Alexandrian to the final condition of the individual and of the Judaism. nation. The literary representatives of this phase The of Judaism are the Book of Wisdom, the writings of Philo, the Book of the Secrets of Enoch, and 4 Maccabees. All these works are more or less leavened by Greek philosophy. But their writers, however saturated with Greek ideas, remain essentially Jews. Their aim is practical, not speculative; is ethical, not metaphysical. They draw their materials from Plato, Aristotle, the Pythagoreans, and Stoics. Of the above four Alexandrian writers. Philo alone can be said to have thought out a definite system. The writers of Wisdom and 4 Maccabees adopt current philosophical expressions

authorities.

for incidental use: they philosophise, but are not philosophers: while the writer of the Secrets of Enoch is the merest eclectic in this field. In some respects the last work is more nearly related to Palestinian than Alexandrian Judaism. The chief fundamental doctrines of Alexandrian

Judaism, as distinct from Palestinian, are three: (i.)

The eternity of matter, and its essentially evil nature.

From this philosophical dogma it at once follows

Points of difference between Alexandrian and Palestinian Iudaism. (i.) Eternity and evil nature that there can be no resurrection of the flesh; inof matter. Hence no resurrection of the body.

deed, three of the above writers deny a resurrection even of the body. Only 2 Enoch teaches that the risen body will be constituted of the divine glory, and therein shows its Palestinian affinities. (ii.) In the next place, the doctrine of the soul's pre-

(ii.) Pre-existence of soul.

> Book of Wisdom, a good soul obtains a good body (viii. 20). Are we to infer from this that souls were created originally with a specifically ethical character, some good, some bad? This can hardly be so, since God is conceived as the perfectly good, and as the Thus the ethical character of the lover of souls. soul on its entrance into the mortal body would appear to be the result of its own action in the

> existence is taught, not, however, as it appears in the Platonic philosophy,1 but in such a way as to be consistent with monotheism. According to the

Different classes of souls. Why some descend into the body, according to Philo.

1 See above, pp. 152-156. 2 This was at one time the view of Plato. See p. 153.

past.<sup>2</sup> This appears to have been the view of Philo.

According to the latter, the air was the habitation of

incorporeal souls. Before the creation of the earth

these souls had lived in the undisturbed contemplation of God (De Gigantibus, 7). the creation of the earth some souls that were possessed of divine thoughts were wholly unaffected, and always served the Ruler of the Universe, being as it were His lieutenants. But other souls, being affected by the earth, descended into it, but finding the body to be the source of folly and a prison-house and a tomb, soared aloft after death to the ether and devoted themselves to sublime speculation. But others, being more akin to the earth and enamoured of the body, descended to the earth and were united to mortal bodies (De Somno, i. 22). Of those who so descended only a few are saved by a spiritual philosophy, "meditating, from beginning to end, on how to die to the life in the body in order to obtain incorporeal and immortal life in the presence of the uncreated and immortal God" (De Gigantibus, 3; Mangey, i. 264).

(iii.) Souls enter immediately after death on their (iii.) At death final award, whether of blessedness or torment. souls enter immediately Such a doctrine follows naturally from the dogma on their final award. just enunciated of the soul's pre-existence. Where Hence no such a dogma exists, there can be no true solidarity abode of souls of the race or nation. The soul has no abiding judgment. interests in common with the community in which it may chance to be incarnated or born. It pursues, therefore, its own independent destiny, and no concern for others can legitimately retard its own consummation. Since it was held to reach this consummation at death, it ascended, therefore, forthwith to heaven. For it, therefore, there could be no

intermediate abode of partial blessedness where it could grow in wisdom and in knowledge, but it could enter at once on its final perfectionment independently of its brethren. Accordingly, to this individualistic type of religion there was no Sheol and no final judgment in the ordinary sense. This is the teaching of Philo, 4 Maccabees, and most probably of Wisdom. On the other hand, it is just in these two respects that 2 Enoch diverges from Alexandrian Judaism; for under Palestinian influence it teaches the two doctrines of Sheol and the final judgment.

Though these writers conceived the preearthly life ethically, they conceived the post-earthly life mechanically.

Thus, apparently, these Alexandrian writers regarded a man's condition in this life as determined by his ethical conduct when a pre-existent soul. It is strange, therefore, that though they conceived the pre-existent life of the soul as essentially ethical and capable of progress upward and downward, they failed to extend this view to the after-life of the soul, and regarded it as mechanically fixed for good or evil unto all eternity.

### BOOK OF WISDOM

The mind of the writer of the Book of Wisdom was to some extent of a philosophic cast. But he was not in any sense a consistent thinker. He enumerates broad principles without apprehending their true significance. It is not, therefore, strange

that he is at times self-contradictory. This characteristic is most apparent when he deals with the relative position of the Jews and of the Gentiles. Thus he enunciates the widest universalism in xi. 23-26, "But Thou hast mercy on all men. . . . 24. For Thou lovest all things that are, and abhorrest none of the things which Thou didst make; for never wouldst Thou have formed any thing if Thou didst hate it. 25. And how would any thing have endured except Thou hadst willed it. . . . 26. But Thou sparedest all things because they are Thine, O Sovereign Lord, Thou lover of souls." So much for the writer's universalism. It is not of the true spiritual and ethical type attested in the fragment of Isaiah and in Jonah, but recalls rather that of Malachi. For when we turn to xii. 10, we find that at heart he clings to a narrow particularism which manifests itself in a very unattractive form. "But judging them by little and little, Thou gavest them a place of repentance, though Thou knewest their nature was evil, and their wickedness inborn, and that their manner of thought would in no wise ever be changed. 11. For they were a seed accursed from the beginning." The student of this book will find many such inconsistencies.

But turning now to the writer's relation to Greek Writer borrows philosophy we find that he was a student of this from Greek philosophy. philosophy, though a superficial one, and incorporated many of its conceptions in his book.1 Thus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Book of Wisdom appears to be composite. See Holmes in

he adopted from Plato and his successors the doctrine that matter is eternal (xi. 17); that it is essentially evil, and that an ineradicably evil nature attaches therefore to the human body (i. 4); that the soul preexists 1 (viii. 20), and finds in the body a temporary prison-house (ix. 15). From Stoic sources he derives

Charles' Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha i. 521 sq. But for the present we

may treat the book as a unity.

1 Professor Porter in his treatise "The Pre-Existence of the Soul in the Book of Wisdom and in the Rabbinic Writings" (reprinted from Old Testament and Semitic Studies in Memory of Wm. Rainey Harper, pp. 205-270) is of opinion that there is no true pre-existence of the soul taught in the Book of Wisdom. The soul, he writes, "is not the man's self, the person, but is an individualisation and personification of that breath or spirit of God, which is the life of the man, and uniting with the earthly body, makes him a living being. The pre-existence of their neshamah was no doubt thought of as real; but since it was not the man himself, its preexistence was of more significance for the conception of God than for that of man. It expressed the idea that God foreknows and has pre-determined the number and lot of all men; and it is substantially the same idea, and not a different one, that is expressed when it is said that God has fixed the number of men who are to be born, so that at conception or during the pre-natal period of each man's existence He creates or forms the neshamah within him" (pp. 266 sq.) Accordingly, Professor Porter denies that a real doctrine of pre-existence is found in viii. 19, 20. But with this view I cannot agree. As early as the Book of Job (see p. 72) we have seen that the soul, apart from the body, is already conceived as the bearer of the personality. If this conception were only tentative in Job, it is no longer so in I Enoch i.-xxxvi., where souls or spirits are conceived as the bearers of the full personality. Of the later sections in I Enoch, the same holds true. That the soul in Wisdom viii. 20 has the same significance, follows both from the past history of this conception in Judaism, as well as from its actual context.

It is quite true that it is not the pre-existent soul that is spoken of in Job and I Enoch, but this does not affect the present question, which is—that in the Judaism of this as well as of earlier and later periods the soul is the bearer of the personality.

I am not here concerned with the opinions of the later Rabbis.

the doctrine of the four cardinal virtues—temperance, prudence, justice, and fortitude (viii. 7), and probably his idea of a world-soul (i. 7, vii. 24, xii. 1). The attempted fusion of these and like views with Hebrew thought has led to a great indefiniteness of conception in this writer. And this want of precision of thought must in some measure affect our interpretation. Our author makes no reference There is no to the Messiah. There is, however, to be Messianic or theocratic kingdom, in which the kingdom. surviving righteous will judge the nations and have dominion. These events are described in the following terms (iii. 7, 8):-

a though there is

And in the time of their visitation they shall shine forth, And as sparks among stubble they shall run to and fro.

8. They shall judge nations, and have dominion over peoples; And the Lord shall reign over them for evermore.

In v. 17-23 it is God Himself that executes judgment on the ungodly through the forces of nature. Owing to the evil nature of matter, there can of course be no resurrection of the body; the soul is No resurrecthe proper self: the body is a mere burthen taken body. The up by the pre-existent soul, but in due season laid soul is the proper self. down again. The soul receives a body in keeping so far as possible with its own character. Thus our author writes (viii. 19, 20):-

I was a child of parts, And a good soul fell to my lot;

20. Yea, rather being good, I came into a body undefiled.

But even an "undefiled body" is an oppressive weight.

ix. 15. For a corruptible body weigheth down the soul,

And the earthly tabernacle lieth heavy on a mind that
museth on many things.

The soul is redeemed through wisdom. Accordingly, there is only an immortality of the soul. For the soul in such evil straits there is one sovereign remedy, and that is, divine wisdom. Wisdom is the redeemer of the soul, its preserver, and the only spring of its immortality. Thus in viii. 17 he declares:—

When I considered these things in myself, And took thought in my heart, How that immortality lieth in kinship to wisdom,

viii. 13. Because of her I shall have immortality.

And again in vi. 18, 19:-

And the love of Wisdom is observance of her laws;
And the giving heed to her laws is an assurance of incorruption;

19. And incorruption bringeth near unto God.

The life of the righteous and their future blessedness.

Thus through the life of divine wisdom man attains to his original destination: "For God created man for incorruption" (ii. 23). The life of the righteous and their future blessedness are set forth in terms remarkable at once for their beauty and vigour (iii. 1-4):—

But the souls of the righteous are in the hand of God, And there shall no torment touch them.

2. In the sight of the unwise they seemed to die; And their departure was taken to be their hurt, 3. And their journeying away from us to be their ruin; But they are in peace.

CHAP. VII.

- 4. For though they be punished in the sight of men, Yet is their hope full of immortality;
- iv. 2, 7-11. When virtue is present, men imitate it; And they long after it, when it is departed; And throughout all time it marcheth crowned in triumph, Victorious in the strife for the prizes that are undefiled.
  - 7. But a righteous man though he die before his time Yet shall he be at rest.
  - 8. For honourable old age is not that which standeth in length of time,

    Nor is its measure given by number of years:
  - 9. But understanding is grey hairs unto men, And an unspotted life is ripe old age.
- ro. Being found well-pleasing unto God, he was beloved of him, And while living among sinners he was translated;
- rr. He was caught away, lest wickedness should change his understanding,
   Or guile deceive his soul.
- v. 2, 3°, 4, 5, 15. When they see it, they shall be troubled with terrible fear,

  And shall be amazed at the marvel of God's salvation.
  - 3. They shall say within themselves repenting, . . .
- 4. We fools accounted his life madness, And his end without honour:
- 5. How was he numbered among the sons of God? And how his lot among the saints? . . .
- 15. But the righteous live for ever,
  And in the Lord is their reward,
  And the care of them with the Most High.

As for the wicked, they will be punished with death The wicked (ii. 24); they will be bereft of hope; they will and their destiny.

suffer retribution both in this world and in the next (iii. 16, 18):-

But children of adulterers shall not come to maturity, And the seed of an unlawful bed shall vanish away.

- 17. For if they live long, they shall be held in no account, And at the last their old age shall be without honour.
- 18. And if they die quickly, they shall have no hope, Nor in the day of decision shall they have consolation.
- v. 14. For the hope of the ungodly is as chaff carried by the wind,

And as a thin froth that is driven away with the storm, And as smoke that is scattered by the wind,

And passeth by as the remembrance of a guest that tarrieth but a day.

xv. 10. His heart is ashes, And his hope of less value than earth, And his life of less honour than clay.

The time for repentance is past (v. 3); thick darkness will cover them (xvii. 21); they will be utterly destroyed (iv. 19), yet not annihilated; for they will be subject to pain (iv. 19), and be aware of Final judgment the blessedness of the righteous (v. I, 2). writer gives a dramatic representation of the final judgment in iv. 2-v. 13, but it can hardly be taken literally. The judgment of the individual sets in at death (iv. 10, 14). We have already mentioned the expectation that the righteous in Israel are to judge the nations. This seems to be a later development of the judgment by the sword frequently mentioned in previous literature (cf. Dan. ii. 44; I Enoch xci. 12, etc.). Thus the judgment 4 of the saints has become a forensic one, as that of the Messiah (cf. 1 Cor. vi. 2).

scene in iv. 2v. 13 not to be taken literally, for the individual is judged immediately after death.

# PHILO (25 B.C.-50 A.D.)

In Philo we have the chief exponent of Alexandrian Judaism. We shall only touch, however, on the main points of his eschatology. He looked forward to the return of the tribes from captivity, to the establishment of a Messianic kingdom of temporal prosperity, and even to a Messiah. loci classici on this subject are De Execrat. §§ 8, 9 (ed. Mang. ii. 435 sqq.), and De Proem. et Poen. §§ 15-20 (ed. Mang. ii. 421-428). In the former passage the restoration of a converted Israel to the Holy Land is foretold. Their captivity will be at an end in one day: "If filled with shame they change Israel on their ways with all their soul, and avow and confess be set free in with cleansed minds all the sins that they have com- one day mitted against themselves . . . then, though they be at the very ends of the earth, slaves of the foes that took them captive, nevertheless as at a given signal, they shall all be set free in one day, because their sudden change to virtue will strike their masters with amazement; for they will let them go, because ishamed to govern those who are better than themelves. But when this unlooked-for freedom has peen bestowed, those, who but a short time before vere scattered in Hellas and in barbarous countries. or islands, and continents, will arise with one mpulse and hasten from all quarters to the place and return pointed out to them, led on their way by a divine under the guidance of uperhuman appearance, which though unseen by the Messiah. ll others, is visible only to the delivered."

In the latter passage there is a description of

CHAP. VII.

the Messianic kingdom. The Messiah is mentioned as a man of war-έξελεύσεται γὰρ ἄνθρωπος,

φησίν ό χρησμός (Num. xxiv. 7), καταστραταρχῶν καί πολεμῶν ἔθνη. The inclusion of the Messiah in the Messianic kingdom in Philo's eschatology, though

really foreign to his system, is strong evidence as to the prevalence of these expectations even in

Hellenistic Judaism. Apparently he did not look forward to a general and final judgment. All enter

after death into their final abode. The punishment of the wicked was for everlasting (De Cherub.

§ 1, ed. Mang. i. 138): "But he who is cast out by God must endure a never-ending banishment;

for though the man who has not yet become the complete captive of wickedness may on repentance return to virtue as to his native country from which

he had gone into exile, he, on the other hand, who is in the grip and power of a violent and incurable

disease must bear his sufferings for evermore, and be flung into the place of the godless to endure

unmixed and unremitting misery." Even the wicked Iews were committed to Tartarus (De Execrat. § 6). As matter was incurably evil there could of

course be no resurrection of the body. Our present life in the body is death; for the body is the "utterly polluted prison" of the soul (παμμίαροι

δεσμωτήριου, De Migr. Abr. ii.; Mangey, ii. 437): nay, more, it is its sepulchre (Quod Deus immut. xxxii.); our σωμα is our σημα (Leg. Alleg. i. 33).

1 This statement goes back to the Orphic mysteries (Plato, Crat. 400 C see above, p. 146 sq).

No general judgment.

The everlasting punishment of the wicked.

No resurrection of the body.

## 2 ENOCH 1 (1-50 A.D.)

CHAP. VII.

From Philo we pass naturally to the work of another Egyptian Jew, to whom we owe the Book of the Secrets of Enoch. This interesting book, which has only come to light within the last six years, gives a long description of the seven heavens. Its writer deals with many subjects in a thoroughly eclectic spirit. As regards the duration of the The world's world, he reasons that since the earth was created history to last 6000 years. in six days, its history will be accomplished in 6000 years, evidently basing his view on the Old Testament words that "each day with the Lord is as 1000 years"; and as the six days of creation were followed by one of rest, so the 6000 years of the world's history will be followed by a rest of 1000 years. This time of rest and blessedness is the Thereupon Messianic period. Here for the first time the should follow the Millennium Messianic kingdom is conceived as lasting for 1000 or temporary Messianic years, and it is to such an origin that we must kingdom. trace the later Christian view of the Millennium. On the close of this kingdom, in which there is no Messiah, time will pass into eternity (xxxii. 2-xxxiii. 2). At the termination of the Messianic Then the final kingdom the final judgment is held, variously called judgment. "the day of judgment" (xxxix. 1, li. 3), "the great lay of the Lord" (xviii. 6), "the great judgment" lviii. 5, lxv. 6, lxvi. 7), "the day of the great judgnent" (l. 4, lii. 15), "the eternal judgment" (vii. 1),

<sup>1</sup> For further details see Morfill and Charles' editio princeps of this book. The quotations given from it are drawn from this edition.

Intermediate abodes of souls and fallen angels. "the great judgment for ever" (lx. 4), "the terrible judgment" (xlviii. 8), "the immeasurable judgment" (xl. 12). But prior to the final judgment the souls of the departed are in intermediate places. Thus the rebellious angels are confined to the second heaven, awaiting in torment the eternal judgment (vii. 1-3). The fallen lustful angels are kept in durance under the earth (xviii. 7); Satan being hurled down from heaven, has the air as his habitation (xxix. 4, 5).

All souls created before the foundation of the world. As for man, the doctrine of pre-existence is taught. The souls of men, according to our author, were created before the foundation of the world (xxiii. 5), and future places of abode have been prepared for every human soul (xlix. 2, lviii. 5). From the latter context these appear to constitute also the intermediate place for human souls. In xxxii. I Adam is sent back to this receptacle of souls on his death, and is transferred from it to Paradise in the third heaven after the great judgment (xlii. 5). Even the souls of beasts are preserved till the final judgment in order to testify against the ill-usage of men (lviii. 5, 6).

Souls of beasts to be preserved till final judgment.

Description of Paradise in the third heaven—the final abode of the righteous.

The righteous will escape the final judgment, and enter Paradise as their eternal inheritance and final abode (viii.; ix.; xlii. 3, 5; lxi. 3; lxv. 10). The description of Paradise, which is in the third heaven, is naïve and worth quoting: viii. 1. "And these men took me from thence, and brought me to the third heaven, and placed me in the midst of a garden—a place such as has never been known for

the goodliness of its appearance. 2. And I saw all the trees of beautiful colours and their fruits ripe and fragrant and all kinds of food which they produced, springing up with delightful fragrance. 3. And in the midst (there is) the tree of life, in that place, on which God rests when he comes into Paradise. And this tree cannot be described for its excellence and sweet odour. 4. And it is beautiful more than any created thing. And on all sides in appearance it is like gold and crimson and transparent as fire, and it covers every thing. From its root in the garden there go forth four streams which pour honey and milk, oil and wine, and are separated in four directions, and go about with a soft course. 6. And they go down to the 1ts connection Paradise of Eden, between corruptibility and in- with the earthly Paracorruptibility. And thence they go along the earth, dise, which was on the and have a revolution in their circle like also the confines of other elements. 7. And there is another tree, an and incorruptiolive tree, always distilling oil. And there is no tree there without fruit, and every tree is blessed. 3. And there are three hundred angels very glorious, who keep the garden, and with neverceasing voices and blessed singing, they serve the Lord every day. And I said: What a very blessed place is this! And those men spake unto me: x. This place, O Enoch, is prepared for the ighteous who endure every kind of attack in their ives from those who afflict their souls: who turn away their eyes from unrighteousness, and accomolish a righteous judgment, and also give bread to

CHAP. VII.

corruptibility

The heavenly Paradise is incorruptible. the hungry, and clothe the naked, and raise the fallen, and assist the orphans who are oppressed, and who walk without blame before the face of the Lord, and serve him only. For them this place is prepared as an eternal inheritance." lxv. 10. "And there shall be to them a great wall that cannot be broken down; and bright and incorruptible Paradise shall be their protection, and their eternal habitation. For all corruptible things shall vanish, and there shall be eternal life." xlii. 3. "I went out to the East, to the Paradise of Eden, where rest has been prepared for the just, and it is open to the third heaven, and shut from this world. 5. At the last coming they will lead forth Adam with our forefathers, and conduct them there, that they may rejoice, as a man calls those whom he loves to feast with him; and they having come with joy hold converse, before the dwelling of that man, with joy awaiting his feast, the enjoyment and the immeasurable wealth, and joy and merriment in the light, and eternal life." lxi. 3. "Blessed are those who shall go to the mansions of the blessed; for in the evil ones there is no rest nor any means of return from them."

Hell in the third heaven. Enoch's description of it. The wicked are cast into hell in the third heaven, where their torment will be for everlasting (x. 1): "And the men then led me to the Northern region, and showed me there a very terrible place.

2. And there are all sorts of tortures in that place. Savage darkness and impenetrable gloom; and there is no light there, but a gloomy fire is always

burning, and a fiery river goes forth. And all that place has fire on all sides and on all sides cold and ice, thus it burns and freezes. 3. And the prisoners are very savage. And the angels terrible and without pity, carrying savage weapons, and their torture was unmerciful. 4. And I said: Woe! woe! How terrible is this place! And the men said to me: This place, Enoch, is prepared for The classes for those who do not honour God; who commit evil whom it is prepared. deeds on earth, vitium sodomiticum, witchcraft, enchantments, devilish magic; and who boast of their evil deeds, stealing, lying, calumnies, envy, evil thoughts, fornication, murder. 5. Who steal the souls of wretched men, oppressing the poor and spoiling them of their possessions, and themselves grow rich by the taking of other men's possessions, injuring them. Who when they might feed the hungry, allow them to die of famine; who when they might clothe them, strip them naked. 6. Who do not know their Creator and have worshipped gods without life; who can neither see nor hear, being vain gods, and have fashioned the forms of idols, and bow down to a contemptible thing, made with hands; for all these this place is prepared for an eternal inheritance." xlii. 1. "I saw those who keep the keys, and are the guardians of the gates of Hell's gatehell, standing, like great serpents, and their faces were like quenched lamps, and their eyes were fiery, and their teeth were sharp. And they were stripped to the waist. 2. And I said before their faces, Would that I had not seen you, nor heard of your

CHAP. VII.

doings, and that those of my race had never come to you! Now they have sinned only a little in this life, and always suffer in the eternal life."1

No resurrection of the flesh.

The righteous are to have a heavenly body formed of the glory of God.

There is no resurrection of the flesh. This would naturally follow where the soul's pre-existence is accepted. But though there is no resurrection of the flesh, the risen righteous are conceived as possessing a heavenly body: for they are clothed with the garments of God's glory. Thus when Enoch was translated, Michael is directed by God to remove Enoch's earthly body and to give him a body composed of the divine glory (xxii. 8-10): "And the Lord said to Michael: Go and take from Enoch his earthly robe, and anoint him with my holy oil, and clothe him with the raiment of my glory. 9. And so Michael did as the Lord spake unto him. He anointed me and clothed me, and the appearance of that oil was more than a great light, and its anointing was like excellent dew; and its fragrance like myrrh, shining like a ray of the sun."2

The seventh heaven is the final abode of Enoch (lv. 2, lxvii. 2), but this is an exceptional privilege, for the final abode of the righteous is the third

heaven.

## 4 MACCABEES (before 70 A.D.)

The last Jewish book of a philosophic character with which we have to deal is that of 4 Maccabees. It is really a discourse or sermon of the Synagogue;

See also xl. 12, xli. 2.
 Cf. 1 Enoch lxii. 16, cviii. 12.

for it presumes the presence of an audience, and frequently addresses them directly (cf. i. 1, xviii. 1). This discourse constitutes an exposition of Jewish An exposition Stoicism. Its theme is announced in i. 13: "The of Jewish Stoicism. question which we have to determine, therefore, is whether the reason be complete master of the passions." So stated, the writer's fundamental idea seems to be identical with that of Stoicism. this is not so: for the reason which is to exercise supremacy over the passions is not human reason in itself, but the reason that is inspired by pietyό εὐσεβής λογισμός (i. 1, vii. 16, xiii. 1, xv. 20, xvi. 1, xviii. 2). The realisation of the Stoic ideal stoic ideal can is possible only in Judaism. For the four cardinal be realised only in Judaism. virtues of Stoicism are but forms of true wisdom which can be won only through the Mosaic Law (i. 15-18). The passions, however, are not to be Passions not to exterminated, as the Stoics taught, but to be ruled be exterminated. (i. 6, iii. 5), for they were implanted by God (ii. 21).

CHAP. VII.

Since the means of attaining such piety are furnished by the Jewish religion (v. 21, 23), only the descendants of Abraham, as members of this faith, are capable of true virtue, and are in this respect invincible. Thus the eldest of the seven martyred brothers addresses Antiochus: "By enduring all the tortures I will persuade you that only the children of the Hebrews are invincible in respect of virtue" (ix. 18).

But though descent from Abraham is emphasised repeatedly (xviii. 1, 20, 23), the value of such an ancestry is regarded as only potential in the

individual Israelite, but not actual until the individual has realised the greatness open to him. Hence the children of Abraham are exhorted to die nobly, as became them, on behalf of virtue and godliness (vi. 22, ix. 21, xiii. 12, xiv. 20, xvii. 6). "Inasmuch as they know that these, who have died on behalf of God, live unto God, as Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, and all the patriarchs" (xvi. 25). can of course be no resurrection of the body. is all the more remarkable since this discourse is founded on 2 Maccabees, which takes a very material an immortality view of the resurrection. Only a blessed immortality of the soul is taught.

Though this book is founded on 2 Maccabees, it teaches only of the soul.

> The patriarchs, even Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, will receive the faithful soul on death (xiii. 16,1 v. 37.2 xviii. 23). This expression shows us that the phrase "Abraham's bosom" was a current one, but whereas in the Gospels it is an intermediate abode, here it is heaven itself (cf. xvii. 5, 18).

" Abraham's bosom."

> The souls of the blessed will enjoy communion with God (ix. 8, xv. 2, xvii. 5). But the wicked will be tormented in fire for ever (x. 11, 15; xii. 12; xiii. 14).

<sup>1</sup> ούτως γάρ θανόντας ήμας 'Αβραάμ καὶ Ίσαάκ καὶ Ίακώβ ὑποδέξονται. 2 άγνον με οί πατέρες είσδέξονται.

## CHAPTER VIII

(60-100 A.D.)

ESCHATOLOGY OF APOCRYPHAL AND APOCALYPTIC LITERATURE DURING THE FIRST CENTURY A.D. —continued.

From our somewhat long excursion in the last chapter into Hellenistic Judaism we return once more to Judaism on its native soil, and are thereupon confronted with several works of great interest and of no small literary merit. Of these the two chief are the Apocalypse of Baruch and 4 Ezra.

# 2 BARUCH, OR THE SYRIAC APOCALYPSE OF BARUCH

This Apocalypse of Baruch was written in the latter half of the first century of the Christian era. It is thus contemporaneous with the chief writings of the New Testament. Its authors were orthodox Jews, and it is a good representative of the Judaism against which the Pauline dialectic was directed. It attests a phase of the legalistic side of Pharisaism which found such extreme expression in the much earlier Book of Jubilees.

In this apocalypse we have almost the last noble

utterance of Judaism before it plunged into the dark and oppressive years that followed the destruction of Ierusalem. For ages after that epoch its people seem to have been bereft of their immemorial gifts of song and eloquence, and to have had thought and energy only for the study and expansion of the traditions of the fathers. But when our book was written, that evil and barren era had not yet set in; breathing thought and burning word had still their home in Palestine, and the hand of the Jewish artist was still master of its ancient cunning.

This apocalypse the work of several writers, some before 70 A.D., some after.

This work, as I have shown elsewhere, was written originally in Hebrew, and is very composite.1 It embraces at least six independent constituents. Of these we shall deal first with the three fragmentary Messiah apocalypses xxvii.-xxx. 1., xxxvi.-xl., liii.-lxxiv., which are differentiated from the remaining portions of the book both in doctrine and time. These three fragmentary works were written prior to the fall of Jerusalem in 70 A.D., and teach the doctrine of a personal Messiah.

First apocalypse, xxvii.-XXX. I.

In the first of these apocalypses (xxvii.-xxx, I) there is an account of the final tribulation that is to befall the earth before the advent of the Messiah. This time of tribulation is divided into twelve parts, each of which is marked by some disaster. The duration of this period is to be "two parts weeks of seven weeks," whatever that may mean. At its The Messianic close the Messiah will be revealed (xxix. 4-xxx. 1):

period.

<sup>1</sup> See the present writer's Apocalypse of Baruch, 1896, from which the materials that follow are drawn.

"And Behemoth will be revealed from his place CHAP. VIII. and Leviathan will ascend from the sea, those two great monsters which I created on the fifth day of creation, and I kept them until that time; and then they will be for food for all that are left. 5. The earth also will yield its fruits ten thousand fold, and on each vine there will be a thousand branches. and each branch will produce a thousand clusters, and each cluster will produce a thousand grapes, and each grape will produce a cor of wine. 6. And those who have hungered will rejoice: moreover, also, they will behold marvels every day. 7. For winds will go forth from before me to bring every morning the fragrance of aromatic fruits, and at the close of the day clouds distilling the dew of health. 8. And it will come to pass at that selfsame time, that the treasury of manna will again descend from on high, and they will eat of it in those years, because these are they that have come to the consummation of time. xxx. 1. And it will come to The Messiah. pass after these things, when the time of the advent of the Messiah is fulfilled, and he will return in glory, then all who have fallen asleep in hope of him shall rise again."

With these words this short apocalypse breaks off. Its view of the temporary Messianic kingdom is very sensuous, and recalls the materialistic prosperity which marked some of the second-century representations of the eternal Messianic kingdom.1

The next apocalypse, composed of chaps. Second apocalypse

<sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 188, 189.

xxxvi.-xl., is of greater interest. It recounts a vision which Baruch saw in the night (xxxvi. 2-xxxvii.) On awaking from this vision he prayed to God to make known to him its interpretation. This interpretation we will give in the author's own words (xxxix. 3-xl. 3). xxxix. 3. "Behold! the days come, and this kingdom will be destroyed which once destroyed Zion, and it will be subjected to that which comes after it. 4. Moreover, that also again after a time will be destroyed, and another, a third, will arise, and that also will have dominion for its time, and will be destroyed. 5. And after these things a fourth kingdom will arise, whose power will be harsh and evil far beyond those which were before it, and it will rule many times as the forests on the plain, and it will hold fast the times, and will exalt itself more than the cedars of Lebanon. 6. And by it the truth will be hidden. and all those who are polluted with iniquity will flee to it, as evil beasts flee and creep into the forest. 7. And it will come to pass, when the time of its consummation that it should fall has approached, then the principate of my Messiah will be revealed, which is like the fountain and the vine, and, when it is revealed, it will root out the multitude of its host. 8. And as touching that which thou hast seen, the lofty cedar, which was left of that forest, and the fact, that the vine spoke those words with

The fourth empire = Rome.

To be destroyed by the Messiah.

> xl. "The last leader of that time will be left alive, when the multitude of his hosts will be put to the sword, and he will be bound, and they will take him

it which thou didst hear, this is the word."

up to Mount Zion, and my Messiah will convict him of all his impieties, and will gather and set before him all the works of his hosts. 2. And afterwards he will put him to death, and protect the rest of my people which shall be found in the place which I have chosen. 3. And his principate Messianic will stand for the age,1 until the world of corruption is at an end, and until the times aforesaid are fulfilled."

CHAP. VIII.

We should observe here that whereas the rôle of the Messiah in the first apocalypse is entirely passive, in this He is conceived as a warrior who slays the enemies of Israel with His own hand. Against Him all the heathen powers are arrayed under a last great leader. This leader represents the Antichrist. The principate of the Messiah is to last until the world of corruption is at an end.

The third apocalypse, consisting of chaps. Third apoliii.-lxxiv., now claims our attention. This work is calypse=liii. one of extreme value, as it is the oldest literary evidence for the fusion of early Rabbinism and the popular Messianic expectation. It has come down in tolerable preservation. It was written before the written fall of Jerusalem, and not earlier than 50 A.D. The between 50-70 A.D. means by which we determine the latter date are Means of fixing interesting. In chap. lix. of this fragment we a quo. find that a large number of the revelations and achievements, which earlier times assigned to Enoch, are here attributed to Moses. This robbing of Enoch to benefit Moses is a clear sign of

1 = εls τον alώνα (cf. lxxiii. 1).

Jewish hostility to Christianity. Enoch's acceptance amongst Christians as a Messianic prophet was a ground for his rejection by the Jews. So thoroughgoing, indeed, was this rejection, that, although he was the chief figure next to Daniel in Jewish Apocalyptic prior to 40 A.D., in subsequent Jewish literature his achievements are ascribed sometimes to Moses, as here, at others to Ezra or else to Baruch.

This aggressive attitude of the Synagogue could hardly have arisen before the Pauline controversy. The same hostility is unswervingly pursued in the Talmud, which avoids all reference to this hero of early Judaism. It is noteworthy that the Septuagint experienced somewhat similar fortunes. The fact that the Christians always made their appeal to it led to its disuse by the Jews. It is to the Christian Church that we owe the preservation alike of Jewish apocalypses and of the Septuagint.

We must now, however, return to the contents of this apocalypse. In chap. liii. Baruch receives a vision in which the history of the entire world is depicted. Since this is unintelligible, Baruch prays for its interpretation (liv. 6). In answer to Baruch's prayer the angel Ramiel, "who presides over the visions," was sent to interpret the vision. We are here concerned only with that portion of the interpretation which relates to the future (lxx. 2): "Behold! the days come," we read, "and it will be when the time of the age has ripened and the harvest of its evil and good seeds has come, that the Mighty One will bring upon the earth and upon its

Its account of the future,

rulers perturbation of spirit and stupor of heart." CHAP. VIII. When this last period has arrived, men (lxx. 3, 5) Messianic "will hate one another, and provoke one another to fight, and the mean will rule over the honourable, and those of low degree will be extolled above the famous": "And the wise will be silent, and the foolish will speak, neither will the thought of men be then confirmed, nor the counsel of the mighty, nor will the hope of those who hope be confirmed." Thereupon universal war will follow (lxx. 8, 10): "And it will come to pass that whosoever gets safe out of the war will die in the earthquake, and whosoever gets safe out of the earthquake will be burned by the fire, and whosoever gets safe out of the fire will be destroyed by famine. 10. For all the earth will devour its inhabitants." Not so, however, the Holy Land, for it will protect its own (lxxi. 1). Then (lxxii. 2) the Messiah "will summon all the nations, The destrucand some of them he will spare and some of them tion of all nations who he will slay," and (lxxii. 4) "every nation which oppressed Israel by the knows not Israel, and has not trodden down the seed Messiah. of Jacob, shall indeed be spared." "But (lxxii. 6lxxiii. 4) all those who have ruled over you, or have known you, shall be given up to the sword." lxxiii. I. "And it will come to pass, when he has brought The temporary low every thing that is in the world, and has sat Messianic period. down in peace for the age 1 on the throne of his kingdom, that joy will then be revealed, and rest appear. 2. And then healing will descend in dew, and disease will withdraw, and anxiety and anguish

<sup>1</sup> As above, in xl. 3 (see p. 273).

30

CHAP. VIII.

and lamentation will pass from amongst men, and gladness will proceed through the whole earth. 3. And no one shall again die untimely, nor shall any adversity suddenly befall. 4. And judgments, and revilings, and contentions, and revenges and blood, and passions, and envy, and hatred, and whatsoever things are like these, shall go into condemnation when they are removed." lxxiv. 1, 2. "And it will come to pass in those days that the reapers will not grow weary, nor those that build be toilworn; for the works will of themselves speedily advance with those who do them in much tranquility. 2. For that time is the consummation of that which is corruptible, and the beginning of that which is incorruptible."

The Messianic kingdom of temporary duration.

Entrance into it ethically conditioned,

but the transformation supposed by it is mechanically conceived.

In all these three apocalypses the Messianic kingdom is, as we have seen, of temporary duration, and its felicity of an earthly description. It has of course a severely ethical character. Sin and wickedness have no place therein. But, on the other hand, and this criticism applies to all Jewish representations of the Messianic kingdom, but particularly to the later, there is no adequate account given of the cause of this spiritual transformation. This transformation is brought about catastrophically and in the main mechanically. By the eternal fiat of the Almighty, sin is banished at once and for ever from the hearts of the members of the Messianic kingdom. This catastrophic change is in itself at variance with all the spiritual experience of mankind. Godlike character cannot come from without as an external gift, nor can it be won in a moment, but can only

be the slow result of the spiritual travail of the CHAP. VIII. human heart in communion with the divine.

Moreover, the hope of a kingdom whence all other who fell below a certain conventional standard mechanical features in the should be banished is the thought of men whose conception. notions of perfection were mechanical, and whose chief aspiration was not the salvation of mankind at large but that of a few individuals, whose future comfort and blessedness could only be secured through the local separation of the good and the evil. But a goodness which can only maintain itself through local separation from evil cannot be called divine. Moreover, all temporary conditions of existence in the life of moral beings such as that in the temporary Messianic kingdoms here portrayed must in their essence be of the nature of a probation, and if of this nature, then the admixture of good and evil, as in the present world, appears to be not only conceivable, but also to be indispensable for the spiritual education of moral beings. The remaining constituents of Baruch are three, Three other

which for convenience may be named B1, B2, B3. constituents in this apo-70 A.D. B1 stands by itself, and consists of i.-ix. 1; xliii.-xliv. 7; xlv.-xlvi. 6; lxxvii.-lxxxii.; lxxxvi.; lxxxvii. Its writer is optimistic, and looks forward Outlook of B1 to the rebuilding of Jerusalem (vi. 9), which has been destroyed by angels lest the enemy should boast (vii. 1), the restoration of the exiles (lxxvii. 6, Restoration of the exiles, the lxxviii. 7), the Messianic kingdom, but no Messiah Messianic kingdom, but no Messiah Messianic kingdom, but no Messiah.

(i. 5, xlvi. 6, lxxvii. 12). The future in store for no Messiah.

No hope for the Gentiles.

the Gentiles is without hope. This is no doubt due in part to the destruction of Jerusalem (lxxxii.3, 6, 7): 3. "For lo! we see now the multitude of the prosperity of the Gentiles, though they act impiously, but they will be like a vapour. . . . 6. And we consider the glory of their greatness, though they do not keep the statutes of the Most High, but as smoke will they pass away. 7. And we meditate on the beauty of their gracefulness, though they have to do with pollutions, but as grass that withers will they fade away."

B<sup>2</sup> and B<sup>3</sup> are pessimistic as to this world. No Messiah or Messianic kingdom. The world wholly corrupt: the end at hand.

The two remaining sections, B2 and B3,1 may be treated together. In these all expectations of a Messianic kingdom are absolutely abandoned, and the hopes of the righteous are directed to the immediate advent of the final judgment and to the spiritual world alone. This world is a scene of corruption; its evils are irremediable; it is a neverceasing toil and strife, but its end is at hand; its youth is past; its strength exhausted; the pitcher is near to the cistern, the ship to the port, the course of the journey to the city, and life to its consummation (lxxxv.) The advent of the times is nigh, the corruptible will pass away, the mortal depart, that that which abides for ever may come, and the new world which does not turn to corruption those who depart to its blessedness (cf. xxi. 19, xliv. 9-15, lxxxv.)

Writer of B<sup>2</sup> mainly concerned with theological problems.

Such being the views of this writer, it is only natural that his main concern is with theological

<sup>1</sup> For the contents of B2 and B3, see my Apocalypse of Baruch, pp. lxi.-lxiii.

problems and the nature of the incorruptible world CHAP. VIII. that is to be.

The world will be renewed (xxxii. 6), and in this Contrasts renewal, from being transitory and verging to its between this world and the close (xlviii. 50, lxxxv. 10), it will become undying next. (li. 3) and everlasting (xlviii. 50); from being a world of corruption (xxi. 19, xxxi. 5; cf. xl. 3, lxxiv. 2), it will become incorruptible and invisible (li. 8, xliv. 12).

Full of world-despair, the writer's regards are fixed on the last day when he shall testify against the Gentile oppressors of Israel (xiii. 3). In the meantime, as men die they enter in some degree on In Sheol there their reward in Sheol, the intermediate abode of the are preliminary foretastes of souls of the departed prior to the final judgment happiness and torment. (xxiii. 5, xlviii. 16, lii. 2; cf. lvi. 6). This intermediate place is one involving certain degrees of happiness or torment. Thus the wicked in Sheol of torment. are said to "recline in anguish and rest in torment," but the pain of Sheol is not to be compared with the torments that are to follow on the final judgment, for then the condemned "know that their torment has come and their perdition has arrived" (xxx. 5).

As for the righteous, these are preserved in Of happiness. certain "chambers" or "treasuries" which are in Sheol (4 Ezra iv. 41),1 where they enjoy rest and peace, and are guarded by angels (1 Enoch c. 5; 4 Ezra vii. 15): xxx. 2. "And it will come to pass at that time that the treasuries will be opened,

<sup>1</sup> This statement, which is based on the Latin Version of 4 Ezra, is not supported by the Syriac and Ethiopic Versions. See pp. 349, 358 note.

in which is preserved the number of the souls of the righteous, and they will come forth, and a multitude of souls will be seen together in one assemblage of one thought, and the first will rejoice and the last will not be grieved."

The resurrection.

"In what body do they

come?"

The body will be restored in the same form in which it was committed to the earth, for the sake of common re-

cognition.

The teaching of this writer on the resurrection is of great interest and value. Baruch is represented as asking God (xlix. 2, 3): "In what shape will those live who live in thy day? or how will the splendour of those who (are) after that time continue? Will they then resume this form of the present and put on these entrammelling members, which are now involved in evils, and in which evils are consummated, or wilt thou perchance change these things (i.e. man's material members) which have been in the world, as also the world?" To these questions God replies: l. 1. "Hear, Baruch, this word, and write in the remembrance of thy heart all that thou shalt 2. For the earth will then assuredly restore the dead, which it now receives, in order to preserve them, making no change in their form, but, as it has received, so will it restore them, and as I delivered them unto it, so also will it raise them. then it will be necessary to show to the living that the dead have come to life again, and that those who had departed have returned (again). 4. And it will come to pass, when they have severally recognised those whom they now know, then judgment will grow strong, and those things which before were spoken of will come." We have here, undoubtedly, a very interesting

view of the resurrection. Thus the dead will rise possessing every defect and deformity they had at the moment of death. This is the earliest appearance of a doctrine which was developed to extravagant lengths in later Judaism and Christianity.1 Thus, according to the Talmud (Sanhedrin, 90b), not only were the dead to be raised exactly as they were when they died, but there was to be a resurrection of the very clothes in which they were buried.

To return, however. When this recognition by After such the risen dead of each other is completed, the bodies bodies of the of the righteous will be transformed, with a view to righteous are to be transa spiritual existence of unending duration and glory formed. (li. 3, 7-10, 12): 3. "As for the glory of those who have now been justified in my law, who have had understanding in their life, and who have planted in their heart the root of wisdom, then their splendour will be glorified in changes, and the form of their face will be turned into the light of their beauty, that they may be able to acquire and receive the world which does not die, which is then promised to them. . . . 7. But those who have been saved by their works, and to whom the law has been now a hope, and understanding an expectation, and wisdom a confidence, to them wonders will appear in their time. 8. For they will behold the world which is

CHAP. VIII.

I Jerome taught that there would be a restoration of the bones, veins, ierves, teeth, and hair, on the ground of his false translation or Job xix. 26. From the strider dentium of the damned he infers the restoration of the eeth, and from the words capilli capitis vestri numerati sunt that of the lair. The risen, he writes, habent dentes, ventrem, genitalia, et tamen nec ibis nec uxoribus indigent. (Adv. Errores Joan. Hier. ad Pammach. Opp. ii. p. 118 sqq. See Hagenbach, History of Doctrines, ii. 91 (transl. from he German).

now invisible to them, and they will behold the time which is now hidden from them. 9. And again time will not age them. 10. For in the heights of that world shall they dwell, and they shall be made like unto the angels, and be made equal to the stars, and they shall be changed into every form they desire, from beauty into loveliness, and from light into the splendour of glory. . . . 12. Moreover, there will then be excellency in the righteous surpassing that in the angels."

Thus we see that the Pauline teaching in 1 Cor. xv. 35-50 is in some respects a developed and more spiritual expression of ideas already current in

Judaism.

 $B^3 = lxxxv$ 

In B<sup>8</sup>, i.e. lxxxv., there is the same despair of a national restoration as in B2, and only spiritual blessedness is looked for in the world of incorruption (lxxxv. 4, 5). For this world the writer urges men to prepare themselves; for here alone can such preparation be made (lxxxv. 12, 13): 12. "For lo! when the Most High will bring to pass all these things, there will not there be again an opportunity for returning, nor a limit to the times, nor adjournment to the hours, nor change of ways, nor place for prayer, nor sending of petitions, nor receiving of knowledge, nor giving of love, nor place of repentance, nor supplication for offences, nor intercession of the fathers, nor prayer of the prophets, nor help of the righteous. 13. There there is the sentence of corruption, the way of fire, and the path which bringeth to Gehenna."

4 Ezra.—From the Apocalypse of Baruch we CHAP. VIII. now turn to the sister work of 4 Ezra. Though very closely related, they have nevertheless many points of divergence. Thus, whereas the former 4 Ezra more work represents faithfully the ordinary Judaism of nearly related to Christianity the first century, the latter holds an isolated position, than the Apocalypse of and is more closely related to Christianity than to Baruch. Judaism in its teaching on the Law, on Works, Justification, Original Sin, and Freewill.1 It was no doubt

For full treatment of these questions, see the author's Apocalypse of Baruch, pp. lxix .- lxxi., 39, 92, 93.

It would be helpful to the reader to have the teaching of 2 Baruch and 4 Ezra compared. From this comparison it will appear that 2 Baruch reflects the teaching of the Synagogue after the fall of the temple, whereas 4 Ezra represents the attitude of, at all events, a certain body of the Jews. The former gloried in the Law, taught the doctrine of justification by works, and maintained man's free will in the widest sense. 4 Ezra, on the other hand, though fully appreciating the greatness of God's gift to Israel in the Law, is all the while conscious that it has served not to Israel's redemption, but to Israel's condemnation. Accordingly there is no such thing as justification by works in 4 Ezra. Likewise, he disclaims free will on the ground that an hereditary tendency to sin was created through Adam's yielding to the evil impulse within him. Hence all men had sinned and come short of the glory of God. Such admissions on the part of a Jew constitute, in fact, a confession of the failure of Judaism. We might turn aside here, for a moment, to remark that the universal sinfulness of mankind was taught by Philo, though he started from different premises. Sin, according to Philo, was congenital to every man. It was involved in his actual birth (De Vita Mos. iii. 17).

I. The Law .- From an exhaustive comparison of the passages dealing with this subject in the two books (see my edition of 2 Baruch, xv. 5 note), it is clear that the possession of the Law by Israel is not a subject of selfgratulation in 4 Ezra as it is in Baruch. In Baruch it protects the righteous [xxxii. 1), justifies them (li. 3), is their hope (li. 7) and never-failing stay (xlviii. 22, 24). Such as loved not the Law perished (liv. 14), for righteousness came by the Law (lxvii. 6). In 4 Ezra, on the other hand, man trembles before the Law; the Law bears no fruit, because of man's evil heart (iii. 20): nan needs mercy, not the award of the Law, for all have sinned (viii. 35); t has served, therefore, unto condemnation; for only a very few are saved through good works (vii. 77) or through the divine compassion (vii. 139).

II. Works .- In Baruch (see my edition, xiv. 7 note), the righteous are

CHAP. V111.

A composite

work.

owing to its Christian affinities that it won and preserved a high position in the Christian Church.

Like the Apocalypse of Baruch, it too is a composite work. I have here adopted provisionally the critical results obtained by Kabisch and Box.<sup>2</sup> Of the five independent writings which they discover

saved by their works (li. 7), are justified by the Law (li. 3); for righteousness is by the Law (lxvii. 6). Their works impart confidence to the righteous (lxiii. 3, 5). Their works avail not themselves only, but others (ii. 2). In 4 Ezra, on the other hand, the writer guards carefully against the doctrine of salvation by works, by making salvation depend on faith and works combined (ix. 7, xiii. 23).

III. Justification. - Justification by the Law is taught in Baruch but not

in 4 Ezra (see p. 39 in my edition of the former).

IV. Original Sin and Free Will .- In Baruch (see pp. 92, 93 of my edition), the doctrine of original sin is stoutly denied in liv. 15, 19. Only physical death is traced to Adam's transgression in Baruch xvii. 3, xxiii. 4, liv. 15, except in xlviii. 42. In Ezra, on the other hand, both spiritual and physical death are always traced to Adam (iii. 21, 22, iv. 30, vii. 118-121). According to Ezra there was in man, to begin with, an evil impulse (iv. 30); through Adam's yielding to this impulse, an hereditary tendency to sin was created, and the "malignant heart" developed (iii. 21, 22). This evil element having thus gained the mastery over man, only a very few are saved through mercy (vii. 139, viii. 3); hence the writer of vii. 118 naturally charges Adam with being the cause of the final perdition of man. In Baruch, on the other hand, it is bluntly declared that Adam is not the cause of man's perdition, but that each man is the Adam of his own soul (liv. 19). On the theology and eschatology of 4 Ezra the reader should consult Box's Commentary, pp. xxxiv.-lvii. Because Baruch represents in the above respects the later Synagogue, Box regards it as later in date than 4 Ezra; but this by no means follows, seeing that the severely legalistic side had had the upper hand for at least three centuries previous to this date.

<sup>2</sup> Since the first edition of the present work, a learned and excellent Commentary has been published this year by the Rev. G. H. Box, entitled The Ezra-Apocalypse, being chapters 3-14 of the book commonly known as

4 Ezra.

His critical analysis of the book, which differs only in slight details from that of Kabisch, is in his own words as follows:—

(1) S—A Salathiel-Apocalypse, which (so far as it has been utilised), is embodied in iii. 1-31, iv. 1-51, v. 13<sup>b</sup>-vi. 10, vi. 30-vii. 25, vii. 45-viii. 62,

in it, two were written before the fall of Jerusalem in 70 A.D., and three subsequently. The two former they designate respectively as an Ezra Apocalypse and a Son of Man Vision.

(a) The Ezra Apocalypse consists of chaps. iv. (a) The Ezra 52-v. 13"; vi. 13-25, 28; vii. 26-44; viii. 63-ix. 12. Its contents are mainly eschatological. The signs signs of the of the last times are recorded in great fulness (v. 1-128): "Nevertheless as concerning the tokens, behold, the days shall come, that they which dwell upon earth shall be taken with great amazement, and ix. 13-x. 57; in addition the two sections xii. 40-48 and xiv. 28-35 are probably misplaced pieces which belong to S.

(2) E-An Ezra-Apocalypse, from which iv. 52-v. 13a and vi. 13-29 are extracts. Their present position is due to R., who has also, in part, drawn upon this source in vii. 26-44 and viii. 63-ix. 12, which appear to be compilations by him.

- (3) A-The Eagle-Vision, contained (with revision by R.) in chapters xi.-xii.
- (4) M-A Son of Man Vision, contained (with much revision and insertions by R.) in chapter xiii.

(5) E2-An Ezra-Piece, contained in xiv. 1-17a, 19-27, 36-47.

(6) R. is responsible for the compilation of the Book as a whole. By means of redactional links and adjustments he has fitted the different elements of the Book together. The following passages (redactional links) are due to his hand :- iv. 52 (as for the signs concerning which thou asketh me, I may tell thee of them in part but), introducing the extract from E, which follows; v. I-13a, vi. II-12 (introducing the second extract from E, which follows in vi. 13-28); vi. 29, x. 58-59 (transition to the fifth vision); xii. 49-51 (transition to the sixth vision); xiv. 49-50 (conclusion of the Book). In the process of adjustment R. has also, apparently, misplaced certain sections of S. Besides the two sections already mentioned (xii. 40-48 and xiv. 28-35), the following also fall under this category:-v. 14-15 (cf. p. 49) and xiii. 57-58 (cf. p. 303). The following are the longer insertions, which were probably compiled in their present form as well as inserted, by R.:-iii. 32-36, vii. 26-44, viii. 63-ix. 12, and xiii. 138-24; R. is also responsible for a certain amount of revision in the fourth and following visions.

3 The following passages are taken from the Revised Version of the Apocrypha. I have introduced some emendations.

and the way of truth shall be hidden, and the land

shall be barren of faith. 2. But iniquity shall be

CHAP. VIII.

Destruction of Rome foretold.

Rome = the fourth king-dom.

Signs in nature.

increased above that which now thou seest, or that thou hast heard long ago. 3. And the land, that thou seest now to have rule, shall be waste and untrodden, and men shall see it desolate. But if the Most High grant thee to live, thou shalt see that which is after the third kingdom to be troubled; and the sun shall suddenly shine forth in the night, and the moon in the day: 5. And blood shall drop out of wood, and the stone shall give his voice, and the people shall be troubled; and their goings shall be changed: 6. And he shall rule, whom they that dwell upon the earth look not for, and the fowls shall take their flight away together: 7. And the sea1 shall cast out fish, and one whom 2 many have not known will make a noise in the night: and all shall hear his voice. 8. And the earth shall be riven over wide regions and fire burst forth for a long period,3 and the wild beasts shall change their places, and women shall bring forth monsters. q. And salt waters shall be found in the sweet, and all friends shall destroy one another; then shall wit hide itself, and understanding withdraw itself into

Wisdom shall depart from the earth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Text wrongly adds "of Sodom." But the Sea of Sodom has no fish, as Wellhausen has pointed out (Skizzen und Vorarbeiten, vii. 246).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So the text is to be emended with Wellhausen, and quam changed into

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> So the Syriac and Armenian, which found  $\chi d\sigma \mu a$ , instead of  $\chi d\sigma s$ , which the Latin implies. The Ethiopic implies  $\bar{\eta} \chi \sigma s = \text{sound}$ ; the Arabic  $= \theta a \hat{\nu} \mu a$ , a corruption of  $\chi d\sigma \mu a$ . It was Wellhausen who first recognised the soundness of the Syriac Version. The additional evidence now advanced of the Armenian, and indirectly of the Arabic, supports his view.

its chamber. 10. And it shall be sought of many, and shall not be found: and unrighteousness and incontinency shall be multiplied upon earth. 11. One land also shall ask another, and say, Is righteousness, is a man that doeth righteousness, gone through thee? And it shall say, No. And it shall come to pass at that time that men shall hope, but shall not obtain: they shall labour, but their ways shall not prosper." (vi. 21, 22): "And the children of a year old shall speak with Further signs. their voices, the women with child shall bring forth untimely children at three or four months, and they shall live and dance. 22. And suddenly shall the sown places appear unsown, the full storehouses shall suddenly be found empty." Then all who escape these evils will be saved (vi. 25-28): "And it shall be that whosoever remaineth after all these things that I have told thee of shall be saved, and shall see my salvation, and the end of my world. 26. And they shall see the men that have been Enoch and taken up, who have not tasted death from their birth: and the heart of the inhabitants shall be changed, and turned into another meaning. 27. For evil shall be blotted out, and deceit shall be quenched. 28. And faith shall flourish, and corruption shall be overcome, and the truth, which hath been so long without fruit, shall be declared."

Then the Messiah, the Son of God, shall be Revelation of revealed, and with Him certain saints (vii. 28; cf. vi. the Messiah. 26) who had been taken up alive into heaven. Here

Kingdom to last 400 years.

we have in germ the idea of a first resurrection of the saints to the temporary Messianic kingdom. This kingdom will last 400 years. The origin of this definite number is in all probability as follows. According to Gen. xv. 13, Israel was to be oppressed 400 years in Egypt. Now in Ps. xc. the writer prays: "Make us glad according to the days wherein thou hast afflicted us, and the years wherein we have seen evil." From the combination of these two passages it was inferred that the Messianic kingdom would last 400 years, as a set-off against the period of oppression in Egypt. We should compare this view with that of the 1000 years broached in the Second Enoch. At the At its close the close of this 400 years the Messiah and all men will die. And the earth will return to primeval silence for seven days. Then the judgment will follow (vii. 29-33). To this judgment of the Most High all men will rise. And then the furnace of Gehenna appear, and over against it the Paradise of delight (vii. 36). Of the day of judgment we have the following peculiar account (vii. 39-43): "This is a day that hath neither sun, nor moon, nor stars, 40. Neither cloud, nor thunder, nor lightning, neither wind nor water, nor air, neither darkness. nor evening nor morning, 41. Neither summer, nor spring, nor heat, nor winter, neither frost, nor cold, nor hail, nor rain, nor dew, 42. Neither noon, nor night, nor dawn, neither shining, nor brightness, nor light save only the splendour of the glory of the Most High, whereby all shall see the things

Messiah and all men die.

that are set before them: 43. For it shall endure as CHAP. VIII. it were a week of years. 1

(b) A Son of Man Vision.—The second inde- son of Man pendent writing embodied in 4 Ezra, and written probably before 70 A.D., is a Son of Man Vision. It consists of chap. xiii. This vision, which is recounted in vers. 2-13, tells of a Son of Man<sup>2</sup> coming in the clouds of heaven and graving for Himself a great mountain and establishing Himself thereon. And against Him there musters a multitude of men without number from the four winds of heaven. And that Son of Man repels their assault, not with spear nor instrument of war, but destroys them as it were with a flood of fire out of His mouth and a flaming breath out of His lips, and thereupon they are reduced to the dust of ashes and the smell of smoke. After the annihilation of this hostile host, there came to Him another multitude, and this multitude was peaceable. And thereupon the dreamer woke and besought of God the interpretation of the vision. And his prayer is answered in the following words (xiii. 29-36): "Behold, the days come, when the Most High will begin to

<sup>1</sup> Compare the description of the period of the last judgment in Oracula Sibyll. iii. 89-92:—

οὐ νύξ, οὐκ ἡώς, οὐκ ήματα πολλά μερίμνης, οὐκ ἔαρ, οὐ χειμών, οῦτ' ἀρ θέρος, οὐ μετόπωρον. καὶ τότε δὴ μεγάλοιο θεοῦ κρίσις ἐς μέσον ἤξει αιῶνος μεγάλοιο, ὅτ' ἀν τάδε πάντα γένηται.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 4 Ezra xiii. 2, 3: "I dreamed a dream by night: and, lo, there arose wind from the sea, that it moved all the waters thereof. 3. And I beheld ind, lo, (this wind made to ascend from the heart of the sea as it were the ikeness of a man, and I beheld, and lo,) that man flew with the clouds of leaven." The words in brackets are supplied from the Syriac Version. They were lost in the Latin through homoeoteleuton.

CHAP. VIII.

Messianic
woes.

Revelation of the Messiah.

deliver them that are upon the earth. 30. And there shall come astonishment of mind upon them that dwell on the earth. 31. And one shall think to war against another, city against city, place against place, people against people, and kingdom against kingdom. 32. And it shall be when these things shall come to pass, and the signs shall happen which I showed thee before, then shall my Son be revealed, whom thou sawest as a man ascending. 33. And it shall be, when all the nations hear his voice, every man shall leave his own land and the battle they have one against another. 34. And an innumerable multitude shall be gathered together, as thou sawest, desiring to come, and to fight against him. 35. But he shall stand upon the top of Mount Zion. 36. And Zion shall come and shall be showed to all men, being prepared and builded, like as thou sawest the mountain graven without hands."

Destruction of the Gentiles and therestoration of the ten tribes.

The first re-

And thereupon the Messiah will destroy the assailing multitudes. And after this victory He will receive back into Zion the ten tribes who had been taken captive in the time of Hoshea. On the previous history of the ten tribes here given we cannot now touch. The Messiah will be accompanied by certain Old Testament saints (xiii. 52). This is equivalent to a partial resurrection or manifestation.

There is no limit assigned as to the duration of this Messianic kingdom, but, since there is no mention of a general resurrection and final judgment, these

On the other hand, the Ezra writers hold that man CHAP, VIII. is so very far gone in original sin that his heart is Not so, say wholly wicked. Adam is the source of all our woe writers. Man (vii. 118): "O thou Adam, what hast thou done? for is predoomed through though it was thou that sinned, the evil is not fallen original sin. on thee alone, but upon all of us that come of thee."

In keeping with our writer's gloomy views of man's future is his declaration as to God's action with regard to that future. Thus he writes (vii. 70): "When the Most High made the world, and Adam and all them that came of him, he first prepared the judgment and the things that pertain unto the judgment." If we combine this statement with the fact that almost all mankind were predoomed to eternal destruction, then the object of God in creation is difficult to determine.

This subordination of all things to judgment, what can the and that a judgment at once final and all but object of creation be? iniversally damnatory, makes it, we repeat, difficult o apprehend what this writer conceived God's bject to be in making the world. In three different bassages, indeed, he declares categorically that the vorld was created on account of Israel, but, since only a handful even of Israel are saved, we must onclude that, according to this writer, God regards hese few as worth a whole eternity of pain on the art of all the rest of humanity.

Just as in the Gospels, so here the question is ut: "When shall these things be?" Indeed it said that the souls of the departed righteous in heir chambers inquire as to the time of the coming

end: "How long are we here?" When cometh

CHAP, VIII.

The end will come when the number of the elect is fulfilled.

sets in at death in Sheol.

Retribution

The spirits of the wicked will be tormented in seven ways,

the fruit of the harvest of our reward?" (iv. 35). To this the angel replied: "Even when the number is fulfilled of them that are like you." And the sins of the earth cannot delay this consummation: "Hades and (so Syriac and Ethiopic) the chambers of souls are like the womb" (iv. 41): "for like as a woman that travaileth maketh haste to escape the anguish of the travail: even so do these places haste to deliver those things that are committed unto them from the beginning" (iv. 42). But in the meantime retribution sets in immediately after death. Thus Ezra asks (vii. 75): "If I have found grace in thy sight, O Lord, shew this also unto thy servant, whether after death, even now when every one of us giveth up his soul, we shall be kept in rest until those times come, in which thou shalt renew the creation, or whether we shall be tormented forthwith." vii. 80 it is answered that the spirits of the wicked after death "shall not enter into habitations, but shall wander and be in torments forthwith, ever grieving and sad in seven ways" (vii. 81-87). 81. "The first way, because they have despised the law of the Most High. 82. The second way, because they cannot now make a good returning that they may live. 83. The third way, they shall see the reward laid up for them that have believed the covenants of the Most High. 84. The fourth way, they shall consider the torment laid up for themselves

<sup>1</sup> So the Syriac and Ethiopic. The Latin gives: "How long shall I hope in this fashion?"

events were probably regarded as still in the future, CHAP. VIII. and, therefore, as coming at the close of the kingdom.

We must now pass on to the eschatological expectations which appear in the three remaining constituents of this work. These writings belong to various dates between 70 and 96 A.D.

(c) The Eagle Vision (x. 60-xii. 35).—And first Destruction of of these we shall consider the Eagle Vision. Here fourth beast," the the destruction of Rome, which is identified (xii. 11, 12) with the fourth beast 1 in Dan. vii. 7, 8, is predicted, through the agency of the Messiah sprung from the house of David (xii. 32)—so Syrian and other versions except the Latin-who will judge that nation and destroy them (xii. 33). He will save the residue of God's people in Palestine, and will fill them with joy to the end, even the day Final judgof judgment (xii. 34).

by the Messiah.

(d) The next constituent is an Ezra fragment, i.e. xiv. 1-17<sup>a</sup>, 18-27, 36-47, which may really be a part of the Ezra Apocalypse (a) already discussed. Ezra is to be translated and live with the Messiah till the times are ended (xiv. 9): "For thou shalt be taken away from men, and from henceforth thou shalt remain with my Son, and with such as be like thee, until the times be ended."

Of the twelve times into which the history of the world is divided, ten and a half have already elapsed (xiv. 11). Great woes have already befallen, but the worst are yet to come, as the world through

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I have on an earlier page (see p. 173) called attention to this reinterpretation of Daniel's prophecy of the fourth kingdom. The writer of Ezra implies that the interpretation in Dan. vii. 23-25 is wrong.

age grows weak (xiv. 16, 17). From xiv. 9, which we have quoted above, it follows that when "the times are ended" there will be a Messianic kingdom like that in the Ezra Apocalypse discussed above (a).

There is also the same conception of the Messiah at the base of both (cf. xiv. 9 with vii. 28). Hence this fragment may belong to that apocalypse.

Legend on the burning of the Law.

In this chapter we have the strange legend that the Law was burnt on the destruction of Jerusalem (xiv. 21), and that Ezra and five others were commissioned and endowed with spiritual powers by God to rewrite the entire Law in forty days. the writer says (xiv. 42-47): "The Most High gave understanding unto the five men, and they wrote by course the things that were told them, in characters which they knew not, and they sat forty days: now they wrote in the day-time, and at night they eat bread. 43. As for me I spake in the day, and by night I held not my tongue. 44. So in forty days were written fourscore and fourteen books. 45. And it came to pass when the forty days were fulfilled, that the Most High spake unto me, saying, The first that thou hast written publish openly, and let the worthy and unworthy read it: 46. But keep the seventy last, that thou mayst deliver them to such as be wise among thy people: 47. For in them is the spring of understanding, and the fountain of wisdom, and the stream of knowledge." Of the ninety-four books referred to, twenty-four compose the Old Testament, and the remaining seventy are the non-canonical writings.

(e) The last constituent of 4 Ezra is what CHAP. VIII. Kabisch and Box call the Apocalypse of Salathiel, Apocalypse of i.e. iii. 1-31; iv. 1-51; v. 13b-vi. 10; vi. 30-vii. 25; Salathiel vii. 45-viii. 62; ix. 13-x. 57; xii. 40-48; xiv. 28-35.

Its writer is thoroughly pessimistic. He has no only a few will hesitation in answering the question propounded in the New Testament. Salvation, he holds, is for the few. This is stated in viii. 1-3: "And he answered me, and said: The Most High hath made this world for many, but the world to come for few. 2. I will tell thee now a similitude, Esdras: As when thou askest the earth, it shall say unto thee, that it giveth very much mould whereof earthen vessels are made, and little dust that gold cometh of: even so is the course of the present world. 3. There

be many created, but few shall be saved."

And elsewhere Ezra sorrowfully declares (vii. 17, 48): "And now I see, that the world to come shall bring delight to few, but torments unto many. 18. For an evil heart hath grown up in us, which 1ath led us astray from these statutes, and hath prought us into corruption and into the ways of leath, hath showed us the paths of perdition, and emoved us far from life; and that, not a few only out well-nigh all that have been created." To this God is represented as saying (vii. 51, 52): "For vhereas thou hast said that the just are not many, out few, and the ungodly abound, hear the answer hereto. 52. If thou have choice stones exceedng few, wilt thou set for thee over against them ccording to their number things of lead and clay?"

God has, according to this writer, no love for man as man, but only for man as righteous. And since only a few attain to righteousness, God rejoices over these, since they "are hard to get"; but is not concerned over the innumerable hosts that perish (vii. 59-61): "And he answered me and said, Weigh within thyself the things that thou hast thought, for he that hath what is hard to get rejoiceth over him that hath what is plentiful. 60. So also is the judgment which I have promised: for I will rejoice over the few that shall be saved, inasmuch as these are they that have made my glory now to prevail, and of whom my name is now named. 61. And I will not grieve over the multitude of them that perish; for these are they that are now like unto vapour, and are become as flame and smoke; they are set on fire and burn hotly, and are quenched."

But many, according to Apocalypse of Baruch.

How different is this view as compared with that in the Apocalypse of Baruch, where it is distinctly maintained that not a few will be saved (xxi. 11). This very different attitude of these two writers towards this question springs from their respective views on the question of freewill. The latter nobly declares (liv. 15, 19): "For though Adam first sinned and brought untimely death upon all, yet of those who were born from him each one of them has prepared for his own soul torment to come, and again each one of them has chosen for Every man the himself glories to come. . . . 19. Adam is therefore not the cause, save only of his own soul, but each one of us has been the Adam of his own soul."

Adam of his own soul.

in the last days. 85. The fifth way, they shall see the dwelling-places of the others guarded by angels, with great quietness. 86. The sixth way, they shall see the punishment that is prepared for them from henceforth.1 87. The seventh way, which is more grievous than all the aforesaid ways, because they shall pine away in confusion and be consumed with shame, and shall be withered up by fears, seeing the glory of the Most High before whom they have sinned whilst living, and before whom they shall be judged in the last times." And after the final but more judgment they will be tormented more grievously grievously after the final (vii. 84). As for the souls of the righteous, they judgment. will be allowed seven days to see what will befall The righteous will have them (vii. 100, 101). They will be guarded by angels blessedness in seven ways. in habitations of health and safety (vii. 121; cf. vii. 75, 85, 95), and have joy in seven ways (vii. 91-98): "First of all they shall see with great joy the glory of him who taketh them up, for they shall have rest in seven orders. 92. The first order, because they have striven with great labour to overcome the evil thought which was fashioned together with them, that it might not lead them astray from life into death. 93. The second order, because they see the perplexity in which the souls of the ungodly wander, and the punishment that awaiteth them. 94. The third order, they see the witness which he that fashioned them beareth concerning them, that while they lived they kept the law which was

<sup>1</sup> I have in this verse followed the Syriac Version, which is supported by the Ethiopic. The Latin is here corrupt.

given them in trust. 95. The fourth order, they understand the rest which, being gathered in their chambers, they now enjoy with great quietness, guarded by angels, and the glory that awaiteth them in the last days. 96. The fifth order, they rejoice, seeing how they have now escaped from that which is corruptible, and how they shall inherit that which is to come, while they see, moreover, the straightness and the painfulness from which they have been delivered, and the large room which they shall receive with joy and immortality. 97. The sixth order, when it is showed unto them how their face shall shine as the sun, and how they shall be made like unto the light of the stars, being henceforth incorruptible. 98. The seventh order, which is greater than all the aforesaid orders, because they shall rejoice with confidence, and because they shall be bold without confusion, and shall be glad without fear, for they hasten to behold the face of him whom in their lifetime they served, and from whom they shall receive their reward in glory." These chambers of the righteous souls are their intermediate abode: after the final judgment glory and transfiguration await them (vii. 95, 97.)

Intercession ment.

At this judgment intercession for sinners will not permitted after final judg. not be permitted (vii. 102-105). All things will then, be finally determined (vii. 113-115): "The day of judgment shall be the end of this time, and the beginning of the immortality for to come, wherein corruption has passed away. 114. Intemperance is at an end, infidelity is cut off, but righteousness is

grown and truth is sprung up. 115. Then shall no man be able to have mercy on him that is cast in judgment, nor to thrust down him that hath gotten the victory." It will be a new creation (vii. 75). With its establishment the righteous enter on their great reward. Then their faces will "shine as the sun," they will be bright as the stars (vii. 97), and beyond them (vii. 125). They will enjoy immortality (vii. 97).

The close affinity of this portion of 4 Ezra to one of the chief constituents in the Apocalypse of Baruch is manifest.

# BOOK OF BARUCH (from various periods)

This composite work has very little eschatological The Book of interest. i.-iii. 8 is undoubtedly derived from a no contribution Hebrew original, and possibly part of iii. 9-iv. 29. to our know-It is composed of at least three independent writings. eschatology. As to their dates nothing satisfactory has yet been arrived at. It is noteworthy that in ii. 17 Hades still possesses its Old Testament connotation. The eschatology of the nation is the chief theme of the last chapters. The enemies of Israel will be destroyed (iv. 25, 33). Jerusalem will be restored (iv. 19-35) and the exiles brought back (iv. 36-v.): v. 5. "Arise, O Jerusalem, and stand upon the height, and look about thee toward the east, and behold thy children gathered from the going down of the sun unto the rising thereof at the word of the Holy One, rejoicing that God hath remembered them."

CHAP. VIIL

## JOSEPHUS (37-101 A.D.)

Josephus' interpretation of Messianic prophecy as pointing to Vespasian (B.J. vi. v. 4) must be, set down to the exigencies of his position with regard to the Romans. For it is clear from Ant. The Messianic IV. vi. 5 that he looked forward to a Messianic era. As the troubles predicted by Daniel had fallen to the lot of Israel, so likewise would the prosperity (Ant. x. xi. 7). He believed in an intermediate state for the righteous. Thus in Ant. xvIII. i. 3 it is said that "souls have an immortal vigour, and that under the earth (ὑπὸ χθονός, cf. B. J. II. viii. 14, καθ' ἄδου) there will be rewards and punishments, according as they have lived virtuously or viciously in this life; and the latter are to be detained in an everlasting prison, but the former will have power to revive and live again." Here the wicked enter at once into everlasting punishment. Sheol is here hell. But the righteous rise from the intermediate place of happiness and enter into other bodies (B.J. 11. viii. 14). Such wasthe Pharisaic doctrine according to Josephus. The Essenes believed that a blessed immortality awaited the souls of the righteous (B.J. 11. viii. 11), but that

> region, full of undying torment. The above account of Pharisaic belief which we derive from Josephus may be regarded as fairly trustworthy; but that which he gives in B.J. III. viii. 5 is misleading to a high degree. There he describes the soul as a "particle of Divinity"

> those of the wicked were destined to a dark, cold

kingdom.

Sheol the intermediate abode of the righteous, but the eternal abode of the wicked.

Only the righteous attain to the resurrection.

The Essene doctrine.

(θεοῦ μοῖρα) which has taken up its abode in a mortal CHAP. VIII. body. After death the souls of the righteous "receive as their lot the most holy place in heaven, from whence, in the revolution of ages, they are again sent into pure bodies."1 For the souls of suicides the darkest place in Hades is reserved.

#### APPENDIX

### III. Development of Special Conceptions

Soul and Spirit.—There is hardly a trace of the The soul and teaching of Gen. ii., iii. on the soul and spirit in the Jewish literature of this century.<sup>2</sup> The departed identical in the non-canonical are spoken of as "spirits" in the Assumption of literature of Moses. See Origen, In Jos. hom. ii. 1. On the other hand, 2 Enoch only speaks of "souls" (see xxiii. 5, lviii. 5). Again, whereas 2 Baruch uses only the term "soul" in reference to the departed, cf. xxx. 2, 4 (li. 15), the sister work 4 Ezra uses in this reference either "soul" (vii. 75, 93, 99, 100) or "spirit," (vii. 78, 80). The Book of Wisdom, on the other hand, shows clear indications of the diction of Gen. ii., iii. Its psychology, however, is not that of Gen. ii., iii., but more nearly corresponds to the popular

spirit are regarded as this century.

1 This view is derived from Greek philosophy. See pp. 141, 142, 146, 149. <sup>2</sup> In the Book of Baruch, chaps. i.-iii. 1-8, which belong in character to the Old Testament, this teaching appears in ii. 17: "The dead that are in Hades, whose spirit is taken from their bodies." Yet in iii. 1 spirit and soul are treated as synonyms according to the popular and older view. This part of Baruch may belong to the second or first century B.C.

Even in 4 Maccabees, which is saturated with Greek philosophy, the familiar dichotomy of soul and body is the normal view of the writer (i. 20, 26, 27, 32; x. 4; xiii. 13, 14; cf. xiv. 6). As he uses also body and spirit to express the same idea, he regarded the soul and spirit as identical. See vii.

14, xii. 20.

dichotomy of man. Thus we have the familiar dichotomy of soul and body in i. 4; viii. 19, 20; ix. 15. The soul in the next life constitutes the entire personality (iii. 1). But the writer uses the term "spirit" also, and this as synonymous with "soul," as appears from a comparison of xv. 8 and xv. 16. This identity is still clearer from xvi. 14:

έξελθὸν δὲ πνεῦμα οὐκ ἀναστρέφει οὐδὲ ἀναλύει ψυχὴν παραλημφθεῖσαν.

These conclusions enable us to see that there is no trichotomy in xv. 11. "He was ignorant of him . . . that inspired into him an active soul  $(\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} v) \dot{\epsilon} v \epsilon \rho \gamma o \hat{v} \sigma a v$  and breathed into him a vital spirit"  $(\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \ \zeta \omega \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\sigma} v)$ . Here, if any difference is to be found, it is in the epithets and not in the substantives. Thus though the phraseology "vital spirit" points back to Gen. ii., iii., yet its teaching is not followed. The soul is here not the result of the inbreathing of the divine breath into the body, but an independent entity synonymous with the spirit. The fact that  $vo\hat{v}s$  (= mind) is used as equivalent to spirit or soul in ix. 15 is evidence of Greek influence.

Judgment.—This century witnesses but little change in the current beliefs on this head. There is to be a preliminary judgment in all cases where a Messianic kingdom is expected, as in the Assumption of Moses, Wisdom, and all the different constituents of 2 Baruch and 4 Ezra, save in B² and B³ of the former and the Salathiel Apocalypse of the latter. As for the final judgment, it is to be executed.

on men and angels (2 Enoch and 2 Baruch). It CHAP, VIII. is to take place at the close of the Messianic The final judgkingdom, or, where none is expected, either at the close of the close of the age (2 Baruch B2, B3), or when the kingdom: number of the righteous is completed (4 Ezra, where there was none, at Apocalypse of Salathiel). In Wisdom (?), Philo, the close of the 4 Maccabees, however, no such judgment is spoken of. Each soul apparently enters at death But none such on its final destiny (see above, pp. 305, 306). Judaism. In this last respect only is there a definite divergence from the beliefs of the preceding century, and this development is confined to Alexandrian Judaism.

Places of Abode of the Departed.—These are many in number, but have for the most part their roots in the past.

Heaven (or Paradise).—The final abode of the Heaven. righteous (Assumption of Moses x. 9; 2 Baruch li.)

Paradise.—The final abode of the righteous (2 Paradise— Enoch viii., ix., xlii. 3, 5, etc.; 4 Ezra vii. 36, 123, viii. 52).

Sheol or Hades: (a) The abode of all departed Hades.—(a) souls till the final judgment (2 Baruch xxiii. 5, abode of all xlviii. 16, li. 2; 4 Ezra iv. 41; Josephus, see above, men, with two p. 354). But Sheol thus conceived had two divisions —a place of pain for the wicked (2 Baruch xxx. 5, xxxvi. 11), and a place of rest and blessedness for

<sup>1</sup> Hades is used in its Old Testament sense as the eternal abode of souls in I Baruch ii. 17. But the first three chapters of Baruch most probably belong to the second or first century B.C.

the righteous (cf. 4 Ezra iv. 41). This latter was called the "treasuries" or "chambers" (cf. 2 Baruch xxx. 2; 4 Ezra vii. 75, 85, 95).

(b) Final abode of the wicked.

(b) Hell (4 Ezra viii. 53; Josephus, see above, p. 354).

Gehenna.—This is now generally conceived as the final place of punishment for all the wicked (Ass. Mos. x. 10; 4 Ezra vii. 36). It seems to be referred to in Wisdom (cf. iv. 19) and in 2 Enoch xl. 12, and described in this last work in x. and xli. 2.

Resurrection.—(i.) According to all the Jewish

(i.) Resurrection of the righteous only.

(a) Without a body.

literature of this century save the Apocalypse of Baruch and 4 Ezra, there was to be a resurrection of the righteous only. But this resurrection was variously conceived. (a) The Alexandrian writers, as we might anticipate, taught only a resurrection

as we might anticipate, taught only a resurrection of the soul or spirit immediately after death, as we find in Wisdom, Philo, and 4 Maccabees. The Palestinian work, the Assumption of Moses, like the earlier Jubilees, postponed this resurrection of the spirit till after the final judgment. (b) On the other hand, the resurrection of the soul or

spirit clothed in a body unlike the present ( $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$   $\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu a$ ) is set forth by Josephus as the doctrine

(b) In a spiritual body.

of the Pharisees (B.J. 11. viii. 14), or, according to 2 Enoch, clothed in the glory of God.

urrec- (ii.) But besides this spiritual doctrine of the

(ii.) Resurrection of all mankind.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That is, according to the Latin version: in inferno promptuaria. But the Syriac and Ethiopic = infernum et promptuaria.

resurrection, this century attests also that of a general resurrection-not merely a general resurrection, the resurrection of all Israel, as in the preceding two centuries, but a resurrection of all mankind, good and bad, Jew and Gentile alike. This form of the doctrine is first found in B2 of 2 Baruch xxx. 2-5, l., li.; and the Ezra Apocalypse of Ezra vii. 32-37. (iii.) But the history of the various forms (iii.) The First Resurrection. this doctrine assumed is not yet fully enumerated. The consciousness that the resurrection is a privilege of the faithful is not wholly lost, even to those who have made it the common lot of all men. Hence, at all events, in 4 Ezra vii. 28 (cp. xiv. 9), and xiii. 52, in both of which sections a Messiah is expected, the germ of the idea of a first resurrection (see pp. 133 sq., 341 sq.)—resurrection of special Old Testament heroes-is evolved. These accompany the Messiah when He comes to reign on earth.

Messianic Kingdom. - See general historical development in the first century A.D. (see pp. 298-300).

Messiah.-We remarked above that from the middle of the first century B.C. the expectation of the Messiah took such a firm hold of the national consciousness that henceforth the Messiah becomes almost universally the central figure in the Messianic kingdom. This conclusion does not seem capable of justification from the books we have above dealt with, for of these only five express this hope. But the explanation is not far to seek. Against the combination of the Messiah-hope with the national aspirations for an earthly kingdom, advocated, as

we have already seen, by the Psalms of Solomon,

a strong body of Pharisees raised an emphatic

protest. These, according to the ideal of the

CHAP. VIII. Varying attitude towards the expectation of the Messiah.

ancient Chasids, were Quietists. Their duty was to observe the law; it was for God to intervene and defend them. This standpoint is represented by the Assumption of Moses, and later by the Salathiel Apocalypse in 4 Ezra. Among the Jews of the Dispersion likewise this view naturally gained large acceptance. Hence we find no hint of it in 2 Enoch, the Book of Wisdom, and 4 Maccabees. But this opposition from the severely legal wing of Pharisaism to the Messiah-hope at length gave way, and in 2 Baruch liii.-lxxiv., i.e. A3, we have literary evidence of the fusion of early Rabbinism and the popular Messianic expectation. How widespread was the hope of the Messiah in the first century of Expectation all the Christian era may be seen not only from Jubilees (?), Philo, Josephus, and the various independent writings in 2 Baruch and 4 Ezra, but also from the New Testament and the notice taken of this expectation in Tacitus, Hist. v. 13, and Suetonius, Vesp. c. 4.

but universal in Palestine.

Messiah's reign to be of temporary duration.

Since in all cases only a transitory Messianic kingdom is expected in this century, the Messiah's reign is naturally conceived as likewise transitory.

Messiah to be of the tribe of Iudah.

The Messiah is to be of the tribe of Judah (4 Ezra xii. 32). He is to play a passive part (2 Baruch xxvii.-xxx. 1; 4 Ezra vii. 28, i.e. Ezra Apocalypse; see above, p. 341). In the former passage He is to appear at the close of the

Messianic woes; in the latter simultaneously with CHAP, VIII. the first resurrection. But more usually He is regarded as an active warrior who slays His enemies with His own hand (2 Baruch xxxvi.-xl., liii.-lxx.; 4 Ezra x. 60-xii. 35), while others again conceive Him more loftily as one who slays His enemies by the word of His mouth (4 Ezra xiii. 10; cf. Pss. Sol. xvii.).

Gentiles.—In most works written before the fall of Jerusalem only the hostile nations are destroyed (cf. 2 Baruch xl. 1, 2; lxxii. 4-6), but in later works, as 4 Ezra xiii., all are to be annihilated, save some proselytes of the Gentiles: cf. 4 Ezra iii. 36, Sibyl. Or. iv. 165-190. Only in 4 Ezra xi. 46 is there a larger hope cherished. In no case have they any hope of a future life. They either descend into sheel or Sheol, which thenceforth becomes their eternal Gehenna the final destinaabiding-place, or else into Gehenna; but if in any tion of the Gentiles. instance they are regarded as having part in the resurrection, it is only that they may be committed to severer and never-ending torment (4 Ezra vii. 36-38).

BIBLIOGRAPHY .- The bulk of the preceding four chapters is mainly based on various books edited by the present writer and referred to in the text. In addition to these and others there cited the reader can consult Lücke, Einleitung in die Offenbarung des Johannes, 1852; Hilgenfeld, Jüdische Apocalyptik, 1857; Langen, Das Judenthum in Palästina, 1866; Drummond, The Jewish Messiah, 1877; Hausrath, NTliche Zeitgeschichte, 1875-1877; Stanton, The Jewish and Christian Messiah, 1886; Baldensperger, Das Selbstbewusstsein Jesu, 1888; Deane, Pseudepigrapha, 1891; Thomson, Books that influenced our Lord, 1891; Schwally, Das Leben nach dem Tode, 1892; Briggs, The Messiah of the Gospels, pp. 1-40, 1894; Messiah of the Apostles, pp. 1-20, 1895; Salmond, Christian Doctrine of Immortality, 1896; Marti, Geschichte der Israelitischen Religion, pp. 270-310, 1897: Schurer,3 Geschichte des Jüdischen Volkes, vols. ii. and iii., 1898

#### CHAPTER IX

#### ESCHATOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT

## General Introduction—The Synoptic Gospels

WHEN we pass from Jewish literature to that of the New Testament, we find ourselves in an absolutely new atmosphere. It is not that we have to do with a wholly new world of ideas and moral forces, for all that was great and inspiring in the past has come over into the present and claimed its part in the formation of the Christian Church. But in the process of incorporation this heritage from the past has been of necessity largely transformed; it no longer constitutes a heterogeneous mass of ideas in constant flux-a flux in which the less worthy, quite as frequently as the more noble, is in the ascendant, and in which each idea in turn makes its individual appeal for acceptance, and generating its little system, enjoys in turn its little day. When received, however, within the sphere of the cosmos of Christian life and thought, all these forces and ideas gradually fall into their due subordination to its.

Incorporation of all the noblest ideas and forces of the past in the spiritual kingdom of Christ, membership of which is constituted by personal relation to its Head.

centre, and contribute harmoniously to the purpose of the whole. For the Messiah now assumes a position undreamt of in the past, and membership of the kingdom is constituted, firstly and predominatingly, through personal relationship to its divine Head.

hopes of the

In the next place, we have to remember that in the Synthesis of the teaching of Christ and of Christianity the synthesis individual, and of the eschatologies of the race and of the individual of the race, in Christianity. has at last been fully and finally achieved. We saw how Ezekiel, by a doctrine of the individual, Past attempts partly true, partly false, sought in some fashion to at forming this synthesis in effect a synthesis of the hopes of the individual and of the nation within the sphere of this life, Rise of the but naturally without success. We saw, further, doctrine of an individual imhow the individual, uplifted by the certainty of mortality. personal communion with the living God, came at last to formulate as the axiom of his spiritual experience the doctrine of a blessed immortality. God rules, he felt assured, not only in this life, but in the next; and for the man who walks with God here, there can be no unblessed existence in the hereafter. But this great truth was as yet but imperfectly apprehended. It seemed as though it were the reward which the righteous individual won by himself, and for himself, irrespectively of his brethren, and thus in this regard it was a triumph of individualism in the sphere of the highest religion as doctrine in this yet realised on earth. But this imperfect concep- form could not be final, ignortion could not long maintain itself amongst a people ing as it did the whose hopes were fixed on a national blessedness, of the kingdom.

on that coming kingdom which should embrace all

CHAP. IX.

First synthesis of the two resulting in the doctrine of the resurrection towards close of fourth century.

into its original factors about 100 B.C., when the hope of an eternal Messianic kingdom was abandoned.

Its resolution

that were fit and worthy in Israel. Hence but a short time elapsed before the hope of the righteous individual and the hope of the righteous nation, were combined in one, and thus emerged the doctrine of the resurrection, as we find in the books of Isaiah, Daniel, and Enoch, which taught that the righteous nation of Israel and the righteous individual-alike the quick and the dead-would be recompensed to the full in the eternal Messianic kingdom on earth. But the synthesis thus established as early as the fourth century B.C. hardly outlived the second, and the expectations of the individual and of the nation again took divergent paths; for the earth had at last come to be regarded as wholly unfit for the manifestation of the eternal kingdom of God, and to such a kingdom and none other could the hopes of the righteous individual be directed. The Messianic kingdom was still expected, but one only of temporary duration. Henceforth not the Messianic kingdom, but heaven itself or paradise became the goal of the hopes of the faithful in death. In this severance of the hopes of the individual and the nation true religion suffered, and individualism gained an illegitimate and regulative authority in matters of religion.

Their final synthesis in Christianity. By the Founder of Christianity, however, the synthesis of the two hopes was established in a universal form finally and for ever. The true Messianic kingdom begins on earth, and will be consummated in heaven; it is not tempor.

CHAP, IX.

ary,1 but eternal; it is not limited to one people, but embraces the righteous of all nations and of all times. It forms a divine society in which the position and significance of each member is determined by his endowments, and his blessedness conditioned by the blessedness of the whole. Thus religious individualism becomes an impossibility. On the one hand, it is true the individual can have no part , in the kingdom save through a living relation to its Head; 2 yet, on the other, this relation cannot be maintained and developed save through life in and for the brethren; and so closely is the individual life bound to that of the brethren, that no soul can reach its consummation apart.

We have above referred to the incorporation of Transformaa large body of Jewish ideas in the system of tion of the past Christian thought, and their subsequent transforma-Presence of Judaistic tion in the process. It would, however, be a serious elements in New Testaerror to assume that all ideas that were incorporated ment. from Jewish sources by all the New Testament

writers underwent an immediate and complete, or even a partial, transformation.

In the course of the preceding chapters we have, Parallel pheno-I hope, recognised that at all periods of the history Testament of Israel there existed side by side in its religion and subsequent Jewish

mena in Old history.

. Him (Matt. xxv. 37-40).

<sup>1</sup> It is temporary, according to I Cor. xv. 24-28, but not according to the later teaching of St. Paul. St. Paul's earlier epistles imply an eschatology that is in certain respects Judaistic. These Judaistic doctrines are gradually abandoned in his later epistles. See Chapter XI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This relation need not be a conscious one. All that have done good for the sake of goodness without any ulterior motive have, in reality, shown themselves to be true disciples of Christ, though they may not have known

CHAP. IX.

incongruous and inconsistent elements. Thus in every period we have, on the one side, the doctrine of God ever advancing in depth and fulness; on the other, we have eschatological and other survivals which, however justifiable in earlier stages, are in unmistakable antagonism with the theistic beliefs of their time. The eschatology of a nation is always the last part of their religion to experience the transforming power of new ideas and new facts.

The recognition of such phenomena in the religion of the past teaches us to expect the occurrence of similar phenomena in the New Testament.

The recognition of these facts is of primary importance when we deal with New Testament eschatology. In the first place, we shall not be surprised if the eschatology of the latter should, to some extent, present similar incongruous phenomena as the Old Testament and subsequent Jewish literature. And, in the next, we shall be prepared to deal honestly with any such inconsistencies. So far, therefore, from attempting, as in the past, to explain them away or to bring them into harmony with doctrines that in reality make their acceptance impossible, we shall frankly acknowledge their existence, and assign to them their full historical value. That their existence, however, in the New Testament Canon can give them no claim on the acceptance of the Church, follows from their inherent discordance with the Christian fundamental doctrines of God and Christ; for such discordance condemns them as survivals of an earlier and lower stage of religious belief.

Such survivals have no claim on the acceptance of the Church.

That certain Judaistic conceptions of a mechanical,

and unethical character have passed into the New Testament must be recognised. But since these possess no organic relation to the fundamental doctrines of Christ, and indeed at times betray a character wholly irreconcilable therewith, they have naturally no true rationale in Christianity. In Christianity there is a survival of alien Judaistic elements, just as in the Hebrew religion there were for centuries large survivals of Semitic heathenism. That Judaism should cherish many beliefs of a mechanical or even unethical character ought not to be surprising, seeing that it was false to the fundamental doctrine of monotheism. of which, nevertheless, it claimed to be the true exponent; for if monotheism were true, then Judaistic particularism was false, and God was the God and Saviour of the Gentile also. As an instance of such survivals we may adduce the Such a survival generally accepted doctrine of Hades, which is is the popular truly Judaistic. Just as the Hebrew view of Sheol, Hades, which was essentially heathen, gave way to the Judaistic view, which was partially moral, so this in turn must yield to the fully moralised and Christian conception of Hades as a place not of mechanical fixity of character, but of moral movement and progress in the direction either of light or darkness (see pp. 399, 400, 436). The doctrine of eternal damnation also is a Judaistic survival of a still more also that of an grossly immoral character. We shall do no more here than point out that this doctrine originated in Judaism when monotheism had become a lifeless

CHAP. IX.

dogma, and Jewish particularism reigned supreme, and when a handful of the pious could not only comfortably believe that God was the God of the Jew alone, and only of a very few of these, but also could imagine that part of their highest bliss in the next world would consist in witnessing the torment of the damned.

Co-existence of various stages of development in the New Testament.

Furthermore, from the history of eschatological thought in the past we shall likewise be prepared to find not only isolated religious survivals of that past in the New Testament, but also the co-existence within it of various stages of development. The New Testament writers have assimilated in various degrees, according to their spiritual intuition, the fundamental teaching of Christ, and in various degrees have applied this to the body of eschatological doctrine which they had brought with them from Judaism. That some ideas morally irreconcilable should exist in the same writer is easily conceivable. For a time the heritage of the past and the revelation of the present could in some degree exist side by side. The transformation of the former by the latter in matters of theoretical and not of practical importance must naturally be a work of time. In the Pauline Epistles we have a very instructive instance of this slow and progressive transformation, during which the great apostle passes from an eschatological standpoint largely Iudaistic to one essentially Christian.

We shall now proceed to study the fundamental teaching of Christ as set forth in the Synoptic.

Gospels. Seeing that the remaining books of the New Testament present various stages of eschatological development, we shall deal with them in the order which will best bring this fact to light.

Finally, we shall discover in the New Testament the existence of large fragments of Jewish apocalypses largely unassimilated by Christian thought. These are found for the most part in Revelation. A small Jewish apocalypse is probably also to be recognised in Mark xiii., and another in 2 Thess. ii.

 Synoptic Gospels.—These give the fundamental teaching of Christ, but leave in doubt some minor points of His eschatological doctrine.

Revelation.—This book presents Judaistic and Christian elements side by side. Its Millenarian doctrine has never been accepted by the Christian Church.

Jude and 2 Peter.

James.

Hebrews.

The Johannine Eschatology.

The Petrine Eschatology.

The Pauline Eschatology.

II. Development of special conceptions.

CHAP. IX.

## THE SYNOPTIC GOSPELS

The kingdom of heaven.

The eschatology of the Synoptic Gospels deals with the consummation of the kingdom of God, which is there set forth. This kingdom is likewise called the kingdom of heaven in the first Gospel.<sup>1</sup>

Its meaning.

This designation fittingly describes its character in opposition to the worldly and political expectations of the Jews. It was essentially the community in which the divine will was to be realised on earth as it is already in heaven, and into which the individual could enter only by abjuring all self-seeking individualism. In this aspect the kingdom appears as the common good of man.

The kingdom
= the common
good.

Further, the divine will has for its end the salvation of man. Hence the kingdom presents itself as the highest good attainable in the parables of the Hid Treasure and the Goodly Pearl. As such it embraces all goods, and first and chiefly life (Matt. vi. 33 = Luke xii. 31; Mark viii. 36, 37), that is, eternal life (Mark x. 17, 30 = Matt. xix. 16). Eternal life which cannot be enjoyed apart from the kingdom is the most comprehensive expression for the blessings of the kingdom. Hence occasionally they seem to be interchangeable terms. Thus "to have life" (Matt. xix. 16), "to inherit life" (Mark x. 17) and "to enter into life" (Mark ix. 43-45 = Matt xviii. 8, 9) are synonymous with "to inherit the

See Schwartzkopff, The Prophecies of Jesus Christ, 210, 211. Sanday
 Art. "Jesus Christ" in Hastings' Bible Dict. ii. 619. Both expressions ar probably original.
 See pp. 83-85 for a discussion of this meaning. See also pp. 134-136

kingdom" (Matt. xxv. 34), and "to enter into the kingdom" (Mark ix. 47; Luke xviii. 24 = Matt. xviii. 3). There is, however, this distinction that life is the good of the individual, but the king-Life=the good dom that of the community.1 By entering into of the individual. life the individual enters into the kingdom. We have thus the perfect synthesis of the hopes of the individual and of the divine community in Christ's kingdom of God.

But the kingdom of God presents two divergent This kingdom aspects. It is represented now as present, now as variously represented. future; now as inward and spiritual, now as external and manifest. Since some have sought to controvert the former view of this kingdom, and some the latter as existing in the Gospels, we must here examine the evidence, but as briefly as possible.

First, then, as to the actual presence of the Already prekingdom.

Christ's conception of the kingdom as already present belongs to the beginning of His ministry. Thus when the Baptist sent his disciples to Christ, and said (Matt. xi. 3), "Art thou he that should come, or are we to look for another?" Jesus answered (Matt. xi. 4-6), "Go your way and tell John the things which ye do hear and see. 5. The blind receive their sight, and the lame walk, the lepers are cleansed, and the deaf hear, and the dead are raised up, and the poor have good tidings

<sup>1</sup> The entrance into life may also be designated a spiritual resurrection. See above, pp. 133-136; see also pp. 79-81. Man can only enter into the kingdom by losing his life, i.e. dying to the old life and rising to the new.

preached to them. 6. And blessed is he, whosoever shall find none occasion of stumbling in me."

By the works thus enumerated the Baptist is to recognise that the kingdom has come. The same conclusion follows from the text of Christ's sermon in the synagogue of Nazareth, and especially from His comment thereon (Luke iv. 18, 19):—

The Spirit of the Lord is upon me,
Because he anointed me to preach good tidings to the poor:
He hath sent me to proclaim release to the captives,
And recovering of sight to the blind,
To set at liberty them that are bruised.

19. To proclaim the acceptable year of the Lord.

The comment is given in iv. 21: "To-day hath this scripture been fulfilled in your ears." In other words, the kingdom is already present, and He that fulfils it is already before them. Again, the same truth is attested in the earliest teaching ascribed to Christ by St. Mark (Mark i. 15): "The time is fulfilled, and the kingdom of heaven is at hand; repent ye, and believe the gospel."

As further evidence in the same direction should be cited Matt. xii. 28 (= Luke xi. 20): "But if I by the Spirit of God cast out devils, then is the kingdom of God come upon you." The kingdom, therefore, has already dawned, nay more, as Christ elsewhere declares to the Pharisees, "The kingdom of God is in your midst" (Luke xvii. 21).

Again, the fact that the kingdom is already present is presupposed by many of the parables. Thus in the parables of the Mustard Seed and of

the Leaven the kingdom is represented as spreading intensively and extensively. It is thus obviously conceived as present. The same presupposition underlies the parables of the Tares and of the Draught of Fishes; also that of the slowly growing seed in Mark iv. 26-29. "And he said, So is the kingdom of God, as if a man should cast seed upon the earth. 27. And should sleep and rise night and day, and the seed should spring up and grow, he knoweth not how. 28. The earth beareth fruit of herself; first the blade, then the ear, then the full corn in the ear. 29. But when the fruit is ripe, straightway he putteth forth the sickle, because the harvest is come."

The emphasis in the last lies on the gradual growth of the seed, which requires time to mature. The kingdom of God, once planted, gradually but surely will attain to its consummation by its divine indwelling powers. To this question of development we shall return later.

That the kingdom is present in some form follows likewise from Matt. vi. 33: "But seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you." vii. 13, 14: "Enter ye in by the narrow gate: for wide is the gate, and broad is the way, that leadeth to destruction, and many be they that enter in thereby. 14. For narrow is the gate, and straitened the way, that leadeth unto life, and few be they that find it." We have already seen that in some aspects "life" and the kingdom are synonymous (Matt. xi. 11, 12):

"Verily I say unto you, Among them that are born of women there hath not arisen a greater than John the Baptist: yet he that is but little in the kingdom of heaven is greater than he. 12. And from the days of John the Baptist until now the kingdom of heaven suffereth violence, and the men of violence take it by force" (= Luke vii. 28, xvi. 16). Thus certain men are said to be already in the kingdom, and this comes out still more clearly in Matt. xxi. 31: "Whether of the twain did the will of his father? They say, The first. Jesus saith unto them, Verily I say unto you, that the publicans and the harlots go into the kingdom of God before you." And in xxiii. 13: "But woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! because ye shut the kingdom of heaven against men: for ye enter not in yourselves, neither suffer ye them that are entering in to enter."

Elsewhere we remember a certain scribe is declared to be not far from the kingdom of heaven (Mark xii. 34), and that "No man, having put his hand to the plough, and looking back, is fit for the kingdom of God" (Luke ix. 62).

Kingdom already present in its essence. From the above evidence we may beyond all reasonable doubt conclude that the kingdom of heaven was in some form conceived to be actually present.

Yet still future as regards its realisation. On the other hand, as the kingdom, according to Jesus' conception of it, could only be truly realised in its completed form, in this sense the kingdom is still conceived as in the future.

But this future may be conceived in two aspects:

either as one brought about in the course of development according to the ordinary laws of spiritual This future Possibly Matt. vi. 10: "Thy kingdom to be brought about gradugrowth. come. Thy will be done, as in heaven, so on earth," ally in the nay be interpreted in this way.

CHAP. IX. course of development.

But the future kingdom is almost universally re- or suddenly garded in the Synoptic Gospels as introduced eschato- God Himself. ogically by God Himself. It is to this kingdom hat reference is made (Matt. xxvi. 29): "But I say into you, I will not drink henceforth of this fruit of the rine, until that day when I drink it new with you in ny father's kingdom." In Mark ix. 1: "And he aid unto them, Verily I say unto you, There be some here of them that stand by, which shall in no wise aste of death, till they see the kingdom of God come vith power" (= Luke ix. 27). Likewise in Luke xiii. 8, 29: "There shall be the weeping and gnashing of teeth, when ye shall see Abraham, and Isaac, and acob, and all the prophets, in the kingdom of God, nd yourselves cast forth without. 29. And they hall come from the east and west, and from the orth and south, and shall sit down in the kingdom f God" (= cf. Matt. viii. 11); and in Luke xiv. 15: And when one of them that sat at meat with him eard these things, he said unto him, Blessed is he hat shall eat bread in the kingdom of God." In hese passages the kingdom is expressly conceived s future and still to be realised. This future kingom is contrasted with the present in Mark ix. I. or its advent is to be "with power."

Having now recognised the existence of these

CHAP. IX. Relations of these two conceptions to each other. Their chrono-

According to all the past the kingdom comes with the Messiah. This is also the teaching of the Gospels.

two conceptions of the kingdom as already present and as still future in the Synoptics, we have next to inquire their relations, chronological and otherwise. As regards the former there cannot be any reasonlogical relation. able doubt that the conception of the kingdom as already present is the earlier. With the Messiah came simultaneously the Messianic kingdom or the kingdom of God. Such is clearly the teaching of the Gospels, and such, in fact, had been the universal expectation of the Jews in the past. So far, therefore, both expectation and fulfilment were in harmony. The harmony, however, was only superficial. The inward and spiritual character of the kingdom established by Christ was at absolute variance with the outward and materially glorious kingdom hoped for by the Jews.

Christ preached the kingdom as already present from the outset,

To return, however, to the chronological relations of the present and the future kingdom, we have already seen that Christ spoke of the kingdom as The kingdom of God had present from the outset. essentially in His person already become a present kingdom on earth.

At the outset of His ministry he had, we can hardly doubt, hoped to witness the consummation of this kingdom without passing through the gates of death. But the accomplishment of His task was dependent on the conduct of the people. In the earlier days when His preaching was received with enthusiasm and the nation seemed to be pressing into the kingdom of God, His teaching dwells mainly on the present kingdom of God on earth.

The possibility, therefore, of its consummation hrough a natural development seemed a natural xpectation.

CHAP. IX.

But when the temper of the people changed and but when His His rejection and death appeared as an inexorable death appeared inevitable, He ecessity, He began to speak of the future kingdom. began to speak of it also as He never relinquished, indeed, the thought of the future. resent kingdom, but whilst holding it fast, He saw hat, if it were ultimately to prevail, it must receive s consummation in the future by the direct interention of God, or rather by His own return to judge he world.

death appeared began to speak

In this way, then, the two conceptions of the Organic relaingdom appear to be related chronologically. That two concepney are also organically connected is obvious. 'hus both views of the kingdom are put forward one and the same statement by Christ in Mark . 15: "Verily I say unto you, Whosoever shall not eceive the kingdom of God as a little child, he shall no wise enter therein" ( = Luke xviii. 17; cf. Matt. viii. 3, 4). These words declare that entrance into ne future kingdom of God is dependent on a man's ght attitude to the present kingdom of God. In ie course of a truly ethical development the latter ecomes the parent of the former, and the kingdom f heaven, now founded spiritually in weakness and secret, will, through the infinite toil of God and an, issue in the completed kingdom of God, which the perfect expression of the divine goodness and uth, having for its scene a new heaven and a new arth.

tion of these

We are thus introduced to the eschatologica side of Christ's teaching of the kingdom. We have now to deal with the various events which will ushe in this kingdom. These are, (a) The Parusia, or Second Advent, (b) The Final Judgment, (c) The Resurrection and the consummation of all things.

Parusia or Second Advent

first foretold in connection with His approaching death.

(a) The Parusia, or Second Advent.—As the kingdom of God owed its foundation to the divine mission of the Messiah, so also it will owe to Hin its consummation. The prophecy of His second coming appears in connection with His first mention of His approaching death. Having foretold Hi death in Mark viii. 31, He speaks of His return is viii. 38 (Mark viii. 31): "And he began to teach ther that the Son of man must suffer many things, and be rejected by the elders, and the chief priests, an the scribes, and be killed, and after three days ris again. . . . 38. For whosoever shall be ashamed of me and of my words in this adulterous and sinft generation, the Son of man also shall be ashame of him when he cometh in the glory of his Fathe with the holy angels" ( = Matt. xvi. 27 = Luke ix. 26 This coming will take place at the close of th age (συντέλεια τοῦ αἰῶνος), (Matt. xiii. 39, 40, 49 xxiv. 3; xxviii. 20).

In regard, however, to the manner and time of the second Advent the Gospels present us with two somewhat conflicting accounts, which we shall discuss in turn.

Manner of the Advent. Conflicting accounts.

First as regards the manner. If the preser text of the Gospels is trustworthy, we have tw

mutually exclusive accounts. On the one hand the Advent will take the world by surprise. This expecta- (i.) It was to tion is inculcated in the parable of the Waiting take the wo Servants (Mark xiii. 33-36; Matt. xxiv. 42-44; Luke kii. 35-40), which concludes with the words (Mark xiii. 35-37): "Watch therefore: for ye know not when the lord of the house cometh, whether at even, or at midnight, or at cock-crowing, or in the mornng; 36. Lest coming suddenly he find you sleeping. 37. And what I say unto you I say unto all, Watch."

Also in the parable of the Ten Virgins (Matt. xxv. 1-12), which closes with the admonition, "Watch herefore, for ye know not the day nor the hour"; and in the eschatological account of the days of Noah and Lot (Matt. xxiv. 37-41 = Luke xvii. 26-36). This doctrine of His return at an unlooked-for hour roes back undoubtedly to Christ. It belongs to the various contexts in which it occurs, and it forms the notive of several of the undisputed parables.

Since this conclusion may be taken as beyond (ii.) It was to he range of doubt, we must regard with suspicion unmistakable he conflicting view which is given in Mark xiii. = Matt. xxiv. = Luke xxi.), according to which the concilable with second Advent is to be heralded by a succession and therefore of signs which are unmistakable precursors of its oppearance, such as wars, and earthquakes, and amines, the destruction of Jerusalem, and the like.

And this suspicion is justified when we proceed The source of o examine St. Mark xiii.; for it presents a very xiii.) suspicious composite appearance. Thus (a) in ver. 29: "When

signs.

This view irresuspicious.

ye see these things come to pass, know ye that it is nigh, even at the doors," the expression "these things" can only denote the signs which announce the parusia, and not the parusia itself, whereas its position in the text requires us to refer it to the actual parusia and the events accompanying it, and not to the signs which precede it. In the next verse (ver. 30) the same expression "these things" rightly refers to the parusia, and not to the signs of it. (b) In the next place the term "end" has an eschatological meaning in ver. 7, but its ordinary meaning in ver. 13. (c) Again, in ver. 30 it is declared with all emphasis that this generation shall not pass away till all these things be fulfilled, whereas two verses later we have an undoubtedly original declaration of Christ in essential contradiction with it: "Of that day or of that hour knoweth no one, not even the angels in heaven, neither the Son, but the Father." (a) The words "let him that readeth understand" indicate that this prediction appeared first not in a spoken address but in a written form. For a good parallel cf. Rev. xiii. 18.

But these considerations when followed up only lead to the recognition of still wider divergencies in thought and statement within this chapter. Thus it appears that there are two originally independent series of sayings worked together by the Evangelist in this chapter. Whether both these series of sayings are to be traced back to Christ we shal inquire presently.

Of these two one deals with the persecutions

Two independent series of sayings worked up in Mark xiii.

hich will befall the disciples of Christ in reference their faith at the hands of the Jews. From such One series aims ersecutions no promise of deliverance is given. 'hey are to endure them unto the end (ver. 13), ven unto death (ver. 12); only so can they attain tion, and nto salvation.

But the thoughts and purpose of the other series faithful despite f sayings in this chapter are absolutely different. The other conhe woes predicted here have no relation to the sists of a series isciples or their faith. They consist of wars and ing no direct umines and convulsions of nature. The prediction disciples. f the chief calamity, namely, the destruction of the destruction erusalem, has only an indirect reference to the of Jerusale hristians in so far as it secures them from personal securing men articipation in its fall. Further, it is declared that death. n account of the elect God will shorten these days, lse should no flesh be saved. Thus whereas in ne former source only security against spiritual estruction is promised, in the latter protection gainst temporal disaster is assured. And whereas ithfulness unto the death of the body is required om the disciples in the one source, in the other ney are exhorted to pray that the attack on erusalem, which is the beginning of the end, may ot be in the winter, lest they should suffer bodily iscomfort from the cold!

These and other considerations therefore call The removal r the removal of vers. 7, 8, 14, 17-20, 24-27, 30, passages refrom their present context. By this removal stores harmony armony is restored to the text, and the passages which constitute in themremoved constitute a very short though complete selves an

CHAP. IX. at strengthening the faith of the disciples amid persecupromises spiritual salvation to the of woes havrelation to the In predicting of Jerusalem against bodily

to the text,

CHAP. IX. independent apocalypse in three Acts. Act i. = The travail pains of the Messiah. apocalypse, with its three essential acts, namely Act i., consisting of verses 7, 8, which enumerate the woes heralding the parusia:-

7. "And when ye shall hear of wars and rumours of wars,1 be not troubled: these things must needs come to pass; but the end is not yet 8. For nation shall rise against nation, and kingdon against kingdom2: there shall be earthquakes3 ir divers places; there shall be famines:4 these things are the beginning of travail." Act ii., verses 14-20 which describe the actual tribulation or θλίψις: 14 "But when ye see the abomination of desolation standing 5 where it ought not (let him that readeth understand), then let them that are in Judæa flee unto the mountains: 15. And let him that is on the housetop not go down, nor enter in, to take any thing out of his house: 16. And let him that is it the field not return back to take his cloke. But woe to them that are with child and to then that give suck in those days! 18. And pray ye

Act ii. = The actual tribulation.

<sup>2</sup> Universal and civil wars were to be a sign of the end (2 Baruch xlviii 32; lxx. 2, 3, 8).

3 Earthquakes, according to the popular expectation, were to precede th end (2 Baruch xxvii. 7; 1xx. 8; 4 Ezra ix. 3).

Famines were to be a sign of the last times (2 Baruch xxvii. 6; lxx. 8

cf. 4 Ezra vi. 22).

<sup>1</sup> According to Jewish Apocalyptic, wars were to precede the advent c the Messiah or of the kingdom. These were part of the travail pains of th Messiah (חבלי הפשה)—an expression derived ultimately from Hos. xiii. 13 See Jub. xxiii. 13 sqq. (quoted above on pp. 237-238), (2 Baruch xxvii. 2-5 xlviii. 32, 34, 37; lxx. 2, 3, 6, 7; 4 Ezra v. 9; vi. 24).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The phrase "abomination of desolation," owing to its use in Dan. in 27, xi. 31, xii. 11, had probably become proverbial. It occurs in 1 Mac i. 54, and is implied in vi. 7, where it refers to the altar set up by Antiochi to Olympian Zeus in the place of the altar of burnt offering. This phrase i the original apocalypse referred probably to the apprehension of a similar ou rage at the hands of the Romans.

that it be not in the winter. 19. For those days shall be tribulation, such as there hath not been the like from the beginning of the creation which God created until now,1 and never shall be. 20. And except the Lord had shortened the days, no lesh would have been saved: but for the elect's sake, whom he chose, he shortened the days."2 Act iii., verses 24-27, describing the actual parusia: Act iii. = The But in those days, after that tribulation, the sun shall be darkened, and the moon shall not give ier light, 25. and the stars shall be falling from leaven, and the powers that are in the heavens hall be shaken.3 26. And then shall they see the Son of man 4 coming in clouds with great power nd glory. 27. And then shall he send forth the ngels, and they shall gather together his elect

1 "Tribulation such as there hath not been," etc. This is a stock eschatogical expression. It is first found in Dan. xii. 1; then in 1 Macc. ix. 27; ext in Ass. Mos. viii. 1, and subsequently in Rev. xvi. 18.

<sup>2</sup> This idea of the shortening of the days is found in Jewish apocalypses. hus in 2 Baruch lxxxiii. 1-4: "The Most High will assuredly hasten his mes, and he will assuredly bring on his hours. And he will assuredly dge those," etc. Cf. xx. 1; liv. 1; 4 Ezra iv. 26. Further, that Mark xiii. reproduces a current tradition has been shown by Bousset (The Antichrist egend, 218, 219). The shortened period is three and a half years (= the halfeek of years in Daniel?). Cf. the Pseudo-Johannine Apocalypse, 8, τρία η έσονται οι καιροι έκεινοι, και ποιήσω τὰ τρία έτη ώς τρεις μήνας και τους τρεις νας ως τρείς έβδομάδας και τὰς τρείς έβδομάδας ως τρείς ημέρας κτλ.

3 The expressions in these two verses touching the sun, moon, and stars are niliar from the Old Testament onward. Cf. Is. xiii. 10; Ezek. xxxii. 7; el ii. 31, iii. 15; Ass. Mos. x. 5; Rev. vi. 12.

4 In Matt. xxiv. 30 we have an amplification of this verse: "And then shall pear the sign of the Son of man in heaven, and then shall all the tribes the earth mourn, and they shall see the Son of man coming in the clouds heaven." The expression "sign of the Son of man" is unknown in wish Apocalyptic and in Early Christian. It may have arisen from corruption of את into הא (=sign) in יֵרַאָה אֶת־בָּניהָאָדָס. Hence we should id "then shall appear (or be revealed) the Son of man," etc. ocalypse, like 4 Ezra, Apocalypse of Baruch, etc., was probably composed Hebrew.

CHAP, IX

from the four winds, from the uttermost part of the earth to the uttermost part of heaven." On this apocalypse follows a short appendix (vers. 30, 31): "Verily I say unto you, This generation shall not pass away, until all these things be accomplished. Heaven and earth shall pass away: but my words shall not pass away."

Now with Colani (Jésus Christ et les Croyances Messianiques de son Tomps, 1864, pp. 201 sqq.), Weiffenbach (Der Wiederkunftsgedanke, 90-192) Weizsäcker, Wendt (Lehre Jesu, i. 12-21), Balden sperger, H. J. Holtzmann (NTliche Theol. i 327; Hand - Commentar Synoptiker, 257 - 262) Bousset (The Antichrist Legend, p. 165), and others we may very reasonably assume that this apocalypse is not derived from Christ, but is a Christian adaptation of an originally Jewish work, writter 67-68 A.D. during the trouble preceding the fal of Jerusalem. In favour of this hypothesis I may call attention to the parallels from Jewish apocalyptic writings which I have given in the footnotes on the preceding pages. Furthermore, its identification of the coming destruction of Jerusalem with th parusia is contrary to the universal practice c Christ elsewhere.1 Christ often prophesies Hi parusia in connection with His death and resurrectio (Matt. x. 23; Mark ix. 1, xiv. 62), but the destruc

<sup>1</sup> The combination of the judgment of Jerusalem and the parusia in Ma xxiii. 35-39 is not original: for the last two verses which speak of t parusia were uttered on another occasion, as is manifest from Luke xiii. 3 Matt. xxii. 7 is an addition of the Evangelist, as appears from the paral passage in Luke xiv. 21.

tion of Jerusalem invariably by itself (Luke xix. 41-44, xxiii. 28-30; see Schwarzkopff, Prophecies of Jesus Christ, p. 254).

CHAP. IX.

Hence in our account of the eschatology of the Hencethis Jew-Synoptic Gospels we shall not base our statement apocalypse on the Jewish-Christian apocalypse as it appears be cited as an in Mark xiii. or in Matt. xxiv. 6-8, 15-22, 29-31, 34, 35; Luke xxi. 9-11, 20-28, 32, 33. It is probably the oracle (?) referred to by Eusebius (Hist. Eccl. That such oracles were in circulation before the fall of Jerusalem is clear from the statement of Josephus.1

ish-Christian authority.

Thus from the above investigation it appears hat as regards the manner of the parusia it was The parusia o be sudden and unexpected, whether early or late Mark xiii. 35; Luke xii. 35-46; Matt. xxv. 1-13).

was, whether early or late, to be unexpected.

Certain signs indeed were to precede it, such as Certain signs, persecution of the disciples and their condemnation but not of a definite charpefore Jewish and heathen tribunals (Mark xiii. 9-13). This persecution, moreover, was conceived as lasting ontinuously from the founding of the Church to he parusia. The experience of Christ was to be ikewise that of His disciples (Matt. x. 24, 25; John v. 20).

acter, were to

Persecution, indeed, was to be a true mark of Persecution tohe faith. The preaching of Christ's message would true mark of nevitably lead to it (Mark iv. 17 = Matt. xiii. 21; Matt. x. 23): the world which persecuted Him would

Bell. Jud. IV. vi. 3: "There was a certain ancient oracle of those men he Zealots) that the city should then be taken and the sanctuary burnt, by ght of war, when a sedition should invade the Jews, and their own hand sould pollute the temple of God."

also persecute His servants (Matt. x. 24, 25; John xv. 20). Nevertheless, by enduring such persecutions faithfully unto death, they should testify to Christ throughout Israel in Palestine and the Dispersion, and this testimony would not be completed till their Master's return (Matt. x. 23). But of that day and of that hour knew no man, not even the Son (Mark xiii. 32). Thus we observe that no definite sign of the parusia is given, such as we find abundantly in the Jewish apocalypses and in the small Jewish-Christian apocalypse we have just dealt with.

The time of the Advent.

During the existing generation.

Having thus shown that the teaching of Christ as to the manner of the Advent is that it will be unexpected, we have now to study His declarations as to its time. That Christ expected to return during the existing generation is proved beyond question by such statements as Mark ix. 1: "And he said unto them, Verily I say unto you, There be some here of them that stand by, which shall in no wise taste of death till they see the kingdom of Goo come with power" (= Matt. xvi. 28 = Luke ix. 271) Thus Christ expected to return before all His disciple had passed away, and this expectation is furthe attested in Matt. x. 23: "But when they persecute you in this city, flee unto the next: for verily I say unto you, Ye shall not have gone through the citie of Israel, till the Son of man be come."

Probably the same thought underlies Mark xiv

<sup>1</sup> Matt. xvi. 28 reads "Son of man" instead of "kingdom of God," by wrongly, since Luke supports Mark.

CHAP, IX.

62: "And Jesus said, I am: and ye shall see the Son of man sitting at the right hand of power, and coming with the clouds of heaven." The circumstance, too, that, whilst the persecution and violent death of the disciples are frequently foreshadowed (Mark viii. 34, 35, xiii. 12, 13; Matt. x. 28, 29) there is hardly a reference to their natural death,1 is to be explained from this expectation. In view of the quickly approaching parusia natural death came hardly within the purview of practical life. If we go outside the Gospels we find the attestation of this hope universal. For there is not a single writer of the New Testament, as we shall see in the sequel, who does not look forward to the personal return of Christ in his own generation. And what the New Testament taught, all primitive Christendom believed, and fashioned its practical life in accordance with this hope.

We conclude, therefore, that according to the teaching of Christ the parusia was to be within the current generation.

We must, accordingly, admit that this expectation This expectaof Christ was falsified.<sup>2</sup> But the error is not material. but the error It is in reality inseparable from all true prophecy. For the latter, so far as relates to fulfilment, is always conditioned by the course of human development. Herein lies the radical difference between Apocalyptic and Prophecy. The former determines

immaterial.

Only in Luke xii. 15-21, xvi. 19-31.

<sup>2</sup> It is also to some extent neutralised by the recognition of the possibility of a long period of historical development (see below, pp. 389-391).

mechanically the date of consummation of a certain

process, irrespective of human conduct, the latter determines only the ultimate certainty of that con-

CHAP. IX.

summation. Moreover, Old Testament prophecy, and likewise Jewish Apocalyptic, represent the consummation of the kingdom as following immediately on its establishment. Thus all the past gave its suffrage to Christ's expectation. Furthermore, as Christ was convinced that all the prophecies of the Old Testament were fulfilled in Him, and that the age introduced by Him was final and ultimate as regards things religious and spiritual, the expectation was in the highest degree natural that this age would be final and ultimate in a temporal sense also. But whereas the fact that the kingdom should be consummated was a matter of transcendent importance, the time of that consummation had no immediate significance, religious or spiritual. Provided with all knowledge that was needful for His vocation, Christ yet confessed that the knowledge of this date had been expressly withheld (Mark xiii. 32): "But of that day or that hour knoweth no one, not even the angels in heaven, neither the Son, but the Father." By His unique and perfect

The time of the consummation of the kingdom of no spiritual significance.

development of His time.

Having now shown that the second Advent was expected to occur within the current generation, and

communion with God He possessed an independent and authoritative judgment in things essentially spiritual and religious, but not in other spheres. In the latter He was dependent on the thought and

Though the parusia was expected

also sought to explain the genesis of this misapprehension, we must not fail to observe the fact that within the account was taken by Christ of the process of human present generation, yet the development which must run its course before that doctrine of development Of such a gradual evolution of the within that kingdom there is hardly a trace in preceding Jewish Phasised, literature: the consummation of the kingdom was to be catastrophic, not gradual.

The kingdom must spread extensively and intensively: extensively till its final expansion is out of all proportion to its original smallness (cf. the parable of the Mustard Seed); intensively till it transforms and regenerates the life of the nation and of the world (cf. the parable of the Leaven, Matt. xiii. 31, 32).

This process has its parallel in the growth of a grain of corn, where there is "first the blade, then the ear, then the full corn in the ear." Then, when the fruit is ripe, cometh the harvest (Mark iv. 26-29).

This representation of the future presupposes a and likewise lengthened period of development. It no less than of its continuthe former goes back to Christ. The contingency that period acthat the former view, which is derived from Old knowledged-Testament prophecy might not be realised, is acknowledged in Matt. xxiv. 48; Luke xii. 45; also in Mark xiii. 35, where the possibilities of an indefinitely long night of history preceding the final advent is clearly contemplated.

The preaching of the Gospel must extend to the supposed by Gentiles also. This is the presupposition of the the Gentiles parables above cited. Christ's conception of the implied in the parables,

such a continuance pre-

kingdom of God is essentially a development of the large prophetic doctrine which emanated from Jeremiah and the later prophets of his school, just as the narrow particularism of the Pharisees was the legitimate offspring of Ezekiel's teaching and that of his successors.

In the chapters on the Old Testament we showed the steady trend towards universalism in Jeremiah and his spiritual successors. The movement then inaugurated finds in Christ's conception of the kingdom its highest consummation. For the qualifications for entrance into this kingdom as enumerated in the Sermon on the Mount are purely ethical and spiritual. Thus the difference between Jew and Gentile is implicitly and essentially abolished. The religion of the kingdom cannot be other than the religion of the humanity at large. Thus monotheism attains at last to its full rights in Christianity; for monotheism and universalism are correlative conceptions.

and by the Sermon on the Mount.

Destination of Gospel for the Gentiles belongs to Christ's later teaching. But it is not improbable that the wide unqualified destination of the Gospel for the Gentiles belongs to Christ's later teaching. At all events, a higher position is assigned to the Jews in the earlier period. The strong words preserved in Matt. xv. 24 point in this direction: "I was not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel." Also in ver. 26 the Jews are called the children of the kingdom: "And he answered and said, It is not meet to take the children's bread and cast it to the dogs." But, later, after His rejection by the majority of the people, and

His experience of the faith of many non-Israelites, such as that of the Syrophenician woman, the grateful Samaritan, and the Roman Centurion, His attitude towards His countrymen changed. The kingdom, He declared unto them, would be taken from them, and given to others, who would bear appropriate fruits (Mark xii. 9; = Matt. xxi. 40, 41). The invitation of the Gentiles into the kingdom is thus foreshadowed in Matt. xxii. 8, 9, where in the parable of the Wedding Feast the king commands his servants: "The wedding is ready but they that were bidden were not worthy. Go ye therefore into the partings of the highways, and as many as ye shall find, bid to the marriage feast. And those servants went out into the highways and gathered together all as many as they found, both bad and good: and the wedding was filled with guests" (Luke xiv. 22-24). Jerusalem itself, as we learn from other passages, would be destroyed (Mark xiv. 58; Luke xiii. 3-5, xix. 41-44.

Thus though the destination of the Gospel to the Gentiles is undeniable, yet Christ gave no No pronouncepronouncement as to the manner in which the ment as to manner in Gentile should enter the kingdom, and the mutual which Gentiles were to be relation in which Jew and Gentile should stand admitted into the kingdom. within it. In the absence of such a pronouncement, the Apostles naturally inferred that the kingdom could only be realised under the forms of the Jewish Theocracy, and that therefore circumcision was obligatory on all Gentiles that wished to become members of the Christian Church. How this

CHAP. IX.

misconception was removed later, in some degree through the revelation to Peter, but mainly through that to Paul, it is not our duty to recount here.

Christ being the realisation of God's righteousness, man's relation to Christ determines his relation to God. Hence Christ is also the Judge of men.

(b) The Final Judgment.—Seeing that in Christ the righteousness of God was realised, it follows that a man's relation to Christ's person (Matt. xi. 27) determines likewise his relation to God. Thus Christ, the Saviour of men, becomes, of necessity, the Judge of men. Further, being the Mediator of God's righteousness and love to man, He is necessarily the Mediator of God's judgment on man, which is one of justification or condemnation according to man's attitude to the revelation so mediated.

Christ's judgment in the present. Christ's judgment, moreover, is both present and future. He is the Mediator of God's continuous and present judgment on the conduct of men. He will be the Mediator of the final judgment of God in the consummation of the world. All things, Christ declares, relating to the kingdom have been delivered into His hands by the Father (Matt. xi. 27 = Luke x. 22). As the Mediator of divine judgment in the present, He forgives sin (Mark ii. 5 = Matt. ix. 2 = Luke v. 20, vii. 48, etc.) He denounces unbelieving cities (Matt. xi. 21-24, xxiii. 37, 38 = Luke x. 13-15, xiii. 34, 35, xix. 41-44), and breaks up the most intimate bonds of social life because founded on a false peace (Matt. x. 34-37).

Final judgment to be at the parusia. The final judgment is to be executed at the parusia. After the manner of the Old Testament it

is called "that day" (Matt. vii. 22): "Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, did we not prophesy in thy name, and by thy name cast out devils, and by thy name do many mighty works?" (Matt. xxiv. 36; Luke vi. 23, x. 12, xxi. 34).

Sometimes it is God who is represented as the Judge of the world. This view appears several times in the Sermon on the Mount, Matt. vi. 4, 6, 14, 15, 18; also in Matt. xviii. 35: "So shall also thy heavenly father," etc. x. 28. "Be not afraid of them which kill the body but are not able to kill the soul; but rather fear him which is able to destroy both soul and body in Gehenna (= Luke xii. 5; see also Luke xviii.) But more usually it is Christ that discharges the duties of Judge.

Just as Christ's judicial action when on earth took various directions, so His part in the final judgment is variously described. In some passages, In some passas Mark viii. 38 = Luke ix. 26, Christ apparently ages Christ appears only claims no more than a paramount influence in the as exercising a paramount judgment when He declares that "whosoever shall influence at be ashamed of me and of my words in this adulterous and sinful generation, the Son of man also shall be ashamed of him, when he cometh in the glory of his Father with the holy angels." It is remarkable that in the parallel passage in Matt. xvi. 27 we have a different statement substituted, which represents Christ as the sole Judge: "For the Son of man shall come in the glory of his Father with his angels; and then shall he render unto every man according to his deeds." Elsewhere, in the first

CHAP, IX.

the judgment.

but in others as the sole Judge.

Gospel, x. 32, 33 (= Luke xii. 8, 9), we have a close parallel to the above statement of Mark viii. 38 (Matt. x. 32, 33): "Every one therefore who shall confess me before men, him will I confess before my Father which is in heaven. But whosoever shall deny me before men, him will I also deny before my Father which is in heaven." His office as Judge comes forward strongly in Matt. vii. 22, 23: "I never knew you: depart from me ye that work iniquity." Again in Matt. xxiv. 50, 51: "The Lord of that servant shall come in a day that he expecteth not, and in an hour when he knoweth not, and shall cut him asunder and appoint him his portion with the hypocrites" (cf. xxv. 19). Likewise in Matt. xiii. 30, 41-43, and in the great judgment scene described in Matt. xxv. 31-46, beginning "But when the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the angels with him, then shall he sit on the throne of his glory: and before him shall be gathered all nations: and he shall separate them one from another as the shepherd separateth the sheep from the goats."

**Tudgment** according to works.

This judgment is universally conceived as a judgment according to works. Thus, according to Matt. xvi. 27, "The Son of man shall come in the glory of his Father with his angels: and then shall he render unto every man according to his deeds." (xiii. 41-43, xxii. 11-14). Every deed of kindness shown to one of His disciples will be duly rewarded (Mark ix. 41; = Matt. x. 42). Nay, more, every disinterested act of goodness will be acknowledged just as much as though it had been rendered personally to Christ Himself (Matt. xxv. 40): "Inasmuch as ye did it unto one of the least of these my brethren ye did it unto me."

CHAP. IX.

Amongst the judged appear His own servants (Luke xix. 22, 23; Matt. xxv. 14-30), the children of Israel (Matt. xix. 28), the heathen (Matt. xxv. 37, 38): not only the contemporaries of Christ, but also all the nations of the past, Nineveh, the Queen of Sheba (Matt. xii. 41, 42; Luke xi. 31, 32), the inhabitants of Tyre and Sidon (Matt. xi. 20-24). The demons probably are judged at the same time (Matt. viii. 29).

(c) Consummation of the Kingdom and the Consumma-Resurrection.—The kingdom is consummated, "comes kingdom. with power" (Mark ix. 1), on the advent of Christ. Into His kingdom, which has been prepared from the foundation of the world, the righteous shall enter (Matt. xxv. 34). Its possession, as we have seen above, means eternal life (Mark x. 17), which is the good of the individual, as the kingdom is the good of the community.

The kingdom is not of an earthly but of a Consummated heavenly character. The relations of sex are to be kingdom of a heavenly charabolished (Mark xii. 24, 25 = Matt. xxii. 29, 30;= Luke xx. 34, 35). Certain appetites, however, con- Certain extinue to exist in the kingdom. Thus in Luke xxii. pressions, as 30 eating and drinking are spoken of: also the drinking in the kingdom of drinking of wine in Mark xiv. 25 (= Matt. xxvi. 29 = heaven, must be Luke xxii. 18), and even the eating of the Passover rative sense. in Luke xxii. 16. In the face of such statements

taken in a figu-

two courses are open to us. Either we must take them in a purely figurative sense, or else we must admit that eating and drinking were still conceived as occupations of the heavenly life, and, that thus certain appetites still persisted which modern thought excludes from its conception of that life.

In any case, however, the food in question is not earthly and material; for those also who partake of it are as "angels in heaven" (Matt. xxii. 30 = Mark xii. 25); "are equal unto the angels" (Luke xx. 36). The fact that these very phrases of the Gospels are found in the Ethiopic Enoch (civ. 4, 6; li. 4), and in the Apocalypse of Baruch (li. 10), in passages where the life of the blessed is conceived in the most spiritual manner, makes it clear that in any case the Gospel expressions relating to food must be interpreted in a figurative sense.

Resurrection of the righteous only taught in Matt. xxii. 23-33 = Mark xii. 18-27. And next as regards the resurrection itself, the teaching of Christ seems clearly to have been that only the righteous attain thereto.¹ Thus in the celebrated passage which recounts the conversation of Jesus with the Sadducees (Matt. xxii. 23-33 = Mark xii. 18-27), it is taught that all who share in the resurrection are "as angels in heaven"; for in the former Gospel we have simply "in the resurrection they . . . are as angels in heaven," and in the latter, "When they shall rise from the dead, they . . . are as angels in heaven." That this is the true teaching of the passage becomes more evident

<sup>1</sup> Observe that, according to the Didache xvi. 6, 7, only the righteous are raised.

as we advance. In the words of God to Moses, "I am the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob," our Lord finds a deeper significance, and this is, that the patriarchs still lived unto God, in other words, that in spite of death they still enjoyed communion with God. He was their God, the God of the spiritually living. It is noteworthy that the right interpretation of the passage is found in 4 Macc. xvi. 25: "Those who die on behalf of God live unto God as Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob."

Life here thus means not the mere shadowy existence in Sheol; for this the Sadducees, from the standpoint of the Old Testament, would not have denied; but a true existence in the enjoyment of the divine fellowship-an existence of which the resurrection is the natural outcome; for this is the question at issue. Such a life, which is in essence the life eternal, the blessed now enjoy, and this life leads of necessity to the resurrection life. In St. Luke But the paralxx. 27-40, however, another turn is given to the St. Luke xx. passage. Instead of saying simply that all who are structed as to raised are as the angels in heaven, the writer care-teach a general fully defines the righteous as "those who are accounted worthy to attain . . . to the resurrection from the dead."1 The words "accounted worthy" and "attain" are, we should observe, distinctively Lucan and Pauline. In the next place, he gives to the conception of life in this passage the ordinary meaning

lel passage in resurrection.

<sup>1</sup> οἱ καταξιωθέντες . . . τυχεῖν . . . τῆς ἀναστάσεως τῆς ἐκ νεκρῶν. In Matt. xxii. 31 there is simply ή ἀνάστασις τῶν νεκρῶν when the same thought has to be expressed.

But this is against the sense of the parallel passages in the other two Gospels, and likewise against the terms of the original sources.

of existence, whether blessed or unblessed, by adding in xx. 38, after the statement "He is not the God of the dead but of the living," the words "for all live unto him." Thus St. Luke interprets the passage to mean that as all men, whether righteous or wicked, live in the after-world unto God, so all men will be raised, and there will be a resurrection of the just and of the unjust. But the parallel passages in St. Matthew and St. Mark are against this interpretation of the passage.

It is true that this passage has been interpreted in exactly the opposite sense as teaching only a resurrection of the righteous. But the meaning of the words "all live unto him" seems conclusive against that view. On the other hand, there is not wanting evidence that St. Luke's original sources taught only a resurrection of the righteous; for how else can we reasonably interpret the phrase "sons of God because sons of the resurrection" (xx. 36). The expression "the resurrection of the just," in xiv. 14, is not decisive either way. We hold, therefore, that St. Luke intends this passage to teach a resurrection of the just and of the unjust, similarly as he represents St. Paul as preaching this resurrection of the just and of the unjust in Acts xxiv. 15, though, as we shall find in the next chapter of this book, that St. Paul could not have taught such a doctrine.

Hence we conclude that the Lucan account of our Lord's teaching is not to be followed here, but that of the first two Evangelists.

In the resurrection the wicked, as we have seen, have no part. It has been said by some scholars The doctrine that there must be a resurrection of all men in the of a final judg-ment does not body at the final judgment. But these two ideas necessitate the have no necessary connection. In Jubilees there is general resura final judgment, but no resurrection of the body at all, and in I Enoch xci.-civ. there is likewise a final judgment, but only a resurrection of the spirits of the righteous (xci. 10, xcii. 3, ciii. 3, 4). The fact, too, that demons and other disembodied spirits (Matt. viii. 29) are conceived as falling under the last udgment is further evidence in the same direction.

CHAP. IX.

As the righteous are raised to the perfected kingdom of God, the wicked, on the other hand, are cast down into Gehenna (Matt. v. 29, 30, x. 28; Mark ix. 43, 45, 47, 48). The fire spoken of in this connection (Matt. v. 22) is not to be conceived sensuously, but as a symbol of the divine wrath, which vividly represents the terrors of this judgnent. This place or state of punishment is likewise lescribed as "the outer darkness" (Matt. viii. 12), he place of those who are excluded from the light of the kingdom. The torment appears to be a orment of the soul or disembodied spirit (see pp. .74, 475 on Gehenna).

Though in conformity with Jewish tradition the Christ's recogunishment is generally conceived as everlasting in nition of poshe Gospels, yet there are not wanting passages in Hades. hich appear to fix a finite and limited punishment or certain offenders, and hence recognise the ossibility of moral change in the intermediate state.

Thus some are to be beaten with few, others with many, stripes (Luke xii. 47, 48). It is not possible to conceive eternal torment under the figure of a few stripes (cf. statements as to Sodom and Gomorrah). Again, with regard only to one sin it is said that "neither in this world nor in that which is to come" can it be forgiven (Matt. xii. 32). Now such a statement would not only be meaningless but also misleading in the highest degree, if in the next life forgiveness were a thing impossible. The saying in the Sermon on the Mount (Matt. v. 26), "Thou shalt by no means come out thence till thou have paid the last farthing," admits of a like interpretation. It may not be amiss likewise to find signs of this moral amelioration in the rich man in Hades who appeals to Abraham on behalf of his five brethren still on earth (Luke xvi. 19-31). And if we appeal to the science of ethics, which finds its perfect realisation in Christianity, the idea that forgiveness is impossible in the next life has only to be stated in order to be rejected; for till absolute fixity of character is reached, repentance and forgiveness, being moral acts, must be possible under a perfectly moral Being.

Without such recognition Hades still partially heathen, and God's rule over the next life still in part denied.

Indeed, it is not until we have reached such a conception of the next life that we have banished the last survivals of heathenism that are still in herent in the Judaistic Hades, and made it hence forth part and parcel of the dominion of the Lord and of His Christ. Not until then does God rule in the next world even as in this.

## CHAPTER X

ESCHATOLOGY OF THE NEW TESTAMENT—REVELATION,
JUDE, 2 PETER, JAMES, HEBREWS, JOHANNINE
GOSPEL AND EPISTLES, I PETER.

Having dealt with the eschatology of the Synoptic Gospels in the last chapter, and with the teaching of Christ in this respect so far as our present limits admit, we have now to study in what measure His teaching is reproduced or developed in the other books of the New Testament.

It would seem natural indeed to proceed at once to the fourth Gospel. But since this Gospel presents us in many aspects with a developed phase of Christian doctrine, it is better to adjourn its consideration, and address ourselves first to those books in the New Testament which discover less developed forms of doctrine, and in some cases a greater or lesser admixture of purely Judaistic conceptions.

The fundamental and formative principles of Christianity were necessarily long in operation before they succeeded in transforming the body of nherited Jewish beliefs which the first believers

CHAP, X. In order to mark the process of transformation and development, both the present order of the New Testament books, as well as their chronological, must be abandoned.

took over with them into Christianity. It is with a view to mark this process of transformation and development that I have decided on abandoning the usual order of these books as they appear in the Bible, and likewise their chronological order.

As regards the former order, nothing could be more misleading, and as regards the latter, or chronological, it would be of no real help to our present purpose to observe it, unless in the case of a collection of works emanating from one and the same writer, as the Pauline Epistles.

The depth and coherence of spiritual or metaphysical thought does not necessarily grow with the passage of years, and since all the writings which call for examination were written almost within a single generation, it is allowable to take them in the order that best subserves our present object.

At the same time, it is hardly possible to adopt any order that would not be open to weighty objections. Hence the order that will be observed in this and the following chapter does not necessarily and always suppose that each subsequent writer discussed occupies a superior level to those already treated of; for in some cases the degrees of development are hardly distinguishable.

Having so premised, we shall at once address ourselves to the book which contains most Judaistic thought in the New Testament, that is, the Apocalypse.

### THE APOCALYPSE

The author of this book was a Jewish Christian. The author. His book exhibits a Christianity that is free from legalism-the word Law does not occur once in his work-free from national and religious prejudices. The writer is not dependent consciously or unconsciously on the Pauline teaching. He has won his way to universalism, not through the Pauline method but through his own. He has no serious preference for the people of Israel as such, but only for the martyrs and confessors who should belong to every tribe and tongue and people and nation (vii. 9 sqq.) The unbelieving Jews are a synagogue of Satan (ii. 9).

Yet, on the other hand, our author's attitude to the world reflects the temper of Judaism rather than of Christianity. He looks upon the enemies of the Christian Church with unconcealed hatred. No prayer arises within his work on their behalf, and nothing but unalloyed triumph is displayed over

their doom.

After our discussion on the nature of apocalyptic The Apo-and prophecy (see pp. 173-206) it will be needless here pseudonymto give at length the reasons for the conclusion that ous. our Apocalypse is not pseudonymous. The chief grounds for the development of a pseudonymous literature were absent from the early Christian Church. With the advent of Christianity prophecy

had sprung anew into life, and our author distinctly declares that the words of the book are for his own generation (xxii. 10). This Apocalypse is just as assuredly the work of a John as 2 Thess. ii. is that of S. Paul.

The methods of interpretation. Many theories have been advanced to explain the undoubted difficulties of the text, and not a few of them proceed upon hypotheses that have failed to obtain justification at the hands of recent research. So complex is the nature of the problem that no single method is adequate to their elucidation. The student of the Apocalypse must make use at all events of the following methods—the contemporary-historical, the literary-critical, the traditional-historical, and the psychological. Each of these has its legitimate province, and the extent of this province can in most cases be defined with reasonable certainty.

The visions and their dates.

While the book in its present form belongs to the reign of Domitian, some of the visions were written at an earlier date, but were re-edited by our author from the standpoint of the Domitian period. Some of the visions, moreover, were written originally in Hebrew, and afterwards translated into Greek. In certain cases our author made use of preexisting materials.

In the pages that follow no real attempt is made to grapple with the eschatology of this work as a whole. Only a few of its characteristic doctrines are summarised in such a way as will not pre-judge

any of the present writer's results at a subsequent date. A prolonged study of this great book, the fruits of which the present writer hopes to publish next year, has more and more made him conscious that its author, despite the burden of an all but overwhelming tradition, and the use of a style which sets every canon of correct writing at defiance, but which nevertheless observes laws of its own, has bequeathed to mankind a κτημα ές ἀεί—an imperishable possession, the true worth of which lies The aim of in the splendid energy of its faith, in the unfaltering the book. certainty that God's own cause is at issue now and here and must ultimately prevail, and that the cause of Jesus Christ is inseparably linked therewith, and the main aim of which, as is clear from every page, is to emphasise the overwhelming worth of things spiritual as contrasted with things material—a lesson never more needed than at present-and in the next place to glorify martyrdom, to encourage the faithful to face death with constancy, nay more with rapturous joy: "Blessed are the dead that die in the Lord."

We shall deal with the teaching of this book under the following heads: (a) Parusia and Messianic Judgment. (b) First Resurrection and Millennium: the uprising and destruction of Gog and Magog. (c) General Resurrection and Judgment. (d) Final consummation of the righteous.

(a) Parusia and Messianic Judgment.—Every thought used in reference to visitation of the Churches, every divine judgment repeated inupon them, is regarded as a spiritual advent of for judgment.

Final visible

Christ (ii. 5, 16): "Remember therefore from whence thou art fallen, and repent, and do the first works; or else I come to thee, and will move thy candlestick out of its place, except thou repent." 16. "Repent therefore; or else I come to thee quickly, and I will make war against them with the sword of my mouth" (cf. ii. 22, 23; iii. 3, 20); but this invisible coming ends in a final advent visible to all. Of this visible parusia the date is not revealed (i. 7), yet it is close at hand: iii. 11. "I come quickly: hold fast that which thou hast, that no one take thy crown." xxii. 12, 20. "Behold, I come quickly; and my reward is with me, to render to each man according as his work is. . . . 20. He which testifieth these things saith, Yea: I come quickly, Amen: come Lord Jesus."

At His coming all the kindreds of the earth shall wail (i. 7). In chap. xiv. His coming is in the clouds of heaven. The judgment executed by Him appears under various symbolical figures. Thus He reaps the great harvest with a sharp sickle (xiv. 14-16); He treads the winepress of the wrath of God (xiv. 17-20, xix. 15). The judgment of the great day—"the great day of God" (xvi. 14)—is represented under the image of illimitable slaughter, before the beginning of which the birds of prey are summoned to feast on the bodies and blood of men (xix. 17, 18, 21; cf. xiv. 20).

Final judgment. At Harmageddon, i.e. Megiddo (xvi. 16), Antichrist and his allies are annihilated, the Beast and

<sup>1</sup> Observe that, whereas Antichrist in the Johannine Epistles denotes the

the false prophet are cast into the lake of fire CHAP, X. xix. 20), and all their followers slain with the sword xix. 21).

(b) First Resurrection and Millennium: the upising and destruction of Gog and Magog.-With he overthrow of the earthly powers, Satan-"the ld dragon, the serpent"—is stripped of all his night, and is cast in chains into the abyss, where he ; imprisoned for a thousand years (xx. 1-31): "And saw an angel coming down out of heaven, having ne key of the abyss and a great chain in his hand. and he laid hold on the dragon, the old serpent, On the overhich is the Devil and Satan, and bound him for a throw of Satan the Millennium nousand years, and cast him into the abyss, and sets in. nut it, and sealed it over him, that he should eceive the nations no more, until the thousand ears should be finished: after this he must be osed for a little time." Thereupon ensues the lillennium, when the martyrs, and the martyrs Tothistemporaly, are raised in the first resurrection and be- kingdom the me priests of God (cf. Is. lxi. 6) and of Christ, martyrs rise. id reign with Christ personally on earth for a ousand years (xx. 4-6), with Jerusalem as the ntre of the kingdom. According to an earlier issage (v. 10) they are made unto God "a kingdom id priests; and they reign upon the earth."

ary Messianic

e teachers and prophets, in the Apocalypse it designates Rome. In 2 essalonians, on the other hand, Rome is a beneficent power which hinders manifestation of Antichrist.

<sup>1</sup> This idea of the conquest of "the dragon" may be derived from sism (?). According to the older Jewish view, this and other sea monsters e overcome in primeval times by God. See prayer of Manasseh 2-4; akel, Schöpfung und Chaos, 91-95.

Origin of this doctrine in Judaism.

Here only beyond question in New Testament.

Not admissible in the doctrinal systems of the other New Testament books.

This combination of the temporary Messianic kingdom with the resurrection may have originated in Jewish-Christian circles,

d

The idea of a temporary Messianic kingdom first emerged, as we have seen in an earlier chapter, at the beginning of the first century B.C. Its limitation, as here, to a thousand years, is first found in 2 Enoch xxxii., xxxiii. We have before shown It should be observed that how this number arose.1 this is the only passage in the New Testament where the doctrine of the Millennium is undoubtedly taught. Some scholars have sought to prove its existence also in I Cor. xv. 24-27. But even it their contention were granted, and it would be difficult to do so, it was only a temporary stage ir the development of Pauline thought. In all other writers of the New Testament this doctrine is no only ignored, but its acceptance is made impossible in their definite doctrinal systems of the last things for in these the second advent and the last judgmen synchronise. Thus the Millennium, or the reign o Christ for 1000 years on the present earth, or any othe form of the temporary Messianic kingdom, canno be said to belong to the sphere of Christian doctrine

And yet though the Millennium does not be long to Christian theology, it may have been firs developed in its present form in a Jewish-Christian atmosphere—in its present form, I repeat, that is, it its combination of the resurrection of the martyr with a temporary Messianic kingdom under the Christian Messiah. In our earlier chapters we say that when once the Messianic kingdom came to be regarded as temporary, from that moment—mor

than 150 years before the date of the New Testament Apocalypse-the resurrection was relegated from the beginning of the Messianic kingdom to its close, and the righteous were conceived as rising not to the Messianic kingdom, but to eternal blessedness in a new world or in heaven itself.

CHAP. X.

The same combination of the temporary Messi-but since a anic kingdom and the resurrection of a limited trine is found number of the righteous is to be found in 4 Ezra. on Jewish soil, Thus in xiii. 52 and in xiv. 9 the Messiah is represented as dwelling in Paradise or some kindred place with Ezra and other righteous men till the times are ended, that is, probably, till the time of the Messianic kingdom. When this era has arrived, then, according to vii. 28, "The Messiah will be revealed, together with those who are with him." He is to rule over the Messianic kingdom for 400 years, and then die. These sections of 4 Ezra are taken by nearly all scholars to be later than 80 A.D. They are at all events subsequent to the advent of Christianity. But since the doctrine of the temporal reign of the Messiah and of the first resurrection is a favourite theme in the Talmud,1 it is no doubt both are to be to be traced to an exclusively Judaistic source.

But now to return. At the close of the Messianic source. kingdom Satan will be loosed from his prison in the Satan loosed at abyss, and the nations Gog and Magog—the idea the close of the Millennium; goes back ultimately to Ezek. xxxviii. 2-xxxix. 16 uprising of Gog and -are stirred up to make the last assault on the Magog. kingdom of Christ (Rev. xx. 7-9): "And when the

traced to the same Judaistic

<sup>1</sup> See Weber, Jüdische Theologie,2 364-371.

thousand years are finished, Satan shall be loosed out of his prison, and shall come forth to deceive the nations which are in the four corners of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to war: the number of whom is as the sand of the sea. And they went up over the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about, and the

tion, and the overthrow of Satan.

Their destructive beloved city." In this attack they are destroyed by God Himself, who sends down fire from heaven (xx. 9). The devil is finally cast into the lake of fire (xx. 10), where are also the Beast and the false prophet. (c) General Resurrection and Judgment.—These

General resurrection

and judgment.

follow on the close of the Millennium and the destruction of the heathen powers and the final overthrow of Satan. A great white throne is set up, and the Judge takes His seat thereon, and from before His face the present heaven and earth pass away (xx. 11; cf. xxi. 1). God is Judge, and yet in some respects the Messiah also (xxii. 12): "Behold I come quickly; and my reward is with me, to render to each man according as his work is" (cf. also vi. 16, 17). All are judged according to their works, which stand revealed in the heavenly books (xx. 12). The wicked are cast into the lake of fire (xxi. 8; see also

Hades = abode of wicked only.

Souls of the martyrs.

(xx. 14). Hades seems to be conceived in the Apocalypse as the intermediate abode of the wicked only; for it is always combined with death (see i. 18, vi. 8, xx. 13, 14). The souls of the martyrs have as their intermediate abode the place beneath the altar (vi. 9-11). The occupation of the martyred

xix. 20, xx. 10). So likewise are death and Hades

souls in the intermediate state is essentially Judaistic. Their whole prayer is for the destruction of their persecutors. The rest of the righteous were probably conceived as in Paradise or in the treasuries of the righteous (see 4 Ezra). This final award represents the second death (xx. 14, xxi. 8; see also ii. 11, xx. 6).

CHAP. X.

The second death is the death of the soul, as the The second first is the death of the body. It is not the annihilation, but the endless torment of the wicked that is here meant. The expression is a familiar Rabbinic one (see Jerusalem Targ. on Deut. xxxiii. 6, where for "let Reuben live and not die," we have "let Reuben live and not die the second death"1).

(d) Final Consummation of the Righteous.—The Consummation scene of this consummation is the new world—the of the righteous in the new new heaven and the new earth (xxi. 1, 5), and the heaven and the heavenly Jerusalem (xxi. 10-212). Then the ideal kingdom of God becomes actual. This city needs no temple: for God and Christ dwell in it (xxi. 22). The throne of God and of the Lamb is set up therein (xxii. 1, 3). The citizens dwell in perfect fellowship with God (xxii. 4), and are as kings unto God (xxii. 5). The Messiah still exercises His mediatorial functions (see vii. 17, xxi. 22, 23, etc.)

It is noteworthy that the distinction between In this book Israel and the Gentiles appears in the book, but there is no distinction of Jew

and Gentile.

1 See Wettstein on Rev. ii. 11.

<sup>2</sup> Quite inconsistently with the idea of a new heaven and a new earth xxi. 1) the writer represents various classes of sinners as dwelling outside the gates of the city of God, the New Jerusalem (xxii. 14, 15). In xxi. 8, on the other hand, these are committed to the lake of fire.

the distinction is not a material but a spiritual one: at all events in vii. 4-8 the 144,000 are not descended from Israel according to the flesh, but from Israel according to the spirit. The redeemed of Israel are to dwell in the New Jerusalem, while the Gentiles are to walk in the light thereof (xxi. 24, 26). The former are to eat of the fruit of the tree of life, while the latter are to be healed by its leaves (xxii. 2). The twelve gates of the heavenly city are to be named after the twelve tribes (xxi. 12), and the names of the twelve Apostles are inscribed on the foundation stone of the city. Yet Jew and Gentile form one divine community, and are alike kings and priests unto God (i. 6, v. 10).

From the Apocalypse we shall now proceed to deal with the two closely-related epistles, St. Jude and 2 Peter. The latter is dependent on the former, and the two epistles are probably the latest writings

in the New Testament.

# St. Jude

St. Jude saturated with Jewish Apocalyptic. Though St. Jude deals almost wholly with the question of judgment, there is nothing very characteristic in his teaching, save that he is saturated with Jewish apocalyptic literature, and recognises its prophecies as genuine products of the Old Testament saints, and as binding on the Christian con-

<sup>1</sup> See Holtzmann, NTliche Theol. i. 474, 475.

science. Thus in this short epistle of twenty-five verses we have in vers. 146, 15 a direct quotation from the Book of Enoch i. 9: in ver. 13 the phrase "wandering stars" goes back to 1 Enoch xviii. 15; in ver. 14 the words "Enoch the seventh from Adam" to lx. 8; in ver. 6 the statement regarding the angels that kept not their first estate to 1 Enoch x. 5, 6, 12, 13.

At least one other such work, i.e. the Assumption of Moses, is laid under contribution in ver. o.1

As regards its teaching on the last things, the Past judgwriter finds in the divine judgments of the past ments types of the final judgtypes of the final judgment. Such were the destruction of the faithless Israelites that were saved out of Egypt (ver. 5), and the condemnation of Sodom and Gomorrah to the "punishment of eternal fire" (ver. 7). This last is very instructive. It shows Instance of how Christians at the close of the first century A.D. reading New Testament read their own ideas into the Old Testament records ideas into the Old Testament, of the past. Thus the temporal destruction by fire of Sodom and Gomorrah is interpreted as an eternal punishment by fire beyond the grave. With their views of inspiration no other interpretation was possible. Other such judgments were the destruction of Korah and his company (ver. 11), and finally the judgment on the angels, which were guilty of unnatural union with the daughters of men, in accordance with which they are "kept in everlasting

<sup>1</sup> For a full classification of the coincidences of thought and language between Jude and apocalyptic writers, see Chase's article on Jude in Hastings' Bible Dictionary, 11. 801, 802.

on men

bonds under darkness unto the judgment of the great day" (ver. 6). But these judgments are only Final judgment preliminary to the "judgment of the great day" (ver. 6), when, according to the prophecy of Enoch, "the Lord cometh with ten thousand of his holy ones, to execute judgment upon all, and to convict all the ungodly of all their works of ungodliness which they have ungodly wrought, and of all the, hard things which ungodly sinners have spoken against him" (St. Jude 14, 15), and on the fallen angels, who are "kept in everlasting bonds under darkness unto the judgment of the great day" (ver. 6). This extension of the judgment to the angels, which was an accepted dogma of Judaism for at least 300 years previously, is presupposed, as we saw in the Gospels (Matt. viii. 29); it is expressly stated in 1 Cor. vi. 3, and reproduced in 2 Peter ii. 4. At this final judgment with which Jude menaces the godless libertines or, according to many recent critics, the Gnostics of his own day, the faithful will

and angels.

#### 2 PETER

obtain mercy, even eternal life (ver. 21).

This epistle is closely related to Jude-in fact, presupposes it. Like Jude, its author recounts. various temporal judgments as warnings to the godless of his own day. Thus he adduces the Deluge (ii. 5, iii. 6), the destruction of Sodom and Condemnation Gomorrah (ii. 6), the condemnation of the fallen of fallen angels to Tartarus: "God spared not angels when

they sinned, but cast them down to hell, and committed them to pits of darkness, to be reserved unto an intermedijudgment" (ii. 4). This is the only passage where punishment. this word "Tartarus" appears. Its use here is not inappropriate in connection with the fallen angels, for it was originally the place of punishment of the Titans. On the other hand, our author has changed it from being a place of eternal punishment into one of temporary and intermediate punishment.

But these were but preliminary acts of judgment. The final "day of judgment" (ii. 9, iii. 7) is impending. In the meantime the unrighteous are kept under punishment (ii. 9): "The Lord knoweth how to deliver the godly out of temptation, and to keep the unrighteous under punishment unto the day of judgment." We observe that no possibility of repentance beyond the grave is here recognised as it is in 1 Peter.

The ultimate doom of the wicked false teachers and their followers will be "destruction," ἀπώλεια (iii. 7); it is coming speedily upon them (ii. 3); the wicked have brought it upon themselves (ii. 1); they shall assuredly be destroyed (ii. 12). At the final judgment the present constitution of the world The world to will perish by fire (iii. 7, 10, 12), as formerly by be destroyed by fire. water (ii. 5, iii. 6), and in their stead there will be new heavens and a new earth (iii. 12, 13). The lestruction of the world by fire is found in the New Testament only in this epistle.

The day of judgment and the destruction of the The final world do not take place till Christ's parusia (i. 16; judgment at

Date of the parusia dependent on human conduct. iii. 4, 12). But this parusia is already being denied by evil men, who say (iii. 4), "Where is the promise of his coming?" Now our writer insists that the presence of such mockers is evidence that the last, days are already come (iii. 3). Moreover, the parusia is only postponed through the longsuffering of God with a view to the repentance of the faithless and their salvation (iii. 9); it may, indeed, be, still far distant, for "one day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day" (iii. 8). But the date of the Advent is dependent on human conduct. By holy living and godliness they could prevent its further postponement (iii. 11, 12): "Seeing that these things are thus all to be dissolved, what manner of persons ought ye to be in all holy living and godliness, looking for and hastening the coming of the day of God, by reason of which the heavens being on fire shall be dissolved, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat?"

With the parusia the eternal kingdom of Christ begins (i. 11) in the new heaven and the new earth (iii. 12, 13). There the perfect life of righteousness will be realised (iii. 13).

## St. James

In this work of primitive Jewish Christianity, in which Christianity is conceived as the fulfilment of the perfect Law, prominence is given to the doctrine of recompense. Hence whilst the fulfilment of the Law under temptation led to a

recompense of blessing (i. 12, v. 11), failure for those who are subjects of "the law of liberty" entails an aggravated form of punishment (ii. 12). Greater responsibility leads to severer judgment (iii. 1). None, however, can fulfil the law perfectly (iii. 2), and so claim "the crown of life" (i. 12) as their reward. Men need forgiveness now (v. 15), and must need a merciful Judge hereafter. Only the merciful, by the law of recompense, will find God to be such (ii. 13). Moreover the judgment is close at The judgment hand. It is a day of slaughter for the godless rich (v. 5). The advent of the Messiah, who will judge The Messiah the world, is close at hand (v. 8, 9): "Be ye also will judge the world." patient; stablish your hearts: for the coming of the Lord is at hand. Murmur not, brethren, one against another, that ye be not judged: behold, the judge standeth before the doors." He alone can save or destroy (iv. 12): "One only is the lawgiver and judge, even he who is able to save and to destroy: but who art thou that judgest thy neighbour?"

But as faithful endurance receives life (i. 12), so the outcome of sin is death (i. 15). A fire will consume their bodies (v. 3-? in Gehenna). The death to which the Messianic judgment (iv. 12) will deliver the wicked is not a death of the body only, but also of the soul (v. 20): "Let him know, that he which converteth a sinner from the error of his way shall save a soul from death, and shall cover a multitude of sins."

Finally, the faithful will enter into the promised kingdom (ii. 5).

CHAP. X.

#### HEBREWS

There is a large eschatological element in this a "The day" at epistle. The final judgment—"the day"—is night at hand (x. 25). This day appears to be introduced by the final shaking of the heaven and earth God is Judge. (xii. 26, with xii. 25, 29), and the parusia. God is judge (x. 30, 31; xiii. 4); the Judge of all (xii. 23).

Though Christ judges not, His second coming is coincident with this judgment (ix. 27, 28; x. 37). Retribution is in some sense reserved unto this judgment (x. 30), which will be terrible (x. 31) and inevitable (xii. 25). Yet as regards the righteous, Christ will come not to judge but to save (ix. 28): "So Christ also, having been once offered to bear the sins of many, shall appear a second time, apart from sin, to them that wait for him, unto salvation.". Thus the righteous, it would seem, will in a certain sense escape the final judgment. Their recompense is to be in heaven (vi. 19, 20), where they have an eternal inheritance (ix. 15), a better country (xi. 16),

Recompense of the righteous in heaven,

in the new world. world (xi. 3), which is already waxing old (i. 10-12), will be removed, and the kingdom which cannot be shaken will remain (xii. 26-28). Into this new world the righteous will pass through the resurrection. The life of this world is described as a sabbatismos or Sabbath keeping (iv. 9). There is apparently to be a resurrection of the righteous only.

the city which is to come (xiii. 14), even the city which hath the foundations, whose builder and maker is God (xi. 9, 10). Then the present visible

This follows from xi. 35, "that they might obtain CHAP. X. a better resurrection." These words, which refer Resurrection of to the Maccabean martyrs (2 Macc. vii.), set the the righteous only. resurrection in contrast to a merely temporary deliverance from death, and represent it not as the common lot of all, but as a prize to be striven for. The statement in vi. 2 is not conclusive for or against this view. The blessedness of the righteous is described as a participation in the glory of God (ii. 10) and in the divine vision (xii. 14).

As regards the wicked, their doom is destruction, Doom of the ἀπώλεια (x. 39). It consists not in a mere bodily death (ix. 27), but in something far more terrible. This retribution is represented as a consuming fire (x. 27): "A certain fearful expectation of judgment, and a fierceness of fire which shall devour the adversaries" (cf. vi. 8, xii. 27). Annihilation seems to be the destiny of the wicked.1

<sup>1</sup> I have followed in the main the traditional views of scholars in the above. The eschatology of this book might, however, be differently construed. Judgment sets in immediately after death in the case of the individual (ix. 27) : "And inasmuch as it is appointed unto men once to die, and after this cometh judgment." The righteous, having undergone this judgment, forthwith reach their consummation, for they are spoken of as "the spirits of just men made perfect" (xii. 23). As such they do not come within the sphere of the final judgment, for the surviving righteous at the Advent are delivered from it. (ix. 28): "So Christ also, having been once offered to bear the sins of many, shall appear a second time, apart from sin, to them that wait for him, unto salvation." Hence the resurrection of the spirits of the righteous would thus be conceived as following immediately on death. The Alexandrian origin of the Epistle would favour this view. Likewise the designation of God as "the Father of spirits" (xii. 9). Again, the phrase "spirits of just men-made perfect" (xii. 23) points in the same direction. For if moral perfection is meant, these spirits must have already reached their consummation. if they have reached their consummation as spirits, the writer as an Alexandrian seems to teach only a spiritual resurrection. But the chief obstacle in the way of this interpretation is the meaning of the words "to perfect" and "perfection." See Weiss, Biblical Theol. of N.T., § 123.

## THE JOHANNINE ESCHATOLOGY

The sources for this eschatology are the fourth, Gospel and the Epistles. The Apocalypse springs from a different author, and belongs to a different school of eschatological thought. The salient points of the Johannine eschatology may be summed up under the following heads:—(a) The Parusia; (b). Judgment; (c) The Resurrection and final consummation.

Twofold meaning of parusia in St. John—
(a) a present spiritual fact.

(a) The Parusia.—The parusia has a twofold meaning, a spiritual and an historical, in St. John. Thus in John xiv. 18, 19 the coming Advent is resolved into (a) an event already present: "I will not leave you desolate: I come unto you. Yet a little while, and the world beholdeth me no more; but yebehold me: because I live, ye shall live also." Thus in a spiritual sense Christ is already present (1 John v. 12): "He that hath the Son hath life." A spiritual and an abiding communion is already established between the exalted Christ and His own (xii. 26): "If any man serve me, let him follow me; and where I am, there shall also my servant be: if any man serve me, him will my Father honour." In this communion as Christ knows and loves His own, so they know and love Him (x. 14, 15): "I know mine own, and mine own know me, even as the Father knoweth me, and I know the Father." communion with the Son the communion and love of the Father is assured (xiv. 21): "He that loveth

me shall be loved of my Father, and I will love him, and will manifest myself unto him." xiv. 23. "If a man love me, he will keep my word: and my Father will love him, and we will come unto him, and make our abode with him." xiv. 20. "In that day ye shall know that I am in my Father, and ye in me, and I in you." xvii. 23. "I in them, and thou in me, that they may be perfected into one; and that the world may know that thou didst send me, and lovedst them, even as thou lovedst me." xvii. 26. "And I made known unto them thy name, and will make it known; that the love wherewith thou lovedst me may be in them, and I in them."

(b) On the other hand, the parusia is also con- (b) A future ceived as a future and historical event. Thus Christ will return from heaven and take His own unto Himself, that they may be with Him in heaven (xiv. 2, 3): "In my Father's house are many mansions; if it were not so, I would have told you; for I go to prepare a place for you. And if I go and prepare a place for you, I will come again, and will receive you unto myself; that where I am, there ye may be also."

That xiv. 2, 3 cannot be interpreted of His coming to receive His disciples individually on death is shown by xxi. 22: "Jesus saith unto him, If I will that he tarry till I come, what is that to thee? follow thou me." According to the New Testament, death translates believers to Christ (2 Cor. v. 8; Phil. i. 23; Acts vii. 59), but nowhere is He said to

CHAP. X. hand.

come and fetch them. This parusia is at hand; The parusia at for some of His disciples are expected to survive till it appears (xxi. 22), though Peter must first be martyred (xxi. 18, 19). Even in extreme old age the Apostle still hopes to witness it together with his disciples, whom he exhorts to abide in Christ, that they may not be ashamed before Him at His coming (1 John ii. 28).

The Antichrist manifested in false teachers.

In his teaching regarding the Antichrist this evangelist reproduces the teaching of Christ in the Synoptics. This doctrine is referred to as a traditional article of faith, but the conception is Christian and not Judaistic. Just as in the last chapter we saw that Christ foretold the coming of many false Christs and many false prophets (Mark xiii. 6, 21-23 = Matt. xxiv. 5, 23, 24 = Luke xxi. 8, xvii. 23), so St. John declares that the close approach of the parusia is shown by the appearance of false prophets and teachers. These are so many Antichrists, and their advent is a sign of the end (1 John ii. 18): "Little children, it is the last hour: and as ye heard that antichrist cometh, even now have there arisen many antichrists; whereby we know that it is the last hour." An Antichrist is defined in ii. 22 as "he that denieth the Father and the Son," and in iv. 3 as any "spirit that confesseth not Jesus." In these false teachers the Antichrist manifests himself (iv. 3).

How widely different is this doctrine of the Antichrist from that which appears in Revelation.

(b) Judgment.—Judgment is conceived by this evangelist as present and subjective and as future

Judgment, present and subjective,

and objective. Judgment in the former sense is no arbitrary process, but the working out of an absolute law whereby the unbelieving world is self-condemned. For a man is justified or condemned accord- proceeds acing to the attitude he assumes to the light (John man's attitude iii. 19-21): "And this is the judgment, that the light is come into the world, and men loved the darkness rather than the light; for their works were evil. For every one that doeth ill hateth the light, and cometh not to the light, lest his works should be reproved. But he that doeth the truth cometh to the light, that his works may be made manifest, that they have been wrought in God." This justifi- that is, to cation or condemnation follows according to a man's christ's perattitude to Christ's person (ix. 39): "And Jesus said, For judgment came I into this world, that they which see not may see; and that they which see may become blind." Hence, from the fact that a man exercises judgment on himself, it is declared Judgment is that Christ "came not to judge but to save" (iii. 17): Self-executed. "For God sent not the Son into the world to judge but to save." the world; but that the world should be saved through him." xii. 47. "And if any man hear my sayings, and keep them not, I judge him not: for I came not to judge the world but to save the world." Indeed, so far removed from judgment is the purpose of His coming that He declares (viii. 15), "I judge no man," though in the next verse the necessity of judging is conceded, for judgment is the Yet judgment must be the inexorable sequel which follows rejection of the sequel or proffered salvation. And in this sense elsewhere salvation.

CHAP. X.

He declares (ix. 39), "For judgment came I into this world."

Now since it is rejection of the light that brings man within the sphere of judgment or condemnation, acceptance of the light delivers him from it. We read accordingly (iii. 18): "He that believeth on him is not judged: he that believeth not hath been judged already, because he hath not believed on the name of the only begotten Son of God." v. 24. "Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that heareth my word, and believeth him that sent me, hath eternal life, and cometh not into judgment, but hath passed out of death into life." The words "hath passed out of death into life" and "cometh not into judgment" are very definite. They must, however, be interpreted in the sense that so far, and only just so far, as a man is faithful has he passed from death into life and cometh not into judgment. Since this present self-executing judgment is coextensive with the entire human life, it follows that a man's character is the result of all this process in the past, and is, in fact, the verdict of God on man's conduct from first to last. His ultimate destiny has thus already been determined by his spiritual condition. Hence, from Final judgment this standpoint the final judgment cannot be otherwise conceived than as the recognition and manifestation of judgment already exercised and consummated.

Hence character is the result of this present self-executing judgment.

is the recognition of the judgment already consummated.

Contrast of this view to v. 28, 29.

In the face of such a spiritual conception of judgment, what are we to make of John v. 28, 29: "Marvel not at this: for the hour cometh, in which

all that are in the tombs shall hear his voice, and shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done ill, unto the resurrection of judgment." We shall return to this question later.

CHAP. X.

Though the object of Christ's coming was not Christ as judgment, yet as the unique standard of divine standard of divine rightrighteousness and the sole mediator between God eousness or mediator is and man, He is the judge of man. In viii. 50 there the Judge of is a reference to God as executing judgment, but elsewhere it is definitely stated that God judgeth no man, but has committed all judgment to the Son (v. 22, 27): "For neither doth the Father judge any man, but he hath given all judgment unto the Son." 27. "And he gave him authority to execute judgment, because he is the Son of man." And the justness of the Son's judgment is assured, for it is according to the will of the Father (v. 30): "I can of myself do nothing: as I hear, I judge; and my judgment is righteous; because I seek not mine own will, but the will of him that sent me." viii. 16. "Yea, and if I judge, my judgment is true; for I am not alone, but I and the Father that sent me."

(c) The Resurrection.—In the Synoptic Gospels "the kingdom of God" and "life" were used to some extent as synonyms. The same usage prevails in the fourth Gospel, only to an indefinitely greater degree. Indeed, the conception of "life," Conception of "life" almost or "eternal life," appears to supersede that of the supersedes kingdom. The kingdom is only spoken of three kingdom.

The kingdom mentioned only in three passages, where it is conceived as spiritual and present.

times—in iii. 3: "Except a man be born from above, he cannot see the kingdom of God"; and in iii. 5: "Except a man be born of water and the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God." In these two passages the kingdom is conceived as present and spiritual, and this is no doubt its character in xviii. 36, the only other passage where the phrase occurs: "My kingdom is not of this world: if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews: but now is my kingdom not from hence."

But the thought is frequently pre-

But though the kingdom is seldom mentioned, the thought is frequently present in the Johannine writings. The divine gift of eternal life, as the good of the individual, can only be realised in so far as it brings the individual into vital union with the divine community, which is none other than the kingdom. The realisation of this life leads to unity with the brethren, such as prevails between the Father and the Son (xvii. 21), and, through this unity consciously apprehended, the individual life attains to Eternal life and its perfection (xvii. 23). Thus eternal life and the kingdom are correlative and complementary thoughts in the fourth Gospel. The indispensable evidence of this life in the individual is his love to the community. He who possesses it not has no divine life as an individual; he neither comes from God nor knows Him (1 John iii. 10, iv. 8), but abides in darkness and death (1 John ii. 10, iii. 14).

the kingdom are correlative thoughts.

> But we must discuss more intimately the conception "eternal life," for on the right apprehension of

this thought depends our ability to understand the CHAP. X. Johannine doctrine of the resurrection.

As death is the evil from which Christ delivers men (viii. 51), so the gift which He brings is life, This eternal and that eternal life (iii. 15, 16): "That whosoever possession: believeth may in him have eternal life. For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth on him should not perish, but have eternal life" (cf. x. 28, xii. 50). This eternal life is already a present possession (vi. 47): "Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth hath eternal life." v. 24. "Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that heareth my word, and believeth him that sent me, hath eternal life, and cometh not into judgment, but hath passed out of death into life." It consists in a growing personal knowledge of God and of His Son (xvii. 3): "And this is life eternal, that they should know thee the only true God, and him whom thou hast sent, even Jesus Christ." And this life is the presupposition and living germ of the The germ of resurrection life (vi. 40): "This is the will of my the resurrection life: Father, that every one that beholdeth the Son, and believeth on him, should have eternal life; and that I should raise him up." Nay more, this eternal life Nay more, is which the believer at present possesses is already the resurrecthe resurrection life (v. 25): "Verily, verily, I say unto you, The hour cometh, and now is, when the dead shall hear the voice of the Son of God; and they that hear shall live." Thus the resurrection, spiritually conceived, is brought into the present, and Christ Himself as the resurrection and the life

and cannot be affected by death.

is its source (xi. 25): "Jesus said unto her, I am the resurrection, and the life: he that believeth on me. though he die, yet shall he live." This divine resurrection life cannot be affected by death. He that possesses it can never truly die (viii. 51): "Verily, verily, I say unto you, If a man keep my word, he shall never see death." xi. 26. "Whosoever liveth and believeth on me, shall never die." From the preceding, therefore, we see that the

spiritual resurrection life is synonymous with eternal

Eternal life in this sense an ethical and timeless conception.

life, and that eternal life in the fourth Gospel is not a time conception, but a purely ethical and timeless In only a few passages does it retain a temporal meaning. In these it refers to the future heavenly life (iv. 14, vi. 27, xii. 25). Seeing, therefore, that the resurrection in the fourth Gospel is, spiritually conceived, synonymous with eternal life, and, historically conceived, is the essential fruit of Conclusions as eternal life, two conclusions naturally follow: (1) The believer cannot lose this spiritual resurrection life at death, but must enter rather on a fuller consummation of it. (2) Only the righteous can share in that resurrection life.

to the resurrection.

Are v. 28, 29 genuine?

How, then, are we to deal with such a passage as v. 28, 29: "Marvel not at this: for an hour cometh, in which all that are in the tombs shall hear his voice, and shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done ill, unto the resurrection of judgment." Here the resurrection is adjourned to the last day; both righteous and unrighteous are described as coming

forth from the tombs, and the scene is depicted in the most materialistic form—in fact, it would be hard to find a more unspiritual description of the resurrection in the whole literature of the first century A.D. These considerations are of themselves quite sufficient to render these verses questionable in a high degree; for their teaching is in glaring conflict with the fundamental conceptions of this Gospel. Owing to their incompatibility with the rest of the Johannine teaching, a recent writer (Holtzmann, NTliche Theologie, ii. 519) has not shrunk from branding them as an accommodation on the part of the Evangelist to current popular views. But the charge is unjustifiable. For, as Wendt has shown. not only is the teaching of these verses at variance with that of the rest of the Gospel, but they are also at variance with their actual context,1 and their excision restores unity of thought to the passage. The same scholar rightly treats as interpolations from the same hand the words "at the last day" in vi. 39, 40, 44, 54; xii. 48. The popular view of resurrection at the last day is again stated, though not in so gross a form, in xi. 24, where Martha says,

<sup>1</sup> There is an outward resemblance between v. 25 and v. 28, yet an inward antagonism. In the former, "an hour cometh, and now is, when the dead shall hear the voice of the Son of God; and they that hear shall live," the word "hear" is used in the pregnant sense of obedience, whereas the sense is wholly wanting in v. 28: "An hour cometh, in which all that are in the tombs shall hear his voice, and shall come forth," which is obviously, nevertheless, modelled upon v. 25. For the various grounds for the rejection of these verses, see Wendt, Lehre Jesu, i. 249-251. It is to be observed that the phrase ol ἐν τοῖς μνημείοις in v. 28 is no doubt derived from Isaiah xxvi. 19 (LXX); for it does not appear to occur outside these two passages. In the Old Testament passage this phrase can refer to the righteous only, as the context shows, but its New Testament application relates it to all mankind.

touching her brother: "I know that he shall rise again in the resurrection at the last day." But this view of Martha's is not accepted by Christ, but is implicitly corrected in the pregnant words which He utters in reply: "I am the resurrection, and the life: he that believeth on me, though he die, yet shall he live: and whosoever liveth and believeth on me shall never die."

Johannine doctrine of the resurrection. Thus the Johannine teaching appears to be that in some form the resurrection life follows immediately on death, but that its perfect consummation cannot be attained till the final consummation of all things.

Manifestation of the results of the daily judgment at the consummation of the world.

But the final result of this daily secret judgment must one day become manifest; believers shall have boldness in the day of judgment (1 John ii. 28, iv. 17), for it can only be the recognition and manifestation of judgment already exercised. A man's attitude to Christ determines now, and will determine finally, his relation to God and destiny (iii. 18, 19; ix. 39).

Consummation of the right-

(c) The final consummation is one of heavenly blessedness. After the final judgment the present world will pass away (1 John ii. 17), and Christ will take His own to heaven—a state rather than a locality (xiv. 2, 3): they are to be with Him where He is (xii. 26, xvii. 24). Eternal life—the resurrection life—is then truly consummated. Begun essentially on earth, it is now realised in its fulness and perfected. The faithful now

<sup>1</sup> See Hort, The Way, the Truth, the Life, pp. 13-16.

obtain their "full reward" (2 John 8). As "children of God" they are, through enjoyment of the divine vision, transformed into the divine likeness (1 John iii. 2, 3).

CHAP. X.

Though the Apostle does not present us with Johannine docany fresh teaching touching Hades and hell, he trine of God necessitates a furnishes us with principles which in themselves transformation of Judaistic necessitate a transformation of the Judaistic views conceptions of Hades and regarding these intermediate and final abodes of the hell. departed. Thus, when he teaches that God so loved the world as to give His only Son to redeem it (John iii. 16), that "God is love" (1 John iv. 8), that He is light, and in Him is no darkness at all, then Hades, which is wholly under His sway, must be a place where moral growth is possible; and as for hell, the final eternal abode of the damned, such a conception is impossible in the cosmos ruled by the God of justice and love. Sin, according to the Johannine view, is the destroyer of all life-physical, spiritual, and ontological. Now, to check the ultimate effects of this process of destruction and preserve the sinner in a state of sin, in a state of ever-growing, ever-deepening sin, could in no sense be the work of God so conceived.

## THE PETRINE ESCHATOLOGY

The earliest form of this is to be found in Acts Earlier form of iii. 12-26. It is very Jewish in character, and its Petrine eschatology. value in regard to Christian eschatology is historical rather than intrinsic. Thus St. Peter expects that

CHAP. X. The kingdom the Jewish theocracy.

the kingdom of God will be realised in the forms of the Jewish theocracy (cf. Acts i. 6), and that the to be realised in the forms of Gentiles will participate in its blessings only through conversion to Judaism (iii. 25, 26). At any rate, it required a further revelation (see Acts x.) to teach him that Gentiles as Gentiles should become members of the kingdom. Having thus apprehended the limited scope of the passage before us, we can recognise how idle have been the many discussions that have originated in the familiar phrase "the times of the restoration of all things" (iii. 21).1 These words, in the mind of the Apostle, relate either to the renewal of the world, or else, and in all likelihood, to the moral regeneration of Israel. This interpretation follows from Mal. iv. 6, which is the ultimate source of the expression, and from the application they receive from our Lord in Matt. xvii.

> 11. St. Peter urges his hearers to repent that they may be forgiven their sins and so hasten the parusia. He connects the parusia and "the seasons of refreshing." "The times of the restoration of all things" (iii. 21) are preparatory to the parusia, or else they are synonymous with "the seasons of refreshing." In the latter case they would point to a temporary Messianic kingdom which is apparently to be consummated on the earth. In fact, the

Meaning of the phrase "restitution of all things."

> 1 Seeing that St. Peter was unacquainted with a fact of immediate and primary importance,—the destination of the Gospel to the Gentiles as Gentiles,-it is unreasonable to wrest his words into a disclosure on a question of merely speculative interest: the ultimate and universal destiny of man. Further, Dalman (Worte Jesu, i. 145, 146) shows that this phrase does not refer to the renewal of the world, but to the fulfilment of all that was declared by the prophets.

phrase "seasons of refreshing" is hardly intelligible of any but an earthly Messianic kingdom. The same thought appears in the "rest" (= aveous) of 2 Thess. i. 7.

CHAP. X.

I St. Peter. - In this epistle there is a decided Later Petrine advance on the Petrine teaching in Acts iii. It is eschatology. true that believing Israelites still form, as in Acts iii.. the real substance of the Christian Church; but in the Apostle's view this Church embraces all who come to believe in Christ, whether of Israelitish or Gentile origin, in this world or the next (iii. 19, iv. 6). Further, it is not an earthly consummation of the theocracy, but an heavenly one that is looked A heavenly for. The "inheritance" that awaits the righteous pected. is "incorruptible and undefiled, and reserved in heaven" for them (i. 4). The goal, then, of the Christian hope is this "salvation ready to be revealed at the last time" (i. 5). But this consummation of the heavenly theocracy is initiated by the revelation of Jesus Christ and the judgment of the world

Though God is declared in general terms to be Christ the the Judge (i. 17, ii. 23), yet this final judgment is Judge. expressly assigned to Christ (iv. 5). But the end of all things is at hand (iv. 7): for judgment has already begun with the house of God, i.e. with the Church of believing Israel (iv. 17). Persecution is sifting the true from the false members of the Church. But such afflictions will last but "a little while" (i. 6, v. 10). Then Christ will be revealed (i. 7, v. 4), and will execute a universal judgment over

the quick and the dead (iv. 5), over the righteous and the wicked (iv. 17, 18?). Then the approved disciples will share with their Lord in "eternal glory" (v. 10); they will "receive the crown of life" (v. 4), and live such a life as that of God (iv. 6).

1 Peter iii. 19-21, and iv. 5, 6. But the question of chief importance in the Petrine eschatology is still to be discussed. It centres in the two difficult passages which describe the preaching to the spirits in prison (iii. 19-21), and the preaching of the Gospel to the dead (iv. 5, 6).

The interpretations that have been assigned to these passages are multitudinous, but the majority are simply impossible, attributing, as they do, a false sense to the phrase "the spirits in prison." This phrase can be interpreted only in two ways. The spirits in question are either those of men in Sheol, or they are the fallen angels mentioned in 2 Peter ii. 4; Jude 6. In the next place, the words "in prison" denote the local condition of the spirits at the time of preaching. Hence, according to the text, Christ "in the spirit" (i.e. between His death and resurrection) preached the Gospel of redemption (for so only can we render exhpoves) to

According to iii. 19-21, Christ preached the Gospel to human or angelic spirits in Hades.

<sup>1</sup> See Dietelmaier, Historia Dogmatis de Descensu Christi ad Inferos litteraria, 1741 and 1762; Güder, Die Lehre von d. Erscheinung Christi unter den Toten, 1853; Zezschwitz, De Christi ad Inferos Descensu, 1857; Usteri, Hinabgefahren zur Hölle; Schweitzer, Hinabgefahren zur Hölle; Hofmann, Schriftbeweiss, ii. 335-341; Salmond, Christian Doctrine of Immortality, pp. 450-486, 1896; Spitta, Christi Predigt an die Geister; Bruston, La Descente du Christ aux Enfers, 1897; Steven's Theology of the New Testament, 304-311, 1899; as well as the commentators in loc.

human or angelic spirits in the underworld.1 With the more exact determination of the objects of this mission we are not here concerned. For, however it be decided, we have here a clear apostolic statement that the scope of redemption is not limited to this life in the case of certain individuals, human or angelic. We have now to deal with iv. 5, 6: "Who This is only a shall give an account to him that is ready to judge larger doctrine the quick and the dead. For unto this end was the in iv. 5, 6. Gospel preached even unto the dead, that they might be judged according to men in the flesh, but live according to God in the spirit." The doctrine we found stated above in iii. 19-21 is here substantiated, as being part of the larger truth now enunciated. Christ is ready to judge the quick and the dead-the latter no less than the former; for even to the dead was the Gospel preached 2 in order that, though they had already been judged in the flesh, they might live the life of God in the spirit. Thus the Apostle teaches that on the advent of the last judgment the Gospel will already have been preached to all. As to how far this preaching of redemption succeeds, there is no hint in the Petrine teaching.

CHAP. X.

These passages in 1 Peter are of extreme value.

<sup>1</sup> See Gospel of Peter ver. 41. Such may have been St. Paul's belief (Rom. x. 7; Eph. iv. 8-10). This may be the idea at the root of Matt. xxvii. 52, 53. Christ's appearance in Hades was the signal for the release of the saints.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The tense of εὐηγγελίσθη creates no difficulty here. This preaching might be already regarded as a completed act in the past, for in the next verse (iv. 7) the writer declares that the end of all things is at hand. But even if this were not so, the agrist can be used of a continuous practice (cf. I Cor. ix. 20; James ii. 6).

Here Hades. which had partially been transformed

influences, is further trans-

formed under Christian.

CHAP. X.

They attest the achievement of the all but final stage in the moralisation of Hades. The first stage in this moralisation was taken early in the second century B.C., when it was transformed into a place under Judaistic of moral distinctions, having been originally one of merely social or national distinctions. But this moralisation was very inadequately carried out. According to the Judaistic conception, souls in Sheol were conceived as insusceptible of ethical progress. What they were on entering Sheol, that they continued to be till the final judgment. this conception is mechanical and unethical if judged in the light of Christian theism. It precludes moral change in moral beings who are under the rule of a perfectly moral Being, who wills not that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance.

#### CHAPTER XI

#### THE PAULINE ESCHATOLOGY IN ITS FOUR STAGES

In the writings of this Apostle we find no single eschatological system. His ideas in this respect were in a state of development. He began with an expectation of the future that he had inherited largely from Judaism, but under the influence of great formative Christian conceptions he parted gradually from this and entered on a process of development, in the course of which the heterogeneous elements were for the most part silently dropped. We have marked out four stages in this development, but perfect consistency within these stages is not to be looked for. Even in the last the Apostle does not seem to have obtained finality, though he was ever working towards it. It is permissible, therefore, for his readers to develop his thoughts in symmetrical completeness and carry to its conclusion his chain of reasoning. The present writer has not attempted to do so in this volume, but may do so later. The various stages are attested by (i.) 1 and 2 Thessalonians; (ii.)

1 Corinthians; (iii.) 2 Corinthians and Romans; (iv.) Philippians, Colossians, Ephesians.

# First Period of Development— 1 and 2 Thessalonians

Earliest form of Pauline eschatology in 1 and 2 Thessalonians. The two Epistles to the Thessalonians 1 present us with the earliest form of the Pauline teaching and eschatology. They constitute, in fact, the Pauline Apocalypse. In this apocalypse the salient features are (a) the great Apostasy and the Antichrist; (b) the Parusia and Final Judgment; (c) the Resurrection and blessed Consummation of the Faithful. In his teachings on these questions the Apostle appeals to the authority of Christ. What he puts before his readers in 1 Thess. iv. 15-17 is derived from the Lord (see ver. 15). There is, however, a setness and rigidity in the teaching of the Apostle which is not to be found in that of Christ.

The end will come when evil has reached its climax

(a) The Apostasy and the Antichrist.—St. Paul starts from the fundamental thought of Jewish Apocalyptic that the end of the world will be brought about by the direct intervention of God when evil has reached its climax. The moment for such intervention is thus not arbitrarily determined, but conditioned by the development and final con-

<sup>1</sup> There are undoubted difficulties in the way of reconciling the eschatology of 1 and 2 Thessalonians. The eschatology of the former is closer than that of the second to the Synoptic Gospels. I have with some hesitation used both epistles as depicting the first stage in St. Paul's eschatological views. The conflicting views as to the manner of the Parusia, whether as wholly unexpected (1 Thess.) or as preceded by certains signs (2 Thess.), are not in themselves sufficient grounds for rejecting 2 Thessalonians, since (1) some time elapses between the composition of the epistles, and (2) since some of the eschatological views of the Apostle were in a constant state of flux.

summation of the forces of good and evil at work in

the world. In the course of this development the separation of those susceptible of salvation and the unsusceptible is realised gradually but inevitably. The day of the Lord cannot come "except the falling away (ή ἀποστασία) come first, and the man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition whose coming is according to the working of Satan-with all deceit of unrighteousness for them that are perishing" (2 Thess. ii. 3, 9, 10). This evil, which already and the Antipervades and is leavening the world (2 Thess. ii. 7), christ is vealed. must reach its consummation, and this it will do in the son of perdition, the Antichrist. Thus as the revelation of God culminated in Christ, so the manifestation of evil will culminate in Antichrist, whose parusia (2 Thess. ii. 9) is the Satanic counterfeit of the true Messiah. But as the incarnation of evil

he appears as the negation not only of Christ but also of God; for, exalting himself above all that is called God, he places his throne in God's temple in Jerusalem, setting himself forth as God (2 Thess. ii. 4). But the climax of evil is the immediate herald of its destruction; for thereupon Christ will descend from heaven and slay him with the breath of His mouth, and consume him with the manifestCHAP. XI.

Whence Antichrist was to proceed it is difficult Does the Antito determine—whether from Judaism or heathenism.1 christ proceed from Judaism

ation of His coming (2 Thess. ii. 8).

Weiss (Theol. of N.T., English transl., i. 305-311) maintains the Jewish or heathenism? origin of Antichrist. He argues that an apostasy, strictly speaking, was impossible in heathenism, and it was only unbelieving Judaism which had as yet shown itself to be the real seat of hostility to Christ. Thus the real obstacle to the spread of the teaching of Christ lay in the fanatical Jews

CHAP. X1.

Not from Rome. That the Apostle did not conceive him as proceeding from Rome is clear; for the power and person who restrain (2 Thess. ii. 6, 7) the Antichristian revolution are none other than the Roman empire and its imperial head. These, as the representatives of order and justice, repress the outbreak of evil, and delay the coming of Antichrist.

whom the Apostle designates as "unreasonable and evil men" (2 Thess. iii. 2; cf. also I Thess. ii. 18). Having for the most part remained unbelieving (Acts xviii. 6; 2 Thess. i. 8), they had always pursued him with persecution and calumny (Acts ix. 23, 24, 29; xiii. 8, 45), had stirred up the heathen against him (xiii. 50; xiv. 2, 5, 19; xvii. 5, 13). Hence the Apostle denounces them as the real foes of Christ. Having slain Christ and the prophets, they were now the relentless persecutors of His Church. Displeasing to God and contrary to all men, the cup of their iniquity was all but full, and wrath was already come upon them to the uttermost (I Thess. ii. 16-18). When to the above facts we add the further consideration that the false Messiah or Antichrist regards the temple at Jerusalem as the dwelling-place of God (2 Thess. ii. 4), the Jewish origin of the Antichristian principle seems in a very high degree probable. Sabatier (Apostle Paul, English transl. 119-121) was originally of this opinion, but now declares that a fresh examination of the passages makes him less confident as to the Jewish character of the Antichrist: "The apostasy in question seems to extend far beyond the limits of Judaism, and to be the outcome of a general and hopeless revolt of the whole world against God and the order established by Him." The Apostle "leaves the personality of Antichrist indefinite, precisely because this personality did not as yet present a distinct form to the eyes." Beyschlag (N. T. Theology, English transl. ii. 257, 258) takes somewhat the same That the Antichrist is a personification of God-opposing heathenism is the view of Baur, Hilgenfeld, Döllinger, Schmiedel, and Julicher. Bousset (The Antichrist Legend), on the other hand, supports with great learning and force the Jewish origin of the Antichrist. Holtzmann (NTliche Theol. ii. 192) is of opinion that, notwithstanding Bousset's work, it is impossible to maintain the Jewish origin. The attempt to establish such a view must, he holds, be hopelessly wrecked on the fact that the Antichrist, who claimed to be God (2 Thess. ii. 4), could never have been regarded as the Messiah by the Jews. If we regard the Antichrist as proceeding from heathenism, the thought in its ultimate derivation springs from the Gog and Magog assault of the Gentiles on Jerusalem in Ezek. xxxviii., xxxix. Thus we should have an excellent parallel to Rev. xx. 7-10. See excursus in , Milligan's Commentary on Thessalonians, pp. 158-165.

1 The power of Rome had repeatedly protected the Apostle from the attacks of the Jews (Acts xviii. 12·16, xix. 35-41, xxii. 22·29). In Rom. xiii. I its magistrates are declared by him to be God's ministers. Later, this distinction between the Roman Emperor and Antichrist disappeared. Thus the Emperor is the Beast and Rome the mystery of iniquity in Rev. xiii., xvii.

the Emperor is the Beast and Rome the mystery of iniquity in Rev. XIII., XVII.

We should observe that the figure of Antichrist, which belongs to the earliest type of Paulinism, does not reappear in his later teaching.

(b) Parusia and Final Judgment.—The Apostle CHAP. XI. expects the parusia of Christ in his own lifetime Parusia in the (1 Thess. ii. 19): "For what is our hope, or Apostle's life-, joy, or crown of rejoicing? Are not even ye before our Lord Jesus at his coming?" iii. 13. "To the end that he may establish your hearts unblameable in holiness before our God and Father at the coming of our Lord Jesus with his saints." iv. 15. "For this we say unto you by the word of the Lord, that we which are alive and are left unto the coming of the Lord, shall in no wise precede them that are fallen asleep." v. 23. "And the God of peace himself sanctify you wholly; and may your spirit and soul and body be preserved entire, without blame at the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ." The parusia follows immediately on the It will be preculmination of evil (2 Thess. ii. 1-4): "Now we certain signs, beseech you brethren, touching the coming of our according to Lord Jesus Christ, and our gathering together unto him; to the end that ye be not quickly shaken from your mind, nor yet be troubled, either by spirit, or by word, or by epistle as from us, as that the day of the Lord is now present; let no man beguile you in any wise: for it will not be, except the falling away come first, and the man of sin1 be

I There is a very close affinity between the conception of Antichrist in the above passage and in the Apocalypse. In both he is clearly conceived as the Satanic counterfeit of Christ. Thus the Antichrist has his parusia (2 Thess. ii. 9 = Rev. xvii. 8): he is an instrument of Satan, who enables him to perform lying signs and wonders (2 Thess. ii. 9=Rev. xiii. 2, 4, 13, 15), whereby he deceives the faithless (2 Thess. ii. 10=Rev. xiii. 14). He blasphemes God and claims the worship of men (2 Thess. ii. 4=Rev. xiii. 4, 5, 6, 8, 12). Finally he will be destroyed (2 Thess, ii. 3=Rev. xvii. B, 11): Christ will destroy him with the breath of His mouth (2 Thess. ii. 3= Rev. xix. 15, 21).

Yet come as a thief in the night (x Thess.) revealed, the son of perdition, he that opposeth and exalteth himself against all that is called God or that is worshipped; so that he sitteth in the temple of God, setting himself forth as God." Yet the day of the final catastrophe is uncertain; for it comes as a thief in the night (1 Thess. v. 1-3): "But concerning the times and the seasons, brethren, ye have no need that aught be written unto you.; For yourselves know perfectly that the day of the Lord so cometh as a thief in the night. When they are saying, Peace and safety, then sudden destruction cometh upon them, as travail upon a woman with child; and they shall in no wise escape" (cf. Matt. xxiv. 43). With what vividness and emphasis the Apostle must have preached the impending advent of Christ is clear from 1 Thess. v. 1-3, as well as from the second epistle, where he seeks to quiet their excitement, almost bordering on fanaticism. In His second advent Christ will descend from heaven (1 Thess. i. 10), with the voice of the archangel and the trump of God (cf. 1 Cor. xv. 52) (1 Thess. iv. 16; 2 Thess. i. 7), and His glory will then be revealed (2 Thess. i. 7) (ἀποκάλυψις). Angels will accompany Him as the executors of His decrees (2 Thess. i. 7).

Parusia is also the day of judgment. But the parusia is likewise the day of judgment. For the Old Testament designation of the day of judgment is used of the parusia. Thus the latter is spoken of as "the day of the Lord" (I Thess. v. 2), "the day" (I Thess. v. 4), "that day" (2 Thess. i. 10). This judgment deals with Antichrist and

all the wicked and godless. First of all, Antichrist is annihilated (2 Thess. ii. 8); "with flaming fire" vengeance will be taken on the godless amongst the , Gentiles and Jews (1 Thess. iv. 6; 2 Thess. i. 8), alike on the careless (1 Thess. v. 3) and the actively hostile (2 Thess. i. 6). The doom of the wicked is ' "eternal destruction," ὅλεθρος αἰώνιος (2 Thess. i. 9; cf. 1 Thess. v. 3), described likewise as ἀπώλεια (2 Thess. ii. 3, 10). In this harsh forecast of the This forecast future the Apostle has hardly outgrown the narrow of the future abandoned intolerance of Jewish eschatology. We shall see that later in favour of a more later it is not the consummation of evil and the un- Christian one. belief of mankind, but rather the triumph of Christianity in the conversion of the world that ushers in the fulness of the times and the advent of Christ. To the Apostle's maturer mind God so shapes the varying destinies of Jew and Gentile "that he may extend his mercy unto all" (Rom. xi. 32).

(c) The Resurrection and the blessed Consummation of the Faithful.—The Apostle's disclosure on the resurrection is occasioned by an apprehension in the young Church which he had founded that those who died before the parusia would fail to share in its blessedness. Hence he refers them to a special statement of Christ on this subject (1 Thess. iv. 15). The dead in Christ, who are said "to sleep," shall rise first (1 Thess. iv. 16), but the teaching on this point is not quite clear.2 The

<sup>1</sup> That this does not imply a latent existence, as Holtzmann asserts (NTliche Theol. ii. 196), Schmiedel, Hand-commentar Thessalonians, p. 28, we have already shown (see pp. 132 note, 218, 242).

2 According to I Thess. iii. 13, these are to accompany Christ at His

Resurrection only of the righteous. word "first" is not intended here to contrast this resurrection with a second resurrection, but rather to denote the two classes of the righteous who share 't in the resurrection. The first are those who have, died before the parusia; the second are those who survive to meet it. Both are caught up to meet the Lord in the air. The scene of their blessedness is, \* probably, a transformed heaven and earth (cf. > I Cor. vii. 31). Since the resurrection and the final judgment take place at the parusia, it is not a temporary Messianic kingdom but the eternal abode of the blessed into the possession of which the risen and surviving righteous enter. The elect are gathered together unto Christ (2 Thess. ii. 1; cf. Matt. xxiv. 31). There is no reference to a resurrection of the wicked in these two epistles.1 It is to be inferred that after the resurrection the world from-

parusia—that is if, according to the usage of the New Testament, we take the ἀγιοι here as "the faithful," and not as "the angels." It is true that in 2 Thess. i. 7 the angels are spoken of, but purely as agents of the divine judgment. That we are to understand I Thess. iii. 13 of men, and not of angels, follows also from I Thess. iv. 14. Hence the resurrection of the faithful dead, according to iii. 13, iv. 14, is coincident with the Advent, since they accompany Christ at His advent, but according to iv. 16 this resurrection is subsequent to the Advent. This vagueness of language need not necessarily

imply a corresponding vagueness of thought.

1 Indeed, as we shall discover later, there could be no resurrection of the wicked according to St. Paul's views. Hence we cannot regard the statements attributed to St. Paul in Acts xxiv. 15, that "there shall be a resurrection both of the just and of the unjust," as an accurate report. To share in the resurrection, according to the all but universal teaching of the New Testament, is the privilege only of those who are spiritually one with Christ and quickened by the Holy Spirit. Only two passages—John v. 28, 29, and Rev. xx. 12, 13—attest the opposite view. But the latter passage occurs in a Judaistic source of that book, and the former stands in clear contradiction to the entire drift of the fourth Gospel in this respect (see pp. 428, 429). In all Jewish books which teach a resurrection of the wicked the resurrection is not conceived as a result of spiritual oneness with God, but merely as an eschatological arrangement for the furtherance of divine justice or some other divine end.

which the righteous have been removed is given over to destruction. After the resurrection follows the blessed consummation of everlasting fellowship with the Lord (1 Thess. iv. 17). Owing to the organic connection between Christ and His people, they will be raised even as He (1 Thess. iv. 14), and therefore not to an earthly but to a heavenly life, in which they share in the glory of God and of Christ (1 Thess. ii. 12; 2 Thess. ii. 14), in the completed kingdom of God (I Thess. ii. 12; 2 Thess. i. 5). The kingdom is here conceived as in the future.

CHAP. X1.

# Second Period of Development—I Corinthians

The second stage in the development of the Pauline eschatology is to be found in the 1st Epistle to the Corinthians. In many respects the teaching of this epistle is in harmony with that of the Epistles to the Thessalonians, but it is marked off from them by the omission of all reference to the Antichrist when dealing with the enemies of the 'Messianic kingdom. Other divergencies will appear in the sequel. We shall now sketch shortly the teaching of this epistle under the following heads: (a) The Parusia and the Final Judgment. (b) The Resurrection. (c) The Consummation of the Blessed.

(a) The Parusia and Final Judgment.—The The parusia in Apostle looks forward to the parusia of Christ 1 the Apostle's lifetime. (1 Cor. iv. 5): "Wherefore judge nothing before the

<sup>1</sup> So also in Phil. iii. 20, 21: yet he had always the possibility of meeting death before him. This is possibly the case in 1 Cor. xv. 31, 32.

time, until the Lord come, who will both bring to

CHAP. XI.

light the hidden things of darkness, and make manifest the counsels of the hearts; and then shall each man have his praise from God." xi. 26. "For as, often as ye eat this bread, and drink the cup, ye proclaim the Lord's death till he come." xv. 51, 52. " Behold I tell you a mystery: We shall not all \* sleep, but we shall all be changed, in a moment, in , the twinkling of an eye, at the last trump: for the trumpet shall sound, and the dead shall be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed." xvi. 22. "If any man loveth not the Lord, let him be . Anathema Maranatha." It will be preceded by severe trials 1 (vii. 26, 28): "I think, therefore, that this is good by reason of the present distress, namely that it is good for a man to be as he is. . . . But \* and if thou marry, thou hast not sinned; and if a virgin marry she hath not sinned. Yet such shall have tribulation in the flesh: and I would spare you." The interval preceding the parusia will be short: hence the faithful should not give themselves

but of short

duration.

It will be preceded by

severe trials,

1 These are the nearest approach to the terrible picture of the future troubles in 2 Thess, ii. They represent the travail pains of the Messiah.

up even to the legitimate joys of this life (vii. 29). This second coming will be one which will manifest. His glory (i. 7): "So that ye come behind in no gift; waiting for the revelation of our Lord Jesus Christ," and likewise bring the world to a close (i. 8): "Who shall also confirm you unto the end, that ye be unreproveable in the day of our Lord Jesus Christ" (cf. 2 Cor. i. 13, 14). With the

parusia is immediately connected the final judgment, at which the Judge will be Christ (iv. 4, 5): The judgment "For I know nothing against myself; yet am I not diately upon hereby justified: but he that judgeth me is the the parusia. Christ is Judge. Lord. Wherefore judge nothing before the time, until the Lord come, who will both bring to light the hidden things of darkness, and make manifest the counsels of the hearts; and then shall each man have his praise from God."1 That this second coming is conceived as one of judgment is seen also in the designation elsewhere applied to it, i.e. "the day of the Lord Jesus Christ" (i. 8), "the day" (iii. 13), "the day of the Lord" (v. 5). Observe that the judgment is according to works (I Cor. iv. 4; iii. 17; vi. 9, 10)—that is, when the life is looked at from without and in its final consummation. On the other hand, in the subsequent epistles, performance and reward are treated in their inner organic relation. Wages of sin = death. Whatsoever a man soweth that shall he also reap (Gal. vi. 7).

CHAP. XI. follows imme-

From the above facts it follows that the Apostle did not expect the intervention of a temporary Messianic or millennial period between the parusia and the final judgment, as some have inferred from 1 Cor. xv. 22-24. According to this passage, every power hostile to God n the world is stripped of its influence by the time of the parusia. With the resurrection which ensues

As in the Thessalonians (see above). This doctrine appears also in Cor. i. 8, v. 5; 2 Cor. v. 10, "the judgment seat of Christ." The judgnent is also spoken of as the judgment of God (Rom. xiv. 10); "the judgment eat of God." Cf. also Rom. ii. 5, 6, iii. 6, xiv. 12. In Rom. ii. 16 the two riews are reconciled: God will judge the world through Jesus Christ.

thereupon is involved the destruction of the last enemy, even death (xv. 26). Thus the parusia, accompanied by the final judgment and the resurrection, marks the end of the present age and the beginning of the new. The angels are to be judged-but their of Wisdom above, p. 312). Some scholars 1 have in-

taught in r Cor. xv. 22-24.

judges are the righteous (1 Cor. vi. 3; see on Book No Millennium deed attempted to interpret I Cor. xv. 22-24 of the Millennium. But this interpretation is untenable; for in the passage cited the period of Christ's domination precedes the parusia. The character, moreover, of Christ's kingdom therein portrayed is wholly at variance with that of the temporary Messianic kingdom of Apocalyptic and the Millennium of the Apocalypse; for the Messianic reign is here one of unintermitting strife, whereas in the literature above referred to it is always one of peaceful dominion and blessedness. What the Apostle speaks of here is a Messianic reign of temporary duration from Christ's exaltation to the final judgment. In his later epistles the Apostle conceives this reign as unending.

Resurrection of Christ and that of man organically connected.

(b) The Resurrection.—The resurrection of man is connected organically with that of Christ. As God has raised up Christ, so also He will raise us up (1 Cor. vi. 14): "God both raised the Lord and will raise us up through his power"; cf. 2 Cor. iv. 14). The doctrine of man's resurrection had been denied by certain members of the Church of Corinth, who did not question the resurrection of Christ. To these the Apostle rejoined that

<sup>1</sup> See Schmiedel, Hand-commentar 1 Corinther, p. 196.

both were indissolubly united, and stood or fell together. The ground of man's resurrection hope was based on his living fellowship with Christ: "As in Adam all die, so also in Christ As spiritual shall all be made alive" (xv. 22). The relation fellowship with Adam leads to manifestly in each case is the same. Now as this death, so relation cannot be a natural and genealogical one, it lowship with must of necessity be an ethical and spiritual one. life. Furthermore, from the position of the words èv τφ 'Αδὰμ πάντες ἀποθνήσκουσιν, the "in Adam" must be connected with "all." Hence it is equivalent "all who are in Adam." Similarly "all in Christ"= "all who are in Christ." Thus the verse means: "as all who are ethically or spiritually in fellowship with Adam die, so all who are spiritually in fellowship with Christ shall be made alive." This being made alive = being spiritually quickened 2 (ζωοποιείσθαι), involves the "being raised" (cf. Rom. viii. 11). There can be no resurrection but in Christ. That That only the the righteous only are raised we shall be forced to righteous attain to the conclude also from the Apostle's teaching on the resurrection follows also origin of the resurrection body in xv. 35-49. In from the answer to the question, How are the dead raised? Apostle's teaching on the the Apostle rejoins: "Thou fool, that which thou body. sowest is not quickened except it die" (xv. 36). That is, a man's own experience should instruct him herein; for it overturns the objection that is raised.

CHAP. XL.

<sup>1</sup> For similar constructions, see xv. 18; 1 Thess. iv. 16; Col. i. 4;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That this is the meaning of ζωοποιεῖσθαι appears to follow from its use in xv. 36: "that which thou sowest is not quickened except it die." Here, as in xv. 22, the fresh inward quickening of life is referred to, not its outer manifestation.

44.

The death of the seed consists in the decomposition of its material wrapping. By this process the living principle within it is set free, and seizes hold of the matter around it, wherewith it forms for itself a new body.1 In like manner the resurrection is effected through death itself. What appears as the obstacle is actually the means. The spirit of man must free itself from the body which contains it before it fashions for itself an incorruptible body. We are next instructed as to the nature of the resurrection r Cor. xv. 42, body in xv. 42-44: "It is sown in corruption, it is raised in incorruption: it is sown in dishonour, it is raised in glory: it is sown in weakness, it is raised in power; it is sown a psychical body, it is raised a spiritual body." The sowing here cannot mean the mere burying of the body in the grave: such a meaning of σπείρειν is wholly unattested: it is rather the placing the vital principle or spirit in its material environment here on earth, where, even as a seed gathers to itself a body from the matter around it, so the spirit of man fashions for itself a body out of the materials around it. Thus the entire life of man in this world, from its first appearance to the obsequies that attest its departure, corresponds to the sowing of the seed in the earth.2 That this is

Man's life on earth corresponds to the life of the seed germ under ground.

<sup>1</sup> The Pauline way of stating this formation of the new body is noteworthy: 'God gives it a body." We moderns say: The new body is the result of the vital principle in the grain acting on its environment in conformity with God's law in the natural world. St. Paul says in such a case: "God gives it a body" (xv. 38). This is important to remember in connection with 2 Cor. v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is to a large extent the view advocated by Reuss (quoted by Heinrici, I Corinther, p. 529). But it has not been observed that the same figure of speech is used in 4 Ezra v. 43, qui seminati sunt super eam (i.e. terram) = "those sown on the earth." This is exactly the Pauline idea.

the Apostle's meaning will become clearer if we consider the opposing members in the various contrasts drawn in xv. 42-44. Thus it is sown "in corruption" (xv. 42). This description is no doubt applicable to the interment of the body; but still more, it characterises human life as a whole. The phrase characteristics "in corruption"  $(= \epsilon \nu \phi \theta o \rho \hat{a})$  is especially Pauline of the present in reference to the present life of man. For this body. life is in "the bondage of corruption," δουλεία της φθορᾶς (Rom. viii. 21); and the living body is at present undergoing corruption, διαφθείρεται (2 Cor. iv. 16). Furthermore, "flesh and blood," the constituents of the present living body, are declared in ver. 50 of the present chapter to be "corruption"  $(\phi\theta_{0}\rho\dot{a})$ . This interpretation is, further, supported by the current definition of this life in apocalyptic literature as the sphere of the corruptible. Thus, according to the Apocalypse of Baruch, the whole present world belongs to this sphere. Even the Messianic kingdom falls within it (xl. 3, lxxiv. 2). All, that is, is doomed to corruption (xxxi. 5). In 4 Ezra also this age is spoken of as the age of corruption (iv. 11; see also vii. 111, 1131).

CHAP. XI.

"In dishonour" denotes the miseries of this earthly life, which we experience in "this body of our humiliation" (Phil. iii. 21). "Weakness" is another fitting description of the body as an agent

Heinrici writes: "The two members of the antithesis designate the two conditions of existence, and include a reference to the facts of life and death which are decisive as regards the essence of each." Calvin was practically of the same view: "Praesentis vitae tempus metaphorice sationi comparat, resurrectionem vero messi,"

1 See the present writer's 2 Baruch, pp. 40, 41.

CHAP. XL.

of the spirit-"the spirit is willing but the flesh is weak." In 1 Cor. ii. 3, 4; 2 Cor. xii. 9, 10 we find the same contrast, "weakness" and "power," as To apply such a term as "weakness" to the dead body would be absurd, but such a term rightly describes the inherent weakness of the body, issuing ultimately in death. Finally, this present body is psychical as an organ of the psyche or soul, whereas the risen or spiritual body is an organ of the spirit. Thus as the psychical body is corruptible, and clothed with humiliation and weakness, the pneumatical or spiritual body will enjoy incorruptibility, honour, and power. Hence between the bodies there is no exact continuity. The existence of the one depends on the death of the other. Nevertheless some essential likeness exists between them. This essential likeness proceeds from the fact that they are successive expressions of the same personality, though in

Contrasts between the psychical and spiritual bodies.

Yet connected in that they are successive expressions of the same personality.

Further, it follows that, since the faithless lose their psychical body at death, and can never, so long as they are such, possess a spiritual body, they are necessarily conceived as "naked," that is, disembodied beings.

different spheres. It is the same individual vital principle that organises both. From this description of the resurrection body it is obvious that only the

righteous can share in the resurrection.

When does the resurrection take place? At the parusia, according to xv. 51, 52.

We have now dealt with the characteristics of the risen body and its relation to the present body. The question now arises: When does this resurrection of the body take place? In conformity with the

universal Jewish tradition, the Apostle makes it to follow on the parusia (xv. 51, 52): "We shall not all sleep, but we shall all be changed . . . at the last trump; for the trumpet shall sound, and the dead shall be raised incorruptible." But such a time determination, while fully conformable to the mechanical systems of Judaism, fails to establish an organic connection with the doctrine of the risen body stated above. Hence, unless our interpretation of this doctrine is wholly wrong, its entire trend points not On the death to a period externally determined and at some of the believer, according to possibly remote age, but to the hour of departure of his doctrine of the risen the individual believer. The analogy of the seed body. points in this direction. Seeing that with the corruption of the material husk the vital principle is set free to form a new body or expression of itself, the analogy urged by the Apostle leads to the inference that with the death of the present body the energies of the human spirit are set free to organise from its new environment a spiritual body-a body adapted to that environment. Thus in a certain sense the resurrection of the faithful would follow immediately on death, and not be adjourned to the parusia. this variance between his living and growing thought Thus his growand his inherited Jewish views the Apostle does not ing thought conflicts with seem conscious in 1 Corinthians. In the 2nd Epistle his inherited. to the Corinthians we shall find that the Apostle has become conscious of the inherent inconsistencies of his former view, which was the traditional one, and abandoned it in favour of the doctrine of a resurrection of the righteous following immediately on death.

CHAP. XI.

Kingdom of Christ terminates with the end of this world.

The resurrection synchronises therewith, and thereupon begins the kingdom of God.

(c) The Final Consummation.—With the resurrection of the righteous dead, "each in his own company" (I Cor. xv. 23), and the transfiguration of the righteous living, death is finally overcome (1 Cor. xv. 26, 51-54). But, death being the last of all enemies, the end has come (xv. 24, i. 8), when the Son will deliver up to God, even the Father, the kingdom which He had ruled since His exaltation. The resurrection 1 of the righteous dead will take place in a moment, at the last trump (xv. 52). Then will follow the transfiguration of the righteous living, when the corruptible will put on incorruption and the mortal immortality (xv. 53). Thereupon begins the perfected kingdom of God2 in a new and glorious world, which has taken the place of the present, which is already passing away (1 Cor. vii. 31). That which is perfect has then come (xiii. 10), and the blessed in immediate communion see God face to face (xiii. 12).

In this perfected kingdom God has become "all in all" (xv. 28). This statement is limited to the blessed. It does not apply to the powers in xv. 25, 28. These have been reduced to unwilling

obedience.

1 Seeing that the resurrection is only possible through living fellowship

with Christ, there can be no resurrection of the wicked.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The phrase "kingdom of God" is used in St. Paul to denote the kingdom of the consummation, and so as future (I Cor. vi. 9, 10, xv. 50; Gal. v. 21). In a few cases, however, he applies it to the kingdom as it is at present being realised on earth (I Cor. iv. 20; Rom. xiv. 17). Yet even here Weiss argues that the passages refer, not to the kingdom of God in its realisation, but in its essence. In Col. i. 13 the present kingdom is called "the kingdom of his dear Son," and the words that follow in i. 16 show that the kingdom is everlasting.

### Third Period of Development—2 Corinthians and Romans

In these epistles we arrive at the third stage in the development of the Pauline eschatology. This This period development will be mainly apparent in the Apostle's Apostle's Conconscious change of view as to the time of the of view as to resurrection, and in his enlarged conceptions as to the universal spread and comprehensiveness of and the com-Christ's kingdom on earth. We shall give the chief of the kingfeatures of the eschatological teaching of these epistles under the following heads: (a) Universal Spread of Christ's kingdom on earth; (b) The Parusia and Judgment; (c) The Resurrection the immediate sequel of departure from this life.

(a) Universal Spread of Christ's Kingdom on Earth.—In the interval between the writing of the Epistles to the Thessalonians and that of the Romans we are obliged to assume an essential change in the Apostle's views of the future. In the earlier epistles, as we have seen, the Apostle, under the influence of Jewish inherited beliefs, looked forward to a great apostasy and the revelation of the man of sin as the immediate precursor of the parusia. Thus the history of the world was to close in the culmination of evil and the final impenitence of the bulk of mankind. In Rom. xi., on the other hand, the The history of Apostle proclaims the inner and progressive trans- culminate in formation of mankind through the Gospel, culminat-the conversion of all mankind. ing in the conversion of the entire Gentile and

marked by the scious change the time of the resurrection

Jewish worlds as the immediate prelude of the advent of Christ. The present generation of unbelieving Jews were indeed as "vessels of wrath" (ix. 22) hastening to destruction. But this temporary rejection of the Jews has become the cause of the fulness of the Gentiles, and when the Gentile world has entered Christ's kingdom then "all Israel shall be saved" (xi. 25). God has thus shaped the history of both Jew and Gentile "in order that he might have mercy upon all" (Rom. xi. 32).

The judgment follows on the parusia.

Judgment according to works, for these determine the real value of the faith.

(b) Parusia and Judgment.—The parusia is "the day of our Lord Jesus Christ" (2 Cor. i. 14; cf. Phil. i. 6, 10; ii. 16); it is close at hand (Rom. xiii. 11, 121). At this judgment the Judge will be Christ (2 Cor. v. 10)—likewise God (Rom. xiv. 10; see note, p. 389). All men must appear before the judgment seat (Rom. xiv. 10), and each render an account of himself to God (xiv. 12). The judgment will proceed according to works (Rom. ii. 6); for, if faith is operative, it can only be in the sphere of works. Moreover, the purpose of the mission of Christ is "that the righteous demands of the law might be fulfilled in us who walk not after the flesh but after the spirit (Rom. viii. 4). We are what we make ourselves. Destiny is related to character as harvest to seed-time (Gal. vi. 7, 8). Every man bears in his character his own reward and his own punishment (2 Cor. v. 10). Hence, since character is the creation of will, arises the all-importance of the principle that rules the will—whether faith or

<sup>1</sup> The hope of surviving is found also in Phil. iii. 21.

unbelief, life to God or life to ourselves. Retribution present and future follows in the line of a man's works (2 Cor. xi. 151).

CHAP. XI.

The idea of the final judgment is not really at No conflict variance with the doctrine of the resurrection follow-doctrine of an ing immediately after death, as certain scholars immediate resurrection allege. It is a perfectly philosophical idea. It after death and of the final teaches that at the consummation of the universe judgment. all rational beings will receive their due unto the full. We have above shown that according to the doctrine of the kingdom the individual member cannot reach his consummation apart from the consummation of the blessedness of all. Hence. though the righteous attain to the resurrection immediately after death, they have therein only partially achieved their consummation, which will be realised finally when alike the community and the individual have reached their perfectionment.

(c) The Resurrection—the immediate sequel of departure from this life. - In the earlier epistles we have sought to show that certain inconsistencies in regard to the time of the resurrection are discoverable, and that, although the Apostle formally adjourns this event to the parusia, in accordance with Jewish eschatology, his teaching with regard to the resurrection body is implicitly at variance with it. During these earlier years the Apostle was still unconscious that he had

<sup>1</sup> The retributive character of the judgment is expressed in still sharper terms in the later epistles. Thus: "he that doeth wrong shall receive again the wrong that he hath done" (Col. iii. 25); "whatsoever good thing each one doeth, the same shall he receive from the Lord" (Eph. vi. 8).

New form of doctrine taught in 2 Cor. v.

in spirit broken with the traditional belief. In the interval, however, that elapsed between the first and second epistles, he came to a conscious breach with the older view, and henceforth taught the resurrection to be the immediate sequel of departure from this life. The main evidence for this later doctrine of the Apostle is found in 2 Cor. v. 1-8: "We know that if the earthly house of our tabernacle be dissolved, we have a building from God, a house not made with hands, eternal, in the heavens. verily in this we groan, longing to be clothed upon with our habitation which is from heaven: 3. If so be that we shall be found also clothed, not naked. 4. For indeed we that are in this tabernacle do groan, being burdened; not that we would be unclothed, but that we would be clothed upon, that that which is mortal may be swallowed up of life. . . . 6. Knowing that whilst we are at home in the body, we are absent from the Lord. . . . 8. We are willing rather to be absent from the body, and to be at home with the Lord." In ver. 4 the Apostle declares his wish to live to the parusia in order to escape the dissolution of the earthly body and be transformed alive. But in other verses he faces the possibility of death, and comforts himself and his readers with the prospect before them. When we die-observe the determination of the point of time —we have (ἔχομεν), we come into possession of, an immortal body in heaven. That this is a real and not an ideal possession to be realised at the parusia follows from the date assigned for our becoming

Resurrection of righteous follows at death. possessed of it. Ideally, the faithful receive their immortal bodies from the time of their election,1 and actually, as our text declares, at death.2 Now this idea of the future body being a divine gift in no way contradicts the teaching in 1 Cor. xv. 35-49, but forms its complement and completion. We have already seen (p. 450, note 1) that whereas we should describe the new embodiment of the vital principle The new body in the grain as the result of the action of this principle is, from one standpoint, the on its environment, in accordance with divine law, result of the the Apostle describes this process and result wholly individual in the words "God gives it a body" (I Cor. xv. another, it is a 38). Thus, regarded from one standpoint, the new body is the result of a secret vital process; from another, it is a divine gift. Similarly with regard to the glorified body. In one aspect it is the result of the action of the human spirit when quickened by God, in another it is a divine gift. This twofold Analogous way of regarding one and the same fact may be ways of regarding eternal exemplified from Gal. vi. 8 and Rom. vi. 23. life. According to the former passage, eternal life is the harvest of a man's sowing to the Spirit; but according to the latter, it is the gift of God.

CHAP. XI.

action of the spirit: from divine gift.

Thus as 1 Cor. xv. 35-49 implied that the resurrection followed immediately on the death of the faithful, so in 2 Cor. v. 1-8 we have this fact stated categorically.

1 Rom. viii. 29: "whom he foreknew, he also pre-ordained to be conformed to the image of his Son."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> St. Paul's doctrine "of the Spirit" (τὸ πνεῦμα) must have contributed in some degree to this change of view; for according to St. Paul's psychology the πνεθμα is the real bearer of the personality as opposed to the soul.

Further signs of this change of view.

The resurrection of the righteous at the parusia no longer spoken of, but their revelation.

The views of the Apostle having thus changed in this respect, we should naturally expect to find further evidence of this change in his references to the faithful at the parusia. And such surely we find in Rom. viii. 19: "The earnest longing of the creation waiteth for the revelation of the sons of God." Just as at His second coming there will be a revelation of Christ (1 Cor. i. 7; 2 Thess. i. 7), that is, a manifestation of the glory He already possesses, so likewise there will be a manifestation of the glory already possessed by the faithful. Thus the Apostle no longer speaks of a resurrection of the faithful to glory at the parusia,1 but a manifestation of the glory they already possessed. Glory (δόξα) is to be the clothing of the faithful. This manifestation of Christ and His people at His parusia is expressly connected in Col. iii. 4: "When Christ who is our life shall be revealed, then shall ye also be revealed with him in glory."

The spiritual resurrection of the faithful dwelt upon It is, further, noteworthy that, though the Apostle does not speak of the resurrection of the faithful at the parusia, but rather of a revelation of the glory already possessed by them, he speaks in these later epistles of the *spiritual resurrection* of the faithful, an idea, indeed, which is not absent from the earlier.

<sup>1</sup> In Rom. viii. 11 it is not a quickening of the dead body that is spoken of, but of the body which is subject to death (τὰ θνητὰ σώματα), but not actually dead. In the latter case we should have τὰ νεκρὰ σώματα. Hence we interpret the words of those who shall be alive at the coming of Christ. The parusia is close at hand (Rom. xiii. 11, 12). By the quickening of the "mortal body" (Rom. viii. 11) it becomes immortal. Compare I Cor. xv. 54: "when this mortal shall have put on immortality." The hope of being alive at the parusia had not deserted St. Paul when he wrote the Philippians (see iii. 20).

Thus they are already "alive from the dead" (Rom. vi. 13), already "raised with Christ through faith" (Col. ii. 12, iii. 1); yea, "quickened together and raised up," and "made to sit with him in heavenly places" (Eph. ii. 6).

CHAP. XI.

### Fourth Period of Development—Philippians, Colossians, Ephesians

In these epistles we have the final stage in the development of the Pauline eschatology, which deals with the cosmic significance of Christ. In the earlier epistles, while the creation of the world was effected through the Son (1 Cor. viii. 6), its consummation was to be realised in the Father, when the Son had resigned His mediatorial kingdom to the Father (I Cor. xv. 24-28). But in these epistles Christ not only not only is the Son the creative agent in the agent of the universe—"in him were all things created" (Col. universe but also its goal. i. 17)-not only is He the principle of cohesion and unity whereby it is a cosmos and not a chaos -"in him all things hold together," συνέστηκεν (Col. i. 17)—but He is also the end to which they move - "all things were created . . . unto him" είς αὐτόν (Col. i. 16). He is thus at once the startingpoint and the goal of the universe, its creative principle and its final cause, and as such all things are to be summed up in Him as their head (Eph. i. 10).

From the above Christology follow two conclusions: (a) the everlasting duration of the kingdom

of Christ; (b) the extension of Christ's redemption to the world of spiritual beings.

Hence (a)
Christ's kingdom is no longer temporary, but one and the same with the eternal kingdom of God;

(a) The everlasting duration of the kingdom of Christ.—Whereas God alone is "all in all" in the final consummation, according to 1 Cor. xv. 28, in the present epistles Christ too is conceived as "all in all" (Eph. i. 23; Col. iii. 11), and so the goal of the universe is no longer the completed kingdom of God, in which God is "all in all" in contrast to the mediatorial kingdom of Christ (1 Cor. xv. 24-28), but the end towards which the entire universe is advancing is the "kingdom of Christ and God" (Eph. v. 51).

(b) and Christ's atonement is extended from mankind to the spiritual world. (b) The extension of Christ's redemption to the world of spiritual beings.— Since all things, in heaven and earth, visible and invisible, whether thrones or dominions or principalities or powers, were created by Christ (Col. i. 16), and, according to the same passage, were to find their consummation in Him (ἔκτισται εἰς αὐτόν), they must therefore come within the sphere of His mediatorial activity; they must ultimately be summed up in Christ as their Head, ἀνακεφαλαιώσασθαι τὰ πάντα ἐν τῷ Χριστῷ (Eph. i. 10). Hence, since in the world of spiritual beings some have sinned or apostatised, they too must share in the Atonement of the Cross of Christ, and so obtain reconciliation² (Col. i. 19, 20):

<sup>1</sup> In 2 Tim. iv. I this kingdom is called the kingdom of Jesus Christ. It is a kingdom, not of this world but a heavenly one (2 Tim. iv. 18). The final judgment is referred to as "that day" (2 Tim. i. 12, 18; iv. 8), or generally as "judgment" (1 Tim. v. 24). Christ is Judge (2 Tim. iv. 1, 8).

2 It is absurd to say that it is the good angels who are spoken of here.

"For it was the good pleasure (of the Father) that in him should all the fulness dwell; and through him to reconcile all things unto himself, having made peace through the blood of his cross; through him (I say), whether things upon the earth or things in the heavens"; these having been reconciled, should join in the universal worship of the Son (Phil. ii. 10). How successful this ministry of reconciliation in the spiritual world is, the Apostle does not inform us. nor yet whether it will embrace this entire world, i.e. the angels of Satan. Since, however, all things Since all things must be reconciled and summed up in Christ, there summed up in can be no room finally in the universe for a wicked Christ, either all created being, whether human or angelic. Thus the Pauline personal beings eschatology points1 obviously, in its ultimate issues, deemed or the either to the final redemption of all created personal tent destroyed beings2 or—and this seems the true alternative—to jective and the destruction of the finally impenitent. But this self-executed judgment. destruction would not be of the nature of an external punishment, but subjective and self-executed.

must be refinally impenithrough sub-

The text can only refer to rebellious or fallen angels; for the word "reconciliation" necessarily presupposes previous enmity (see Eph. ii. 16), and no less so does the phrase in the text, "having made peace through the blood of the cross." (See Sanday on Rom. viii. 38.) On St. Paul's belief in the seven heavens and the presence of evil in them, see Morfill and Charles's Book of the Secrets of Enoch, pp. xl.-xlii.

1 The Apostle appears, in these later epistles no less than in the former, not to have arrived at final and consistent views on these questions. For though he speaks of the reconciliation of hostile spirits, he does not seem to have included Satan's angels amongst them, but his leading principles

involve this.

2 It is generally agreed that the doctrine of Christ's preaching to the spirits in Sheol is referred to in Eph. iv. 9, 10.

#### APPENDIX

## Development of Special Conceptions

Soul and Spirit.—We shall treat these conceptions under two heads: (a) as found in the Gospels and the other books of the New Testament save the Pauline Epistles; (b) as found in the Pauline Epistles.

(a) The meaning attached to these conceptions by all the books of the New Testament, save the Pauline Epistles, is in the main that which prevailed

among the people.

The soul = the bearer of the sensuous life.

The Soul .- The soul is conceived as the bearer of the bodily-sensuous life, and also of the emotions and of the higher spiritual life. As the former, it is sustained by food (Matt. vi. 25), is capable of sensuous impressions (Mark xiv. 34). If the blood is shed the soul departs. Thus ἐκψύχειν = to die (Acts v. 5, 10; xii. 23). Further, the soul is identified with the personality, as in the Old Testament. Thus so many souls = so many persons (Acts ii. 41, vii. 14, xxvii. 37; 1 Peter iii. 20). But in the New Testament, just as in the Judaism of that and the preceding generations, the soul is the seat of the emotions and of the higher Thus it is the subject of fear spiritual life also. (Acts ii. 43), of grief (Matt. xxvi. 38; Mark xiv. 34; Luke ii. 35), of trouble (John xii. 27), of rest (Matt. xi. 29), of pleasure (Matt. xii. 18; Heb. x. 38), of love (Matt. xxii. 37), of hate (Acts xiv. 2). In a spiritual sense it can become stronger (Acts.

Soul = person.

The soul = the seat of the emotions,

xiv. 22) or weaker (Heb. xii. 3); it can be subverted by heresy (Acts xv. 24), or entrapped into and of the sensuality (1 Peter ii. 11; 2 Peter ii. 14). It is prob-spiritual life. ably from this conception of the soul that the adjective ψυχικός derives its bad signification in James iii. 15; Jude 19). Again, the soul, in the higher conception of the word, can be cleansed (1 Peter i. 22), and preserved from evil (1 Peter iv. 19; Heb. xiii. 17). By the sacrifice of its lower and sensual life it can The bearer of attain to the higher and eternal life (Matt. x. 39, spiritual perxvi. 25 (= Mark viii. 35 = Luke ix. 24), John xii. 25). sonality before and after Thus it is capable of eternal salvation (Heb. x. 39; death, and herein identical James i. 21, v. 20; I Peter i. 9, ii. II, 25; Luke xxi. with the spirit. 19). Surviving death (Matt. x. 28), it passes first to an intermediate abode of the departed. This

abode is in either the blessed department of Hades (Acts ii. 27), called Abraham's bosom (Luke xvi. 23), or in the unblest part of Hades (Luke xvi. 23). According to Rev. vi. 9, the souls of martyrs are beneath the altar in heaven. In the next life the departed are designated "souls" (Rev. vi. 9, xx. 4). As the bearer of the entire spiritual personality after death, the soul is in this sense identical with the

The Spirit.—In the case of the spirit, as in that of the soul, we find-with possibly two or three exceptions - no fresh developments, but only the acknowledged and popular conceptions of Judaism. The spirit is the higher side of the soul. Like the soul, it is the subject of grief (Mark viii. 12), of The spirit= trouble (John xiii. 21), of joy (Luke i. 47, x. 21), of the seat of emotions,

spirit, as we shall see from the next section.

also of volition.

indignation (John xi. 33; Acts xvii. 16), of zeal (Acts xviii. 25), of meekness (1 Peter iii. 4). It is the seat of purpose and volition (Acts xix. 21, xx. 22). Again, as with the soul, if the spirit departs, death ensues (Matt. xxvii. 50; Luke xxiii. 46; Acts vii. 59); the body apart from it is dead (James ii. 26); but if it returns, so does life (Luke viii. 55). Thus ἐκπνέειν (Mark xv. 37, 39; Luke xxiii. 46) is synonymous with ἐκψύχειν.

Spirit = bearer of personality after death.

But the spirit, like the soul, exists independently after death as the bearer of the personality. Though the same or similar diction is found in the Old Testament and in a few of the later books, the idea conveyed in either case is absolutely different. The New Testament usage is that of the current Judaism.1 In the next life the departed are called "spirits" (1 Peter iii. 19, iv. 6; Heb. xii. 23), as elsewhere they are called "souls." Thus in this respect Spirit and soul the terms "soul" and "spirit" are identical.

identical terms in all books of New Testament save Pauline Epistles.

But the spirit is the seat also of the higher spiritual life. Man is described as a synthesis of the spirit, so conceived, and of the flesh (Mark xiv. 38). Since in Matt. x. 28 he is regarded as a synthesis of the soul and body, it is clear that here also spirit and soul are interchangeable terms. Growth in the spirit is set over against growth in the body (Luke i. 80, ii. 40). The spirit which God has placed in man longeth for man's salvation

According to Gen. ii., iii., the spirit is a breath of life from God, which on death returns to God, the Fount of Life (Eccl. xii. 7). As such it has no individual or personal existence. In Rev. xi. 11, xiii. 15 the diction of Gen, ii., iii. is reproduced.

(James iv. 5). It discerneth that which is not manifest to the senses (Mark ii. 8). In these cases we have approaches to the Pauline use.

CHAP. XI.

There is no trichotomy in the New Testament No trichotomy outside the Pauline Epistles. The only doubtful passage is Heb. iv. 12. Yet compare x. 39 with xii. 23.

in these books.

In the Pauline Epistles St. Paul breaks with the Pauline use of entire traditional use of the terms "soul" and "body," terms "soul" and "body," terms "soul" and gives them a new connotation, and yet not different from that of rest of wholly new, as we shall discover presently. His New Testaviews take their origin in a fresh study of Gen. ii., iii., and his doctrines of the soul and spirit are developed more or less directly from the psychology of these chapters. His doctrine of the soul may be said to be directly founded on Gen. ii., iii., that of the spirit indirectly. Now first as to the soul, we discover Doctrine of that the teaching has been adopted almost without soul-based on Gen, ii., iii. change. He appeals to Gen. ii. 7 as the foundation of his argument on the nature of the soulish body in 1 Cor. xv. 45. According to Gen. ii., iii., the soul Soul-is a is regarded as the supreme function of the body function of the body, and quickened by the spirit.1 So conceived, it naturally perishes with it. perishes on the withdrawal of the latter. It has, therefore, no existence in the next life. And such, in fact, appears to be the view of the Apostle. The soul, he holds, is the vital principle of the flesh  $(\sigma \acute{a} \rho \xi)$ . Hence the epithets "fleshly" and "soulish" (σαρκικός and ψυχικός) over against "spiritual" (πνευματικός) are taken

<sup>1</sup> As the supreme function of the body it would, logically conceived, embrace all the intellectual powers, like the ψυχή of the Greek philosophers. But St. Paul does not so accept it. To him it is essentially the transitory element in man.

to be synonymous (1 Cor. xv. 44, 46). The soulish

CHAP. XI.

The spirit—
not the soul—
is to be saved.

or natural man (ψυχικὸς ἄνθρωπος) is incapable of receiving the things of the spirit. The soul is never conceived as the bearer of a higher spiritual life by St. Paul. Further, he never speaks, as almost all the other writers of the New Testament do, of the salvation of the soul save in one instance in his first epistle (1 Thess. v. 23), which scholars are agreed in regarding only as a popular statement, and not as an expression of the Apostle's own psychology. It is true, indeed, that the all but universal usage is to connect the term "salvation" not with any one part of man, but with man in his essence. In one passage, however, he speaks of the saving of the spirit (1 Cor. v. 5), no doubt as forming the essential element in man. Now in such a passage the Apostle could not have spoken of the saving of the soul; for though according to the current view he describes man as a synthesis of "spirit and flesh" (Col. ii. 5) and "spirit and body" (1 Cor. v. 3), he never uses the still more popular expression "soul and body." Again, that according to St. Paul the soul belongs wholly to the sphere of this life follows also from his teaching on the soulish body and the spiritual body. The whole after-life of the faithful belongs to the spiritual sphere. They are spirits clothed in spiritual bodies.

The existence of the soul appears, therefore, to be confined to this life. The soul is the bearer of the bodily life in the Pauline epistles as in the rest of the New Testament (cf. Rom. xvi. 4; 2 Cor. xii.

15; Phil. ii. 30). It is menaced when a man's life is sought (Rom. xi. 3). It is the bearer of the personality in a general sense (Rom. xiii. 1, ii. 9).

CHAP. XI.

The Pauline doctrine of the spirit is beset with Doctrine of difficulties. Since, however, we know that the spirit starts from Gen. ii., Apostle had Gen. ii., iii. continually in his thoughts, iii.—not, howit will be best to start from the doctrine of the human spirit spirit in these chapters in our attempt to learn the the Holy Spirit Pauline doctrine. Now in Gen. ii., iii. the spirit faithful. which quickens the material body is the breath of God. Thereby all physical life is derived from God. Similarly St. Paul teaches that all spiritual life is likewise sprung immediately from God-in each case a new creation—a spiritual one (2 Cor. v. 17; Gal. vi. 15), as opposed to the soulish or psychical creation in Gen. ii. 7 (1 Cor. xv. 45).

infused into the

This new creation is due to the entrance of God's Spirit into man, which henceforth becomes a divine immanent principle within man, dwelling in him (1 Cor. iii. 16; Rom. viii. 9, 11), and making thereby the individual man a temple of God (1 Cor. iii. 16, 17). In these passages, as well as Rom. viii. 14; I Cor. vi. 11, vii. 40, xii. 3, the spirit is regarded as God's Spirit dwelling in and influencing the faithful, and is not identified with the human spirit. The presence of the Spirit is essential to man's spiritual life, just as the "breath of life" is to the psychical existence. But since this Spirit may finally withdraw from man if he is unfaithful, and since the unfaithful man survives death, we have still to inquire wherein consists the immaterial part

of man. It cannot be in the soul, as we have seen above.

Thus far the analogy of the spiritual creation to the psychical in Gen. ii. 7 is perfect, but does not admit of further development. Gen. ii., iii. has

Part of the Pauline psychology drawn from Palestinian and part from Hellenistic Iudaism.

spiritual creation of man by the infusion and indwelling of the Holy Spirit, but, if he would set forth the complex internal nature of man, he must perforce resort to popular Palestinian Judaism, or

helped the Apostle to formulate the doctrine of the

else to Hellenistic, for his conceptions and terminology. In actual fact he has recourse to both. The

conceptions and terminology in question deal with (1) the higher nature of man as an intellectual and

moral being, and (2) the immaterial personality of man as surviving death. For the former the

Apostle has recourse to the world of Hellenistic Judaism. Hence he borrows the phrase "the inner

man" (ὁ ἔσω ἄνθρωπος, Rom. vii. 22). This phrase ogoes back to Plato, Rep. ix. 589 A (ὁ ἐντὸς ἄνθρωπος),

and appears in various forms in Philo; ἄνθρωπος ἐν ἀνθρώπω (Mangey, p. 533) and ἄνθρωπος ὁ ἐν ἐκάστω

ήμῶν τίς αν εἴη πλην ὁ νοῦς (De Agric. § 2). Its equiva-

lent is found in 1 Peter iii. 4 (ὁ κρυπτὸς τῆς καρδίας ἄνθρωπος). The Pauline phrase has no reference to

man as created anew or otherwise, but denotes him

simply as an intellectual and moral personality. From the same source St. Paul adopts the term

"mind" (vovs, Rom. vii. 23, 25), which belongs to "the inner man" and signifies the higher nature of

man as man. In this sense the Apostle employs also

Higher nature of man as man expressed according to Hellenistic Judaism as "the inner man" and the "mind,"

the term "spirit," after a current usage of Palestinian CHAP. XI. Judaism. Accordingly, we find the ordinary syn- as "the spirit" thesis of "spirit and body" (I Cor. v. 3) and of Palestinian "spirit and flesh" (Col. ii. 5), just as in Rom. vii. 25 the synthesis of "mind" and "flesh" is presupposed. In this sense also St. Paul writes: "His In this sense spirit hath been refreshed by you all " (2 Cor. vii. 13), or "spirit" and again in 1 Cor. ii. 11: "Who among men knoweth can receive refreshment, the things of a man save the spirit of the man, which knowledge; can suffer, beis in him?" Since this spirit is human and finite, it come reprobate, and is capable of suffering. Hence we find in 2 Cor. defiled. ii. 13, "I had no relief for my spirit" (οὐκ ἔσχηκα ἄνησιν τῷ πνεύματί μου), just as elsewhere in vii. 5, "Our flesh had no relief" (οὐδεμίαν ἔσχηκεν ἄνεσιν ή σὰρξ ἡμῶν).

But the higher side of man's nature, whether we term it "mind" or "spirit," may fall under the power of the "flesh." Thus "the mind" can become vain (Eph. iv. 17) or "corrupt" (Rom. i. 28), or the slave of the flesh (Col. ii. 18), and "the spirit" can become defiled (μολυσμοῦ . . . πνεύματος), 2 Cor. vii. I.

(2) Next in order to denote man as an immaterial The human personality surviving death the Apostle is obliged which survives to have recourse to Palestinian psychology. In death expressed by the this sense he adopts the term "spirit," as we see term "spirit." in 1 Cor. v. 5, where he directs the Corinthian Church to deliver the incestuous person "unto

<sup>1</sup> By the mind the natural man can know God through creation (Rom. i. 20), and feels the obligation of obedience to His will (Rom. vii. 25), but without divine renewal cannot gain the mastery over the flesh.

Third meaning of term "spirit"essentially Pauline = human faculty for divine comanew by God.

Satan for the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus."

(3) We have only to notice one more sense of the term "'spirit" as employed by St. Paul. the two preceding uses he has simply followed the psychology of the time. But this third sense of the munion created word is distinctly his own.

> The spirit so conceived is that part of man's immaterial nature which is capable of direct communion with the Spirit (Rom. viii. 16), but not this faculty as it exists by itself, but as it is recreated by God. In this sense the spirit is no longer synonymous with the mind, but is the suzerain of the latter. Unless the mind is obedient to the spirit so conceived, it becomes corrupt (see above). difference of the mind and of the spirit in this third sense is brought out in 1 Cor. xiv. 14, 15: "For if I pray in a tongue, my spirit prayeth, but my mind is unfruitful. What is it then? I will pray with the spirit, and I will pray with the mind also." The mind still exists in the spiritual man as he deals with matters of human judgment.

> The renewed spirit is "our spirit," and lives in communion with the Spirit of God (Rom. viii. 16). By virtue of it man becomes spiritual (1 Cor. ii. 15, iii. 1). He is a new creation (Gal. iii. 15).

> Since the psychology of Paul has certain external resemblances to that of Philo, we shall notice some of the points wherein they agree and wherein they differ. Philo presents the usual Hellenistic dualism of soul (ψυχή, called also νοῦς) and body (σῶμα and

The spirit in this sense to be distinguished from the mind.

Points of agreement and disagreement between the Pauline and Philonic psychologies

Man's nature has two sides. Each has its own distinct faculty - an animal soul and a rational soul. The animal soul has its seat in the blood, and, subsequently to its first creation, is due to human generation, but the rational soul comes direct from God to all men as the πνεθμα (Quod deterius, §§ 22-24). The rational soul (called vovs or διάνοια, ψυχή or πνεθμα) possesses intellect and freedom of will, and can fulfil the law, if it will. St. Paul differs herein from Philo in teaching that man is incapable of fulfilling the divine law since, though he has vous, he has not the divinely renewed πνεθμα. The enmity of the mind and of the flesh comes to consciousness at the age of seven according to Philo, but according to St. Paul, as soon as we come to the knowledge of law.

Since the body is only the tomb of the soul according to Philo, it—no less than the flesh—is the foe of the soul. But from this foe the soul of the righteous is delivered by death. According to the Apostle, on the other hand, though the flesh is in antagonism with the spirit, there is no such antagonism between the body and the spirit. Nay, rather the body is indispensable to the completed well-being of the latter. A bodiless human spirit is "naked," is in a state of weakness and deprivation.

## INDEX OF SUBJECTS

Abode for departed, four places of, 244

Abyss of fire, place of punishment for

a Semitic philosophy of religion, 182-

184

derived in minor degree from unfulfaithless angels, 222, 244 Advent, see " Parusia ' filled prophecy, 184-190 no less ethical than prophecy, 190-193 Alexandrian Judaism, 303-306 side of Pharisaism as opposed to the Allegory, 177 Altar, the place beneath, the interlegalistic, 193-196 always pseudonymous in Judaism mediate abode of the souls of after the third century B.C. but the martyrs, 410 not so in Christianity, 196-205 Amos, 88, 89 Ancestor Worship furnished the inelements in Old Testament, 104, 108, dividual Israelite with his views 120, 123-124, 138 note, 166 note, 173-206 of a future life, 19, 20 its nature, 20 and prophecy, 173-206 use same methods, 17,-177 evidence for existence of, in Israel, have different eschatologies, 177-178 Teraphim in, 21-23 general conclusions as to, 193 sacrifices to the dead customary in, Atonement extended to all rational beings, 462, 463 23-25 right of offering such sacrifices Baruch, Apocalypse of, or 2, 323-336 limited to a son of the de-Book of, or 1, 353 parted, 25-27 Body, Pauline doctrine of the psychical explains the right of succession to and of the spiritual, 449-452 property, 26, 27 indispensable to the well-being of explains the levirate law, 27, 28 the spirit, 452, 473 mourning usages in Israel derived from, 28-30 Burial, 31, 32 beliefs regarding the departed derived from, 31-41, 45-48, 52, 53 Chasids, forerunners of Pharisees and regarding burial, 31, 32 representatives of the higher regarding the family grave, 32, 33 theology, 171-173, 220, 222, regarding Sheol, 33-36 258 inhabitants of Sheol, 36-41, 45-48 destroyed by Yahwism, 50 Daniel, Book of, 125-127, 131, 137, Ancestor Worship in Greece 138, 140, 141, 187-189, 191, sacrifices in, 24 note 204, 211-213 prescribes the duty of the avenging of Day of Yahwè Popular conception-against Israel's blood, 26, 27 note Ancestor Worship in Babylon, 24, 25 national foes, 86-88 Prophetic conception Angelic patrons of the nations, 165 pre-Exilic, first and chiefly against Israel: Amos and Hosea, note, 213 Annihilation of the finally impenitent, 88-q1 against Israel and Judah: Isaiah, 463 Anthropology of Old Testament, see Micah, 91-95 on behalf of Israel against As-" Psychology " syria: Nahum, Habakkuk, Antichrist, 406 note, 422, 438-440, 443 Apocalypse, the, 403-412 95-99 Apocalypses, Jewish, in the New Testa-=world-judgment: Zephaniah, ment, 369, 379-384, 403, 439, 99-101 =judgmentof Gog: Ezekiel, 108 440, 444 note Apocalyptic, permanent contributions of Gentiles: Fragments of of, 178-182 Isaiah, 118, 119

= judgment of Israel: Malachi, 118 note

of Gentiles: Zechariah, 120, 121, 123, 124

of Gentiles: Joel, 121-123 of Gentiles: Daniel, 125-127

Death, second, 411

Dualism in Judaism, 210, 223, 242, 250, 298

Earth, eternal duration of the, according to Old Testament, 127, 128 note

Scclesiastes, 68, 69 Scclesiasticus, 167-170 Empedocles, 149, 150

End, when will the end be? 350

Inoch, I Book of, vi.-xxxvi., 213-220

xxxvii.-lxxi., 260-266 lxxxiii.-xc., 220-223 xci.-civ., 250-259

lnoch, 2 Book of, 315-320
lschatological, general, development,
2nd cent. B.C., 208-211

1st cent. B.C., 247-250 1st cent. A.D., 298-300

conceptions, development of special, in Old Testament, 157-166

in Apocalyptic literature, 241-246, 287-297, 355-361

in New Testament, 464

schatological ideas proper to Apocalyptic in Old Testament, 165, 166 notes

schatology of apocalyptic distinct from that of prophecy, 177-178 schatology of the individual

 I. Primitive, heathen in character, and derived from Ancestor Worship, 19-49

destroyed for the most part by Yahwism, 50, 53, 54

II. Yahwistic

(i.) First period, outlook confined to this life: Jeremiah to Job

> founded on new value set on the individual, the new covenant between Yahwè and the individual from his personal relation to Yahwè, according to Jeremiah, 54, 59-61

Jeremiah's teaching developed by Ezekiel into a dogma partly true, partly false—that the individual gets his deserts in the present life, 62-67

Ezekiel's doctrines of retribution denied by Ecclesiastes,

66, 68

(ii.) Second period, outlook reaching forth into an individual immortality in Job and Pss. xlix., lxxiii., 69-78

precludings of this doctrine,

Job presents antinomies of present life so strongly as to force his readers to postulate the doctrine of a blessed future of the individual or become materialists, 69-73

Pss. xlix., lxxiii. on the immortality of the individual

soul, 74-77

historical attestation of this doctrine confirmed by logical necessities of thought, 78, 79

such a religious individualism not permanent, 79-81

synthesis of, with eschatology of nation resulting in doctrine of resurrection (see "Resurrection"), 129-131

this synthesis, after maintaining itself for over 200 years, resolved into its original factors, when the hope of eternal Messianic kingdom was abandoned (circa 100 B.C.) and extreme individualism in religion ensued, 248, 299

the new synthesis of the two hopes — no longer of the nation, but of the race and the individual, 362, 363

Eschatology of the nation centres in the national blessedness, i.e. the Messianic kingdom (see '' Kingdom''), to be introduced by the day of Yahwè (see '' Day of Yahwè''), 83

of a nation, last part of its religion to experience the transforming power of new ideas and facts,

366

Eternal life, meaning of the phrase, in New Testament, 370, 371, 426-428

= a period of limited duration occasionally in Apocalyptic, 212 note

Ezekiel on the Messianic kingdom, 103, 104, 107, 108

the main founder of particularism,
rog

Ezekiel's eschatology of the individual,

61-68 controverted by Ecclesiastes, 68

Ezra, Fourth, 337-353

Gehenna, 161, 169

topographical sense, 162 place of idolatrous sacrifices, 162,

(a) place of spiritual and corporal punishment for apostate Jews in the presence of the righteous,

(b) place of spiritual punishment for apostate Jews, 1 En. vi.

xxxvi., 219 (c) place of punishment for all men? 1 En. xci.-civ., 293, 294 Judith, 272 Assumption of Moses, 303,

358 Second Enoch, 318-320, 358

2 Baruch, 336

4 Ezra, 342, 358 in the New Testament

final place of punishment for disembodied spirits, 399 summary of New Testament

usages, 474, 475
Gentiles in Old Testament and Apoca-

lyptic literature, 165, 246, 296, 297, 332, 361

Grave, the family, 32, 33 characteristics of, confused with those of Sheol, 34 note

Habakkuk, 95-99 Hades, for, in Jewish and Christian books, see "Sheol"

Hades according to Greek conceptions (1) eternal abode of the departed, in Homer, Hesiod, Aeschylus, Sophocles, Euripides, 144, 145,

the conflicting views in Homer as to the nature of existence in Hades, 143, 144 (2) intermediate abode for the retr butive judgment in Orph teaching, 147, 151, 154 inhabitants of, acquainted with affai

of the living, 40 note

Haggai, 119, 120 Heaven as final abode of the righteou

76, 160, 291, 357 Hebrews, 418, 419

possible Alexandrian interpretation of eschatology of, 419 note Hell, see "Hades" and "Gehenna" Hesiod's doctrine of the soul, 145, 14 Homeric doctrine of the soul, 142-14 Hosea, 90, 91

Immortality, preludings of, 54-57 of the soul apart from the Messian kingdom in the Old Testamen 74-81

in Apocalyptic literature, 240, 299 300, 305, 306, 354

in Greek religion, 80 note, 155, 156 Intermediate abode for human beings see "Sheol" and "Hades"

for angels, 119 note, 138 note, 164

415
Isaiah, 91-93
xl.-lv., 110-112
some post-Exilic fragments, 118-11
xix., 116, 117
xxvi., 1-19, 131-135
lxv.-lxvi., 127

Israel right over against the world

James, Epistle of, 416, 417
Jeremiah on the relation of the in
dividual to Yahwè, 58-61
on the Messianic kingdom, 103-107
the founder of universalism, 109
Jeremiah and Ezekiel, 102-109
Jeremy, Epistle of, 25
Jerome quoted, 335

Jerusalem, the New, 223
Jesus Christ, the centre of the king
dom, 362, 363

living relationship to, constitutes mem bership of the kingdom, 363

the Judge of men; for men's relation ship to Him is likewise His re lationship to God, since He i the realisation of God's righteous ness, 377, 392-395, 417, 425 430, 435

later Pauline doctrine of, 461

b, 69-73 nah, 115, 116 sephus, 354, 355 bilees, 235-240

daism, Palestinian and Alexandrian, contrasted, 303-306

de, 412-414

dgment, in Old Testament, see Day of Yahwè

in Apocalyptic literature

 (a) on the living and certain classes of the dead on the advent of the kingdom, 242, 243

(b) on all rational beings on the advent of the kingdom, 290

(c) on all rational beings at close of the kingdom, 240, 289, 290, 315, 342, 345, 356, 357

(d) no general judgment in Alexandrian Judaism, 305, 306, 314,

357

dgment, final, in the New Testament— Synoptic Gospels

at the parusia, 392, 393

to be executed by God, 393 by Christ, 392-394 according to works, 394, 395 adgment, final, in Revelation

(a) Messianic, and (b) final, 406,

final, executed by God, and yet in some respects by Christ,

in Jude, 1 Peter, 2 Peter, James,

final, at the parusia, 414, 415, 417, 418, 433, 434 executed by the Messiah, 415,

433, 434 by God, 418

in Johannine writings
present, and subjective and selfexecuting, 422-424

final, 430

to be executed by Christ, 425

in Pauline Epistles

final, at the parusia, 442, 443 to be executed by God, 447 note by Christ, 447

according to works, 447, 456,

doctrine of final, does not conflict with that of immediate resurrection after death, 457

idith, 272, 273

Kingdom iu Old Testament

 Messianic or theocratic, according to popular conception, =a kingdom of unbroken national prosperity, 83

II. Messianic or theocratic, according to Old Testament prophetic conception, = regenerated community of eternal duration in which divine will should be fulfilled, existing under national forms on the present earth, 83-85

(i.) Pre-Exilic, promised by Isaiah, 85, 92

Zephaniah, 101

(ii.) Exilic and post-Exilic

(a) universalistic conception— Jeremiah, 103, 106, 107 Second Isaiah, 110, 111 Psalms, 112, 113 Malachi, 113-115 Isaiah xix., 116, 117

(b) particularistic conception— Ezekiel, 107, 108 Fragments of Isaiah, 118, 119 Haggai, 119, 120 Zechariah, 120, 121 Joel, 120-123

Daniel, 125, 127, 211, 212
III. In Apocalyptic and Apocryphal

literature always particularistic
(1) of eternal duration on the
present earth, 1 En. vi.xxxvi., 219, 220

2 Macc., 273-275, 277

of eternal duration on the present earth with the New Jerusalem as centre, I En. lxxxiii.-xc., 223

(2) of temporary duration on the present earth, 1 En. xci.-civ., 248, 250, 251
Pss. Sol., 270, 271
Sibyl. Or. iii. 1-62, 273
Jubilees, 239, 240
Assumption of Moses, 301,

(of 1000 years' duration = the Millennium) 2 En., 315; 2 Bar., 324-327, 329

(of 400 years' duration) 4 Ezra,

(of indefinite duration), 344 criticism of this conception, 330,

331

(3) of eternal duration in a new heaven and new earth, I En. xxxvii.-lxxi., 249, 265, 266

(4) all hope of absolutely abandoned, 2 Bar., 3324 Ezra, 347

IV. In the New Testament—Synoptic Gospels

of eternal duration, 364, 365 membership of which is constituted through a living relation to its Head, 365

teniporary Messianic, or Millennium, not a true Christian doctrine, 365 note, 408, 409, 448, 462

= the community in which the divine will was to be realised, 370

(i.) already present in its essence, 371-374, 376, 377

(ii.) still future as regards its consummation, 374, 375

(a) to be realised according to the ordinary laws of spiritual development, 375, 376, 377

(b) or suddenly established by God Himself, 375, 377

conceptions (i.) and (ii.) organically related, 377 (iii.) to be consummated at the

parusia, 395 of heavenly character, 395, 396

in Revelation

(i.) temporary, of Christ, i.e. the Millennium, 407-409

(ii.) eternal, of God and Christ,

in the Johannine writings spiritual and present, of Christ, 425, 426

in the Pauline Epistles temporary, of Christ—a view later abandoned, 448 eternal, of Christ=kingdom of God, 462

eternal, of God, 454 note

Law, the autocracy of the, made prophecy impossible, 200-206 Life, the meaning of eternal, 371, 426-428 Maccabees, First, 266, 267 Maccabees, Second, 273-278, 288 Maccabees, Fourth, 320-322 Malachi, 113-115 Man primitively conceived as

Man primitively conceived as dichotomy, 45 later conceived as a trichotomy, 42

45 created in God's image, 54, 55 Mazdeism in relation to Judaism, 11 note, 139-141

Messiah—not organically connecte with the Messianic kingdom i Jewish literature prior to 10 A.D. outside the New Testa ment, 85

not in Zephaniah, Nahum, Habak kuk, r Enoch vi.-xxxvi., xci. civ.; r Maccabees; Judith 2 Maccabees

not in Assumption of Moses, Wis dom, 2 Enoch, 4 Maccabees not in Apocalypse of Baruci

(B<sup>1</sup>, B<sup>2</sup>, B<sup>3</sup>), 4 Ezra (Salathie Apocalypse)
(See under above books)

not in pre-Exilic prophets, according to recent criticism; see notes of pp. 89, 90, 93, 95, 105

as conceived in Apocalyptic an Apocryphal literature, 207, 223 237, 245, 246, 249, 260-264 265, 267-269, 273, 282-287 296, 314, 326, 327, 341, 342 343, 344, 345, 359-361

Messianic woes, 237.239, 329, 339 340, 341, 345, 382, 383

Micah, 94, 95
Millennium, see "Kingdom"
Moses, Assumption of, 301-303
Mourning usages in Israel, 28-30

Nahum, 95-99 New heaven and new earth, 127-129 165, 249, 260, 333, 411, 416

Orphic doctrine of the soul, 146-148

Paradise, 244, 291, 292, 316-318, 357 473-474 Particularism in Nahum and Habakkul

97 Parusia or Second Advent : In Synopti Gospels manner of

(1) sudden and unexpected, 379,

(2) to be heralded with unmistakahle signs, 379

later view-Judaistic intrusion in the Gospels, 379-385

time of, during the existing generation, 386, 387

yet idea of development emphasised, and possibility of its continuance beyond that period acknowledged, 388-390

at the final judgment, 392, 393 in Revelation

in a spiritual sense,

time of, on the advent of the Millennium, 405, 406

in Jude, 1 Peter, 2 Peter, James, Hebrews, at the final judgment, 414, 415, 417, 418, 433

dependent on human conduct, 416

in Johannine writings

as a present spiritual fact, 420,

as a future event, 421, 422 in the Pauline Epistles

Parusia, in the Apostle's lifetime, 441, 445, 456, 460 note

when evil has reached its climaxa Judaistic view, afterwards ahandoned,

when all mankind has been converted, 443, 455, 456

(1) to be preceded hy certain

signs, 441, 442 (2) to come as a thief in the night, 442

of Christ to judge, 442, 443, 447 Pauline and Philonic psychologies contrasted, 472, 473

Pauline Epistles, 437-463 Peter, First Epistle, 433-436 Peter, Second Epistle, 414-416

Philo, 313, 314, 472, 473 Pindar's doctrine of the soul, 150, 151 Pit = intermediate abode of angels and kings, 119 note, 138 note, 164

Pit = Sheol, 160 note Plato's doctrine of the soul, 152-155 Preaching to the spirits in prison, 434,

435 Pre-existence of the soul in Judaism, 304, 308 note, 316 in Greek religion, 154-156

Prophecy distinguished from Apocalyptic, see "Apocalyptic" various proofs of, being from God,

185 note

Psalms, xxii., lxv., lxxxvi., lxxxvii., 112, 113, lxxxviii., 10, 136

Pseudonymity of Apocalyptic due to autocracy of the Law, 200-205

Psychology of Old Testament, two conflicting and to some extent concurrent views of soul, 39-47. See "Soul" and "Spirit"

Pythagoras' doctrine of the soul, 148, 149

Resurrection—I. In the Old Testament =synthesis of the hopes of the righteous individual and of the righteous nation, 78-81, 129-131

(i) in the body of righteous Israelites on advent of kingdom: Isaiah xxvi., 131-133

a genuine product of Jewish inspiration, 133, 134, 140

essential significance of this

doctrine, 134-136 (ii.) in the body of the preeminently righteous and preeminently wicked Israelites, Dan. xii., 137, 138

this doctrine has affinities with Mazdeism, 140, 141

short summary of above development, 164

II. In Apocalyptic and Apocryphal literature, 2nd cent. B.C.

(1) in the body of the righteous, and of such wicked Israelites as had not been punished in life on advent of kingdom, I En. vi .- xxxvi., 215-219

(2) in the body of all Israelites, 2 Maccabees, 276-278

(3) in the body, which was to be subsequently transformed, of the righteous Israelites only, I En. lxxxiii.-xc., 223

short summary of development, 2nd cent. B.C., 209, 211, 244, 245

III. In Apocalyptic and Apocryphal literature, 1st cent. B.C.

(I) of the spirit of the righteous at close of Messianic kingdom, 1 En. xci.-civ.,250,251,253 Pss. Sol., 271, 272

(2) of the spirit of the righteous clothed in a body of glory and light (also of the wicked to judgment, p. 292), 1 En. xxxvii.-lxxi., 263

short summary of development, 1st cent. B.C., 294-296

IV. In Apocalyptic and Apocryphal literature, 1st cent. A.D.

(i.) Palestinian Judaism

(1) of the righteous only after the final judgment (a) without a body: Jubilees, Ass. Mos., 240, 299, 300, 303; (b) in a spiritual body: 2 En., Josephus, 300, 320, 354
(2) in the body of all mankind,

2 Baruch; 4 Ezra, 334-336,

342

(3) the first, 4 Ezra, 341, 342, 344

(ii.) Alexandrian Judaism

of the spirits of the righteous immediately after death, Wisdom, Philo, 4 Maccabees, 299, 300, 305, 309, 314, 322 short summary of development,

1st cent. A.D., 358, 359 Resurrection in the New Testament

in the Synoptic Gospels

(1) of the righteous only, 396,

397, 399 (2) of the righteous and the wicked-but this doctrine an intrusion, 397, 398

doctrine of general, not necessitated by that of final judgment, 399

in Revelation

(r) the first, of the Martyrs, on the advent of the Millennium —a Judaistic doctrine, 407

(2) the final, of the righteous and the wicked (also a Judaistic doctrine), 410, 411

in Acts, in Jude, 2 Peter, James, Hebrews

(1) of the righteous only, 418,

(2) of the righteous and the wicked, Acts xxiv., 15, but this statement misrepresents the Pauline theology, 444 note

in the Johannine writings

(1) of the righteous only, 428, 430

(2) of the righteous and the wicked in John v., 28, 29but this passage is an interpolation, 428-430 in Pauline Epistles

of the righteous only at

parusia, 444, 448 of Christ and of man organically connected, 448, 449

the

time of the: (1) at the parusia, 443, 452, 453, 454

(2) immediately after death:

(a) as implied in Pauline doctrine of the new body, 453 (b) as directly taught in 2 Cor.,

457-461 Retribution, no individual looked for

in pre-prophetic times, 58 Ezekiel's doctrine of, 61-66 Retribution, debate on, in I En., 253-

259 in this life, 278 Revelation of the righteous, 460

Satan, 407 Satans, 264

Saved, are there few that be? 347-349 Sheol I. According to the Old Testament

(i.) eternal abode of the departed: its description, 33-35

customs of life reproduced in Sheol, 40, 41

characteristics sometimes its confused with those of the grave, as in Greece and Babylon, 34 note

outside Yahwè's jurisdiction and the sphere of moral law,

35, 36

(a) primarily a scene of considerable psychical activity, 39, 40, 41, 47, 48

(b) later a synonym for destruction, where all life was at an end, 47, 48

brought within Yahwè's jurisdiction, 36 note, 57

(ii.) intermediate abode of the righteous and eternal abode of all else in Job, 70 note Isaiah xxvi., 132, 133 note Daniel, 138, 212

(iii.) abode of the wicked only in Psalms, 75, 76

previous Old Testament meanings summarised, 160, 161 II. According to Apocryphal and

Apocalyptic literature

(i.) eternal ahode of the departed

in Ecclesiasticus, 169
(ii) intermediate abode of righteous Israelites, and of such of the wicked as had not heen punished in life: the eternal abode of all else (=hell), 1 En. vi.-xxxvi., 215-

(iii.) intermediate abode of all Israelites, and eternal abode of all else (=hell), 2 Macc.,

275, 202

(iv.) intermediate abode in which moral transformation hecomes possible, 2 Macc., 292

(v.) intermediate ahode with preliminary foretastes of happiness and torment, 2 Bar., 333, 334, 350-352, 357

(vi.) intermediate abode of the righteous, hut eternal abode of the wicked = hell, Josephus, 354

(vii.) final abode of fire=Gehenna or hell, 292, 293, 358

(viii.) as an intermediate ahode not acknowledged in Alexandrian Judaism, 305, 306

summary of meanings in Apocalyptic, 292, 293

III. According to the New Testament

(i.) the intermediate ahode where moral change is possible, 399, 400

Johannine theology, 431

and asserted in the Petrine theology, 434-436

(ii.) internediate abode of the wicked only in the Apocalypse, 410

short summary of New Testament usages, 474

Sheol (i.e. Aralu), Bahylonian conception of, and that of Israel, from same source, 34 note

Sihylline Oracles, 207, 208, 273
Signs of the last times, see "Messianic
Woes"

Sleep, a designation of death, not to be

taken literally, 132 note, 218, 242, 443 note

Son of Man in 1 Enoch, 260-264 Soul in Old Testament

I. Doctrine of, prior to rise of doctrine of immortality

 primitive Semitic conception identified with the blood, 37 the seat of the personality in life, 37, 38

almost a synonym for spirit (see "Man"), 46, 47, 158,

159

conscious after death, and acquainted with the affairs of their descendants; possessed of power to benefit or injure them, 39, 40

(2) later monotheistic conception in Gen. ii., iii. of: soul distinct in essence and destiny from spirit: extinguished at death, 41-44, 158, 159

II. Doctrine of, in Israel, from rise of doctrine of immortality

first conceived in Joh as capable of a divine life beyond the grave, 49, 72, 73

and in Psalms xlix., lxxiii. as capable of an individual immortality, 74-81

Soul in Apocalyptic literature

Soul identical in essence and function with spirit, 241-242, 287-289, 355-356

in a few cases not identified with spirit, 241

pre - existent in Alexandrian Judaism, 304-306, 308

enters immediately on its reward at death, 305-306

Soul in the New Testament

in the Gospels and non-Pauline writings identical with the spirit in essence and function, 464-465, 467

in the Pauline Epistles

= a mere function of the hody, and perishes with it, 467, 468

Soul after death, conception of, among the Greeks

 (1) is a shade possessing thought, will, and activity and power to help or injure the living (Animistic view) in Homer, 144 in Hesiod, 145

(2) is an unconscious helpless shade (ordinary Homeric view) in Homer, 143, 144

(3) has no existence whatever, according to the philosophers,

(4) is an immortal spiritual being in Orphic teaching, 146, 147,

in Platonic teaching, 152-155

Spirit in Old Testament

primitive conception of, synonymous with soul, 45, 46 later monotheistic conception of,

differs in origin, essence, and destiny from soul, 41-44

never descends into Sheol in Old Testament, however conceived, 43, 47

Spirit in Apocalyptic literature

spirit synonymous with soul, and descends into Sheol, 241, 242, 288-289, 355-356

spirit not synonymous with soul, 241, 288

Spirit in the New Testament

in Gospels and non-Pauline writings identical with soul, 465-467

in the Pauline Epistles, 467-473

=higher nature of man as an intellectual and moral being, 471 =man's immaterial personality which survives death, 471, 472 =human faculty for divine communion created anew by God,

Spiritual experience-highest form of, 176-177

Survivals, eschatological, in Old Testament, 52, 53

New Testament, 366-368

Tartarus, intermediate abode of punishment for angels, 414, 415, 474 Teraphim, 21-23

=images of ancestors, 21, 22

=images of Yahwe, 23 Testament, Old, its inspiration, 3 Testaments of XII. Patriarchs, 224-234 Tobit, 170, 171

Translations of Enoch and Elijah, preludings of human immortality, 56, 57

of individuals in Hebrew and Greek religions contrasted, 57 note Tree of life, references to, intrusions

in text, 55 Universalism in Jeremiah and his

spiritual successors, 109-117

Visions and trances, 174-176

Wisdom, Book of, 306-312 World closes in culmination of evil, according to Judaism, 126, 127

Yahwè, divine name of, when first known, 6, 7

the War-god, 9, 10 of Hosts, 9, 88 note

God of justice and purity, 10, 11 His sovereignty originally conceived as limited to His own land and people, 11-13

had no concern therefore with any future existence of man, 18, 19 Yahwe, unethical features in conception of, 13, 14

such unethical features attacked and destroyed by prophets, 15

sole God of all the earth, 16

Israel's relations to, ethically conditioned, 16

His power long conceived as limited to the living, 56

yet early conceived as able to restore the dead from Sheol, 57 Yahwè, day of, see "Day"

Yahwism, pre-prophetic, 5-16, 51 monotheistic, 16-19

had originally no eschatology of the individual, 18, 19, 52

first destroyed Aucestor Worship before it furnished an ethical doctrine of future life, 50, 53,

Zadokite Work, Fragments of a, 278-287

Zechariah, 120, 121, 123, 124 Zephaniah, 99-101

La Por